

1.3/18 $(\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$ is a tautology?

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$	$(\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$
T	T	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	F
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

\Rightarrow not a tautology

1.3/21 Show that $p \leftrightarrow q$ and $(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$ are logically equivalent.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \leftrightarrow q$	$p \wedge q$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$	$(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
T	T	F	F	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	F	T	T

$\Rightarrow p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$

1.3/26

$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)$ (Thm 1 \rightarrow)

$\equiv \neg p \vee (q \wedge r)$ (Distributes laws)

$\equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$ (Thm 1 \rightarrow)

1.4/9

$P(x)$: "x can speak Russian"

$Q(x)$: "x knows the computer language C++"

Domain x is all students at school.

a) There is a student at your school who can speak Russian and who knows C++.

$$\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$$

b) There is a student at your school who can speak Russian but who doesn't know C++

$$\exists x (P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$$

c) Every student at your school either can speak Russian or knows C++

$$\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$$

d) No student at your school can speak Russian or knows C++

$$\forall x \neg (P(x) \vee Q(x))$$

1.4/12: $Q(x)$: " $x+1 > 2x$ ". Domain: all integers

a) $Q(0)$: T

f) $\exists x \neg Q(x)$: T

b) $Q(-1)$: T

g) $\forall x \neg Q(x)$: F

c) $Q(1)$: F

d) $\exists x Q(x)$: T

e) $\forall x Q(x)$: F

(5)

1.4/25

Domain: all people

$P(x)$: x is perfect
 $Q(x)$: x is your friend

a) No one is perfect

$$\forall x \neg P(x)$$

b) Not everyone is perfect

$$\neg \forall x P(x)$$

c) All your friend are perfect

$$\forall x (Q(x) \rightarrow P(x))$$

d) At least one of your friends is perfect

$$\exists x (Q(x) \wedge P(x))$$

e) Everyone is your friend and is perfect

$$\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$$

f) Not everybody is your friend or someone is not perfect.

$$(\neg \forall x Q(x)) \vee (\exists x \neg P(x))$$

1.4/46 Whether $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ and $(\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x))$ are \equiv ?

Counterexample: $P(x): x \geq 0$

Domain: \mathbb{R}

$Q(x): x \geq 1$

$\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x)$ is true

$\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ is false

$$\Rightarrow \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \not\equiv (\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x))$$

1.5/24

Domain: all real number

a) $\exists x \forall y (x+y = y)$

There is a real number x such that for every real number y , the sum x and y equals y .

b) $\forall x \forall y ((x > 0) \wedge (y < 0)) \rightarrow (x-y > 0)$

For all real number x and y , If x is greater than 0 and y is less than 0, then the difference between x and y is positive.

c) $\exists x \exists y ((x \leq 0) \wedge (y \leq 0)) \wedge (x-y > 0)$

There is exist real numbers x and y such that both x and y are less than or equal to 0, and the difference between x and y is positive

d) $\forall x \forall y ((x \neq 0) \wedge (y \neq 0)) \leftrightarrow (x \cdot y \neq 0)$

For all real number x and y , x and y are both non-zero if and only if the product of x and y is non-zero.

1.5/30

a) $\forall x \forall y \neg P(x, y)$

b) $\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$

c) $\forall y (\neg Q(y) \vee \exists x R(x, y))$

d) $\forall y (\forall y \neg R(x, y) \wedge \exists x \neg S(x, y))$

$$e) \forall y (\exists x \forall z \neg T(x, y, z) \wedge \forall y \exists z \neg U(x, y, z))$$

1.5/48 Show that $\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) \equiv \forall x \forall y (P(x) \vee Q(y))$

$$+ \forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) \Rightarrow \forall x \forall y (P(x) \vee Q(y))$$

Assume $\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)$

If $\forall x P(x)$, then for every x , $P(x)$ is true

- Now consider any y in the domain. Since $P(x)$ is true for every x , $P(y)$ must also be true.

- Therefore, $P(x) \vee Q(y)$ is true for every $x \in y$, which means $\forall x \forall y (P(x) \vee Q(y))$ holds.

If $\forall x Q(x)$, then for every x , $Q(x)$ is true

- Similarly, considering any y in the domain, $Q(y)$ must also be true.

Hence, $P(x) \vee Q(y)$ is true for every x and y , implying $\forall x \forall y (P(x) \vee Q(y))$

$$+ \forall x \forall y (P(x) \vee Q(y)) \Rightarrow \forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)$$

Assume $\forall x \forall y (P(x) \vee Q(y))$

Consider any x in the domain. For this x , $P(x) \vee Q(y)$ holds for every y .

If we set $y = x$, then $P(x) \vee Q(x)$ must be true.

Since $P(x) \vee Q(x)$ hold for every x .

Therefore, $\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) \equiv \forall x \forall y (P(x) \vee Q(y))$