

# **Protocol Audit Report**

Prepared by: Tadeo

## **Table of Contents**

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
- High
- Medium
- Low
- Informational
- Gas

## **Protocol Summary**

This protocol allows you to store a private password that others won't be able to see. You can update your password at any time. This protocol is intended to be used by only one user.

### Disclaimer

The Tadeo team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

## Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
	High	Н	H/M	М
Likelihood	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

## **Audit Details**

#### The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash:

308aa5b3083f582747d660a385dcb48ecbcf1dfb

### Scope

```
./src/
└─ PasswordStore.sol
```

#### Roles

- Owner: The user who can set and read the password.
- Outsiders: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

# **Executive Summary**

It took 3 hours to audit the code, and 3 vulnerabilities were found in the code. The entire audit was done under manual review.

#### Issues found

Severity	Numbers of issues found		
High	2		
Mdium	0		
Low	0		
Info	1		
Total	3		

## **Findings**

### High

[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visable to anyone, and no longer private.

**Description:** All datat store on-chain is visable to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s\_password variable is intended to be a private variable and only accessed

through the PasswordStore::getPassword function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

Impact: Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

**Proof of Concept:** The below test case shows how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain.

- ▶ Code
  - 1. Create a locally running chain

make anvil

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

make deploy

3. Run the storage tool.

cast storage <ADDRESS\_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545

You'll get an output that looks like this:

You can then parse that hex to a string with:

And get an output of:

myPassword

**Recommended Mitigation:** Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access control, meaning a non-owner could change the password

**Description:** The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an external function, however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smartcontract is that This function allows only the owner to set a new password

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
@> //@audit - There are no access control
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

**Impact:** Anyone can set/change the password of the contract, severly breaking the contract intended fucntionality.

Proof of Concept: Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol test file

▶ Code

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
   vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
   vm.prank(randomAddress);
   string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword2";
   passwordStore.SetPassword(expectedPassword);

   vm.prank(owner);
   string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
   assertEq(expectedPassword,actualPassword);
}
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Add an access control conditional to the PasswordStore::setPassword function.

```
if(msg.sender != owner){
    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
}
```

#### Informational

[I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natsepc indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect.

#### **Description:**

```
/*
  * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
  * @param newPassword The new password to set.
  */
function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

The PasswordStore::getPassword function signature is getPassword() wich the natspec say it should be getPassword(string).

**Impact:** The natspec is incorrect.

**Recommended Mitigation:** Remove the incorrect natspec line.

```
- * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```