22CST53 - THEORY OF COMPUTATION												
Programme & Branch	B.E. – Computer Science and Engineering	Sem.	Category	L	т	Р	Credit					
Prerequisites	NIL	5	PC	3	1	0	4					
Preamble	The course helps the learners to know formal languages and their recognizer computer science. This can be applied i	rs and to famil	liarize students	with	the fou	ndations a	and principles					
Unit – I	Formal proof and Automata											
(NFA) - Equiva	ormal proof – Finite Automata (FA) – Dete lence between NFA and DFA – Finite A I minimization of automata.											
Unit – II	Regular Expressions and properties	of regular lan	guages				9+3					
	pion – Equivalence of finite automata and tre properties of regular languages.	regular expres	ssions – Provin	g lan	guages	not to be r	egular (Pump					
Unit – III Context Free Grammars and Push Down Automata(PDA)												
			i(i D /i)				9+3					
Context-Free Gr pushdown auton	│ ammar (CFG) – Parse trees – Ambiguity i nata (PDA) – Languages of PDA – Equival	in grammars a	nd languages.	Push rmini	Down A	utomata – ndown Aut	Definition of					
Context-Free Gr pushdown auton	_ ammar (CFG) – Parse trees – Ambiguity i	in grammars a lence of PDA a	nd languages.	Push ermini	Down Ai stic Pusl	utomata – ndown Aut	Definition of					
Unit – IV Normal forms fo CFL – Turing m Language acce (subroutines).	ammar (CFG) – Parse trees – Ambiguity in ata (PDA) – Languages of PDA – Equival Context Free Languages and Turing I r CFG – Chomsky Normal Form and Greil achines: Basic model – definition and repropression of the computer of	in grammars a lence of PDA a Machines bach Normal F resentation – I	nd languages. Ind CFG – Dete	ermini g lem Descr	stic Pusl ma for 0 iption –T	CFL – Clos	Definition of omata. 9+3 sure propertie diagram for T					
Unit – IV Normal forms fo CFL – Turing management	ammar (CFG) – Parse trees – Ambiguity in ata (PDA) – Languages of PDA – Equival Context Free Languages and Turing If a CFG – Chomsky Normal Form and Greil achines: Basic model – definition and representations.	in grammars a lence of PDA a Machines bach Normal F resentation – I	nd languages. Ind CFG – Dete	ermini g lem Descr	stic Pusl ma for 0 iption –T	CFL – Clos	Definition of omata. 9+3 sure propertie diagram for T					
Unit – IV Normal forms fo CFL – Turing manage acce (subroutines). Unit – V language that is	ammar (CFG) – Parse trees – Ambiguity in ata (PDA) – Languages of PDA – Equival Context Free Languages and Turing I r CFG – Chomsky Normal Form and Greil achines: Basic model – definition and repropression of the computer of	in grammars a lence of PDA a Machines bach Normal Fresentation – Integer function	nd languages. and CFG – Dete	g lem Descr nming	stic Pusl ma for (iption –T g technic Jndecida aveling (CFL - Clos ransition of the company	Definition of omata. 9+3 Sure propertie diagram for Truring maching m					
Unit – IV Normal forms fo CFL – Turing manage acce (subroutines). Unit – V Ianguage that is machine – Post's	ammar (CFG) – Parse trees – Ambiguity in ata (PDA) – Languages of PDA – Equival Context Free Languages and Turing I r CFG – Chomsky Normal Form and Greil achines: Basic model – definition and repiptance by TM – TM as Computer of Undecidability not Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An united to the computer of the c	in grammars a lence of PDA a Machines bach Normal Fresentation – Integer function	nd languages. and CFG – Dete	g lem Descr nming	stic Pusl ma for (iption –T g technic Jndecida aveling (CFL - Clos ransition of the company	Definition of omata. 9+3 Sure propertie diagram for Tile Turing machi 9+3 Sms about Tu					
Dushdown auton Unit – IV Normal forms fo CFL – Turing m. Language acce (subroutines). Unit – V language that is machine – Post's TEXT BOOK: Hopcrof	ammar (CFG) – Parse trees – Ambiguity in ata (PDA) – Languages of PDA – Equival Context Free Languages and Turing I r CFG – Chomsky Normal Form and Greil achines: Basic model – definition and representation by TM – TM as Computer of Undecidability not Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduction and Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduction and Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduction and Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduction and Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduction and Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduction and Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduction and Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduction and Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduction and Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduction and Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduction and Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The Correspondence	in grammars a lence of PDA a Machines bach Normal F resentation — l Integer function undecidable pr P and NP —Kru	nd languages. Ind CFG – Dete	g lem Descr nming	ma for (iption –Tg technic	CFL - Clostransition of the company	Definition of omata. 9+3 Sure propertie diagram for Tiring machi 9+3 Sms about Turing roblem. Problem.					
Unit – IV Normal forms fo CFL – Turing m. Language acce (subroutines). Unit – V language that is machine – Post's TEXT BOOK: 1. Hopcrof Pearson REFERENCES:	ammar (CFG) – Parse trees – Ambiguity in ata (PDA) – Languages of PDA – Equival Context Free Languages and Turing I r CFG – Chomsky Normal Form and Greil achines: Basic model – definition and representation by TM – TM as Computer of Undecidability not Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduct Education, New Delhi, 2011.	in grammars a lence of PDA a Machines bach Normal Fresentation — Integer function and the presentation of	nd languages. and CFG – Determined CFG – Pumping nstantaneous Eons – Program roblem that is Fiskal's algorithm	g lem Descr nming	ma for Ciption –Tg technic	CFL - Clostransition of the computation of the comp	Definition of omata. 9+3 Sure propertie diagram for Truring machi 9+3 Sms about Turing machi Problem. prial:15, Total					
Unit – IV Normal forms fo CFL – Turing m. Language acce (subroutines). Unit – V language that is machine – Post's TEXT BOOK: 1. Hopcrof Pearson REFERENCES:	ammar (CFG) – Parse trees – Ambiguity in ata (PDA) – Languages of PDA – Equival Context Free Languages and Turing I r CFG – Chomsky Normal Form and Greil achines: Basic model – definition and representation by TM – TM as Computer of Undecidability not Recursively Enumerable (RE) – An use correspondence problem – The classes I t J.E., Motwani R. & Ullman J.D., "Introduct Education, New Delhi, 2011.	in grammars a lence of PDA a Machines bach Normal Fresentation — Integer function and the presentation of	nd languages. and CFG – Determined CFG – Pumping nstantaneous Eons – Program roblem that is Fiskal's algorithm	g lem Descr nming	ma for Ciption –Tg technic	CFL - Clostransition of the computation of the comp	Definition of omata. 9+3 Sure propertie diagram for Truring machi 9+3 Sms about Turing machi Problem. prial:15, Total					

COURS On com	BT Mapped (Highest Level)		
CO1	design finite automata for the regular languages	Applying(K3)	
CO2	construct regular expression for the regular languages	Applying(K3)	
CO3	demonstrate the recognition of context free languages using push down automata	Applying(K3)	
CO4	construct Turing Machine to accomplish specific task and argue formally about its correctness	Applying(K3)	
CO5	make use of Turing machines to distinguish decidable / undecidable problems	Applying(K3)	

Mapping of COs with POs and PSOs

COs/ POs	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	PO9	PO10	PO11	PO12	PSO1	PSO2
CO1	3	2	1										3	1
CO2	3	2	1										3	1
CO3	3	2	1										3	1
CO4	3	2	1										3	1
CO5	3	2	1										3	1

1 – Slight, 2 – Moderate, 3 – Substantial, BT- Bloom's Taxonomy

ASSESSMENT PATTERN – THEORY

Test / Bloom's Category*	Remembering (K1) %	Understanding (K2) %	Applying (K3) %	Analyzing (K4) %	Evaluating (K5) %	Creating (K6) %	Total %
CAT1	-	30	70				100
CAT2	-	30	70				100
CAT3	-	40	60				100
ESE	-	25	75				100

* ±3% may be varied (CAT 1, 2, 3 – 50 marks & ESE – 100 marks)