# Heuristic for the Bilinear Rank Problem

## Hamlil Mohamed

November 20, 2024

## 1 Introduction

Let G be the set of rank 1 bilinear maps.

Let K be a field.

Let T be a bilinear map defined as follows:

$$K^n \times K^m \to K^l$$

Dim(T) = the number of bilinear maps that compose T, denoted  $T_i$ , defined as follows:

$$K^n \times K^m \to K$$

rank(T) =

$$\sum \operatorname{rank}(T_i)$$

T' is the tensor that generates T with

$$\operatorname{arg\,min}\left(\sum\operatorname{rank}(T_i')\right)$$

.

Brk(T) = rank(T')

## 1.1 Reformulating the Problem Using Tensors

Consider a bilinear map  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by:

$$f((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = x_1y_1 + 2x_1y_2 + 3x_2y_1 + 4x_2y_2$$

Matrix Representation

$$f((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Tensor Representation (2 covariant)

$$f((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \begin{bmatrix} [[1, 2], [3, 4]] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We will take polynomial multiplication as an example.

Let A(x) and B(x) be polynomials with coefficients from a field K of degrees n and m respectively.

Multiplying the two polynomials can be represented as a bilinear map:

$$K^n \times K^m \to K^l$$

$$((a_0,\ldots,a_{n-1}),(b_0,\ldots,b_{m-1}))\mapsto (c_0,\ldots,c_{l-1})$$

The bilinear map can be represented as a tensor  $T_2^1$  with 0 or 1 as coefficients. For example, let  $A(x) = a_0 + a_1 x$  and  $B(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2$ . It can be represented as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} [1,0,0] & [0,0,0] \\ [0,1,0] & [1,0,0] \\ [0,0,1] & [0,1,0] \\ [0,0,0] & [0,0,1] \end{bmatrix}$$

This tensor will be called the associated tensor (similar to how linear maps have associated matrices), where:

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} [1,0,0] & [0,0,0] \\ [0,1,0] & [1,0,0] \\ [0,0,1] & [0,1,0] \\ [0,0,0] & [0,0,1] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can see that each row represents the multiplications used to calculate each term c.

### 1.1.1 Problem Identification

We will now try to find a decomposition where  $T = \phi \cdot T'$ . We will continue with the same example. The tensor T can be decomposed as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} [1,0,0] & [0,0,0] \\ [0,0,1] & [0,0,0] \\ [0,0,0] & [0,1,0] \\ [0,0,0] & [0,0,1] \\ [1,1,0] & [1,1,0] \end{bmatrix}$$

Let A(x) and B(x) be polynomials with coefficients from a field K of degrees n and m respectively.

Multiplying the two polynomials can be represented as a bilinear map:

$$K^n \times K^m \to K^l$$

$$((a_0,\ldots,a_{n-1}),(b_0,\ldots,b_{m-1}))\mapsto (c_0,\ldots,c_{l-1})$$

The bilinear map can be represented as a tensor  $T_2^1$  with 0 or 1 as coefficients. For example, let  $A(x) = a_0 + a_1 x$  and  $B(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2$ . It can be

represented as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} [1,0,0] & [0,0,0] \\ [0,1,0] & [1,0,0] \\ [0,0,1] & [0,1,0] \\ [0,0,0] & [0,0,1] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

This configuration is inspired by the Karatsuba method:

$$C(x) = (a_1 \cdot x + a_0) \times (b_2 \cdot x^2 + b_1 \cdot x + b_0)$$
$$= a_1 b_2 \cdot x^3 + (a_1 b_1 + a_0 b_2) \cdot x^2 + (a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0) \cdot x + a_0 b_0$$

Five products are required instead of six. Using the Karatsuba method:

$$C(x) = a_1b_2 \cdot x^3 + (a_1b_1 + a_0b_2) \cdot x^2 + ((a_0 + a_1)(b_0 + b_1) - a_1b_1 - a_0b_0) \cdot x + a_0b_0$$

Calculate the products:

$$g_0 = a_0 \cdot b_0,$$

$$g_1 = a_0 \cdot b_2,$$

$$g_2 = a_1 \cdot b_1,$$

$$g_3 = a_1 \cdot b_2,$$

$$g_4 = (a_0 + a_1) \cdot (b_0 + b_1).$$

Reconstruct the result:

$$C(x) = g_3 \cdot x^3 + (g_1 + g_2) \cdot x^2 + (g_4 - g_2 - g_0) \cdot x + g_0$$

The tensor T' requires only five multiplications instead of six.

The key observation is that, in the last row of T', the first and second components are identical, which means they can be taken as a common factor, reducing the number of multiplications to one. From this, we conclude that the number of multiplications in a given row is the number of linearly independent components.

For an all-zero vector, no calculation is necessary (the definition is linearly dependent). Linearly dependent vectors can be expressed by other vectors, thus reducing the number of multiplications in a row.

In simpler terms, the number of multiplications in a given row is the rank of the matrix formed by the components (covectors) of that row. We will call this matrix the row matrix and denote for a given tensor A that  $A_i$  is the i-th row matrix.

Therefore, the total number of multiplications is equal to the sum of the ranks of the row matrices. Our goal is to find a decomposition  $T = \phi \cdot T'$  where:

$$\operatorname{arg\,min}\left(\sum\operatorname{rank}(T_i')\right)$$

#### Conclusion

We need a generating family T' where

$$\arg\min\left(\sum \operatorname{rank}(T_i')\right)$$

and that generates the same vector space as T.

Thus, minimizing the number of multiplications in a bilinear map T is equivalent to finding a decomposition T' such that it generates T and:

$$\operatorname{arg\,min}\left(\sum\operatorname{rank}(T_i')\right)$$

## 2 The Algorithm

Our algorithm will iterate over the set G and apply an oracle that will identify the rank 1 bilinear maps to add to T to obtain T'.

## 2.1 Properties of the Oracle

The oracle, when given T as input, returns a T'' such that rank(T'') = Brk(T) under the constraint that Dim(T) = Dim(T''). From this definition, the properties follow:

First Property: Suppose T = T'. For any v belonging to G, we have  $\operatorname{rank}(T) \leq \operatorname{rank}(T+v)$ .

**Second Property:** For any v belonging to G such that rank(T+v) < rank(T), then v belongs to the span of T'.

**Third Property:** If there exist  $v_1, v_2$  belonging to G such that  $\operatorname{rank}(T+v_1) \ge \operatorname{rank}(T)$  and  $\operatorname{rank}(T+v_2) \ge \operatorname{rank}(T)$ , and that  $\operatorname{rank}(T+v_1+v_2) \le \operatorname{rank}(T)$ , then there exists  $v_3$  belonging to G such that  $\operatorname{rank}(T+v_3) \le \operatorname{rank}(T)$ .

## 2.2 The Oracle

The question arises: is there an oracle that respects the definition and characteristics mentioned above?

We propose a heuristic that respects these properties but does not always find the tensor T'' with the minimum number of multiplications. The heuristic models the oracle as a constrained optimization problem, then applies a *greedy* approach similar to the knapsack problem.

Steps of the heuristic

- 1. First Step: Generate the span of T.
- 2. **Second Step:** Sort the bilinear maps belonging to the span of *T* in ascending order according to their rank.

3. **Third Step:** Select the first linearly independent bilinear maps belonging to the span of *T*.

For better understanding, here is the pseudocode of our algorithm assuming the span of T will be sorted:

```
Function Greedy (T)
    s := empty list
    for each i in span_of(T)
         if is_linearly_independent(s, i)
             s := vcat(s, [i])
         end if
    end for
    return s
end function
Function rank_minimizer (T, G)
    for i in 1:length(G)
         if is_linearly_independent (T, G[i])
             v = smallest_base(vcat(T, [G[i]]))
             if Number_of_multiplications(v) < Number_of_multiplications(T)
                 T = v
             else
                  G_{new} = [j \text{ for } j \text{ in } G[i+1:end] \text{ if } is\_linearly\_independent}(v, j)]
                  return rank_minimizer(T, G_new)
             end
         end
    end
    return T
end
```

### 3 Results and Discussion

Before passing T through rank minimizer:

We first try to find the smallest base in multiplications while keeping the same dimension.

Then, we noticed that before iterating over G, we could start with the set of rank 1 bilinear maps that compose the bilinear maps that compose T, as some bilinear maps that compose T have multiplications (rank 1 bilinear maps) in common.

We will use the rank minimizer algorithm.

• Step 1: apply Greedy on T.

- Step 2: Then, apply rank minimizer on T resulting from Step 1 and the set of rank 1 bilinear maps that compose the bilinear maps that compose T.
- Step 3: Finally, apply rank minimizer on T resulting from Step 2.

The Set	Polynomial Multiplication	Original Rank	Step 1		Step 2		Step 3	
			Rank	Time	Rank	Time	Rank	Time
$\mathbb{F}_2$	5x5	25	16	0.2	14	4.38	14	586.22
$\mathbb{F}_2$	3x8	24	19	0.15	16	21.39	15	3460.54
$\mathbb{F}_2$	4x7	28	19	0.19	16	24	16	5044.06
$\mathbb{F}_3$	3x6	18	12	0.69	11	18.05		more than 4

Table 1: Table on tests done with Julia language. The reported times correspond to the total execution time on a single core of a 12th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-12450H at 2.2 GHz.

## 4 Conclusion

In terms of computation time, our heuristic gives very good results, and these results can still be improved. For example:

- The heuristic finds the bilinear maps that belong to T', which means that the solution T" can still be minimized (it does not lead to a bad path).
- Relate the oracle problem to the matrix rank minimization problem and use heuristics based on the nuclear norm or NP-complete algorithms.
- Minimize the set G using automorphisms RP.