Haskelleene

a very Haskell implmentation of automata, regular expressions, and Kleene's algorithm

Liam Chung, Brendan Dufty, Lingyuan Ye

31st May, 2024

Table of Contents

Introduction

Finite Automata

Regular Expressions

Kleene's Theorem

Table of Contents

Introduction

Finite Automata

Regular Expressions

Kleene's Theorem

Introduction

"Automata are pretty cool."

- Liam Chung

Table of Contents

Introduction

Finite Automata

Regular Expressions

Kleene's Theorem

What is an automaton?

An basic version of a state machine. It takes inputs from some *alphabet*, moving between *states* that may or may not *accept*.

What is an automaton?

An basic version of a state machine. It takes inputs from some *alphabet*, moving between *states* that may or may not *accept*.

➤ a state in a deterministic automaton accepts a word if that words leads to an accepting state.

What is an automaton?

An basic version of a state machine. It takes inputs from some *alphabet*, moving between *states* that may or may not *accept*.

- ➤ a state in a deterministic automaton accepts a word if that words leads to an accepting state.
- ▶ a state in a non-deterministic automaton accepts a word if there exists a path to an accepting state.

Examples

A deterministic automaton:

$$b \stackrel{a}{\smile} 1 \stackrel{a}{\smile} 2^* \stackrel{a}{\smile} a$$

Examples

A deterministic automaton:

$$b \stackrel{a}{\smile} 1 \stackrel{a}{\smile} 2^* \stackrel{a}{\smile} a$$

A non-deterministic one:

Given an automaton, a starting state, and an input word. . .

```
run :: DetAut 1 s -> s -> [1] -> s
run _ s0 [] = s0
run da s0 (w:ws) = run da (delta da w s0) ws
acceptDA :: (Eq s) => DetAut 1 s -> s -> [1] -> Bool
acceptDA da s0 w = run da s0 w 'elem' accept da
```

Given an automaton, a starting state, and an input word. . .

```
run :: DetAut 1 s -> s -> [1] -> s
run _ s0 [] = s0
run da s0 (w:ws) = run da (delta da w s0) ws
acceptDA :: (Eq s) => DetAut 1 s -> s -> [1] -> Bool
acceptDA da s0 w = run da s0 w 'elem' accept da
```

...it's that simple! Mostly due to how much work we put into encoding automata.

Given an automaton, a starting state, and an input word. . .

```
run :: DetAut 1 s -> s -> [1] -> s
run _ s0 [] = s0
run da s0 (w:ws) = run da (delta da w s0) ws
acceptDA :: (Eq s) => DetAut 1 s -> s -> [1] -> Bool
acceptDA da s0 w = run da s0 w 'elem' accept da
```

...it's that simple! Mostly due to how much work we put into encoding automata.

Unfortunately, running NAs is less simple.

General Idea

Searching paths in a finite graph in general requires a lot of computational resources. However, we do not need to output the whole path, thus we only keep track of how states transform.

General Idea

Searching paths in a finite graph in general requires a lot of computational resources. However, we do not need to output the whole path, thus we only keep track of how states transform.

Say we run the input ba on the previous example:

Active states: ([ba], 1).

General Idea

Searching paths in a finite graph in general requires a lot of computational resources. However, we do not need to output the whole path, thus we only keep track of how states transform.

Say we run the input ba on the previous example:

Active states: ([a], 3), ([ba], 2).

General Idea

Searching paths in a finite graph in general requires a lot of computational resources. However, we do not need to output the whole path, thus we only keep track of how states transform.

Say we run the input ba on the previous example:

Active states: ([a], 3), ([a], 2), ([ba], 4).

General Idea

Searching paths in a finite graph in general requires a lot of computational resources. However, we do not need to output the whole path, thus we only keep track of how states transform.

Say we run the input *bca* on the previous example:

Active states: ([a], 3), ([], 3), ([a], 4), ([ba], 4).

Haskell Implementation of Semantics for NA

The function runNA is defined as follows:

Here the function epReachable calculates states reachable from the current one via ϵ -transitions.

Equivalence between DA and NA

Evidently, any DA is a NA. On the other hand, we can simulate running NA deterministically, basically via the same idea as runNA:

- States are subsets of states of a NA.
- ▶ A subset is accepting iff it contains some accepting state.
- ▶ Under an input I, a subset transforms to those states reachable from some state via I (with ϵ -transitions).

Haskell Implementation

```
fromNA :: (Alphabet 1, Ord s) =>
          NDetAut 1 s -> DetAut 1 (Set.Set s)
fromNA nda = DA { states = Set.toList dasts
                , accept = Set.toList $ Set.filter
                    acchelp dasts
                , delta = fromTransNA ntrans
  where ndasts = nstates nda
        dasts = Set.powerSet $ Set.fromList ndasts
        ndaacc = naccept nda
        acchelp set = not $ Set.disjoint set
                          $ Set.fromList ndaacc
        ntrans = ndelta nda
fromTransNA :: (Alphabet 1, Ord s) =>
               (Maybe 1 -> s -> [s]) -> 1 -> Set.Set s ->
                    Set.Set s
fromTransNA ntrans sym set = result
  where starts = listUnions (epReachable ntrans) set
        step = listUnions (ntrans $ Just sym) starts
        result = listUnions (epReachable ntrans) step
        listUnions f input = Set.unions $ Set.map Set.
            fromList $ Set.map f input
```

Table of Contents

Introduction

Finite Automata

Regular Expressions

Kleene's Theorem

What is a regular expression?

A "finite representation" of a potentially infinite language:

N.B. not the same as the commonly known, "programmer's" regular expression!

Implementing semantics

How to check if a word is in the language described by a Regex?

These cases are not so bad!

Seq and Star cases

Table of Contents

Introduction

Finite Automata

Regular Expressions

Kleene's Theorem

Theorem

The following are equivalent, for a language $l \in \mathcal{P}(X)$:

it is represented by a state in a finite DA

Theorem

The following are equivalent, for a language $I \in \mathcal{P}(X)$:

- it is represented by a state in a finite DA
- ▶ it is represented by a state in a finite NA

Theorem

The following are equivalent, for a language $l \in \mathcal{P}(X)$:

- it is represented by a state in a finite DA
- it is represented by a state in a finite NA
- ▶ it is represented by a regular expression

Theorem

The following are equivalent, for a language $l \in \mathcal{P}(X)$:

- it is represented by a state in a finite DA
- it is represented by a state in a finite NA
- it is represented by a regular expression

in such a case, the language I is called regular.