## Exercise 3

Dejan Porjazovski

November 18, 2018

## 1 Question 1

1.1 Fetch the 1-gram counts again and compute the maximum likelihood estimates for the following 1-gram probabilities by hand (you can use "octave" or "matlab" as a calculator)

The number of  $\langle s \rangle$  is 3 but it's being ignored because it is always. assumed in the beginning of the sentence.

```
Likelihood estimates P(in) = 0.136
Likelihood estimates P(a) = 0.045
Likelihood estimates P(</s>) = 0.136
```

## 2 Question 2

2.1 Use ngram-count to get necessary counts and compute the following 2-gram and 3-gram estimates (maximum likelihood) below by hand. Note that the notation  $P(bag|in\ the)$  means the probability that word "bag" appears after "in the" (for example in the sentence "in the bag")

#### bigrams:

```
Likelihood estimates P(the|is) = 0.333

Likelihood estimates P(box|is) = 0

Likelihood estimates P(is|is) = 0

Likelihood estimates P(in|is) = 0.666

Likelihood estimates P(bag|is) = 0

Likelihood estimates P(it|is) = 0

Likelihood estimates P(it|is) = 0

Likelihood estimates P(a|is) = 0

Likelihood estimates P(on|is) = 0
```

#### trigrams:

```
Likelihood estimates P(the|in\ the)=0

Likelihood estimates P(box|in\ the)=0

Likelihood estimates P(is|in\ the)=0

Likelihood estimates P(in|in\ the)=0

Likelihood estimates P(bag|in\ the)=0.5

Likelihood estimates P(in|in\ the)=0

Likelihood estimates P(in|in\ the)=0

Likelihood estimates P(a|in\ the)=0

Likelihood estimates P(a|in\ the)=0
```

### 3 Question 3

# 3.1 Using interpolated absolute discounting (D=0.5) compute P(in|is) and P(</s>|is) by hand

Calculating the probabilities by hand, I got the following results:

P(in|is) = 0.545

P(</s>|is) = 0.045

Using the command 'ngram -lm 2gram.lm -ppl test.txt -debug 2' we can see that the values computed by hand match the probabilities computed with the above command.

#### 3.2 Compare to results you got in Question 2

For P(in|is), in question 2, I got probability of 0.666, compared to 0.545 that I got using the interpolated absolute discounting.

For P(</s>|is), in question 2, I got probability of 0, compared to 0.045 that I got using the interpolated absolute discounting.

## 4 Question 4

### 4.1 What are the log-probabilities of the above sentences?

The log probability for the first sentence is: -3.35094

The log probability for the second sentence is: -2.83334

The log probability for the third sentence is: -2.51316

## 4.2 Which sentence is the most probable one according to the model?

According to the model, the third sentence is the most probable with log probability of -2.51316

# 4.3 Give an example of a sentence (non-empty, no out-of-vocabulary words) whose probability is even higher than any of the above.

An example sentence: "the box", with probability of: -1.39936

## 5 Question 5

#### 5.1 Which of the models gave the best probability for the test data?

1-gram model has probability of -264042

2-gram model has probability of -237930

3-gram model has probability of -235817

The 3-gram model gave the best probability for the data.

# 5.2 What is the proportion of out-of-vocabulary (OOV) words in the test data (the ngram tool prints the relevant information for this)?

The proportion of out-of-vocabulary data is: 27097.

## 6 Question 6

### 6.1 Which of the models is the best one?

1-gram morph model has probability of: -662489 2-gram morph model has probability of: -503229 3-gram morph model has probability of: -465729

The 3-gram morph model gave the best probability for the morph data.

# 6.2 What was now the number of OOV morphs (the tool talks about words since it knows nothing about morphs)?

The proportion of out-of-vocabulary morph data is: 0