

Theorem 1

Suppose W is a subspace of an inner product space V . Then:

- (a) W^\perp is a subspace of V .*
- (b) Only the zero vector, $\mathbf{0}$, is common to both W and W^\perp .*
- (c) $(W^\perp)^\perp = W$. In other words, the orthogonal complement of W^\perp is W .*

Theorem 1

Suppose W is a subspace of an inner product space V . Then:

- (a) W^\perp is a subspace of V .*
- (b) Only the zero vector, $\mathbf{0}$, is common to both W and W^\perp .*
- (c) $(W^\perp)^\perp = W$. In other words, the orthogonal complement of W^\perp is W .*

In this case, assume (a) has been proven, thus use (a) to help for proofing (b) and (c).

Proof

Hence W and W^\perp is a subspace of V , its obvious that $\mathbf{0}$ is common to both W and W^\perp .

Assume there is a vector $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ that is common to both W and W^\perp . Then $\mathbf{v} \in W$ and $\mathbf{v} \in W^\perp$. Since $\mathbf{v} \in W$, then $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$. This implies that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, only the zero vector, $\mathbf{0}$, is common to both W and W^\perp . □

Proof

Let any $\mathbf{u} \in W$, then $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in W^\perp$. Thus by definition, any \mathbf{u} is orthogonal to any $\mathbf{v} \in W^\perp$ or can be written as $\mathbf{u} \in (W^\perp)^\perp$.

$$W \subseteq (W^\perp)^\perp \quad (1)$$

Next consider $\mathbf{w} \in (W^\perp)^\perp$ but $\mathbf{w} \notin W$. By definition, exist $\mathbf{v}_0 \in W^\perp$ such that is not orthogonal to \mathbf{w} . Other words, $\langle \mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{w} \rangle \neq 0$ because $\mathbf{w} \in (W^\perp)^\perp$. So its contradict with the assumption that $\mathbf{w} \notin W$. Therefore, $\mathbf{w} \in W$.

$$(W^\perp)^\perp \subseteq W \quad (2)$$

Therefore, $(W^\perp)^\perp = W$. □