

Logic & Set Theory Cheatsheet

3.AB PrelB Math

Adam Klepáč



Logic

Logic is the language of mathematics. It uses **propositions** to talk about sets.

Propositions are sentences which can be either true or false. For example

- ‘**Cats are black.**’ is a proposition;
- ‘**How are you?**’ is *not* a proposition;
- ‘**We will have colonised Mars by 2500.**’ is also a proposition.

As the third example suggests, we need not necessarily know whether a proposition is true or false – it remains a proposition anyway.

Logical Conjunctions

Propositions can be joined together using **logical conjunctions**. They pretty much correspond to the conjunctions of natural language. Let us consider two propositions:

p = ‘It’s raining outside.’
 q = ‘I’ll stay at home.’

(\wedge) Logical **and** forms a proposition that is only **true** if both of its constituents are also **true**. In natural language, the proposition $p \wedge q$ can be expressed as

$p \wedge q$ = ‘It’s raining outside **and** I’ll stay at home.’

(\vee) Logical **or** forms a proposition that is **true** if at least one of its constituents is **true**. In natural language, the proposition $p \vee q$ can be expressed as

$p \vee q$ = ‘It’s raining outside **or** I’ll stay at home.’

In mathematical logic, **or** is **not exclusive!** This means that $p \vee q$ is true even if both p and q are true.

(\neg) Logical **not** isn’t strictly speaking a conjunction but I include it anyway. It reverses the truth value of a proposition. For example, the proposition $\neg p$ can be read as

$\neg p$ = ‘It’s **not** raining outside.’

It follows that $\neg p$ is **true** exactly when p is **false** and vice versa.

(\Rightarrow) Logical **implication** is a conjunction that makes the first proposition into an *assumption* or *premise* and the second one into a *conclusion*. The proposition $p \Rightarrow q$ is read in multiple ways, to list a few:

$p \Rightarrow q$ = ‘If it’s raining outside, **then** I’ll stay at home.’
 $p \Rightarrow q$ = ‘It raining outside **implies that** I’ll stay at home.’
 $p \Rightarrow q$ = ‘**Assuming** it’s raining outside, I’ll stay at home.’

The implication is tricky. It’s true if both p and q are true and false if p is true but q is false. However, it is **always true** if p is **false**. That is because, in mathematical logic, whatever follows from a lie is automatically true.

(\Leftrightarrow) Logical **equivalence** is true only if both propositions have the **same truth value** – they’re both true or both false. In natural language, it is typically read like this:

$p \Leftrightarrow q$ = ‘It’s raining **if and only if** I stay at home.’

Equivalence is basically just a two-way implication. The proposition p is both a premise and a conclusion to q and q is both a premise and a conclusion to p . If it’s raining outside, I stay at home and if I stay at home, then it’s raining outside.

Truth Tables

A conjunction of propositions being true or false based on whether its constituent propositions are true or false can be summarized using so-called **truth table**. It is basically just a table that lists all the possibilities of p and q being true or false and the resulting truth value of their conjunctions.

For the basic logical conjunctions from above, it can look like this (we represent **true** by **1** and **false** by **0**):

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \wedge q$	$p \vee q$	$p \Rightarrow q$	$p \Leftrightarrow q$
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1

Sets

Sets are the ‘stuff’ that makes up the world of mathematics. Their basic characteristics and properties are described using **logic**.

Sets cannot be defined inside set theory but we interpret them as *groups of things*.

There’s only one foundational *proposition* related to set theory – the proposition ‘**An object is an element of a set.**’ If we label the object in question x and the set A , this proposition is written as $x \in A$ (the symbol \in is just the letter ‘e’ in ‘element’). Combining these propositions using logical conjunctions allows for various set-theoretic constructions.

If a set A has, for example, exactly three elements – \square , \triangle and \bigcirc , I can write it as a list of these three elements inside curly brackets $\{\}$. In this case,

$$A = \{\square, \triangle, \bigcirc\}.$$

A few **warnings** about sets:

- **Sets are not ordered**. There is nothing like a ‘first’, ‘second’ or ‘last’ element of a set. Either an object **is** inside a set or it **isn’t**. Nothing else. For example, the three sets below are **exactly the same**, only written differently.

$$\{\square, \triangle, \bigcirc\} = \{\bigcirc, \triangle, \square\} = \{\triangle, \square, \bigcirc\}$$

- **Elements of sets have no frequency**. Again, an element either is inside a set or not. It cannot be **twice** in a set, for example. The three sets below are exactly the same.

$$\{\square, \triangle, \bigcirc\} = \{\square, \triangle, \bigcirc, \triangle, \bigcirc\} = \{\triangle, \square, \square, \triangle, \bigcirc, \triangle\}$$

Set Operations

Using logical conjunctions, we form new sets from existing ones. Consider two sets – A and B .

(\cap) I can form the set of all objects x that satisfy the proposition $x \in A \wedge x \in B$, that is all objects that **lie in both A and B** . This set is called the **intersection** of A and B and written $A \cap B$. For example,

$$\{\bigcirc, \triangle, \square\} \cap \{\times, \bigcirc, \square, \sim\} = \{\bigcirc, \square\}.$$

(\cup) I can form the set of all objects that satisfy the proposition $x \in A \vee x \in B$, the set of all objects that **lie in A or in B** . It is called the **union** of A and B and denoted $A \cup B$. All elements of $A \cup B$ can be found *only* in A , *only* in B or in *both* A and B . For example,

$$\{\bigcirc, \triangle, \square\} \cup \{\times, \bigcirc, \square, \sim\} = \{\bigcirc, \triangle, \square, \times, \sim\}.$$

(\Rightarrow) Implication is a little different from intersection and union. It describes a lot of different sets with one logical proposition. I ask: ‘Which sets A satisfy the proposition $x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B$?’ In other words, which sets A **have all their elements contained** in the set B ? The answer is that A must be a subset of B and we denote that fact by $A \subseteq B$. The set A is only allowed to have elements which also lie in B but not necessarily all of them. All the subsets of $B = \{\triangle, \bigcirc\}$ are listed below.


$$\emptyset, \{\triangle\}, \{\bigcirc\}, \{\triangle, \bigcirc\},$$

where \emptyset is the **empty set**, a set containing no elements.


(\Leftrightarrow) Equivalence defines **equality** on sets. If sets A and B must satisfy the proposition $x \in A \Leftrightarrow x \in B$, then they must be equal because all the elements of A lie in B and all elements of B lie in A . That is, $A = B$.

Drawing Sets

Set operations can be visualized using so-called *Venn diagrams*. This just means using circles to represent the sets in questions. For example, two sets – A and B can be drawn like this:



In these pictures, one can easily visualize the operations of union and intersection. The union $A \cup B$ is the entire area covered by A and B . It looks like this:



The intersection $A \cap B$ is the ‘strip’ in the middle, the area which is shared between both A and B . It can be depicted like this:

