

LCOI: Local crystalline orientation identifier using mask fitting implemented in MATLAB

Snir Meiri¹ and Efi Efrati¹

¹ Department of Physics of Complex Systems, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#))

Statement of need


Detection and analysis of the orientation of local crystalline order arises in different fields such as chemistry, materials science and condensed matter physics. In particular, such analysis is central for studying crystal melting, jamming, crystal twinning and crystal strains, among others. Although the local orientations can be deduced from the diffraction data ([Angel et al., 2015](#); [Busing & Levy, 1967](#); [Zaefferer, 2011](#)), such analysis must take some spatial averages. Several software packages analyze local crystalline order based solely on real-space imaging data. One widely used approach is the bond-orientational order parameter (BOOP), originally introduced by Steinhardt et al. ([Steinhardt et al., 1983](#)), and implemented in various tools ([Menon et al., 2019](#); [Ramasubramani et al., 2020](#); [Stukowski, 2009](#)), which provide quantitative tools to classify local environments. These tools are commonly used in both simulations and experimental particle tracking contexts. However, BOOP-based methods have notable limitations, including their reliance on isotropic assumptions, high sensitivity to defects, and a lack of robustness to small spatial fluctuations ([Mickel et al., 2013](#)). Some commercial tools also support symmetry and orientation analysis, especially from diffraction data. For example, CrysTBox ([Klinger et al., 2016](#)) use Fourier transforms and lattice fitting methods, primarily working in the frequency domain. It offers limited orientation mapping directly from HRTEM images via geometric phase analysis and Fourier-based methods. Our approach departs from both diffraction-based and BOOP-based methods. We propose a different approach based on optimal fitting of a mask of a specific shape to the detected particles. Within this approach, the resulting directionality is continuous and robust to local noise. The accuracy in mask orientation detection is primarily limited by the accuracy of the particles localization, rather than from the symmetry assumptions or discrete angular jumps typical of BOOP-based metrics.

Summary

LCOI is designed to extract orientation information about local crystalline order from microscopy images such as transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Specifically, the software is able to identify triangular, rectangular and hexagonal order within planar cross-sections of colloidal crystals. The orientation identification is based on mask fitting to the identified particles. The software, implemented as a MATLAB ([Inc., 2023](#)) application and available via [GitHub](#), allows the user various tools including basic image processing, particles identification, mask creation and fitting, orientations analysis and strain measurements in the crystal. The following figure summarizes the general flow of the identification process:



After loading the image and optional contrast adjustment the user should first apply particle detection or upload pre-identified data. Then, the user creates a mask (hull) by manually choosing particles of the desired symmetry and the mask is symmetrized by the software. The options are triangular, rectangular and hexagonal, where the last two can either have 2-fold symmetry or 4-fold and 6-fold, respectively. Next, the local orientation of the optimal fits of the created mask to the identified particles is detected and color-coded. A more detailed scheme of the orientation detection is:



After particles identification and mask creation the following step is particles pairs identification by considering all particles below a distance threshold set by considering the created mask. Then, a fitting mask is located as if each pair corresponds to an edge of the mask. These initial guesses are screened for the sum of the distances to the closest particles to each mask's vertex. Next, for the screened masks' location and orientation, the particle associated with each mask's vertex is identified and the optimal location and orientation is computed analytically. For more details see the attached file: Mask location and rotation optimization. Finally, the mask can be adjusted using all found fits by taking the means of the relevant distances and the updated optimal location and orientation are recomputed.

Acknowledgements

We highly acknowledge Prof. Rafal Klajn and his group members for introducing us to the field and its challenges which resulted in this software. This work was supported by ISF grant No. 1444/21.

References

- 67
- 68 Angel, R., Milani, S., Alvaro, M., & Nestola, F. (2015). OrientXplot: A program to analyse and
69 display relative crystal orientations. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 48(4), 1330–1334.
70 <https://doi.org/10.1107/S160057671501167X>
- 71 Busing, W. R., & Levy, H. A. (1967). *Angle calculations for 3-and 4-circle x-ray and*
72 *neutron diffractometers*. Oak Ridge National Lab.(ORNL), Oak Ridge, TN (United States).
73 <https://doi.org/10.1107/S0365110X67000970>
- 74 Inc., T. M. (2023). *MATLAB version: 9.14.0 (R2023a)*. The MathWorks Inc. <https://www.mathworks.com>
75
- 76 Klinger, M., Polívka, L., Jäger, A., & Tyunina, M. (2016). Quantitative analysis of structural
77 inhomogeneity in nanomaterials using transmission electron microscopy. *Journal of Applied*
78 *Crystallography*, 49(3), 762–770. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600576716003800>
- 79 Menon, S., Leines, G. D., & Rogal, J. (2019). Pyscal: A python module for structural
80 analysis of atomic environments. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(43), 1824. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01824>
81
- 82 Mickel, W., Kapfer, S. C., Schröder-Turk, G. E., & Mecke, K. (2013). Shortcomings of the
83 bond orientational order parameters for the analysis of disordered particulate matter. *The*
84 *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 138(4), 044501. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4774084>
- 85 Ramasubramani, V., Dice, B. D., Harper, E. S., Spellings, M. P., Anderson, J. A., & Glotzer,
86 S. C. (2020). Freud: A software suite for high throughput analysis of particle simulation
87 data. *Computer Physics Communications*, 254, 107275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2020.107275>
88
- 89 Steinhardt, P. J., Nelson, D. R., & Ronchetti, M. (1983). Bond-orientational order in liquids
90 and glasses. *Physical Review B*, 28(2), 784. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.28.784>
- 91 Stukowski, A. (2009). Visualization and analysis of atomistic simulation data with OVITO—the
92 open visualization tool. *Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering*,
93 18(1), 015012. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/18/1/015012>
- 94 Zaefferer, S. (2011). A critical review of orientation microscopy in SEM and TEM. *Crystal*
95 *Research and Technology*, 46(6), 607–628. <https://doi.org/10.1002/crat.201100125>