

Assignment Web Similarity Analysis

Generated on 2025-03-23 00:31:08

Executive Summary

Overall Web Similarity Score: 50%

Assessment: ``json { "overall_similarity_score": 15, "similarity_assessment": "Low overall similarity. Some matches are due to common phrases in engineering reports and standard MATLAB/Simulink code structures, while others are exact matches to the student's own information (name, ID). A small portion appears to be potentially copied code.", "detailed_matches": [{ "assignment_text": "EE 5351 : CONTROL SYSTEMS DESIGN", "source_url": null, "source_text": null, "similarity"

Conclusion: due to the specific values and code structure, it is inconclusive whether this constitutes plagiarism without seeing more context or similar examples online. The identical parameter values are particularly suspicious. Further investigation is needed to determine if these sections were adapted from online resources or developed independently. Overall, it is likely that the assignment is mostly original work with some potential issues regarding proper attribution for the code components." } ``

Web Sources Analyzed

Source URL	Similarity Score
https://escholarship.org/content/qt7cn177dx/qt7cn177dx_noSplash_4d09c11f5f805d9310fb0a05e435682c.pdf	43.56%
https://huggingface.co/datasets/allenai/CoSyn-400K/viewer/chart/train?p=1	21.87%
https://matplotlib.org/3.0.3/Matplotlib.pdf	2.46%
https://dokumen.pub/graphics-and-guis-with-matlab-third-edition-3nbsped-1584883200-9781584883208.html	1.58%
https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/43495833.pdf	1.25%

Detailed Content Matches

No specific content matches were identified.

Full Assignment with Highlighted Plagiarism

Sections highlighted in yellow with red text indicate potential plagiarism.

EE 5351 : CONTROL SYSTEMS DESIGN

LABORATORY 01

NAME

REG No

: BALASOORIYA JM

: EG/2021/4424

GROUP No

DATE

: CE 07

: 03/04/2025

Table 1: Summative Laboratory Form

Semester

Module Code

Module Name

Lab Number

Lab Name

Lab Conduction date

Report Submission date

05

EE 5351

Control System Design

01

Laboratory Session-1

05/11/2024

04/03/2024

List of Figures

Figure 1: QUBEServo3 DC motor and load

6

Figure 2: Time Domain Response of $\omega(t)$ (MATLAB)

8

Figure 3: Time Domain Response of $\omega(t)$ (Simulink)

8

Figure 4: Simulink Q1(v)

9

Figure 5: Simulink Q1(VIII)

11

Figure 6 : Time domain speed response when input voltage 3V

12

Figure 7 : Graph of Comparison negligible rotor inductance and applied 3V

12

Figure 8 : Graph of steady state error($K_p=1$)

13

Figure 9 : Graph of steady state error($K_p=1.25$)

13

Figure 10 : Graph of steady state error($K_p=1.5$)

14

Figure 11 : Graph of steady state error($K_p=1.75$)

15

Figure 12 : Graph of steady state error($K_p=2$)

16

List of Tables

Table 1: Summative Laboratory Form

Table 2 : QUBEServo3 parameter

Table 3 : Result Comparison

2

6

12

CONTENT

01.

Observation

6

02.

Calculation

7

03.

Reference

17

01.Observation

Figure 1: QUBEServo3 DC motor and load

Table 2 : QUBEServo3 parameter

Terminal Resistance (R_m)

Rotor inductance(L_m)

Equivalent rotor inertia(J_{eq})

Torque constant(k_t)

Voltage constant (km)

8.4Ω

1.16 mH

2.09×10⁻⁵ kgm²

0.042Nm/A

0.042 Nm/A

02.Calculation

Q1)

i)

Dynamic Equation for DC motor and load

■

$$= \blacksquare \blacksquare + \blacksquare$$

■

$$= \blacksquare \blacksquare$$

■

$$= \blacksquare$$

■

$$= \blacksquare \blacksquare$$

ii)

Transfer function

ω(s)

$$\blacksquare \blacksquare (\blacksquare)$$

ω(s)

$$\blacksquare \blacksquare (\blacksquare)$$

ω(s)

$$\blacksquare \blacksquare (\blacksquare)$$

■m(s)

$$\blacksquare \blacksquare (\blacksquare)$$

■m(s)

$$\blacksquare \blacksquare (\blacksquare)$$

$$+ \blacksquare$$

■ ■

$$\{ \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare [\blacksquare \blacksquare + \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare] + \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \}$$

0.042

$$=$$

$$\{ 2.09 \times 10^{-5} \blacksquare [8.4 + 1.16 \times 10^{-3} \blacksquare] + 0.042 \times 0.042$$

0.042

$$=$$

$$2.424 \times 10^{-5} \blacksquare + 17.556 \times 10^{-5} \blacksquare + 1.764 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$=$$

■ ■

$$\frac{0.042}{0.042}$$

0.042

=

$$2.424 \times 10^{-3} + 1.7556 \times 10^{-3} + 1.764 \times 10^{-3}$$

=

iii)

Obtain the domain speed response

MATLAB code

% Parameters

Rm = 8.4; % Terminal resistance (Ohms)

Lm = 1.16e-3; % Rotor inductance (H)

Jeq = 2.09e-5; % Equivalent inertia (kg*m^2)

kt = 0.042; % Torque constant (Nm/A)

km = 0.042; % Voltage constant (V/rad/s)

% Transfer function for speed control

num = kt;

den = [Jeq*Lm, Jeq*Rm, kt*km];

sys = tf(num, den);

% Simulate step response for 3V input

input_voltage = 3; % Applied voltage

t = 0:0.001:1; % Time vector

[u, t] = step(input_voltage * sys, t);

figure;

plot(t, u, 'LineWidth', 1.5, 'Color', 'b'); % Improved aesthetics

title('Time domain speed response', 'FontWeight', 'bold');

xlabel('Time (s)', 'FontSize', 12);

ylabel('Speed (rad/s)', 'FontSize', 12);

grid on;

xlim([0, 1]); % Ensure the time axis is within range

ylim([0, max(u) * 1.1]); % Adjust y-axis for better visualization

legend('time domain speed response');

Figure 2: Time Domain Response of $\omega(t)$ (MATLAB)

Figure 3: Time Domain Response of $\omega(t)$ (Simulink)

iv)

Transfer function (negligible rotor inductance)

$\omega(s)$

$$\frac{0.042}{0.042}$$

$\omega(s)$

$$\frac{0.042}{0.042}$$

■

$$\{ \frac{0.042}{0.042} + \frac{0.042}{0.042} \}$$

0.042

=

$$2.09 \times 10^{-5} \times 8.4 + 0.042 \times 0.042$$

=

$\frac{1}{s}m(s)$

$\frac{1}{s^2}m(s)$

$\frac{1}{s}m(s)$

$\frac{1}{s^2}m(s)$

$v)$

$\frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s}\{ \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s} \}$

0.042

=

$1.7556 \times 10^{-4} + 1.764 \times 10^{-4}$

=

Simulink

Figure 4: Simulink Q1(v)

vi)

State Space Model (armature current and rotor speed)

$\frac{1}{s^2}$

= -

$\frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s} -$

$\frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s} +$

$\frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s}$

$[\frac{1}{s}]$

$\frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s} + 0 \times \frac{1}{s} + 0 \times \frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s}$

$-\frac{1}{s}$

$-\frac{1}{s}$

1

$\frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s}$

= $\frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{s} + 0 \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s}$

$\frac{1}{s}$

0 $\frac{1}{s}$

■ ■

■

-7241.38 -36.21

.

=

+

2009.57

0

= [0 1]

+ [0] ■ ■

vii)

State Space Model (rotor position and rotor speed)

■ ■

■

■ ■

■

=(

= 0 × ■ ■ + ■ + 0 × ■

■ ■ ■

■

■

= 0 × ■ -(

)+(

)

■ ■

■ ■

0

1

0

■ ■

■ ■

-■

■

= 0

+ ■

■

■ ■

■ ■

■ ■

■ ■

■

0

1

=

+

■

■

.

0 –10.05

[■] = [1 0]

+[0] ■

■

■■

viii)

Plot the time domain speed responses

Figure 5: Simulink Q1(VIII)

Q2)

i)

Obtain time response

Figure 6 : Time domain speed response when input voltage 3V

ii)

Compare Results

Figure 7 : Graph of Comparison negligible rotor inductance and applied 3V

Table 3 : Result Comparison

Steady state speed

Based on simplified transfer function

Match with real behavior for 3v input.

Rise time

Determine simplified dynamics(J_{eq} , R_m , Reflect actual damping and delay present In kt) to be optimistic.

QUBEServo3.

Settling time

Simplified model response faster
without external disturbances.

Simulink model for actual motor inertia and
damping. It potentially showing longer
settling time

3)

i)

$K_p=1$

Figure 8 : Graph of steady state error($K_p=1$)

ii)

According to the Figure 8,

Overshoot

=

Steady state error

iii)

(

)

=

.

=

$$1 - 0.938 = 0.062$$

$$\times 100$$

$$\times 100 = 33.5\%$$

$$K_p = 1.25$$

Figure 9 : Graph of steady state error($K_p=1.25$)

According to the Figure 9

Steady state error

Overshoot

=

$$1 - 1.012$$

=

$$0.012$$

=

.

=

$$37.4\%$$

$$\times 100\%$$

$$K_p = 1.5,$$

Figure 10 : Graph of steady state error($K_p=1.5$)

According to the Figure 10

Overshoot

Steady state error

=

.

=

$$40.5 \%$$

=

$$1 - 1.009$$

=

$$0.009$$

$$\times 100$$

$$K_p=1.75$$

Figure 11 : Graph of steady state error($K_p=1.75$)

According to the Figure 12

Steady state error

$$= 1 - 0.9603$$

$$= 0.0397$$

Overshoot

=

.

$$=44.2\%$$

$$\times 100$$

$$K_p=2$$

Figure 12 : Graph of steady state error($K_p=2$)

According to the figure

Steady state error

Overshoot

=

$$1 - 0.9633$$

=

$$0.0367$$

=

.

=

$$46.6\%$$

$$\times 100$$

03.Reference

[1] MATLAB. [Online]. Available:

<https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/answers/2000762-how-toconvert-state-space-to-transfer-function>.

[2] "Science Direct," [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/engineering/steady-state-error>.

[3] "Quanser," [Online]. Available: https://docs.quanser.com/quarc/documentation/qube_servo3_usb.html.

Analysis Methodology

Web Similarity Analysis Method: This report analyzes the similarity between a student assignment and web content using multiple approaches:

1. **Basic similarity analysis** using TF-IDF vectorization and cosine similarity metrics to calculate statistical similarity between texts.
2. **Advanced semantic analysis** using Google's Gemini AI to identify conceptual similarities, common phrases, and potential plagiarism patterns.
3. **Source verification** by analyzing multiple sources to distinguish between common knowledge and unique content.

Interpretation Guide:

- 0-15%: Very low similarity - Likely original content
- 16-30%: Low similarity - Contains common phrases but largely original
- 31-50%: Moderate similarity - May contain some paraphrased content
- 51-70%: High similarity - Contains substantial similar content
- 71-100%: Very high similarity - Significant portions may be unoriginal

Disclaimer: This automated similarity analysis provides an approximation of content similarity against web sources. Results should be interpreted by a human reviewer for context-appropriate assessment. Common knowledge, standard phrases, and coincidental matches may be flagged and require human judgment.