# Analysis I - Hw 1

## Daniel Yu

September 23, 2024

#### 1 Problem 1

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space and E, a non-empty subset in X. Consider the new metric space,  $(E, \rho)$ . Prove that a set  $U \subseteq E$  is open in  $E \Leftrightarrow \exists$  an open set  $\tilde{U}$  in X such that  $U = \tilde{U} \cap E$ . Similarly, prove this for closed sets.

*Proof.*  $\to$  Assume that a set  $U \subseteq E$  is open in  $E \subseteq X$  with  $(X, \rho), (E, \rho)$  being the respective metric spaces. This means that  $\forall x \in U \exists r > 0$  such that

$$B_r(x) = \{ y \in E \mid \rho(x, y) < r \} \subseteq U.$$

If U is also open in X i.e.  $U = \tilde{U}$  then we are done. Assume that U is not also open in X. This means now that,  $\exists x \in U, \forall r_i > 0$ ,

$$B'_{r_i}(x) = \{ y \in X \mid \rho(x, y) < r \} \not\subseteq U.$$

This is only possible because for any  $B_{r_i}(x)' \setminus B_r(x) \subseteq X$  and  $B_{r_i}(x)' \setminus B_r(x) \not\subseteq U$ .

$$B_{r_i}(x)' \setminus B_r(x) \subseteq X \setminus U$$
.

and.

$$B_{r_i}(x)' \setminus B_r(x) \subseteq X \setminus E$$
.

so,

$$B_{r_i}(x)' \cap E = B_r(x).$$

Now, choose  $r \in \{r_1, r_2, \ldots\}$  where  $r_i > 0$ . Then,

$$\tilde{U} = B_r(x)' \cup U = B_r(x)' \cup (U \setminus B_r(x)).$$

is open. This can be seen because for any point  $y \in B_r(x)'$ , we can generate:

$$B_{r'}(y) = \{ z \in \tilde{U} \mid \rho(y, z) > r' = \rho(x, z) - r \}.$$

We know by the triangle inequality that

$$\rho(x, z) \le \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z)$$

$$< r + \rho(y, z)$$

$$\rho(y, z) > p(x, z) - r.$$

So, for any point in  $y \in B_r(x)'$  we can generate a new ball  $B_{r'}(y)$  so that:

$$B_{r'}(y) \subseteq B_r(x)' \subseteq \tilde{U}$$
.

Since we can do this for any  $x_j \in X$  such that  $\forall r_i > 0$ ,  $B'_{r_i}(x_j) = \{y \in X \mid \rho(x,y) < r\} \not\subseteq U$ . We will refine our construction to select  $\hat{r}_j \in \{r_1, \ldots\}$  for each of the balls above. Denote this set as  $B' = \{B_{\hat{r}_j}(x_j)'\}$ 

$$\tilde{U} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in B'} B_{\hat{r}_i}(x_j)' \cup U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in B'} B_{\hat{r}_i}(x_j)' \cup (U \setminus \bigcup_{x_j \in X} B_r(x_j)).$$

Since each of the balls  $B_{\hat{r}_j}(x_j)$  is open and the same argument follows that for any point we can take a ball of a small enough radius and since we know  $U \setminus B_r(x)$  contains only points with open balls, then the whole set  $\tilde{U}$  must be open. We know that each of the  $B_r(x)' \setminus B_r(x) \in X \setminus E$ , so:

$$\tilde{U} \cap E = B_r(x) \cup U \setminus B_r(x) = U.$$

 $\leftarrow$  Assume that  $\exists$  an open set  $\tilde{U} \subseteq X$  such that  $U = \tilde{U} \cap E$ . By set theory,  $U \subseteq E$ . We know that  $\tilde{U}$  is open, so

$$\forall x \in \tilde{U}, \exists r > 0$$
, such that  $B_r(x) \subseteq \tilde{U}$ .

Consider the ball  $B_r(x) \cap E$ , the intersection of the ball  $B_r(x) \subseteq X$  with E. We know that this intersection must be open in E because we are taking an open set in X and intersecting it with E which is open with respect to itself. Since  $B_r(x) \subseteq \tilde{U}$ , then  $B_r(x) \cap E \subseteq \tilde{U} \cap E = U$ . So for every  $x \in U$ ,  $\exists r > 0$  such that an open set is formed in E:

$$B_r(x) \cap E \subseteq U$$
.

 $U \subseteq E$  is open

Note. Intuition

Consider  $U \subseteq E$  an open set. This means that  $\forall x \in U \ \exists r > 0$  such that

$$B_r(x) \subseteq U$$
.

If we consider U in  $X \supseteq E$ , then U may not be necessarily be open in X because there may  $x' \in X$  but  $x' \notin E$  such that  $\exists B_r(x) \ \forall r > 0$  such that  $x' \in B_r(x)$ . Since  $B_r(x) \subseteq U \subseteq E$ , if  $x' \in B_r(x)$ , then:

$$B_r(x) \not\subseteq U$$
.

For example, let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , the closed interval [0,1) would not be open because there is no ball centered at  $B_r(0)$  of any radius greater than 0 that is a subset of [0,1). However, if we restrict X = [0,1), then [0,1) becomes open since  $B_r(0) = [0,r) \subseteq [0,1)$  when r < 1 (now the x < 0 don't exist). The idea is that we can find an open set  $\tilde{U}$  in X that is an analogue of U in E.

#### 2 Problem 2

Given  $K \subseteq E$ , then prove K is compact in  $E \Leftrightarrow K$  is compact in X.

*Proof.*  $\to$  If K is compact in  $E \subseteq X$ , then for any open cover  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}$  in E such that  $K \subseteq \cup_{\alpha \in I} U_{\alpha}$  that covers K and there is some finite subcover  $\{U_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2}, \dots, U_{\alpha_n}\} \subseteq E$  that covers K. Since  $E \subseteq X$ , we can use the statement from problem 1. For each open subset  $U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq E$ , there exists open subset  $U_{\beta_i} \subseteq X$  such that  $U_{\alpha_i} = U_{\beta_i} \cap E \to U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq U_{\beta_i}$ . So,

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha_i \in I_1} U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta_i \in I_1} U_{\beta_i}.$$

Thus, we can construct a finite subcover  $\{U_{\beta_1}, U_{\beta_2}, \dots, U_{\beta_n}\}$  of K in X. As any open cover of K in X can be restricted  $\{U_{\beta} \cap E\}_{\beta \in I}$  to be an open cover of K in E and any open cover of K in E can be augmented to be an open cover of K in X,  $\{\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I}, X \setminus E\}$ , then any open cover in X can be mapped to some open cover in E such that we can follow the construction above to create a finite subcover of K in X. So, K is compact in X.

 $\leftarrow$  Assume that K is compact in X and  $K \subseteq E$ . This means for any open cover of K in X:

$$\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in I}$$
.

there exists a finite subcover  $\{U_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, U_{\alpha_n}\}$  such that

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_1} U_\alpha \subseteq X$$
.

Then since  $E \subseteq X$ , we can use the statement from problem 1. For each open subset  $U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq X$ , there exists open subset  $U_{\beta_i} \subseteq E$  such that  $U_{\beta_i} = U_{\alpha_i} \cap E \to U_{\beta_i} \subseteq E$ . And since,  $K \subseteq E$ ,

$$U_{\alpha_i} \cap K \subseteq U_{\alpha_i} \cap E = U_{\beta_i}$$
.

so,

$$(\cup_{\alpha\in I_1} U_{\alpha_i}) \cap K = K \subseteq (\cup_{\alpha\in I_1} U_{\beta_i}) \cap E = \cup_{\beta\in I_1} U_{\beta_i}.$$

and  $\{U_{\beta_1}, \ldots, U_{\beta_n}\}$  is an finite subcover of K in E. Then the open cover of K in E would just be  $\{U_{\beta}\}_{{\beta}\in I}$ . As any open cover of K in X can be restricted  $\{U_{\alpha}\cap E\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$  to be an open cover of K in E and any open cover of K in E can be augmented to be an open cover of E in E, then for any open cover in E which can be mapped to some open cover in E, we can follow the construction above to create a finite subcover of E in E and E is compact.

### Due October 2nd