# CIT 596 Recitation Notes - Spring 2014

#### Honglin Zhang

Jan 30, 2014

## **Book List**

Introduction to the Theory of Computation, Third Edition [@sipser13].

### Week 1 – Jan 24, 2014

- 1. A finite automaton is a quin-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where
  - Q is a finite set called the states (why finite?)
  - $\Sigma$  is a finite set called the alphabet (advantage of finite? Induction)
  - $\delta \times \Sigma \to Q$  transition function
  - $q_0 \in Q$  start state
  - $F \subseteq Q$  set of final states (aka accept states) (asymmetric to starte state)
- 2. A language is called a regular language if some finite automaton recognizes it.
- 3. The set of regular languages is closed under such operations
  - $A \cup B = \{x | x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$
  - $A \circ B = \{xy | x \in A \text{ and } y \in B\}$
  - $A^* = \{x_1, \dots, x_k | k \ge 0 \text{ and } x_i \in A_i\}$
- 4. Briefly sketch the idea of DFA construction for union operation. Then illustrate by example the case for intersection. Also, point out the difference between union case and intersection case.
  - union case

$$-F = \{(r_1, r_2) | r_1 \in F_1 \text{ or } r_2 \in F_2\} = (F_1 \times Q_2) \times (Q_1 \times F_2)$$

• intersection case

$$-F = \{(r_1, r_2) | r_1 \in F_1 \text{ and } r_2 \in F_2\} = F_1 \times F_2$$

- 5. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 83] exercise 1.4 a.
  - This is beautiful! What are the final states? What about the union case?
- 6. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 83] exercise 1.4 e.
- 7. The reverse question: if a set of languages is closed under such operations, is that the set of regular languages we defined? Come back to this after NFA and regular expression.

### Week 2 – Jan 31, 2014

- 1. The difference between DFA and NFA
  - In NFA, a state may have zero, one or many existing arrows
  - In NFA, labels may contain members of the alphabet or  $\epsilon$
  - NFA may die (why? because  $\delta$  allows the mapping to  $\emptyset$ )
- 2. Formal definition of NFA, quin-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ 
  - Q a finite set of the states
  - $\Sigma$  a finite alphabet
  - $\delta: Q \times (\Sigma \cup {\epsilon}) \to 2^Q$  transition function
  - $q_0 \in Q$  is the start state
  - $F \subseteq Q$  is the set of accept states
- 3. Every NFA has an equivalent DFA. First sketch the basic idea. Then show by examples.
  - Observe the transition function defined for NFA. To make it look prettier, we lift  $\delta: Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \to 2^Q$  to  $\hat{\delta}: 2^Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \to 2^Q$ ,  $\hat{\delta}(R, a) = \bigcup_{r \in R} \delta(r, a)$ . We say  $\hat{\delta}$  is induced by  $\delta$ . Then rename the space  $2^Q$  to Q'.
  - Some other chores, start state and final states
  - Handle epsilon-transition. Treat states connected by epsilon transitions "equivalently", but btw epsilon-transition is not an equivalent relation (Why? Reflexivity, symmetry, transition?). When dealing with some state, aggregate all states attached to this one by epsilon relation. Namely, replace  $\delta(r,a)$  by  $E(\delta(r,a))$ .
- 4. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 86] exercise 1.16 (a)
- 5. NFA accepts it  $\Leftrightarrow L$  is regular (Why?)
  - L is regular iff there is a DFA accepting it
  - NFA can be converted to a DFA

- Is that sufficient? No, we also need to know that DFA can be converted to an NFA (but this is trivial)
- Then there exists a DFA iff there exists an NFA
- BTW is iff an equivalence relation? No, not reflexive.
- A direct corollary of the previous one
- 6. The set of regular languages is closed under union operation. Show by example
  - $\forall L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}, L_1 \cup L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$
- 7. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 85] exercise 1.9 (b)
  - The set of regular languages is closed under concatenation.
  - $\forall L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}, L_1L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$
  - Why should the example reject every string? Suppose not. Then let's assume it accepts string w. Then there must exist a partition w = xy such that x is accepted by the first one, and y accepted by the second one. Contradiction!

### Week 3 – Feb 7, 2014

- 1. How to derive epsilon closure?
  - Say programmatically. Step by step with BFS or DFS. (pseudo code?)
  - Look this up right now if you are not familiar with these concepts.
- 2. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 85] exercise 1.14
- 3. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 86] exercise 1.19
- 4. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 86] exercise 1.20
- 5. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 87] exercise 1.22
- 6. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 88] exercise 1.28
- 7. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 90] exercise 1.45
- 8. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 92] exercise 1.57
- 9. [Exercise] @sipser13 [p. 93] exercise 1.71