250 Homework #1

Firstname LastName *

February 8, 2024

P1.1.4 [10 pts]

Let C be the set $\{0, 1, ..., 15\}$. Let D be a subset of C and define the number f(D) as follows -f(D) is the sum, for every element i of D, of 2^i . For example, if D is 1, 6 then $f(D) = 2^1 + 2^6 = 66$.

- (a) What are $f(\emptyset)$, f(0,2,5), and f(C)?
- (b) Is there a D such that f(D) = 666? If so, find it.
- (c) Explain why, if D and E are any two subsets of C such that f(D) = f(E), then D = E.

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)

^{*}Collaborated with Nobody.

P1.2.5 [10 pts]

Let the alphabet C be $\{a, b, c\}$. Let the language X be the set of all strings over C with at least two occurrences of b. Let Y be the language of all strings over C that never have two occurrences of c in a row. Let Z be the language of all strings over C in which every c is followed by an a. (Recall that any string with no c's is thus in Z.)

- (a) List the three-letter strings in each of X, Y, and Z. The easiest way to do this may be to first list all 27 strings in C^3 and then see which ones meet the given conditions.
- (b) List the four-letter strings that are both in X and in Y, those that are both in X and in Z, those that are both in Y and in Z, and those that are in all three sets. How many total strings are in C^4 ?
- (c) Are any of X, Y, or Z subsets of any of the others?
- (d) Suppose u and v are two strings in X. Do we know that the strings u^R , v^R , uv, and vu are all in X? Either explain why this is always true, or give an example where it is not.
- (e) Repeat the previous question for the languages Y and Z.

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)
- (e)

P1.4.10 [10 pts]

Letting p denote "mackerel are fish" and q denote "trout live in trees", translate each of the following four statements into English: $\neg p \to q$, $\neg (p \to q)$, $\neg p \leftrightarrow q$, and $\neg (p \leftrightarrow q)$. Are any two of these four statements logically equivalent?

P1.5.6 [10 pts]

Let Σ be the alphabet a, b, c, \ldots, z and let U be the set Σ^3 of three-letter strings with letters from Σ . Let X be the set of strings in U whose first letter is c. Let Y be the set of strings whose second letter is a, and let Z be the set of strings whose last letter is t. Describe each of the following sets in English, and determine the number of strings in each set.

- (a) $X \cap Y$
- (b) $X \cap Y \cap Z$
- (c) $Y \cup Z$
- (d) $X \cap (Y \cup Z)$

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)

P1.7.6 [10 pts]

Suppose we substitute $a \oplus b$ for p and $a \wedge b$ for q in the contrapositive rule to get $((a \oplus b) \to (a \wedge b)) \leftrightarrow ((\neg a \wedge b) \to (\neg a \oplus b))$. Verify that this result is *not* a tautology. Why didn't our substitution lead to a valid tautology?

P1.8.2 [10 pts]

A variant of the Proof By Cases rule is as follows: Given the premises $p \lor q, \ p \to r$, and $q \to r$, derive r.

P1.8.7 [10 pts]

Prove that the compound propositions $p \wedge (q \to r)$ and $\neg (p \to (q \wedge \neg r))$ are equivalent by using the Equivalence and Implication Rule and constructing two deductive sequence proofs.

P1.10.6 [12 pts]

We can define binary relations on the naturals for each of the five relational operators. Let LT(x,y), LE(x,y), E(x,y), GE(x,y), and GT(x,y) be the predicates with templates x < y, $x \le y$, x = y, $x \ge y$, and x > y respectively.

- (a) Show how each of the five predicates can be written using only LE and boolean operators. Use your constructions to rewrite $(LE(a,b) \oplus (E(b,c) \vee GT(c,a)) \rightarrow (LT(c,b) \wedge GE(a,c))$ in such terms.
- (b) Express each of the five predicates using only LT and boolean operators, and rewrite the same compound statement in those terms.

- (a)
- (b)

P2.3.9 [12 pts]

Let D be a set of dogs and let T be a subset of terriers, so that the predicate T(x) means "dog x is a terrier". Let F(x) mean "dog x is fierce" and let S(x,y) mean "dog x is smaller than dog y". Write quantified statements for the following, using only variables whose type is D:

- (a) There exists a fierce terrier.
- (b) All terriers are fierce.
- (c) There exists a fierce dog who is smaller than all terriers.
- (d) There exists a terrier who is smaller than all fierce dogs, except itself.

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)
- (d)

EC: P2.3.7 [10 pts]

Let D be a set of dogs, with R being the subset of retrievers, B being the subset of black dogs, and F being the subset of female dogs, with membership predicates R(x), B(x), and F(x) respectively. Suppose that the three statements $\forall x: \exists y: R(x) \oplus R(y), \forall x: \exists y: B(x) \oplus B(y),$ and $\forall x: \exists y: F(x) \oplus F(y)$ are all true. What can you say about the number of dogs in D? Justify your answer.