

# Sweat Characteristics of Cramp-Prone and Cramp-Resistant Athletes

Kevin C. Miller

Central Michigan University

Brendon P. McDermott

University of Arkansas

Susan W. Yeargin

University of South Carolina

Exercise-associated muscle cramps (EAMCs) are thought to be caused by dehydration and/or electrolyte losses. In this multicenter, cross-sectional study, the authors determined whether sweat rates (SRs), sweat electrolyte concentrations, or sweat electrolyte content differed in athletes with (i.e., crampers) and without (i.e., noncrampers) a history of EAMCs and whether these variables could predict EAMC-prone athletes. Male and female collegiate athletes ( $N = 350$ ) from 11 sports with ( $n = 245$ ) and without ( $n = 105$ ) a self-reported history of EAMCs completed a typical exercise or conditioning session. SRs, calculated from body mass, and posterior forearm sweat were analyzed for sweat sodium concentration ( $[Na^+]_{sw}$ ), sweat potassium concentration ( $[K^+]_{sw}$ ), and sweat chloride concentration ( $[Cl^-]_{sw}$ ). The authors used SRs and sweat electrolyte concentrations to calculate sweat electrolyte content lost. Within each gender, no differences in SRs (204 males,  $p = .92$ ; 146 females,  $p = .24$ );  $[Na^+]_{sw}$  (191 males,  $p = .55$ ; 126 females,  $p = .55$ );  $Na^+$  content (191 males,  $p = .59$ ; 126 females,  $p = .20$ );  $[K^+]_{sw}$  (192 males,  $p = .57$ ; 126 females,  $p = .87$ );  $K^+$  content (192 males,  $p = .49$ ; 126 females,  $p = .03$ );  $[Cl^-]_{sw}$  (192 males,  $p = .94$ ; 77 females,  $p = .57$ ); and  $Cl^-$  content (192 males,  $p = .55$ ; 77 females,  $p = .34$ ) occurred between crampers and noncrampers. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis revealed that sweat electrolyte content and SRs were predictive of EAMC-prone athletes in American football (area under curve = 0.65–0.72,  $p \leq .005$ ), but not in any other sport. EAMCs may not be solely caused by fluid or electrolyte losses in most athletes. Fluid and electrolyte replacement may help American footballers. Clinicians should individualize fluid and electrolyte replacement and understand different etiologies for EAMCs.

**Keywords:** chloride, dehydration, electrolytes, potassium, sodium

Exercise-associated muscle cramps (EAMCs) compose a significant proportion of exertional heat illnesses, particularly in American football (Cooper et al., 2006). Numerous factors contribute to EAMC genesis (Bergeron, 2008; Casa et al., 2015; Miller, 2018; Schwellnus, 2009). Dehydration and electrolyte losses are the most popular assumed causative factors (Stone et al., 2003). The dehydration/electrolyte theory states that sweating contracts the extracellular fluid space increasing the concentration of excitatory neurochemicals and mechanical pressure on motor nerve terminals (Bergeron, 2008).

The dehydration/electrolyte theory is often supported by observational research (Bergeron, 1996, 2003; Moss, 1923) or research comparing sweat losses and sweat electrolyte concentrations in athletes with or without EAMC histories (Horswill et al., 2009; Stofan et al., 2005). Many studies associate EAMCs with fluid, sodium ( $Na^+$ ), potassium ( $K^+$ ), or chloride ( $Cl^-$ ) losses because of their importance in fluid balance, muscle contraction, and nerve conduction. Sweat losses, whether matched or unmatched by fluid or dietary intake, may result in hypohydration, acute or chronic fluid and electrolyte deficits, and other disorders

(Fowkes-Godek et al., 2010; Noakes, 1998; Osterberg et al., 2009; Volpe et al., 2009).

Many studies (Bergeron, 1996; Horswill et al., 2009; Stofan et al., 2005) examining EAMCs and sweat losses only tested one gender and observed discrepant findings (Horswill et al., 2009; Stofan et al., 2005). This raised questions regarding the ability of sweat rates (SRs) or sweat electrolyte concentrations to predict EAMCs. Our study determined if differences in SRs and sweat electrolyte variables existed in athletes with and without EAMCs, if these sweat variables could predict EAMC history, and quantified sweat characteristics of several sports. We hypothesized sweat losses would be higher in cramp-prone athletes, these variables would be capable of identifying cramp-prone athletes, and sweat losses would be different across gender and sports.

## Methods

### Participants

We estimated sample size using prior data (Horswill et al., 2009; Stofan et al., 2005) and the following assumptions: alpha level of .01, 80% power,  $[Na^+]_{sw}$  differences of 22 mmol/L, and SDs of 13 mmol/L. We needed seven subjects per group to detect differences.

Three hundred and fifty Division 1 college athletes (204 males, age =  $20 \pm 1$  y; height =  $186.4 \pm 8.6$  cm; mass =  $96.7 \pm 21.8$  kg and

Miller is with the School of Rehabilitation and Medical Sciences, Central Michigan University, Mount Pleasant, MI, USA. McDermott is with the Department of Health, Human Performance and Recreation, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, USA. Yeargin is with the Exercise Science Department, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA. Miller (mille5k@cmich.edu) is corresponding author.

146 females, age =  $19 \pm 1$  y; height =  $170.1 \pm 9.4$  cm; mass =  $69.6 \pm 10.8$  kg) completed this multicenter, cross-sectional study. Athletes came from 18 teams, 11 sports, and four universities. Volunteers were excluded if they had any injuries, any neurological or cardiovascular disease, or were taking medications known to influence fluid balance or temperature regulation. All procedures were approved by our ethics boards; volunteers consented before participating.

## Procedures

Athletes answered a questionnaire and investigators attended a scheduled practice or conditioning session based on coach permission. Preexercise body mass assessment occurred 15–20 min before each session. Sweat patches were applied just before exercise onset. Athletes and coaches were instructed to conduct their exercise session (duration: 30–120 min) as normal. During exercise, researchers recorded ambient temperature and relative humidity near the playing field. Athletes drink ad libitum (including beverage choice) during exercise. Postexercise, athletes dried themselves completely and body mass was measured within 10 min.

## Instruments and Measurements

**Baseline questionnaire.** Demographic information and EAMC history (categorical: yes and no) was self-reported. We asked “Have you ever had a muscle cramp, heat cramp, or charley horse during or after exercise?” EAMCs were clarified as involuntary, painful contractions of skeletal muscle during or after exercise (Schwellnus, 2009). This definition was provided at the beginning of each questionnaire and verbally reviewed by researchers to ensure menstrual, gastrointestinal, and nocturnal cramps or other types of muscle injuries (e.g., strains) were not misguiding EAMC reporting.

**Sweat rate.** Athletes emptied their bladders before body mass assessment. Men wore shorts and women wore shorts and sports bras. Body mass was recorded to 0.1 kg precision (Defender #5000; Ohaus Corporation, Parsippany, NJ; Health-o-meter #349KLX; Health O Meter Inc., Bedford Heights, OH; #TBF 300A; Tanita Corp., Arlington Heights, IL). Athletes drank from preweighed/measured, individual water bottles. After practice/exercise, athletes dried themselves completely before body mass assessment. Remaining fluids were measured to the nearest 1 ml or 0.1 g. Gross SR was calculated using the formula:  $SR = [(pre\ body\ mass - post\ body\ mass) - urine\ produced + fluid\ ingested] / exercise\ duration$  (McDermott et al., 2017) though no urine was produced in any of the testing sessions.

**Sweat electrolyte procedures and analysis.** If necessary, athletes shaved their forearms before patch adhesion. All forearms were cleaned with alcohol and deionized water, and wiped clean with a lint-free wipe. Sterile gauze covered in occlusive dressing and was placed on the posterior mid-forearms (Baker, 2017). After 30–40 min of exercise (for consistency between data collection sites), or when researchers determined saturation, sweat patches were removed. Researchers wore gloves to avoid contamination. Sweat patches were placed in clean test tubes and centrifuged for 10 min at 4,500g and 3 °C. Extracted sweat was transferred to a clean 1.5-ml tube and analyzed using ion-selective electrodes, in duplicate, for  $[Na^+]_{sw}$ ,  $[K^+]_{sw}$ , and sweat chloride concentration ( $[Cl^-]_{sw}$ ; NOVA 5; Nova Biomedical Corp., Waltham, MA; Easy-Lyte; Medica Corp., Bedford, MA).  $[Na^+]_{sw}$  and  $[K^+]_{sw}$  were corrected to account for regional patch collection (Baker et al.,

2009). Gross SR was multiplied by corrected sweat electrolyte concentrations to determine content losses. Intersite electrolyte analyzer reliability of preliminary samples ( $n = 40$ ) was excellent (intraclass correlation coefficient  $\geq .8$ ).

## Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics were calculated for all dependent variables. Gross SR was recorded and normalized using body surface area ( $SR_{BSA}$ ; Mosteller, 1987). We compared sweat characteristics by gender and EAMC history using  $2 \times 2$  analyses of variance. “Sport” was not included as a third factor in our modeling because we did not have data from both genders for each sport. Then, we separated the data by gender and used  $2 \times 2$  analyses of variance to determine if differences existed between sports and EAMC history. Tukey–Kramer post hoc tests followed significant  $F$  values.

We also analyzed the data using clinically relevant statistics. Receiver operator characteristic curve analysis identified area under curve and significant prediction based on Fisher’s exact test ( $p < .01$ ). Subsequently, cut point values for variables were determined based on adequate balance between sensitivity and 1-specificity in the software-generated coordinate chart. Then, we dichotomized athletes as a 1 (*elevated risk*) or 0 (*no risk*) based on established cut points for specific variables, and completed crosstab analysis to determine sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic odds ratios. To protect against Type I errors, significance was accepted when  $p < .01$  (version 2007; NCSS; Kaysville, UT).

## Results

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD. Subject demographics, environmental conditions, activity types and locations, SR, and  $SR_{BSA}$  data are presented in Table 1.

### Gender and EAMC History Results

We did not observe an interaction between EAMC history and gender for  $[Na^+]_{sw}$ ,  $F(1, 313) = 0.02$ ,  $p = .88$ ,  $Na^+_{sw}$  content,  $F(1, 307) = 2.03$ ,  $p = .16$ ,  $[K^+]_{sw}$ ,  $F(1, 314) = 0.75$ ,  $p = .39$ ,  $K^+_{sw}$  content,  $F(1, 308) = 2.73$ ,  $p = .09$ ,  $[Cl^-]_{sw}$ ,  $F(1, 265) = 0.60$ ,  $p = .44$ ,  $Cl^-_{sw}$  content,  $F(1, 264) = 1.59$ ,  $p = .21$ ,  $SR_{BSA}$ ,  $F(1, 346) = 0.44$ ,  $p = .51$ , or SR,  $F(1, 346) = 0.83$ ,  $p = .36$ . We did not observe a main effect for “EAMC history” for  $[Na^+]_{sw}$ ,  $F(1, 313) = 2.28$ ,  $p = .13$ ,  $Na^+_{sw}$  content,  $F(1, 307) = 5.12$ ,  $p = .02$ ,  $[K^+]_{sw}$ ,  $F(1, 314) = 1.67$ ,  $p = .19$ ,  $K^+_{sw}$  content,  $F(1, 308) = 5.56$ ,  $p = .02$ ,  $[Cl^-]_{sw}$ ,  $F(1, 265) = 0.29$ ,  $p = .59$ ,  $Cl^-_{sw}$  content,  $F(1, 264) = 3.45$ ,  $p = .06$ ,  $SR_{BSA}$ ,  $F(1, 346) = 1.11$ ,  $p = .29$ , or SR,  $F(1, 346) = 1.74$ ,  $p = .19$ . We observed differences between genders for  $[Na^+]_{sw}$ ,  $F(1, 313) = 34.89$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $Na^+_{sw}$  content,  $F(1, 307) = 14.49$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $[K^+]_{sw}$ ,  $F(1, 314) = 112.89$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $K^+_{sw}$  content,  $F(1, 308) = 12.43$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $[Cl^-]_{sw}$ ,  $F(1, 265) = 7.79$ ,  $p = .005$ ,  $Cl^-_{sw}$  content,  $F(1, 264) = 20.47$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $SR_{BSA}$ ,  $F(1, 346) = 27.23$ ,  $p < .001$ , and SR,  $F(1, 346) = 67.62$ ,  $p < .001$ . Males lost more fluid and electrolytes than females (Tables 1 and 2).

### Male Sports and EAMC History Results

We did not observe an interaction between “EAMC history and sport” for  $[Na^+]_{sw}$ ,  $F(4, 181) = 0.46$ ,  $p = .76$ ,  $Na^+_{sw}$  content,  $F(4, 180) = 1.82$ ,  $p = .13$ ,  $[K^+]_{sw}$ ,  $F(4, 182) = 0.56$ ,  $p = .69$ ,  $K^+_{sw}$  content,  $F(4, 180) = 1.60$ ,  $p = .18$ ,  $[Cl^-]_{sw}$ ,  $F(4, 182) = 0.44$ ,  $p = .78$ ,  $Cl^-_{sw}$  content,  $F(4, 181) = 1.75$ ,  $p = .14$ ,  $SR_{BSA}$ ,  $F(4, 194) = 1.06$ ,

**Table 1 SR, Testing Conditions, and Anthropometrics of Athletes With and Without a Self-Reported Lifetime History of EAMCs**

Sport	Sample size (n)	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	BSA (m <sup>2</sup> )	SR (L/hr)	SR/BSA (L·hr <sup>-1</sup> ·m <sup>-2</sup> )	Activity type	T <sub>amb</sub> (°C) and rH (%)
Male sports							
American football							
EAMC history	83	30.9 ± 4.9	2.34 ± 0.26	1.57 ± 0.53	0.67 ± 0.19	Off-season aerobic conditioning (indoors) and practice (outdoors)	22 ± 5 and 38 ± 17
Control	27	28.6 ± 3.8	2.24 ± 0.19	1.29 ± 0.42	0.57 ± 0.15		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	110	30.4 ± 4.8	2.32 ± 0.25	1.50 ± 0.52	0.64 ± 0.19		
Baseball							
EAMC history	12	26.6 ± 1.9	2.15 ± 0.18	1.23 ± 0.27	0.57 ± 0.11	In-season aerobic conditioning (indoors)	22 and 29
Control	5	24.8 ± 2.8	2.10 ± 0.19	1.40 ± 0.24	0.67 ± 0.12		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	17	26.1 ± 2.3	2.14 ± 0.18	1.28 ± 0.27	0.60 ± 0.12		
Basketball							
EAMC history	24	23.4 ± 1.2	2.14 ± 0.17	0.86 ± 0.45	0.39 ± 0.18	In-season practice (indoors) and off-season aerobic conditioning (indoors)	20 ± 3 and 28 ± 12
Control	7	25.3 ± 2.8	2.33 ± 0.16	1.19 ± 0.74	0.51 ± 0.29		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	31	23.8 ± 1.8	2.18 ± 0.18	0.94 ± 0.53	0.42 ± 0.21		
Track and field							
EAMC history	23	23.2 ± 3.7	2.01 ± 0.14	1.68 ± 0.95	0.84 ± 0.49	Off-season practice (outdoors)	27 ± 3 and 43 ± 10
Control	5	21.3 ± 1.2	1.89 ± 0.17	1.54 ± 0.79	0.79 ± 0.36		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	28	22.8 ± 3.4	1.99 ± 0.15	1.66 ± 0.92	0.83 ± 0.47		
Wrestling							
EAMC history	11	25.8 ± 2.5	1.98 ± 0.18	1.24 ± 0.43	0.62 ± 0.18	Off-season aerobic conditioning (indoors)	22 and 33
Control	7	27.2 ± 5.3	2.00 ± 0.29	1.11 ± 0.28	0.55 ± 0.07		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	18	26.3 ± 3.7	1.99 ± 0.22	1.19 ± 0.37	0.59 ± 0.15		
Female sports							
Basketball							
EAMC history	20	23.8 ± 1.9	1.91 ± 0.13	0.89 ± 0.53	0.46 ± 0.27	In-season practice (indoors) and off-season aerobic conditioning (indoors)	24 ± 1 and 46 ± 17
Control	16	24.4 ± 3.5	2.00 ± 0.21	1.14 ± 0.53	0.57 ± 0.28		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	36	24.1 ± 2.7	1.95 ± 0.18	1.00 ± 0.53	0.51 ± 0.27		
Field hockey							
EAMC history	12	22.8 ± 1.4	1.74 ± 0.09	0.92 ± 0.16	0.53 ± 0.09	Off-season aerobic conditioning (indoors)	20 and 25
Control	6	22.3 ± 1.6	1.63 ± 0.08	0.93 ± 0.10	0.57 ± 0.05		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	18	22.7 ± 1.5	1.69 ± 0.10	0.92 ± 0.14	0.54 ± 0.08		
Gymnastics							
EAMC history	6	23.1 ± 0.9	1.61 ± 0.09	0.57 ± 0.08	0.35 ± 0.04	Off-season aerobic conditioning (indoors)	21 and 46
Control	4	24.1 ± 1.5	1.64 ± 0.06	0.60 ± 0.16	0.36 ± 0.09		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	10	23.5 ± 1.3	1.62 ± 0.08	0.58 ± 0.11	0.36 ± 0.06		
Soccer							
EAMC history	25	22.8 ± 2.1	1.75 ± 0.12	0.97 ± 0.56	0.54 ± 0.28	Off-season aerobic conditioning (indoors) and in-season practice (outdoors)	21 ± 5 and 31 ± 8
Control	11	22.2 ± 1.4	1.69 ± 0.10	0.79 ± 0.31	0.46 ± 0.18		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	36	22.7 ± 1.9	1.74 ± 0.12	0.91 ± 0.49	0.52 ± 0.26		
Softball							
EAMC history	14	27.4 ± 3.6	1.91 ± 0.14	0.79 ± 0.19	0.42 ± 0.09	Off-season aerobic conditioning (indoors)	32 and 40
Control	8	23.7 ± 2.0	1.75 ± 0.14	0.68 ± 0.15	0.39 ± 0.06		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	22	26.1 ± 3.6	1.85 ± 0.16	0.75 ± 0.18	0.41 ± 0.08		

(continued)

Table 1 (continued)

Sport	Sample size (n)	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	BSA (m <sup>2</sup> )	SR (L/hr)	SR/BSA (L·hr <sup>-1</sup> ·m <sup>-2</sup> )	Activity type	T <sub>amb</sub> (°C) and rH (%)
Tennis							
EAMC history	5	23.4 ± 2.1	1.68 ± 0.11	1.02 ± 0.31	0.61 ± 0.16	Off-season practice (outdoors)	28 and 60
Control	4	22.8 ± 2.7	1.59 ± 0.09	0.49 ± 0.24	0.31 ± 0.14		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	9	23.1 ± 2.3	1.64 ± 0.11	0.79 ± 0.38	0.47 ± 0.21		
Volleyball							
EAMC history	10	24.2 ± 3.4	1.89 ± 0.13	0.54 ± 0.23	0.29 ± 0.11	In-season aerobic conditioning (indoors) and in-season practice (indoors)	22 ± 1 and 35 ± 22
Control	5	22.5 ± 1.9	1.89 ± 0.08	0.47 ± 0.18	0.25 ± 0.10		
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	15	23.6 ± 3.1	1.89 ± 0.11	0.52 ± 0.21	0.28 ± 0.10		
Aggregates							
Gender							
Males	204	27.6 ± 5.1	2.21 ± 0.26	1.39 ± 0.61 <sup>b</sup>	0.63 ± 0.27 <sup>b</sup>	Varied	23 ± 3 and 34 ± 6
Females	146	23.7 ± 2.7	1.80 ± 0.17	0.84 ± 0.42	0.47 ± 0.21		24 ± 4 and 40 ± 12
EAMC history							
EAMC history	245	26.4 ± 4.9	2.07 ± 0.30	1.21 ± 0.63	0.58 ± 0.27	Varied	Varied
Control	105	25.0 ± 3.9	1.98 ± 0.29	1.05 ± 0.50	0.52 ± 0.21		
Gender, EAMC history							
Males, EAMC history	153	27.9 ± 5.3	2.22 ± 0.26	1.43 ± 0.64	0.64 ± 0.28	Varied	Varied
Males, control	51	26.9 ± 4.3	2.17 ± 0.24	1.29 ± 0.48	0.59 ± 0.20		
Females, EAMC history	92	23.9 ± 2.8	1.81 ± 0.15	0.85 ± 0.42	0.47 ± 0.22		
Females, control	54	23.3 ± 2.5	1.80 ± 0.21	0.83 ± 0.41	0.46 ± 0.21		

Note. Data are presented as mean ± SD. BMI = body mass index; BSA = body surface area; EAMC = exercise-associated muscle cramping; rH = relative humidity at time of testing; T<sub>amb</sub> = ambient temperature at time of testing; SR = sweat rate.

<sup>a</sup>Average for all athletes in each sport. <sup>b</sup>Males significantly higher than females ( $p < .01$ ).

$p = .38$ , or SR,  $F(4, 194) = 1.53$ ,  $p = .19$ . We did not observe a main effect for “EAMC history” for  $[\text{Na}^+]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(1, 181) = 0.36$ ,  $p = .55$ ,  $\text{Na}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(1, 180) = 0.30$ ,  $p = .59$ ,  $[\text{K}^+]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(1, 182) = 0.33$ ,  $p = .57$ ,  $\text{K}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(1, 180) = 0.46$ ,  $p = .49$ ,  $[\text{Cl}^-]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(1, 182) = 0.01$ ,  $p = .94$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(1, 181) = 0.36$ ,  $p = .55$ ,  $\text{SR}_{\text{BSA}}$ ,  $F(1, 194) = 0.01$ ,  $p = .96$ , or SR,  $F(1, 194) = 0.01$ ,  $p = .92$ . We observed differences between sports for  $[\text{Na}^+]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(4, 181) = 3.86$ ,  $p = .004$ ,  $[\text{K}^+]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(4, 182) = 12.65$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $\text{K}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(4, 180) = 4.14$ ,  $p = .003$ ,  $[\text{Cl}^-]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(4, 182) = 4.46$ ,  $p = .001$ ,  $\text{SR}_{\text{BSA}}$ ,  $F(4, 194) = 5.26$ ,  $p < .001$ , or SR,  $F(4, 194) = 3.51$ ,  $p = .009$  (Table 3). No differences between sports was observed for  $\text{Na}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(4, 180) = 3.31$ ,  $p = .012$ , and  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(4, 181) = 3.05$ ,  $p = .02$ .

## Female Sports and EAMC History Results

We did not observe an interaction between “EAMC history and sport” for  $[\text{Na}^+]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(6, 112) = 1.15$ ,  $p = .34$ ,  $\text{Na}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(6, 107) = 1.72$ ,  $p = .12$ ,  $[\text{K}^+]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(6, 112) = 1.48$ ,  $p = .19$ ,  $\text{K}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(6, 108) = 1.90$ ,  $p = .09$ ,  $[\text{Cl}^-]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(5, 65) = 0.97$ ,  $p = .44$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(5, 65) = 0.97$ ,  $p = .44$ ,  $\text{SR}_{\text{BSA}}$ ,  $F(6, 132) = 1.50$ ,  $p = .18$ , or SR,  $F(6, 132) = 1.62$ ,  $p = .15$ . We did not observe a main effect for “EAMC history” for  $[\text{Na}^+]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(1, 112) = 0.36$ ,  $p = .55$ ,  $\text{Na}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(1, 107) = 1.70$ ,  $p = .19$ ,  $[\text{K}^+]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(1, 112) = 0.03$ ,  $p = .87$ ,  $\text{K}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(1, 108) = 4.99$ ,  $p = .03$ ,  $[\text{Cl}^-]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(1, 65) = 0.33$ ,  $p = .57$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(1, 65) = 0.91$ ,  $p = .34$ ,  $\text{SR}_{\text{BSA}}$ ,

$F(1, 132) = 1.09$ ,  $p = .29$ , or SR,  $F(1, 132) = 1.37$ ,  $p = .24$ . We observed differences between sports for  $[\text{Na}^+]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(6, 112) = 4.02$ ,  $p = .001$ ,  $\text{Na}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(6, 107) = 7.63$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $\text{K}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(6, 108) = 20.60$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $\text{SR}_{\text{BSA}}$ ,  $F(6, 132) = 3.94$ ,  $p = .001$ , or SR,  $F(6, 132) = 3.85$ ,  $p = .001$  (Table 4). No differences were observed for  $[\text{K}^+]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(6, 112) = 2.00$ ,  $p = .07$ ,  $[\text{Cl}^-]_{\text{sw}}$ ,  $F(5, 65) = 1.47$ ,  $p = .21$ , and  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $F(5, 65) = 1.27$ ,  $p = .29$ .

## ROC Analysis

When all data were analyzed, only  $\text{Na}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content,  $\text{K}^+_{\text{sw}}$  content, and  $\text{Cl}^-_{\text{sw}}$  content were predictive of EAMC history (Table 5). Further analysis revealed significant observations were due to American football. When American football data were removed, no sweat variable was predictive of EAMCs in male or female sports.

## Discussion

Contrary to the dehydration/electrolyte imbalance theory (Bergeron, 2008) and our hypothesis, cramp-prone athletes did not lose more sweat or electrolytes than noncrampers. Our data are in contrast to other field studies examining sweat characteristics in crampers (Bergeron, 1996, 2003; Horswill et al., 2009; Stofan et al., 2005).

Table 2 Sweat Electrolyte Concentrations of Athletes With and Without a Self-Reported History of EAMCs

Sport	Sample size for [Na <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (n)	[Na <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (mmol/L)	Na <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content (g)	Sample size for [K <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (n)	[K <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (mmol/L)	K <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content (g)	Sample size for [Cl <sup>-</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (n)	[Cl <sup>-</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (mmol/L)	Cl <sup>-</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content (g)
Male sports									
American football									
EAMC history	74	44 ± 13	1.6 ± 1.2	74	5.6 ± 1.2	0.4 ± 0.40	74	53 ± 19	3.2 ± 2.6
Control	23	47 ± 11	0.9 ± 0.7	24	6.1 ± 1.6	0.2 ± 0.20	24	53 ± 16	1.7 ± 1.4
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	97	45 ± 13	1.5 ± 1.1	98	5.7 ± 1.3	0.4 ± 0.40	98	53 ± 19	2.8 ± 2.5
Baseball									
EAMC history	12	43 ± 5	0.6 ± 0.2	12	4.7 ± 1.1	0.12 ± 0.04	12	49 ± 9	1.1 ± 0.3
Control	5	50 ± 11	0.8 ± 0.3	5	4.4 ± 0.7	0.12 ± 0.04	5	61 ± 20	1.6 ± 0.7
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	17	45 ± 8	0.7 ± 0.2	17	4.6 ± 0.9	0.12 ± 0.04	17	52 ± 13	1.2 ± 0.5
Basketball									
EAMC history	25	51 ± 12	1.1 ± 0.4	25	4.2 ± 0.6	0.15 ± 0.04	25	61 ± 19	1.9 ± 0.8
Control	7	49 ± 12	1.0 ± 0.3	7	4.1 ± 0.4	0.15 ± 0.04	7	58 ± 20	1.9 ± 0.7
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	32	50 ± 12	1.1 ± 0.3	32	4.2 ± 0.5	0.15 ± 0.04	32	60 ± 19	1.9 ± 0.8
Track and field									
EAMC history	23	33 ± 5	0.7 ± 0.3	23	4.5 ± 1.3	0.17 ± 0.09	23	35 ± 24	1.1 ± 0.6
Control	4	34 ± 3	0.8 ± 0.3	4	4.9 ± 1.1	0.21 ± 0.08	4	32 ± 12	1.2 ± 0.7
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	27	34 ± 14	0.7 ± 0.3	27	4.5 ± 1.3	0.17 ± 0.09	27	34 ± 22	1.1 ± 0.6
Wrestling									
EAMC history	11	48 ± 11	0.7 ± 0.3	11	4.7 ± 0.9	0.11 ± 0.04	11	58 ± 18	1.3 ± 0.7
Control	7	46 ± 10	0.6 ± 0.2	7	4.8 ± 0.7	0.10 ± 0.02	7	55 ± 19	1.1 ± 0.5
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	18	47 ± 10	0.7 ± 0.3	18	4.7 ± 0.8	0.11 ± 0.03	18	57 ± 18	1.2 ± 0.6
Female sports									
Basketball									
EAMC history	17	35 ± 12	0.6 ± 0.4	17	3.8 ± 0.3	0.12 ± 0.07	11	39 ± 21	0.8 ± 0.5
Control	15	39 ± 14	0.7 ± 0.5	15	3.9 ± 0.6	0.14 ± 0.09	11	50 ± 23	1.0 ± 0.6
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	32	37 ± 13	0.7 ± 0.5	32	3.9 ± 0.4	0.12 ± 0.08	22	44 ± 22	0.9 ± 0.6
Field hockey									
EAMC history	12	44 ± 10	0.5 ± 0.1	12	3.9 ± 0.4	0.07 ± 0.01	12	49 ± 16	0.8 ± 0.3
Control	6	41 ± 11	0.4 ± 0.2	6	3.7 ± 0.2	0.07 ± 0.01	6	42 ± 19	0.7 ± 0.4
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	18	43 ± 10	0.5 ± 0.1	18	3.9 ± 0.3	0.07 ± 0.01	18	47 ± 17	0.8 ± 0.3
Gymnastics									
EAMC history	4	43 ± 6	0.3 ± 0.1	4	3.7 ± 0.2	0.04 ± 0.01	4	45 ± 12	0.5 ± 0.1
Control	3	41 ± 3	0.3 ± 0.1	3	3.9 ± 0.1	0.05 ± 0.01	3	37 ± 1	0.4 ± 0.1
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	7	42 ± 5	0.3 ± 0.1	7	3.8 ± 0.2	0.05 ± 0.01	7	42 ± 10	0.5 ± 0.1
Soccer									
EAMC history	19	37 ± 14	0.7 ± 0.5	19	3.7 ± 0.3	0.09 ± 0.05	10	54 ± 19	1.5 ± 1.5
Control	8	39 ± 11	0.4 ± 0.2	8	3.9 ± 0.3	0.06 ± 0.02	7	47 ± 15	0.8 ± 0.3
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	27	38 ± 13	0.6 ± 0.5	27	3.7 ± 0.3	0.09 ± 0.04	17	51 ± 18	1.2 ± 1.2

(continued)

Table 2 (continued)

Sport	Sample size for [Na <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (n)	[Na <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (mmol/L)	Na <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content (g)	Sample size for [K <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (n)	[K <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (mmol/L)	K <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content (g)	Sample size for [Cl <sup>-</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (n)	[Cl <sup>-</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub> (mmol/L)	Cl <sup>-</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content (g)
Softball									
EAMC history	14	29 ± 8	1.2 ± 0.5	14	3.6 ± 0.5	0.25 ± 0.04	0	N/A	N/A
Control	7	34 ± 10	1.2 ± 0.4	7	3.9 ± 0.4	0.24 ± 0.08	0	N/A	N/A
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	21	31 ± 9	1.2 ± 0.4	21	3.7 ± 0.4	0.25 ± 0.05	0	N/A	N/A
Tennis									
EAMC history	5	30 ± 12	1.1 ± 0.6	5	3.4 ± 0.2	0.20 ± 0.05	5	45 ± 24	2.5 ± 1.6
Control	4	21 ± 4	0.4 ± 0.2	4	3.3 ± 0.5	0.09 ± 0.06	4	29 ± 6	0.7 ± 0.4
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	9	26 ± 10	0.7 ± 0.6	9	3.4 ± 0.3	0.16 ± 0.08	9	38 ± 19	1.7 ± 1.5
Volleyball									
EAMC history	8	25 ± 3	0.6 ± 0.3	8	3.7 ± 0.3	0.18 ± 0.08	1	19	1.1
Control	4	37 ± 8	0.8 ± 0.3	4	3.7 ± 0.2	0.13 ± 0.04	3	27 ± 17	1.0 ± 0.7
Aggregate <sup>a</sup>	12	28 ± 8	0.7 ± 0.3	12	3.7 ± 0.3	0.16 ± 0.07	4	25 ± 15	1.0 ± 0.6
Aggregates									
Gender									
Males	191	45 ± 13 <sup>b</sup>	1.1 ± 0.9 <sup>b</sup>	192	5.1 ± 1.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.26 ± 0.28 <sup>b</sup>	192	52 ± 20 <sup>b</sup>	2.1 ± 1.9 <sup>b</sup>
Females	126	36 ± 12	0.7 ± 0.5	126	3.9 ± 0.8	0.13 ± 0.09	77	44 ± 19	0.9 ± 0.7
EAMC history									
EAMC history	224	41 ± 14	1.1 ± 0.9	224	4.6 ± 1.2	0.23 ± 0.26	188	50 ± 20	2.0 ± 2.0
Control	93	42 ± 12	0.8 ± 0.5	94	4.6 ± 1.3	0.15 ± 0.11	81	48 ± 19	1.2 ± 1.0
Gender, EAMC history									
Males, EAMC history	145	44 ± 14	1.2 ± 1.0	145	5.0 ± 1.2	0.28 ± 0.30	145	52 ± 21	2.3 ± 2.1
Males, control	46	47 ± 11	0.9 ± 0.5	47	5.3 ± 1.5	0.17 ± 0.13	47	52 ± 18	1.6 ± 1.1
Females, EAMC history	79	35 ± 12	0.7 ± 0.5	79	3.8 ± 0.4	0.14 ± 0.08	43	46 ± 19	0.9 ± 0.8
Females, control	47	37 ± 12	0.7 ± 0.5	47	3.8 ± 0.4	0.12 ± 0.09	34	42 ± 19	0.8 ± 0.5

Note. Data are presented as mean ± SD. Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>sw</sub> = sweat chloride; [Cl<sup>-</sup>]<sub>sw</sub> = sweat chloride concentration; EAMC = exercise-associated muscle cramping; K<sup>+</sup><sub>sw</sub> = sweat potassium; [K<sup>+</sup>]<sub>sw</sub> = sweat potassium concentration; Na<sup>+</sup><sub>sw</sub> = sweat sodium; [Na<sup>+</sup>]<sub>sw</sub> = sweat sodium concentration; N/A = not available.

<sup>a</sup>Average for all athletes in each sport. <sup>b</sup>Males different than females ( $p < .01$ ).



**Table 3 Statistically Significant Differences Between Male Sports for All Dependent Variables**

	American football	Baseball	Basketball	Track and field	Wrestling
American football	–	[K <sup>+</sup> ] and KC	[K <sup>+</sup> ], KC, SR, and SR <sub>BSA</sub>	[Cl <sup>-</sup> ], [K <sup>+</sup> ], [Na <sup>+</sup> ], and SR <sub>BSA</sub>	[K <sup>+</sup> ] and KC
Baseball	[K <sup>+</sup> ] and KC	–	–	[Cl <sup>-</sup> ] and [Na <sup>+</sup> ]	–
Basketball	[K <sup>+</sup> ], KC, SR, and SR <sub>BSA</sub>	–	–	[Cl <sup>-</sup> ], [Na <sup>+</sup> ], SR, and SR <sub>BSA</sub>	–
Track and field	[Cl <sup>-</sup> ], [K <sup>+</sup> ], [Na <sup>+</sup> ], and SR <sub>BSA</sub>	[Cl <sup>-</sup> ] and [Na <sup>+</sup> ]	[Cl <sup>-</sup> ], [Na <sup>+</sup> ], SR, and SR <sub>BSA</sub>	–	[Cl <sup>-</sup> ] and [Na <sup>+</sup> ]
Wrestling	[K <sup>+</sup> ] and KC	–	–	[Cl <sup>-</sup> ] and [Na <sup>+</sup> ]	–

*Note.* The presence of a dependent variable name at the intersection of two sports indicates statistically significant differences in that variable between those two sports ( $p < .01$ ). [Cl<sup>-</sup>] = sweat chloride concentration; [K<sup>+</sup>] = sweat potassium concentration; KC = sweat potassium content; [Na<sup>+</sup>] = sweat sodium concentration; SR = sweat rate; SR<sub>BSA</sub> = sweat rate per body surface area.

**Table 4 Statistically Significant Differences Between Female Sports for All Dependent Variables**

	Basketball	Field hockey	Gymnastics	Soccer	Softball	Tennis	Volleyball
Basketball	–	–	–	–	KC and NaC	–	SR and SR <sub>BSA</sub>
Field hockey	–	–	–	–	KC and NaC	[Na <sup>+</sup> ]	KC and SR <sub>BSA</sub>
Gymnastics	–	–	–	–	KC and NaC	–	KC
Soccer	–	–	–	–	KC and NaC	–	KC and SR <sub>BSA</sub>
Softball	KC and NaC	KC and NaC	KC and NaC	KC and NaC	–	KC	KC
Tennis	–	[Na <sup>+</sup> ]	–	–	KC	–	–
Volleyball	SR and SR <sub>BSA</sub>	KC and SR <sub>BSA</sub>	KC	KC and SR <sub>BSA</sub>	KC	–	–

*Note.* The presence of a dependent variable name at the intersection of two sports indicates statistically significant differences in that variable between those two sports ( $p < .01$ ). KC = sweat potassium content; [Na<sup>+</sup>] = sweat sodium concentration; NaC = sweat sodium content; SR = sweat rate; SR<sub>BSA</sub> = sweat rate per body surface area.

In 17 male tennis players, Bergeron observed cramp-prone athletes had SRs of  $2.6 \pm 0.43$  L and  $[Na^+]_{sw}$  of  $44.5 \pm 3.5$  mmol/L. Later, Stofan et al. (2005) observed five cramp-prone American football players had SRs and  $[Na^+]_{sw}$  that ranged between  $1.49 \pm 0.60$  to  $1.66 \pm 0.27$  L/hr and  $52.2 \pm 13.0$  to  $56.5 \pm 17.4$  mmol/L, respectively. Comparatively, five noncramping American football players lost  $0.99 \pm 0.41$ – $1.80 \pm 1.48$  L/hr of sweat and  $21.7 \pm 8.7$ – $30.4 \pm 13.0$  mmol/L of Na<sup>+</sup>. Similarly, Horswill et al. (2009) noted six cramp-prone American football players had higher SRs (difference = 0.6 L/hr),  $[Na^+]_{sw}$  (difference = 15 mmol/L), and  $[K^+]_{sw}$  (difference = 0.5 mmol/L) than eight reference players. Statistically, no author (Horswill et al., 2009; Stofan et al., 2005) demonstrated differences in sweat characteristics between groups, but concluded EAMCs were the result of fluid/electrolyte disturbances. Interestingly, large fluid (upward of 4 L) and electrolyte losses (upward of 10 g of Na<sup>+</sup>) occurred in these studies (Bergeron, 2003; Horswill et al., 2009; Stofan et al., 2005). Some even showed plasma  $[Na^+]$  reductions in the cramp-prone athletes (Horswill et al., 2009). However, not even one EAMC was reported during data collection in any of these field studies (Bergeron, 2003; Horswill et al., 2009; Stofan et al., 2005).

Conversely, our data and that from cohort, observational, and experimental studies do not support this theory. In ~700 male athletes, EAMC was not correlated with  $[Na^+]_{sw}$  in American football, soccer, or basketball (Ranchordas et al., 2017). Moreover, body mass loss and serum electrolyte values were similar in endurance athletes who did or did not develop EAMC (Maughan, 1986; Schwellnus et al., 2004; 2011; Sulzer et al., 2005). Acute losses in body fluids (3–5% body mass reduction) and electrolytes (up to 4 g of Na<sup>+</sup>) did not affect cramp susceptibility when peripheral muscular fatigue was minimized (Braulick et al., 2012; Miller et al., 2010). When dehydrated to –6% of their body mass,

resting muscle membrane potential became more negative. Contrary to the dehydration/electrolyte imbalance theory, the membrane became more difficult to depolarize (Costill et al., 1976). The similar serum electrolyte values suggest that both cramp-prone and control athletes had similar osmotic fluid pressures. Consequently, the pressure driving fluid out of the interstitial fluid space would be comparable and be an unlikely explanation for EAMC genesis.

We propose two reasons for the discrepancies in observations (Bergeron, 2003; Horswill et al., 2009; Stofan et al., 2005). First, prior studies had small sample sizes or lacked suitable control groups. When larger sample sizes were collected, few correlations between sweat electrolytes and EAMCs were noted (Ranchordas et al., 2017), though sweat collection method (i.e., stimulated) differed from ours. Small sample sizes are problematic because SRs and sweat electrolyte concentrations vary widely in athletes (Baker, 2017; Baker et al., 2016).  $[Na^+]_{sw}$  ranged from <20 to >100 mmol/L in competitive athletes, whereas SRs ranged from <0.5 to >3.0 L/hr (Baker et al., 2016; Ranchordas et al., 2017). Baker et al. (2016) noted average forearm  $[Na^+]_{sw}$  of over 300 adult male and female athletes was between  $40.8 \pm 16.9$  mmol/L (women) and  $48.2 \pm 18.1$  mmol/L (men), whereas SRs ranged from  $1.1 \pm 0.57$  (women) to  $1.42 \pm 0.72$  L/hr (men). Second, others only examined “severe” EAMCs. Previously (Horswill et al., 2009; Stofan et al., 2005), cramp-prone athletes needed to have previously documented heavy sweat losses, medical records documenting EAMCs, required intravenous fluids, and the EAMC had to disallow exercise. This definition suggests EAMCs were debilitating and biased toward individuals with heavy SRs. However, most EAMCs neither impair athletic performance nor require medical assistance (Hoffman & Fogard, 2011; Schwellnus et al., 2004). EAMCs caused exercise cessation for only 5% of athletes (Hoffman &

**Table 5 Diagnostic and Predictive Results for Sweat Variables**

Variable	Area under curve [95% CI]	Area under curve, <i>p</i> value	Cutoff value	Sensitivity	Specificity	Diagnostic odd ratio [95% CI]
All sports and genders						
[Na <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.47 [0.40, 0.54]	.19	49.24 mmol/L	0.29 (0.23–0.35)	0.76 (0.67–0.84)	1.29 [0.74, 2.26]
Na <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content	0.61 [0.54, 0.67]	.001 <sup>a</sup>	1.15 g	0.31 (0.25–0.38)	0.87 (0.79–0.92)	3.06 [1.57, 5.98]
[K <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.52 [0.44, 0.58]	.33	4.25 mmol/L	0.52 (0.46–0.59)	0.59 (0.48–0.68)	1.54 [0.95, 2.51]
K <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content	0.61 [0.54, 0.67]	.001 <sup>a</sup>	0.2 g	0.34 (0.28–0.40)	0.83 (0.74–0.89)	2.47 [1.33, 4.51]
[Cl <sup>−</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.53 [0.45, 0.60]	.26	38.0 mmol/L	0.72 (0.65–0.78)	0.32 (0.23–0.43)	1.20 [0.69, 2.12]
Cl <sup>−</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content	0.62 [0.55, 0.69]	.0004 <sup>a</sup>	2.33 g	0.28 (0.22–0.35)	0.95 (0.88–0.98)	7.41 [2.58, 21.29]
SR	0.57 [0.49, 0.63]	.02	1.02 L/hr	0.50 (0.44–0.56)	0.60 (0.50–0.69)	1.51 [0.95, 2.41]
SR/BSA	0.55 [0.48, 0.61]	.07	0.54 L·hr <sup>−1</sup> ·m <sup>−2</sup>	0.49 (0.43–0.56)	0.61 (0.51–0.69)	1.52 [0.96, 2.43]
All sports and genders excluding American football						
[Na <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.46 [0.38, 0.54]	.19	50.95 mmol/L	0.21 (0.15–0.28)	0.84 (0.74–0.91)	1.39 [0.66, 2.97]
Na <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content	0.55 [0.46, 0.63]	.11	0.47 g	0.77 (0.69–0.83)	0.37 (0.27–0.49)	1.99 [1.07, 3.7]
[K <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.48 [0.40, 0.56]	.35	4.36 mmol/L	0.29 (0.22–0.36)	0.84 (0.74–0.91)	2.16 [1.03, 4.49]
K <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content	0.57 [0.48, 0.65]	.051	0.10 g	0.66 (0.57–0.73)	0.49 (0.37–0.60)	1.79 [1.00, 3.21]
[Cl <sup>−</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.52 [0.42, 0.60]	.35	37.5 mmol/L	0.70 (0.61–0.78)	0.37 (0.26–0.49)	1.37 [0.70, 2.69]
Cl <sup>−</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content	0.56 [0.46, 0.65]	.09	0.61 g	0.82 (0.74–0.88)	0.35 (0.24–0.48)	2.51 [1.21, 5.20]
SR	0.52 [0.43, 0.60]	.29	0.63 L/hr	0.82 (0.75–0.87)	0.27 (0.18–0.39)	1.71 [0.87, 3.35]
SR/BSA	0.50 [0.42, 0.58]	.48	0.69 L·hr <sup>−1</sup> ·m <sup>−2</sup>	0.21 (0.15–0.28)	0.86 (0.76–0.92)	1.57 [0.72, 3.41]
Female sports						
[Na <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.44 [0.33, 0.53]	.11	50.95 mmol/L	0.14 (0.08–0.23)	0.91 (0.80–0.97)	1.74 [0.52, 5.81]
Na <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> Content	0.57 [0.45, 0.67]	.11	0.5 g	0.66 (0.55–0.76)	0.53 (0.39–0.67)	2.23 [1.05, 4.71]
[K <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.43 [0.32, 0.53]	.09	3.29 mmol/L	0.95 (0.88–0.98)	0.11 (0.05–0.23)	2.23 [0.57, 8.77]
K <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> Content	0.57 [0.46, 0.67]	.08	0.21 g	0.31 (0.21–0.42)	0.85 (0.72–0.93)	2.53 [0.99, 6.48]
[Cl <sup>−</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.55 [0.41, 0.67]	.23	38.8 mmol/L	0.63 (0.48–0.76)	0.53 (0.37–0.69)	1.89 [0.76, 4.74]
Cl <sup>−</sup> <sub>sw</sub> Content	0.57 [0.42, 0.68]	.16	0.65 g	0.65 (0.50–0.78)	0.33 (0.18–0.53)	0.93 [0.33, 2.68]
SR	0.52 [0.42, 0.61]	.33	0.64 L/hr	0.73 (0.63–0.81)	0.35 (0.24–0.49)	1.46 [0.71, 2.99]
SR/BSA	0.51 [0.41, 0.61]	.39	0.44 L·hr <sup>−1</sup> ·m <sup>−2</sup>	0.51 (0.41–0.61)	0.50 (0.37–0.63)	1.04 [0.53, 2.05]
Male sports						
[Na <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.44 [0.35, 0.52]	.09	64.92 mmol/L	0.09 (0.05–0.15)	0.98 (0.89–0.99)	4.43 [0.56, 34.84]
Na <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> Content	0.60 [0.51, 0.68]	.009 <sup>a</sup>	1.14 g	0.39 (0.32–0.48)	0.89 (0.77–0.95)	5.37 [2.00, 14.41]
[K <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.46 [0.36, 0.55]	.22	4.36 mmol/L	0.72 (0.65–0.79)	0.29 (0.19–0.44)	1.11 [0.54, 2.29]
K <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> Content	0.59 [0.49, 0.67]	.02	0.23 g	0.28 (0.22–0.36)	0.91 (0.79–0.97)	4.18 [1.41, 12.40]
[Cl <sup>−</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.48 [0.38, 0.57]	.32	81.0 mmol/L	0.12 (0.07–0.18)	0.96 (0.86–0.99)	2.99 [0.66, 13.45]
Cl <sup>−</sup> <sub>sw</sub> Content	0.60 [0.50, 0.67]	.015	2.3 g	0.36 (0.29–0.44)	0.91 (0.80–0.97)	6.08 [2.06, 17.88]
SR	0.55 [0.46, 0.63]	.12	1.8 L/hr	0.25 (0.19–0.32)	0.88 (0.77–0.94)	2.48 [0.98, 6.27]
SR/BSA	0.53 [0.44, 0.62]	.23	0.68 L·hr <sup>−1</sup> ·m <sup>−2</sup>	0.36 (0.29–0.44)	0.78 (0.65–0.88)	2.04 [0.97, 4.29]
Male sports excluding American football						
[Na <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.44 [0.31, 0.56]	.19	49.24 mmol/L	0.35 (0.25–0.47)	0.69 (0.49–0.84)	1.24 [0.45, 3.42]
Na <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> Content	0.47 [0.33, 0.59]	.32	1.14 g	0.20 (0.12–0.31)	0.91 (0.73–0.98)	2.63 [0.55, 12.54]
[K <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.47 [0.33, 0.59]	.33	4.36 mmol/L	0.54 (0.42–0.65)	0.57 (0.37–0.74)	1.49 [0.58, 3.86]
K <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> Content	0.51 [0.36, 0.63]	.45	0.14 g	0.41 (0.31–0.53)	0.69 (0.49–0.84)	1.62 [0.59, 4.42]
[Cl <sup>−</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.44 [0.30, 0.57]	.20	84.0 mmol/L	0.09 (0.05–0.19)	0.96 (0.79–0.99)	2.41 [0.28, 20.67]
Cl <sup>−</sup> <sub>sw</sub> Content	0.46 [0.31, 0.59]	.29	2.41 g	0.14 (0.08–0.24)	0.96 (0.79–0.99)	3.67 [0.44, 30.33]
SR	0.44 [0.29, 0.56]	.17	2.48 L/hr	0.07 (0.03–0.16)	0.96 (0.79–0.99)	1.69 [0.19, 15.29]
SR/BSA	0.43 [0.29, 0.56]	.18	1.22 L·hr <sup>−1</sup> ·m <sup>−2</sup>	0.07 (0.03–0.16)	0.96 (0.79–0.99)	1.69 [0.19, 15.29]

*(continued)*



Table 5 (continued)

Variable	Area under curve [95% CI]	Area under curve, <i>p</i> value	Cutoff value	Sensitivity	Specificity	Diagnostic odd ratio [95% CI]
American football						
[Na <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.43 [0.29, 0.55]	.16	50.24 mmol/L	0.38 (0.28–0.49)	0.65 (0.45–0.81)	1.14 [0.43, 3.04]
Na <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content	0.72 [0.59, 0.81]	.0001 <sup>a</sup>	1.18 g	0.58 (0.47–0.69)	0.87 (0.68–0.95)	9.25 [2.5, 33.88]
[K <sup>+</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.42 [0.28, 0.55]	.14	4.57 mmol/L	0.79 (0.69–0.87)	0.21 (0.09–0.40)	1.04 [0.33, 3.23]
K <sup>+</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content	0.68 [0.55, 0.78]	.001 <sup>a</sup>	0.19 g	0.61 (0.49–0.71)	0.74 (0.54–0.87)	4.39 [1.55, 12.45]
[Cl <sup>-</sup> ] <sub>sw</sub>	0.51 [0.37, 0.63]	.43	27.2 mmol/L	0.97 (0.91–0.99)	0.13 (0.04–0.31)	5.14 [0.81, 32.84]
Cl <sup>-</sup> <sub>sw</sub> content	0.71 [0.58, 0.81]	.0001 <sup>a</sup>	2.3 g	0.57 (0.45–0.67)	0.88 (0.69–0.97)	9.19 [2.52, 33.52]
SR	0.65 [0.52, 0.75]	.005 <sup>a</sup>	1.44 L/hr	0.54 (0.44–0.65)	0.70 (0.52–0.84)	2.81 [1.11, 7.14]
SR/BSA	0.62 [0.49, 0.73]	.02	0.75 L·hr <sup>-1</sup> ·m <sup>-2</sup>	0.34 (0.24–0.44)	0.93 (0.77–0.98)	6.36 [1.41, 28.82]

Note. BSA = body surface area; Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>sw</sub> = sweat chloride; CI = confidence interval; g = grams; K<sup>+</sup><sub>sw</sub> = sweat potassium; Na<sup>+</sup><sub>sw</sub> = sweat sodium; [Na<sup>+</sup>]<sub>sw</sub> = sweat sodium concentration; [K<sup>+</sup>]<sub>sw</sub> = sweat potassium concentration; [Cl<sup>-</sup>]<sub>sw</sub> = sweat chloride concentration; SR = sweat rate.

<sup>a</sup>Significant area under the curve indicating a useful test for identifying exercise-associated muscle cramping-prone athletes (*p* < .01).

Fogard, 2011). Our definition of EAMC history was broader and allowed for a larger range of EAMC severity.

Our second main observation was our receiver operator characteristic analysis which indicated that sweat characteristics were not predictive of EAMCs in every sport except American football. Gross SR, Na<sup>+</sup><sub>sw</sub> content, K<sup>+</sup><sub>sw</sub> content, and Cl<sup>-</sup><sub>sw</sub> content significantly predicted EAMCs in American football players. When sweat electrolyte concentration data were paired with SRs, we noted relevant odds ratios for all sweat electrolytes. When normalized using BSA, American football players with an SR/BSA >0.75 L·hr<sup>-1</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup> had approximately six times greater odds of being cramp-prone than players with an SR/BSA <0.75 L·hr<sup>-1</sup>·m<sup>-2</sup>. We propose two reasons why this was only significant in American football players. First, our sample size was highest in American football. Second, American football is unique in that players compete at high intensities during the hottest part of the year (in the Northern hemisphere), they are often much larger than other athletes, and they wear protective equipment which affects sweat losses (Davis et al., 2016). Thus, given the multifactorial nature of EAMCs (Miller, 2020), American football players may experience more intrinsic or extrinsic EAMC risk factors, which can coalesce with sweat losses to induce EAMCs.

This suggests some athletes with high SRs may have an increased EAMC risk. However, to better identify cramp-prone American football athletes, sweat electrolyte concentration, and SR are required. Notably, gross SR is a clinically friendly measure, easy to perform in the field, and useful for identifying heavy sweaters in American football. Nutritionally, clinicians should quantify individual SR and educate athletes on their personal needs (McDermott et al., 2017). When education is included as an intervention for hydration maintenance, athletes improved hydration and athletic performance (Cleary et al., 2012). Therefore, our results suggest that some American football players with high SRs may benefit from similar interventions for EAMC prevention, as they experience a higher incidence of EAMCs than other sports (Cooper et al., 2006; Tripp et al., 2015; Yeargin et al., 2016).

Our final observation was the differences in sweat characteristics between genders and sports. We observed males had 15%, 20%, 24%, and 39% higher [Cl<sup>-</sup>]<sub>sw</sub>, [Na<sup>+</sup>]<sub>sw</sub>, [K<sup>+</sup>]<sub>sw</sub>, and SR, respectively. Even when normalized using BSA, gender differences remained. Males have higher SRs (Baker et al., 2018) and sweat electrolyte concentrations than females, which is consistent with some previous reports (Lara et al., 2016) but not all (Amano et al., 2017). Sweat electrolyte concentration differences may be due to SR differences, as ion absorption rates

appear similar between genders (Amano et al., 2017). We recommend clinicians consider gender and sport when determining fluid and electrolyte replacement needs, as recent recommendations are to individualize hydration and nutrition replacement (McDermott et al., 2017).

We acknowledge our study's limitations. First, our field design disallowed control over variables that may affect sweat characteristics (e.g., preexercise hydration status, ingested fluid type and electrolyte content, exercise duration). As dehydration can cause elevated [Na<sup>+</sup>]<sub>sw</sub> and [Cl<sup>-</sup>]<sub>sw</sub> compared with euhydrated conditions (Morgan et al., 2004), our sweat electrolyte concentrations may be higher than normal. Second, our athletes' self-reported cramp history and we did not distinguish between local and "whole-body" EAMCs. Third, we applied sweat patches before exercise and sweating. Consequently, our sweat samples included primary sweat, which may lead to elevated electrolyte concentrations. Fourth, none of our athletes experienced EAMCs during data collection and some athletes were tested outside of their normal sporting conditions. For example, ~71% (*n* = 79) of our American football data were collected during off-season conditioning activities when protective equipment and contact were limited. As these variables can affect blood electrolyte levels and SRs (Davis et al., 2016; Fowkes-Godek & Bartolozzi, 2009), our data may be underrepresenting some sweat losses in American football. Finally, Type II errors may be present in some of our statistical analyses (e.g., Na<sup>+</sup><sub>sw</sub> content).

## Novelty

This is the first study to evaluate sweat variables in a large sample of male and female competitive athletes with and without a history of EAMCs in numerous sports during habitual training. We observed minimal differences in sweat characteristics between cramp-prone athletes and control athletes. Moreover, we noted limited clinical relevance and predictability of EAMCs for most sweat characteristics in the majority of sports tested.

## Practical Application

First, some American football players with high SRs may benefit from electrolyte supplementation and/or hydration monitoring. For most athletes, overstressing the necessity of fluids or electrolytes to prevent EAMCs is unnecessary. Overconsumption of fluids to prevent EAMCs can result in life-threatening disorders like hyponatremia (Hew-Butler et al., 2015; Stevens, 2014). As most

research suggests cramping is due to neurological alterations rather than fluid and electrolyte losses (Braulick et al., 2012; Schweltnus et al., 2004; 2009; 2011), clinicians may more successfully prevent EAMCs by mitigating fatigue or nervous system excitability. Second, clinicians should individualize fluid and electrolyte replacement, as significant variability in sweat losses exist between genders and sports. One-size-fits-all recommendations should be avoided to prevent over or under hydration (McDermott et al., 2017).

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the coaches and athletes for help and patience during data collection. The authors also thank Michael Szymanski, MS, ATC; Don Bruenjes, ATC; Natalie Trotter, ATC; Dawn Didier, ATC; Mark Hinton, ATC; and Matt Summers, ATC for their help in facilitating testing, and thank Nancy Edwards, Loran Strunk, Erin Moore, Cory Butts, J.D. Adams, Brittany Willard, and all the other students for their research assistance during data collection. K.C. Miller, B.P. McDermott, and S.W. Yeargin were involved in the conception and design of the study; data collection, analysis, and interpretation; and drafting, revision, and final approval of the article. All authors take responsibility for the data and text reported. The authors have no competing interests to report.

## References

- Amano, T., Hirose, M., Konishi, K., Gerrett, N., Ueda, H., Kondo, N., & Inoue, Y. (2017). Maximum rate of sweat ions reabsorption during exercise with regional differences, sex, and exercise training. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 117(7), 1317–1327. PubMed ID: 28447185 doi:10.1007/s00421-017-3619-8
- Baker, L.B. (2017). Sweating rate and sweat sodium concentration in athletes: A review of methodology and intra/interindividual variability. *Sports Medicine*, 47(Suppl 1), S111–S128. doi:10.1007/s40279-017-0691-5
- Baker, L.B., Barnes, K.A., Anderson, M.L., Passe, D.H., & Stofan, J.R. (2016). Normative data for regional sweat sodium concentration and whole-body sweating rate in athletes. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 34(4), 358–368. PubMed ID: 26070030 doi:10.1080/02640414.2015.1055291
- Baker, L.B., Stofan, J.R., Hamilton, A.A., & Horswill, C.A. (2009). Comparison of regional patch collection vs. whole body washdown for measuring sweat sodium and potassium loss during exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 107(3), 887–895. PubMed ID: 19541738 doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00197.2009
- Baker, L.B., Ungaro, C.T., Sopena, B.C., Nuccio, R.P., Reimel, A.J., Carter, J.M., . . . Barnes, K.A. (2018). Body map of regional vs. whole body sweating rate and sweat electrolyte concentrations in men and women during moderate exercise-heat stress. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 124(5), 1304–1318. PubMed ID: 29420145 doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00867.2017
- Bergeron, M. (1996). Heat cramps during tennis: A case report. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition*, 6(1), 62–68. PubMed ID: 8653105 doi:10.1123/ijns.6.1.62
- Bergeron, M. (2003). Heat cramps: Fluid and electrolyte challenges during tennis in the heat. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 6(1), 19–27. PubMed ID: 12801207 doi:10.1016/S1440-2440(03)80005-1
- Bergeron, M. (2008). Muscle cramps during exercise—is it fatigue or electrolyte deficit? *Current Sports Medicine Reports*, 7(4), S50–S55. doi:10.1249/JSR.0b013e31817f476a
- Braulick, K., Miller, K., Albrecht, J., Tucker, J., & Deal, J. (2012). Significant and serious dehydration does not affect skeletal muscle cramp threshold frequency. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 47(11), 710–714. PubMed ID: 23222192 doi:10.1136/bjsports-2012-091501
- Casa, D.J., DeMartini, J.K., Bergeron, M.F., Csillan, D., Eichner, E.R., Lopez, R.M., . . . Yeargin, S.W. (2015). National Athletic Trainers' Association position statement: Exertional heat illnesses. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 50(9), 986–1000. PubMed ID: 26381473 doi:10.4085/1062-6050-50.9.07
- Cleary, M.A., Hetzler, R.K., Wasson, D., Wages, J.J., Stickley, C., & Kimura, I.F. (2012). Hydration behaviors before and after an educational and prescribed hydration intervention in adolescent athletes. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 47(3), 273–281. PubMed ID: 22892408 doi:10.4085/1062-6050-47.3.05
- Cooper, E., Ferrara, M., & Broglio, S. (2006). Exertional heat illness and environmental conditions during a single football season in the Southeast. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 41(3), 332–336. PubMed ID: 17043703
- Costill, D., Cote, R., & Fink, W. (1976). Muscle water and electrolytes following varied levels of dehydration in man. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 40(1), 6–11. PubMed ID: 1248983 doi:10.1152/jappl.1976.40.1.6
- Davis, J.K., Baker, L.B., Barnes, K.A., Ungaro, C., & Stofan, J.R. (2016). Thermoregulation, fluid balance, and sweat losses in American football players. *Sports Medicine*, 46(10), 1391–1405. PubMed ID: 27071988 doi:10.1007/s40279-016-0527-8
- Fowkes-Godek, S., & Bartolozzi, A. (2009). Changes in blood electrolytes and plasma volume in National Football League players during preseason training camp. *Athletic Training and Sports Health Care*, 1(6), 259–266. doi:10.3928/19425864-20091019-03
- Fowkes-Godek, S., Peduzzi, C., Burkholder, R., Condon, S., Dorshimer, G., & Bartolozzi, A. (2010). Sweat rates, sweat sodium concentrations, and sodium losses in 3 groups of professional football players. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 45(4), 364–371. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-45.4.364
- Hew-Butler, T., Rosner, M.H., Fowkes-Godek, S., Dugas, J.P., Hoffman, M.D., Lewis, D.P., . . . Verbalis, J.G. (2015). Statement of the 3rd International exercise-associated hyponatremia consensus development conference. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 49(22), 1432–1446.
- Hoffman, M., & Fogard, K. (2011). Factors related to successful completion of a 161-km ultramarathon. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 6(1), 25–37. PubMed ID: 21487147 doi:10.1123/ijsp.6.1.25
- Horswill, C., Stofan, J., Lacambra, M., Eichner, E., & Murray, R. (2009). Sodium balance during US football training in the heat: Cramp-prone vs. reference players. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 30(11), 789–794. PubMed ID: 19777422 doi:10.1055/s-0029-1234056
- Lara, B., Gallo-Salazar, C., Puente, C., Areces, F., Salinero, J.J., & Del Coso, J. (2016). Interindividual variability in sweat electrolyte concentration in marathoners. *Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition*, 13, Article 31. PubMed ID: 27478425 doi:10.1186/s12970-016-0141-z
- Maughan, R. (1986). Exercise induced muscle cramp: A prospective biochemical study in marathon runners. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 4(1), 31–34. PubMed ID: 3735481 doi:10.1080/02640418608732095
- McDermott, B., Anderson, S.A., Armstrong, L.E., Casa, D.J., Chevront, S.N., Cooper, L., . . . Roberts, W.O. (2017). National Athletic Trainers' Association position statement: Fluid replacement for the

- physically active. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 52(9), 877–895. PubMed ID: 28985128 doi:10.4085/1062-6050-52.9.02
- Miller, K.C. (2018). The evolution of exercise-associated muscle cramp research. *ACSM's Health and Fitness Journal*, 22(4), 6–8. doi:10.1249/FIT.0000000000000395
- Miller, K.C. (2020). Exercise-associated muscle cramps. In W.M. Adams & J. Jardine (Eds.), *Exertional heat illnesses* (pp. 117–136). Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature.
- Miller, K.C., Knight, K.L., Mack, G.W., Hopkins, J.T., Draper, D.O., Fields, P.J., & Hunter, I. (2010). Three percent hypohydration does not affect the threshold frequency of electrically-induced muscle cramps. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 42(11), 2056–2063. PubMed ID: 20351595 doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181dd5e3a
- Morgan, R., Patterson, M., & Nimmo, M. (2004). Acute effects of dehydration on sweat composition in men during prolonged exercise in the heat. *Acta Physiologica Scandinavica*, 182(1), 37–43. PubMed ID: 15329055 doi:10.1111/j.1365-201X.2004.01305.x
- Moss, K. (1923). Some effects of high air temperatures and muscular exertion upon colliers. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London: Series B*, 95(666), 181–200.
- Mosteller, R.D. (1987). Simplified calculation of body surface area. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 317(17), 1098. PubMed ID: 3657876
- Noakes, T. (1998). Fluid and electrolyte disturbances in heat illness. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 19(Suppl 2), S146–S149. PubMed ID: 9694423 doi:10.1055/s-2007-971982
- Osterberg, K.L., Horswill, C.A., & Baker, L.B. (2009). Pregame urine specific gravity and fluid intake by National Basketball Association players during competition. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 44(1), 53–57. PubMed ID: 19180219 doi:10.4085/1062-6050-44.1.53
- Ranchordas, M.K., Tiller, N.B., Ramchandani, G., Jutley, R., Blow, A., Tye, J., & Drury, B. (2017). Normative data on regional sweat-sodium concentrations of professional male team-sport athletes. *Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition*, 14, 40–47. PubMed ID: 29093646 doi:10.1186/s12970-017-0197-4
- Schwellnus, M. (2009). Cause of Exercise Associated Muscle Cramps (EAMC)-altered neuromuscular control, dehydration, or electrolyte depletion? *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 43(6), 401–408. PubMed ID: 18981039 doi:10.1136/bjsm.2008.050401
- Schwellnus, M., Drew, N., & Collins, M. (2011). Increased running speed and previous cramps rather than dehydration or serum sodium changes predict exercise associated muscle cramping: A prospective cohort study in 210 Ironman triathletes. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 45(8), 650–656. PubMed ID: 21148567 doi:10.1136/bjsm.2010.078535
- Schwellnus, M., Nicol, J., Laubscher, R., & Noakes, T. (2004). Serum electrolyte concentrations and hydration status are not associated with Exercise Associated Muscle Cramping (EAMC) in distance runners. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 38(4), 488–492. PubMed ID: 15273192 doi:10.1136/bjsm.2003.007021
- Stevens, A. (2014). Update: Douglas County football player has died. *The Atlanta Journal-Constitution*. August 11, 2014. Retrieved from <http://www.ajc.com/news/news/family-douglas-county-football-player-has-no-brain/ngy2X/>
- Stofan, J., Zachwieja, J., Horswill, C., Murray, R., Anderson, S., & Eichner, E. (2005). Sweat and sodium losses in NCAA football players: A precursor to heat cramps? *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 15(6), 641–652. PubMed ID: 16521848 doi:10.1123/ijsnem.15.6.641
- Stone, M.B., Edwards, J.E., Stemmans, C.L., Ingersoll, C.D., Palmieri, R.M., & Krause, B.A. (2003). Certified athletic trainers' perceptions of exercise associated muscle cramps. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, 12(4), 333–342. doi:10.1123/jsr.12.4.333
- Sulzer, N., Schwellnus, M., & Noakes, T. (2005). Serum electrolytes in Ironman triathletes with exercise associated muscle cramping. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 37(7), 1081–1085. PubMed ID: 16015122 doi:10.1249/01.mss.0000169723.79558.cf
- Tripp, B.L., Eberman, L.E., & Smith, M.S. (2015). Exertional heat illnesses and environmental conditions during high school football practices. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 43(10), 2490–2495. PubMed ID: 26264766 doi:10.1177/0363546515593947
- Volpe, S.L., Poule, K.A., & Bland, E.G. (2009). Estimation of prepractice hydration status of National Collegiate Athletic Association division I athletes. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 44(6), 624–629. PubMed ID: 19911089 doi:10.4085/1062-6050-44.6.624
- Yeargin, S.W., Kerr, Z.Y., Casa, D.J., Djoko, A., Hayden, R., Parsons, J.T., & Dompier, T.P. (2016). Epidemiology of exertional heat illnesses in youth, high school, and college football. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 48(8), 1523–1529. PubMed ID: 27433959 doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000000934

Copyright of International Journal of Sport Nutrition & Exercise Metabolism is the property of Human Kinetics Publishers, Inc. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.