Project Euler #207: Integer Partition | HackerRank challenges

For some positive integers k, there exists an integer partition of the form $4^t = 2^t + k$, where 4^t , 2^t and k are all positive integers and t is a real number.

The first two such partitions are $4^1 = 2^1 + 2$ and $4^{1.58496...} = 2^{1.58496...} + 6$.

Partitions where *t* is also an integer are called *perfect*.

For any m > 1 let P(m) be the proportion of such partitions that are perfect with $k \le m$.

Thus P(6) = 1/2.

In the following table are listed some values of

```
P(5) = 1/1
```

P(10) = 1/2

P(15) = 2/3

P(20) = 1/2

P(25) = 1/2

P(30) = 2/5

...

P(180) = 1/4

P(185) = 3/13

Find the smallest m for which P(m) < a/b.

Input Format

First line of each test file contains a single integer q that is the number of queries per test file. q lines follow, with two integers a and b separated by a single space on each.

Constraints

- $1 \le q \le 3 \cdot 10^5$
- $1 \le a < b \le 10^{18}$

Output Format

Print exactly q lines with an answer for the corresponding query on each.

Sample Input 0

2

23

9 20

Sample Output 0

6

30

Explanation 0

P(2) = P(3) = P(4) = P(5) = 1/1 > 2/3, but P(6) = 1/2 < 2/3, therefore, an answer for the first query is 6. P(30) = 2/5, which is the first value less than 9/20 among all P(m) where $1 < m \le 30$.

Solution

Algebraic analysis

$$4^{t} = 2^{t} + k \Rightarrow 4^{t} - 2^{t} - k = 0 \Rightarrow (2^{t})^{2} - 2^{t} - k = 0 \xrightarrow{2^{t} = x} x^{2} - x - k = 0 \Rightarrow x = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4k}}{2} \xrightarrow{x = 2^{t} > 0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{x = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4k}}{2}} \tag{1}$$

For $2^t \equiv x$ to be an integer, the above square root must be an odd integer. So, if we define

 $sqroot = \sqrt{1+4k}$ (2), the integer partitions occur for sqroot = 1, 3, 5, For the shake of clarity, any integer (at the problem is represented with m) at the position of k can result to a partition which is not necessarily an integer partition (2^t is not necessarily an integer), but k numbers exclusively result to integer partitions.

Every time 2^t is incremented by one (meaning a next integer partition occurs), the sum of partitions is incremented by one, too. So, a partition counter, k counter, progresses the same as 2^t. But, as stated at the description of the problem, 1^{st} partition occurs for t=1, thus 1^{st} count for the k_counter happens when $2^t=1$ $2^1 = 2$, so a minus 1 is needed to calibrate k_counter. Consequently:

$$k_counter = 2^t - 1 \xrightarrow{(1),(2)} k_counter = \frac{1 + sqroot}{2} - 1$$
(3)

Calculating t:

$$2^{t} = x = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4k}}{2} \stackrel{(2)}{\Rightarrow} t = \log_{2}(1 + sqroot)$$
 (4)

Now, for a perfect partition to occur, t has to be an integer. Therefore, 1 + sqroot (this sum is always even) has to be a power of 2 (or a point which the order of magnitude of the binary system changes). So, likewise, every time t is incremented be one, the sum of perfect partitions, perf_counter, is incremented by one, too. Therefore:

$$perf_counter = \log_2(1 + sqroot) - 1$$
 (5)

To sum up, the variables of the problem are:

- $sqroot = \sqrt{1+4k} = 2(k_counter + 1) 1 = 2^{perf_counter+1} 1$
- $\mathbf{k} = \frac{sqroot^2 1}{4} \stackrel{\text{(3)}}{=} k_counter(k_counter + 1)$
- $t = \log_2(1 + sqroot)$
- $k_counter = \frac{sqroot+1}{2} 1$
- $perf_counter = log_2(1 + sqroot) 1 = t 1$ $P(k) = \frac{perf_counter}{k_counter}$
- the in query input, which is stated as (double)a/b

The following table demonstrates the progress of the variables for the first 20 perfect partitions.

| perf_counter | k_counter | Р | P//N | sqroot | prev P | prev P | k |
|--------------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 1/1 | 1 | 3 | | | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 2/3 | 0.666666667 | 7 | 1/2 | 0.500000000 | 12 |
| 3 | 7 | 3/7 | 0.428571429 | 15 | 2/6 | 0.333333333 | 56 |
| 4 | 15 | 4/15 | 0.266666667 | 31 | 3/14 | 0.214285714 | 240 |
| 5 | 31 | 5/31 | 0.161290323 | 63 | 4/30 | 0.133333333 | 992 |
| 6 | 63 | 6/63 | 0.095238095 | 127 | 5/62 | 0.080645161 | 4032 |
| 7 | 127 | 7/127 | 0.055118110 | 255 | 6/126 | 0.047619048 | 16256 |
| 8 | 255 | 8/255 | 0.031372549 | 511 | 7/254 | 0.027559055 | 65280 |
| 9 | 511 | 9/511 | 0.017612524 | 1023 | 8/510 | 0.015686275 | 261632 |
| 10 | 1023 | 10/1023 | 0.009775171 | 2047 | 9/1022 | 0.008806262 | 1047552 |
| 11 | 2047 | 11/2047 | 0.005373718 | 4095 | 10/2048 | 0.004887586 | 4192256 |
| 12 | 4095 | 12/4095 | 0.002930403 | 8191 | 11/4094 | 0.002686859 | 16773120 |
| 13 | 8191 | 13/8191 | 0.001587108 | 16383 | 12/8190 | 0.001465201 | 67100672 |
| 14 | 16383 | 14/16383 | 0.000854544 | 32767 | 13/16382 | 0.000793554 | 268419072 |
| 15 | 32767 | 15/32767 | 0.000457778 | 65535 | 14/32766 | 0.000427272 | 1073709056 |
| 16 | 65535 | 16/65535 | 0.000244144 | 131071 | 15/65534 | 0.000228889 | 4294901760 |
| 17 | 131071 | 17/131071 | 0.000129701 | 262143 | 16/131070 | 0.000122072 | 17179738112 |
| 18 | 262143 | 18/262143 | 0.000068665 | 524287 | 17/262142 | 0.000064850 | 68719214592 |
| 19 | 524287 | 19/524287 | 0.000036240 | 1048575 | 18/524286 | 0.000034332 | 2.74877E+11 |
| 20 | 1048575 | 20/1048575 | 0.000019074 | 2097151 | 19/1048574 | 0.000018120 | 1.09951E+12 |

Code strategy

There are 3 programs presented. The 1^{st} is a super simple but super slow loop, the 2^{nd} is a significant faster and interesting Linked List implementation and the 3^{rd} is a fast and slightly more complex solution, which passes the 2sec timeout mark at all tests.

Having a quick peek at the above table, it is clear that a fast solution should loop through perf_counter, which evolves in a logarithmic manner. This will be the 3rd implementation, but let's keep it simple at first.

1st implementation

The program loops through sqroot, incrementing by two at each step, which is the same as looping through the consequent partitions (or k_counter counts), and checks if there is a perfect partition (whether t is an integer). Finally, at every step program checks if the fraction perf_counter/k_counter is less than the in query portion.

You can refer to "Project_Euler_207-Integer_Partition-super_simple.cpp" at the src directory.

2nd implementation

The basic notion is to save any found partitions, so as to search through them first when working with a new query, without recalculating them, resulting to a faster code. If the solution isn't found, the program will continue iterating through the square roots, starting from the last one listed, while listing new partitions. This can be implemented with other stl data structures , too, like queue or vector.

See "Project_Euler_207-Integer_Partition-linkedList.cpp" at the src directory.

3rd implementation

As mentioned above, the fastest solution is to loop through perfect partitions, namely, through perf_counter. Notice that the fractions that correspond to perfect partitions are sorted descending, thus every such fraction is the representative of the set that extends to the previous "perfect" fraction. So, while iterating through perf_counter, the answer will lie at the first set whom the representative fraction is lesser than the query. In other words, instead of iterating through every single partition, the search body is divided in a logarithmic manner, and the program iterates through the fractions that represent those regions. To be fully correct, the real representative is not the "perfect fraction", but the immediately previous fraction (prev P at the table):

because the -1 numerator is more significant from the -1 denominator, in that proportion. So, in reality the program iterates through the immediately previous fractions.

Every such set is defined by fractions with constant numerator (perf_counter - 1) and descending denominator (k_counter). Thus, to find the fraction that is smaller than the query, we can iterate in the set. But, there is a faster method. While the numerator is fixed we can find the almost equivalent to the query fraction:

$$denominator = \frac{perf_counter - 1}{query}$$

or $denominator \equiv k_counter = ceil((double)(perf_counter-1) / ((double)a/b))$

This leeds to 3 options:

- 1. if $\frac{perf_counter-1}{denominator} < query$ \rightarrow print the k that corresponds to this k_counter
- 2. if $\frac{perf_counter-1}{denominator} = query$ \rightarrow print the k that corresponds to the next k_counter
- 3. if denominator lies on perf partition \rightarrow print the k that corresponds to this k_counter + 2

At last, notice that the printed variable k is the one that scales up the fastest (k increases with the square of k_counter) and, because of the constraints of the problem, it even overflows the 64bit integer type. Therefore, an 128bit integer must be printed, a type which is not supported inherently by C++, thus an implicit method is implemented as follows:

The equation is:

$$k = k_counter(k_counter + 1)$$

As an example, let k_counter be 12121 and the divider 100.

- Just before overflow occurs (perf_counter > 32) k_counter is partitioned in two segments, leading and trailing, which are the quotient (k_counter / divider) and the remainder(k_counter % devider), respectively, as we divide with a suitable constant (divider) green 121 and red 21, respectively.
- 2. Next, we multiply each with (k_counter + 1)
- 3. Then, we partition the same way the trailing red 62 in the box is the trailing of trailing*k_counter and this will be the trailing of the output.
- 4. To form the leading of the output, we add leading*k_counter (1466762) with the leading of trailing*k_counter (2545).

5. Final output is the printf of leading (1469307) + printf of trailing (62).

```
\begin{array}{r}
12122 \\
 \times 12121 \\
\hline
12122 \\
 + 24244 \\
 \hline
254562 \\
12122 \\
 24244 \\
 + 12122 \\
\hline
1466762 \\

\end{array}

return \longrightarrow 146930762
```

See "Project_Euler_207-Integer_Partition.cpp" at the src directory.