

My Report

Me

Friday 10th May, 2024

Abstract

We give a toy example of a report in *literate programming* style. The main advantage of this is that source code and documentation can be written and presented next to each other. We use the `listings` package to typeset Haskell source code nicely.

Contents

1	How to use this?	2
2	The most basic library	2
3	Wrapping it up in an executable	3
4	Simple Tests	4
5	Conclusion	4
	Bibliography	4

1 How to use this?

To generate the PDF, open `report.tex` in your favorite LaTeX editor and compile. Alternatively, you can manually do `pdflatex report; bibtex report; pdflatex report; pdflatex report` in a terminal.

You should have stack installed (see <https://haskellstack.org/>) and open a terminal in the same folder.

- To compile everything: `stack build`.
- To open ghci and play with your code: `stack ghci`
- To run the executable from Section 3: `stack build && stack exec myprogram`
- To run the tests from Section 4: `stack clean && stack test --coverage`

2 The most basic library

This section describes a module which we will import later on.

```
module Basics where

import Control.Monad.State
import qualified Control.Category as Cat

type AState = Int -- maybe wrap in constructor
type Output = Bool -- maybe wrap in constructor
type Letter = Int -- maybe wrap in constructor

type DTrans = State AState Output -- StateT with Identity monad
type NTrans = StateT AState [] Output

-- easy composition of deterministic transitions
-- LY: this is the same as (>>)
-- (<.>) :: DTrans -> DTrans -> DTrans
-- (<.>) a b = a >>= const b -- ignore the output of the intermediate state

-- want to make the above work with this typeclass
-- LY: Category in haskell is defined to accept a kind (* -> * -> *), while DTrans has kind
--      *
-- instance Category DTrans where
--   id = StateT $ \s -> (val s, s)
--   (.) = (>>)

-- easy shorthand for defining transitions
-- LY: Please change this name to something else. Better: This really sounds like (<$>).
l :: (AState -> AState) -> DTrans
l f = StateT $ \s -> return (val (f s), (f s))

-- good for testing
showRun :: AState -> DTrans -> String
showRun s t = show $ runState t s

type Valuation = AState -> Output -- could also do set of AState

-- for the moment our state set is N, and all states > 10 are accepting

val :: Valuation
val x = x > 10
```

```

-- make a plus transition
plus :: Int -> DTrans
plus = \x -> 1 (+x)
-- pTrans = 1 $ (+)

-- make a subtraction transition
-- LY: VERY VERY VERY BAD name for this, this shadows ALL the s appearing in this file!
-- Haskell does not evaluate from top to bottom! I also change the previous short names...
-- s :: Int -> DTrans
-- s = \x -> 1 (subtract x)
subt :: Int -> DTrans
subt = \x -> 1 (subtract x)

-- big list of transitions
tlist = [(subt 3), (plus 2), (plus 3), (subt 10), (plus 19)]

-- here's how we can compose em all together
composed = foldr (>>) (1 id) tlist

test :: String
test = showRun 9 composed
-- initial state is 9

data DetAut = DA { states :: [AState]
                  , delta :: Letter -> DTrans }

type AutData = ( [AState]           -- all states
                , [AState]         -- accepting states
                , [(AState, Letter, AState)] -- transitions

-- can it define a deterministic automaton? (totality of transition)
safetyCheck :: AutData -> Bool
safetyCheck = undefined

-- contingent on passing safetyCheck, make it into a DA
encodeDA :: AutData -> Maybe DetAut
encodeDA d | safetyCheck d = Just undefined
           | otherwise = Nothing

-- make it into an NA
-- encodeNA :: AutData -> NDetAut
-- encodeNA = undefined

newtype NDetState s a = NST { run :: s -> [(a, s)] }

-- s -> [(a,s)]
-- NTrans b = ST $ s ->

--
-- ~> for transitioning states?

```

3 Wrapping it up in an executable

We will now use the library from Section 2 in a program.

```

module Main where

import Basics

main :: IO ()
main = do
  putStrLn "Hello!"

```

We can run this program with the commands:

```
stack build
stack exec myprogram
```

4 Simple Tests

We now use the library QuickCheck to randomly generate input for our functions and test some properties.

```
module Main where

import Basics

import Test.Hspec
import Test.QuickCheck
```

The following uses the HSpec library to define different tests. Note that the first test is a specific test with fixed inputs. The second and third test use QuickCheck.

```
main :: IO ()
main = hspec $ do
  describe "Basics" $ do
    it "somenumbers should be the same as [1..10]" $
      somenumbers `shouldBe` [1..10]
    it "if n > - then funnyfunction n > 0" $
      property (\n -> n > 0 ==> funnyfunction n > 0)
    it "myreverse: using it twice gives back the same list" $
      property $ \str -> myreverse (myreverse str) == (str::String)
```

To run the tests, use `stack test`.

To also find out which part of your program is actually used for these tests, run `stack clean && stack test`. Then look for “The coverage report for ... is available athtml” and open this file in your browser. See also: https://wiki.haskell.org/Haskell_program_coverage.

5 Conclusion

Finally, we can see that [LW13] is a nice paper.

References

- [LW13] Fenrong Liu and Yanjing Wang. Reasoning about agent types and the hardest logic puzzle ever. *Minds and Machines*, 23(1):123–161, 2013.