

## CS221 Fall 2018 - 2019 Homework 7

Name: Dat Nguyen

Date: 4/8/2019

By turning in this assignment, I agree by the Stanford honor code and declare that all of this is my own work.

### Problem 1: Warmup

(a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1 | D_2 = 0) \\ & \propto \mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1, D_2 = 0) \\ & = \sum_{C_1, D_1, C_3, D_3} \mathbb{P}(C_1) \mathbb{P}(D_1 | C_1) \mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1 | C_1) \mathbb{P}(D_2 = 0 | C_2 = 1) \mathbb{P}(C_3 | C_2 = 1) \mathbb{P}(D_3 | C_3) \\ & = \sum_{C_1} \mathbb{P}(C_1) \mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1 | C_1) \eta \\ & = 0.5 \times \epsilon \eta + 0.5 \times (1 - \epsilon) \eta \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get

$$\mathbb{P}(C_2 = 0 | D_2 = 0) \propto 0.5 \times \epsilon(1 - \eta) + 0.5 \times (1 - \epsilon)(1 - \eta)$$

Normalizing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1 | D_2 = 0) &= \frac{\epsilon \eta + (1 - \epsilon) \eta}{\epsilon \eta + (1 - \epsilon) \eta + \epsilon(1 - \eta) + (1 - \epsilon)(1 - \eta)} \\ &= \eta \end{aligned}$$

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1 | D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1) \\ & \propto \mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1, D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1) \\ & = \sum_{C_1, D_1, C_3} \mathbb{P}(C_1) \mathbb{P}(D_1 | C_1) \mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1 | C_1) \mathbb{P}(D_2 = 0 | C_2 = 1) \mathbb{P}(C_3 | C_2 = 1) \mathbb{P}(D_3 = 1 | C_3) \\ & = \sum_{C_1} \mathbb{P}(C_1) \mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1 | C_1) \mathbb{P}(D_2 = 0 | C_2 = 1) \sum_{C_3} \mathbb{P}(C_3 | C_2 = 1) \mathbb{P}(D_3 = 1 | C_3) \\ & = \mathbb{P}(D_2 = 0 | C_2 = 1) \sum_{C_1} \mathbb{P}(C_1) \mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1 | C_1) \sum_{C_3} \mathbb{P}(C_3 | C_2 = 1) \mathbb{P}(D_3 = 1 | C_3) \\ & = \eta \times 0.5 \times ((1 - \epsilon)(1 - \eta) + \epsilon \eta) \end{aligned}$$

Similary we get

$$\mathbb{P}(C_2 = 0|D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1) \propto (1 - \eta) \times 0.5 \times (\epsilon(1 - \eta) + (1 - \epsilon)\eta)$$

Normalizing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1) &= \frac{\eta((1 - \epsilon)(1 - \eta) + \epsilon\eta)}{\eta((1 - \epsilon)(1 - \eta) + \epsilon\eta) + (1 - \eta)(\epsilon(1 - \eta) + (1 - \epsilon)\eta)} \\ &= \frac{\eta - \eta^2 - \eta\epsilon + 2\eta^2\epsilon}{\epsilon + 2\eta - 4\eta\epsilon - 2\eta^2 + 4\epsilon\eta^2} \end{aligned}$$

(c) i

$$\mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0) = 0.2$$

$$\mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1) \approx 0.416$$

ii Adding second sensor reading  $D_3 = 1$  increases our belief about  $C_2 = 1$ . This coincides with our intuition that  $D_3 = 1$  makes it more likely for  $C_3 = 1$  which in turn makes it more likely for  $C_2 = 1$ .

iii From equations for  $\mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0) = 0.2$  and  $\mathbb{P}(C_2 = 1|D_2 = 0, D_3 = 1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\eta - \eta^2 - \eta\epsilon + 2\eta^2\epsilon}{\epsilon + 2\eta - 4\eta\epsilon - 2\eta^2 + 4\epsilon\eta^2} &= \eta \\ \frac{0.16 - 0.2\epsilon + 0.08\epsilon}{\epsilon + 0.4 - 0.8\epsilon - 0.08 + 0.16\epsilon} &= 0.2 \\ \frac{0.16 - 0.12\epsilon}{0.36\epsilon + 0.32} &= 0.2 \\ 0.16 - 0.12\epsilon &= 0.072\epsilon + 0.064 \\ \epsilon &= 0.5 \end{aligned}$$

Although observing  $D_3 = 1$  increases our belief about  $C_3 = 1$  but since  $\epsilon = 0.5$ ,  $C_3 = 1$  does not necessarily make it more likely that  $C_2 = 1$  has caused that.

## Problem 5: Which car is it?

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(C_{11}, C_{12} | E_1 = e_1) \\
& \propto \mathbb{P}(C_{11}, C_{12}, E_1 = e_1) \\
& \propto \mathbb{P}(C_{11}, C_{12}, (E_1, E_2) = (e_{11}, e_{12})) \\
& \propto 0.5 \times \mathbb{P}(C_{11}, C_{12}, (E_1, E_2) = (d_{11}, d_{12})) \\
& \quad + 0.5 \times \mathbb{P}(C_{11}, C_{12}, (E_1, E_2) = (d_{12}, d_{11})) \\
& \propto \mathbb{P}(C_{11}, C_{12} | (E_{11}, E_{12}) = (d_{11}, d_{12})) \\
& \quad + \mathbb{P}(C_{11}, C_{12} | (E_{11}, E_{12}) = (d_{12}, d_{11})) \\
& \propto \mathbb{P}(C_{11} | E_{11} = d_{11}) \mathbb{P}(C_{12} | E_{12} = d_{12}) \\
& \quad + \mathbb{P}(C_{11} | E_{12} = d_{11}) \mathbb{P}(C_{12} | E_{11} = d_{12}) \\
& \propto p(C_{11}) p_{\mathcal{N}}(E_{11}; \|e_{11} - c_{11}\|, \sigma^2) p(C_{12}) p_{\mathcal{N}}(E_{12}; \|e_{12} - c_{12}\|, \sigma^2) \\
& \quad + p(C_{11}) p_{\mathcal{N}}(E_{12}; \|e_{12} - c_{11}\|, \sigma^2) p(C_{12}) p_{\mathcal{N}}(E_{11}; \|e_{11} - c_{12}\|, \sigma^2)
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Let the optimal assignment for K cars be  $(o_{11}, o_{12}, \dots, o_{1K})$ . Using the same argument for K=2 above we arrive at the ditribution over K cars given the sensor reading

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(C_{11} = o_{11}, \dots, C_{1K} = o_{1K} | E_1 = e_1) \\
& \propto \sum_{e \in \text{Permutation}(e_1)} \prod_{k=1}^K p(o_{1k}) p_{\mathcal{N}}(e_{1k}; \|e_{1k} - o_{1k}\|) \\
& \propto \sum_{e \in \text{Permutation}(e_1)} p(o_{11})^K \prod_{k=1}^K p_{\mathcal{N}}(e_{1k}; \|e_{1k} - o_{1k}\|)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for any permutation of  $(o_{11}, o_{12}, \dots, o_{1K})$  we have the same distribution, which shows that there is at least  $K!$  number of assignments that obtain the maximum value of that distribution.

(c)

(d) Forward message

Let  $p(c_{ti} = j|e_1, \dots, e_t) = \alpha_{ti}(j)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
p(c_{ti}|e_1, \dots, e_t) &\propto \sum_{c_{t-1,i}} p(c_{ti}, c_{t-1,i}, e_1, \dots, e_t) \\
&\propto \sum_{c_{t-1,i}} p(c_{t-1,i}, e_1, \dots, e_{t-1}) p(c_{ti}|c_{t-1,i}) p(e_t|c_{ti}, c_{t-1,i}, e_1, \dots, e_{t-1}) \\
&\propto \sum_{c_{t-1,i}=a} \alpha_{t-1,i}(a) p(c_{ti}|c_{t-1,i}) \sum_{m=1}^K \frac{1}{K} \left( p(e_{tm}|O = m, c_{ti}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. p(e_{t \setminus m}|O = m, c_{ti}, c_{t-1,i}, e_1, \dots, e_{t-1}) \right) \\
&\propto \sum_{c_{t-1,i}=a} \alpha_{t-1,i}(a) p(c_{ti}|c_{t-1,i}) \sum_{m=1}^K \mathbb{1}(e_{tm} = c_{ti}) \times L \\
&\propto \sum_{c_{t-1,i}=a} \alpha_{t-1,i}(a) p(c_{ti}|c_{t-1,i}) \sum_{m=1}^K \mathbb{1}(e_{tm} = c_{ti})
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
p(c_{1i}|e_1) &\propto p(c_{1i}, e_1) \\
&\propto \sum_{m=1}^K p(c_{1i}, e_1, O = m) \\
&\propto \sum_{m=1}^K p(e_1|c_{1i}, O = m) p(c_{1i}, O = m) \\
&\propto \sum_{m=1}^K \mathbb{1}(e_{1m} = c_{1i}) p(O = m|c_{1i}) p(c_{1i}) \\
&\propto p(c_{1i}) \sum_{m=1}^K \mathbb{1}(e_{1m} = c_{1i})
\end{aligned}$$

Backward message

Let  $p(e_{t+1:T}|c_{ti} = j, e_{1:t}) = \beta_j(t+1)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
p(e_{t+1:T}|c_{ti}, e_{1:t}) &= \sum_{c_{t+1,i}} p(e_{t+1:T}, c_{t+1,i}|c_{ti}, e_{1:t}) \\
&= \sum_{c_{t+1,i}} p(e_{t+1}, e_{t+2:T}, c_{t+1,i}|c_{ti}, e_{1:t}) \\
&= \sum_{c_{t+1,i}} p(e_{t+2:T}|e_{t+1}, c_{t+1,i}, c_{ti}, e_{1:t})p(e_{t+1}|c_{t+1,i}, c_{ti}, e_{1:t})p(c_{t+1,i}|c_{ti}, e_{1:t}) \\
&= \sum_{c_{t+1,i}} p(e_{t+2:T}|e_{1:t+1}, c_{t+1,i})p(c_{t+1,i}|c_{ti})p(e_{t+1}|c_{t+1,i}, e_{1:t}) \\
&= \sum_{c_{t+1,i}} \beta(t+1)p(c_{t+1,i}|c_{ti}) \sum_{m=1}^K p(e_{t+1}, O = m|c_{t+1,i}, e_{1:t}) \\
&= \sum_{c_{t+1,i}} \beta(t+1)p(c_{t+1,i}|c_{ti}) \sum_{m=1}^K \frac{1}{K} p(e_{t+1,m}|O = m, c_{t+1,i}, e_{t+1,\setminus m}, e_{1:t}) \\
&\quad p(e_{t+1,\setminus m}|O = m, c_{t+1,i}, e_{1:t}) \\
&\propto \sum_{c_{t+1,i}} \beta(t+1)p(c_{t+1,i}|c_{ti}) \sum_{m=1}^K \mathbb{1}(e_{t+1,m} = c_{t+1,i}) \times L \\
&\propto \sum_{c_{t+1,i}} \beta(t+1)p(c_{t+1,i}|c_{ti}) \sum_{m=1}^K \mathbb{1}(e_{t+1,m} = c_{t+1,i})
\end{aligned}$$

And we let  $\beta_j(T+1) = 1$

After calculating the forward and backward message for  $c_{ti}$ , we multiply them together to arrive at

$$p(c_{ti}|e_{1:t})p(e_{t+1:T}|c_{ti}, e_{1:t}) = p(e_{t+1:T}, c_{ti}|e_{1:t}) \propto p(c_{ti}|e_{1:T})$$