

Name: Tharun

RollNo: 2303A52386

Lab 9

Task 1: Documentation – Function Summary Generation

Prompt

"Read the following Python code. For each function defined in the script, generate a concise summary describing its purpose. Insert these summaries as docstrings immediately after the function definition. Do not describe the code line-by-line, just the intent."

Code (Input)

```
def calculate_average(grades):
    if not grades:
        return 0
    return sum(grades) / len(grades)

def determine_status(average):
    if average >= 40:
        return "Pass"
    else:
        return "Fail"
```

Output (AI Generated)

```
def calculate_average(grades):
    """
    Calculates the arithmetic mean of a list of numerical grades.
    Returns 0 if the list is empty to prevent division by zero errors.
    """
    if not grades:
        return 0
    return sum(grades) / len(grades)

def determine_status(average):
```

```
"""
```

```
Evaluates whether a given average score qualifies as a 'Pass' or 'Fail'  
based on a threshold of 40.
```

```
"""
```

```
if average >= 40:  
    return "Pass"  
else:  
    return "Fail"
```

Explanation

In this task, the AI analyzed the function signatures and bodies. For `calculate_average`, it identified that the math operation was finding a mean and noted the edge case handling for empty lists. For `determine_status`, it correctly identified the logic branching (pass/fail) and the threshold value. The AI inserted these as standard Python docstrings ("""..."""), which is the conventional way to document functions.

Task 2: Documentation – Logical Explanation for Conditions and Loops

Prompt

"Analyze the following Python code. Add comments explaining only the decision-making logic (if/else) and the loop behavior. Do not explain basic syntax like variable assignment."

Code (Input)

```
students = [{"name": "Alice", "score": 85}, {"name": "Bob", "score": 30}, {"name": "Charlie",  
"score": 45}]
```

```
for student in students:  
    if student["score"] >= 75:  
        print(f"{student['name']} gets Distinction")  
    elif student["score"] >= 40:  
        print(f"{student['name']} Passed")  
    else:  
        print(f"{student['name']} Failed")
```

Output (AI Generated)

```
students = [{"name": "Alice", "score": 85}, {"name": "Bob", "score": 30}, {"name": "Charlie", "score": 45}]
```

```
# Iterate through each dictionary in the students list to process grades individually
for student in students:
```

```
    # Check if the score is 75 or higher to award Distinction
    if student["score"] >= 75:
        print(f"{student['name']} gets Distinction")
    # If not distinction, check if score is at least 40 to grant a Pass
    elif student["score"] >= 40:
        print(f"{student['name']} Passed")
    # Fallback for any score below 40, resulting in a Fail
    else:
        print(f"{student['name']} Failed")
```

Explanation

The AI successfully ignored the definition of the list `students`. It focused specifically on the `for` loop, explaining that it iterates through records. It then broke down the `if/elif/else` block, explaining the business logic (Distinction vs. Pass vs. Fail) rather than simply stating "if score is greater than 75". This helps a reader understand *why* the check exists, not just what it does.

Task 3: Documentation – File-Level Overview

.

Prompt

"Read the code below and generate a high-level file header docstring. It should summarize the file's overall purpose and functionality. Place it at the very top of the code."

Code (Input)

(Using the combined code from previous tasks)

```
import math

def calculate_area(radius):
    return math.pi * radius * radius
```

```
def calculate_circumference(radius):
    return 2 * math.pi * radius

def main():
    r = 5
    print(f"Area: {calculate_area(r)}")
    print(f"Circumference: {calculate_circumference(r)}")

if __name__ == "__main__":
    main()
```

Output (AI Generated)

```
"""
```

Circle Geometry Calculator Module

This script provides utility functions to calculate geometric properties of a circle, specifically its area and circumference, based on a given radius.

It includes a main execution block to demonstrate usage with a sample radius.

```
"""
```

```
import math
```

```
def calculate_area(radius):
    return math.pi * radius * radius
# ... rest of code ...
```

Explanation

The AI scanned the entire file and synthesized a summary. It identified that the file isn't just random math, but specifically "Circle Geometry". It correctly noted the presence of utility functions and a main execution block. This provides a developer opening the file with immediate context before they read a single line of actual code.

Task 4: Documentation – Refine Existing Documentation

Prompt

"The following code has poor quality comments. Rewrite the comments to be professional, clear, and consistent. Do not change the code itself."

Code (Input)

```
def process_data(data):
    # check if data is there
    if not data:
        return None

    # make list empty
    result = []

    # loop loop
    for item in data:
        # math stuff
        result.append(item * 2)

    return result
```

Output (AI Generated)

```
def process_data(data):
    # Validate input: return None immediately if the data list is empty or None
    if not data:
        return None

    # Initialize container for processed values
    result = []

    # Iterate through each item in the input data
    for item in data:
        # Apply transformation: double the value of the item
        result.append(item * 2)

    return result
```

Explanation

The original comments were extremely unhelpful ("math stuff", "loop loop"). The AI replaced them with professional technical writing. "check if data is there" became "Validate input...". "math stuff" became "Apply transformation: double the value...". This transforms the code from amateurish to professional quality documentation without altering the logic.

Task 5: Documentation – Prompt Detail Impact Study

Code (Input)

```
def fetch_user(user_id, db_conn):
    query = f"SELECT * FROM users WHERE id = {user_id}"
    return db_conn.execute(query)
```

Prompt A (Brief)

"Add a docstring to this function."

Prompt B (Detailed)

"Generate a Google-style docstring for this function. Include sections for Args (listing parameters and types), Returns (describing output), and a Warning about any security risks visible in the code."

Output Comparison

Feature	Output A (Brief Prompt)	Output B (Detailed Prompt)
Docstring	"""Fetches a user from the database using their ID."""	(See full block below)
Completeness	Low. Only describes the basic action.	High. Includes params, returns, and security warnings.
Clarity	Simple, but misses context.	Very clear structure.
Accuracy	Accurate summary.	Accurate summary + identifies SQL injection risk.

Output B (Actual Result):

```
def fetch_user(user_id, db_conn):
    """
    Fetches user details from the database.
```

Args:

user_id (int): The unique identifier of the user.

db_conn (object): The active database connection object.

Returns:

cursor: The result of the database query execution.

Warning:

This function constructs a raw SQL query using f-strings and is highly vulnerable to SQL Injection attacks.

"""

```
query = f"SELECT * FROM users WHERE id = {user_id}"
```

```
return db_conn.execute(query)
```

Explanation

This comparison demonstrates that "Zero-shot" or brief prompting often yields generic results suitable for quick comments. However, "Context-based" or detailed prompting (Prompt B) forces the AI to structure the output specifically (Google-style) and can even prompt it to analyze code quality (security warnings). The detailed prompt resulted in documentation that serves not just to explain the code, but to warn future developers of critical flaws.