

## Peer Assessment 1: The Problem and the Early Solution

This Assessment Document is intended to provide you and your assessor with an overview of each team member's involvement in the preparation of CSC2045's First Deliverable, the report entitled *The Problem and the Early Solution*.

Each team should complete one Assessment Document and its content must be agreed by all team members. The completed form should be included as hard copy at the start of your team's report. **Don't forget to fill in the Group Number and Group Name.**

There are two main parts to the Assessment Document – the Evaluation and the Declaration. Both parts must be completed – otherwise your team's report will not be marked. Arrange a team meeting to discuss the evaluation and see the note below!

Evaluation      Group Number: 51      Group Name: Black Hat Hackers				
Name	Contribution of time and effort <sup>1</sup>	Contribution to team-working and motivation <sup>1</sup>	Contributions to this deliverable <sup>1,2</sup>	Peer Score (Range 85 – 115)
Daniel Nelis	5	5	4	113
Peter Gilfedder	5	5	4	113
Phillip Murphy	5	5	5	115
Michael McKeown	4	4	4	109
Kalvin Johnston	4	4	5	111
Aimee Millar	4	3	4	107

<sup>1</sup>Values: 1 = Less than average; 2 = Slightly less than average; 3 = Average; 4 = Slightly more than average; 5 = More than average

<sup>2</sup>This value should consider contributions in the round – direct contributions to required deliverables, and contributions that have made the deliverables possible.

Declaration		
"I declare that I have read the Queen's University regulations on plagiarism, and that any contribution I have made to the attached submission is my own original work, except for any elements that I have clearly attributed to third parties. I understand that this submission will be subject to an electronic test for plagiarism and will also be subject to the University's regulations concerning late submission if it is received after the deadline."		
Name	Date	Confirmation ( <i>use the words shown in the example below!</i> )
Daniel Nelis	6/12/18	I agree to the terms of the declaration
Peter Gilfedder	06/12/18	I agree to the terms of the declaration
Phillip Murphy	6/12/18	I agree to the terms of the declaration
Michael McKeown	6/12/18	I agree to the terms of the declaration
Kalvin Johnston	06/12/18	I agree to the terms of the declaration
Aimee Millar	6/12/18	I agree to the terms of the declaration

### A note on the Evaluation:

The Contribution columns in the Evaluation table are intended to help team members quantify each other's input to the First Deliverable, before they award agreed Peer Scores. There will not necessarily be a precise correlation between the Peer Score and the Contribution values. However, high Contribution scores, as an indicator of the importance of the team member's work to the success of the project, should normally result in a high Peer Score for a team member. Likewise a low Peer Score would be the expected outcome if Contribution values are low.

Each team member's overall score for the First Deliverable will be calculated according to the following formula, where  $S_i$  is Team Member  $i$ 's overall score,  $P_i$  is the peer score received by Team Member  $i$ ,  $N$  is the number of members in the team, and  $M$  is the raw mark awarded to the report by the assessor.

$$S_i = \frac{P_i}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N P_j} \times M$$

The following guidelines will help you award appropriate peer scores. If the team agrees that Team Member 1's overall contribution to the First Deliverable was much weaker than the average contribution, a peer score of 85 would be appropriate for Team Member 1. If Team Member 1's contribution was much stronger than average, consider a peer score of 115. If Team Member 1 did what was expected and shared the effort equally with their fellow team members, they could expect to receive a Peer Score of 100. Any mark within the range 85 – 115 will normally be accepted by the module Lecturer. Marks outside this range may require that the Team discuss its decision with the module Lecturer or Teaching Associate, in order to agree a fair distribution of marks. Where team members cannot agree a distribution, the module Lecturer's judgement will be final. **Please inform the module Lecturer if a team member has left your group or has ceased to play an active role in the group.**