

Some Class

Daniel Yu

Contents

1	Interpretations of Probability	3
1.1	Frequentist Perspective	3
1.1.1	Law of Large Numbers	3
1.1.2	Probability Ineqaulities	4
1.1.3	Proof of law of large numbers	7

1 Interpretations of Probability

1.1 Frequentist Perspective

Adherents believe that probability is simply a measurement of the outcomes over repeated experiments. For example,

Note. Let $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ be iid discrete random variables. Denote $range(X_i) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ and let $k_i(n) = \{\text{number of times } x_i \text{ appeared in the sequence}\}$. Then let $\frac{k_i(n)}{n}$ be the relative frequency of x_i . However $\frac{k_i(n)}{n}$ is itself a random variable!

Remark. Example

Toss a fair 4-sided die n times. Say $n = 2$. Let $\frac{k_1(2)}{2}$ be a random variable.

$$\frac{k_1(2)}{2} \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 2\}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} P[\frac{k_1(2)}{2}] &= (\frac{3}{4})^2 \\ P[\frac{k_1(2)}{2}] &= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{4} = \frac{3}{8} \\ P[\frac{k_1(2)}{2}] &= \frac{1}{16}. \end{aligned}$$

This is scaled binomial distribution (ex consider $bin(2, \frac{1}{4})$). A binomial distribution is random variable.

1.1.1 Law of Large Numbers

Can be thought of as a consequence of the frequentist perspective of probability. Not unique to it though.

Theorem 1. Let X_1 be a discrete random variable with outcomes $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$, and let $k_i(n) = \{\text{number of times that } y_i \text{ appears in } n \text{ trials}\}$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\{\frac{k_1(n)}{n}\}_n \rightarrow P[X_i = y_i] \text{ in probability.}$$

Corollary 1. Law of Large Numbers

If X_1 is a discrete random variable with outcomes $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ with X_i are iid (so we are sampling from the same random distribution). And let

$$E_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n).$$

Then, $E_n \rightarrow E[X_1]$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The time average (average of experiment throughout time) = space average (space of possibilities, multiverse perspective)

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \cdot \text{number of times } y_i \text{ appears} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \cdot \frac{k_i(n)}{n} \\ &\quad \text{take } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ use the theorem} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \cdot P[X_i = y_i] \\ &= E[X]. \end{aligned}$$

□

1.1.2 Probability Inequalities

Note true in general but we are only going to deal with the discrete case

Theorem 2. Markov's Theorem

Let X be a non-negative random variable. Then, $\forall a > 0$,

$$P[X \geq a] \leq \frac{E[X]}{a}.$$

In other words the probability that a random variable is greater than or equal to some value is always less than or equal to the expected value (mean) of the random variable divided by the value.

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= \sum_{y \in \text{range}(x)} y \cdot P[X = y] \\ &\geq \sum_{y \in \text{range}(x) \cap y \geq a} y \cdot P[X = y] \\ &\geq \sum_{y \in \text{range}(x) \cap y \geq a} a \cdot P[X = y] \\ &= a \cdot P[X \geq a] \\ \frac{E[X]}{a} &\geq P[X \geq a]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Note. Example

Consider tossing fair 4 sided dice. $K_1(n)$ are the numbers of 1s. What is probability $P[\frac{K_1(n)}{n} \geq \frac{1}{3}]$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left[\frac{k_1(n)}{n}\right] &= E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{x_i=1}\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[1_{x_i=1}] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot \frac{1}{4} \\
&= \frac{1}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

By markov's inequality:

$$P\left[\frac{k_1(n)}{n} \geq \frac{1}{3}\right] \leq \frac{E\left[\frac{k_1(n)}{n}\right]}{\frac{1}{3}} = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Obvious tbh, so kinda useless and doesn't tell us anything. We want a tighter bound! \square

Proposition 1. Let $\{Y_n\}$ be a sequence of non-negative R.V. If,

$$E[Y_n] \rightarrow 0.$$

then,

$$Y_n \rightarrow 0.$$

in probability.

Proof. Rough sketch

Show $\forall \varepsilon$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[|Y_n| > \varepsilon] = 0.$$

Since $Y_n \geq 0$, $|Y_n| = Y_n$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[Y_n > \varepsilon] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E[Y_n]}{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

\square

Note. Clique Problem (NP-hard)

n people in a room, each pair of people are friends with probability $\frac{1}{n}$ independent of every other pair.

Let Q_n = number of cliques of size 4 (i.e 4 participants that are mutually friends) i.e $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ pairs are friends.

Proof. Understanding this is very hard because as n increases, the number of cliques increases but the probability of each pair being friends decreases ($\frac{1}{n}$). However, using prop, even though $P[Q_n = 0]$ is difficult to compute, $E[Q_n]$ is not.

$$\begin{aligned}
E[Q_n] &= E\left[\sum_{\text{quadruplets}} 1_{\text{quadruplet are mutual friends}}\right] \\
&= \sum_{\text{quadruplets}} E[1_{\text{quadruplet are mutual friends}}] \\
&= \frac{n!}{(n-4)!4!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^6 \\
&= \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{4!} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^6 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{24n^2} \\
&\rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P[Q_n \geq 1] \leq \frac{E[Q_n]}{1} \leq \frac{1}{24n^2} \rightarrow 0$. □

Remark. Note that if the clique was size 3, then this would converge... (let K_n = number of size 3 cliques)

$$\begin{aligned} E[K_n] &= \binom{n}{3} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{3!} \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{n^3 - 3n^2 + 2n}{6} \frac{1}{n} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. Chebyshev's Inequality

Let X be a random variable with finite variance. Then,

$$P[|X - E[X]| \geq a] \leq \frac{Var(x)}{a^2}.$$

If we set $\sigma = \sqrt{Var(x)}$ (standard deviation). Then,

$$P[|X - E[X]| > r\sigma] \leq \frac{1}{r^2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} P[|X - E[X]| \geq a] &= P[(|X - E[X]|)^2 \geq a^2] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a^2} E[(X - E[X])^2] \\ &= \frac{Var(x)}{a^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Note. Example

Back to $\frac{k_1(n)}{n}$. $E[\frac{k_1(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{4}]$. What is $var(\frac{k_1(n)}{n})$?

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} Var(\frac{k_1(n)}{n}) &= \frac{1}{n^2} Var(k_1(n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} Var(\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{x_i=1}) \\ &\text{remember each roll } X_i \text{ is iid} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Var(1_{x_i=1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n [E[1_{x_i=1}^2] - E[1_{x_i=1}]^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n [\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{16}] \\ &= \frac{3}{16n}. \end{aligned}$$

□

1.1.3 Proof of law of large numbers

Recall that the law of large number states: Let X_1 be a discrete random variable with outcomes $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$, and let $k_i(n) = \{\text{number of times that } y_i \text{ appears in } n \text{ trials}\}$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\left\{\frac{k_1(n)}{n}\right\}_n \rightarrow P[X_i = y_i] \text{ in probability.}$$

Proof. Let $\frac{k_i(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \text{number of times } y_i \text{ shows up}$. Then, we can think of it as being equivalent to saying the following

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\frac{k_i(n)}{n}\right] &= \frac{1}{n} E\left[\sum_{j=1}^n 1_{x_j=y_i}\right] \\ &= P[X_i = Y_i]. \end{aligned}$$

So if the probability goes to 0, then the expected value goes to 0 as well. So all we need to show as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$P\left[\left|\frac{k_i(n)}{n} - E\left[\frac{k_i(n)}{n}\right]\right| < \varepsilon\right] \rightarrow 0.$$

By chebyslev's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left[\left|\frac{k_i(n)}{n} - E\left[\frac{k_i(n)}{n}\right]\right| > \varepsilon\right] &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \text{Var}\left(\frac{k_i(n)}{n}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 n^2} \text{Var}(k_i(n)) \\ \text{var}(k_i(n)) &= n \cdot \text{Var}(1_{x_i=y_i}) \\ &\leq \frac{n}{4} \\ P\left[\left|\frac{k_i(n)}{n} - E\left[\frac{k_i(n)}{n}\right]\right| > \varepsilon\right] &\leq \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2 n}. \end{aligned}$$

This goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$

□

Note. Random Walk Mistake

Consider a random walk $s_1 = 1, -1$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be iid sequence. Clearly, $E[S_i] = 0$. Now, denote $W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i$. Does the weak law of large numbers imply that $W_n \rightarrow 0$?

Proof. Lets compute $P[W_n = 0]$. realize that if n odd, then $W_n \neq 0$. So consider $P[W_{2n} = 0]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \{W_{2n}\} &\Leftrightarrow \text{steps with } +1 = \text{steps with } -1 \\ P[W_{2n} = 0] &= \binom{2n}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \\ &= \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2 4^n} \\ &\text{using sterling's approximation } n! \approx \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \cdot \sqrt{2\pi n} \\ &\approx \frac{\left(\frac{2n}{e}\right)^{2n} \sqrt{4\pi n}}{\left(\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi n}\right)^2 4^n} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{4\pi n}}{2\pi n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \\ &\rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

However, $W_n \not\rightarrow 0$ in probability (consider the random walks of stocks, they don't converge to 0)!!!! This is not a contradiction because the weak law of large numbers states that $\frac{W_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$ in probability not W_n !. In truth,

$$P\left[\left|\frac{W_n}{n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right] \rightarrow 0$$
$$P[|W_n| \geq \varepsilon n] \rightarrow 0.$$

□