Least squares problems

The least squares problem (simplest version)

Definition 1

Given a collection of points $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$, find the coefficients a, b of the line y = a + bx such that

$$\|\mathbf{e}\| = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 + \dots + \varepsilon_n^2} = \sqrt{(y_1 - \tilde{y}_1)^2 + \dots + (y_n - \tilde{y}_n)^2}$$

is minimal, where $\tilde{y}_i = a + bx_i$ for i = 1, ..., n

We just saw how to solve this by brute force using a genetic algorith to minimise $\|e\|$, let us now see how to solve this problem "properly"

For a data point $i = 1, \ldots, n$

$$\varepsilon_i = y_i - \tilde{y}_i = y_i - (a + bx_i)$$

So if we write this for all data points,

$$\varepsilon_1 = y_1 - (a + bx_1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\varepsilon_n = y_n - (a + bx_n)$$

In matrix form

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}$$

with

$$\mathbf{e} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The least squares problem (reformulated)

Definition 2 (Least squares solutions)

Consider a collection of points $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$, a matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_{mn}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. A least squares solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is a vector $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t.

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \|\mathbf{b} - A\tilde{\mathbf{x}}\| \le \|\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}\|$$

Needed to solve the problem

Definition 3 (Best approximation)

Let V be a vector space, $W \subset V$ and $\mathbf{v} \in V$. The **best** approximation to \mathbf{v} in W is $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in W$ s.t.

$$\forall \mathbf{w} \in W, \mathbf{w} \neq \tilde{\mathbf{v}}, \quad \|\mathbf{v} - \tilde{\mathbf{v}}\| < \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|$$

Theorem 4 (Best approximation theorem)

Let V be a vector space with an inner product, $W \subset V$ and $\mathbf{v} \in V$. Then $\operatorname{proj}_W(\mathbf{v})$ is the best approximation to \mathbf{v} in W

Let us find the least squares solution

 $\forall \mathbf{x} \mathbb{R}^n$, $A\mathbf{x}$ is a vector in the **column space** of A (the space spanned by the vectors making up the columns of A)

Since
$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
, $A\mathbf{x} \in \text{col}(A)$

 \implies least squares solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is a vector $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \operatorname{col}(A)$ s.t.

$$\forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathsf{col}(A), \quad \|\mathbf{b} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}\| \le \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

This looks very much like Best approximation and Best approximation theorem

Putting things together

We just stated: The least squares solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ is a vector $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \text{col}(A)$ s.t.

$$\forall \mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{col}(A), \quad \|\mathbf{b} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}\| \le \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y}\|$$

We know (reformulating a tad):

Theorem 5 (Best approximation theorem)

Let V be a vector space with an inner product, $W \subset V$ and $\mathbf{v} \in V$. Then $\operatorname{proj}_W(\mathbf{v}) \in W$ is the best approximation to \mathbf{v} in W, i.e.,

$$\forall \mathbf{w} \in W, \mathbf{w} \neq \operatorname{proj}_W(\mathbf{v}), \quad \|\mathbf{v} - \operatorname{proj}_W(\mathbf{v})\| < \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|$$

$$\implies W = \operatorname{col}(A), \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{b} \text{ and } \tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \operatorname{proj}_{\operatorname{col}(A)}(\mathbf{b})$$

So if $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is a least squares solution of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, then

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = A\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathsf{proj}_{\mathsf{col}(A)}(\mathbf{b})$$

We have

$$\mathbf{b} - A\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b} - \operatorname{proj}_{\operatorname{col}(A)}(\mathbf{b}) = \operatorname{perp}_{\operatorname{col}(A)}(\mathbf{b})$$

and it is easy to show that

$$\operatorname{perp}_{\operatorname{col}(A)}(\mathbf{b}) \perp \operatorname{col}(A)$$

So for all columns a_i of A

$$\mathbf{a}_i \cdot (\mathbf{b} - A\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = 0$$

which we can also write as $\mathbf{a}_{i}^{T}(\mathbf{b} - A\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = 0$

For all columns a_i of A,

$$\mathbf{a}_i^T(\mathbf{b}-A\tilde{\mathbf{x}})=0$$

This is equivalent to saying that

$$A^{T}(\mathbf{b} - A\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \mathbf{0}$$

We have

$$A^{T}(\mathbf{b} - A\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \mathbf{0} \iff A^{T}\mathbf{b} - A^{T}A\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\iff A^{T}\mathbf{b} = A^{T}A\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$$
$$\iff A^{T}A\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = A^{T}\mathbf{b}$$

The latter system constitutes the **normal equations** for $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$

Least squares theorem

Theorem 6 (Least squares theorem)

 $A \in \mathcal{M}_{mn}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then

- 1. $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ always has at least one least squares solution $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$
- 2. $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ least squares solution to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \iff \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is a solution to the normal equations $A^T A \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = A^T \mathbf{b}$
- 3. A has linearly independent columns \iff A^TA invertible. In this case, the least squares solution is unique and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \left(A^T A\right)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{b}$$

We have seen 1 and 2, we will not show 3 (it is not hard)

Suppose we want to fit something a bit more complicated..

For instance, instead of the affine function

$$y = a + bx$$

suppose we want to do the quadratic

$$y = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2$$

or even

$$y = k_0 e^{k_1 x}$$

How do we proceed?

Fitting the quadratic

We have the data points $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ and want to fit

$$y = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2$$

At (x_1, y_1) ,

$$\tilde{y}_1 = a_0 + a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_1^2$$

:

At (x_n, y_n) ,

$$\tilde{y}_n = a_0 + a_1 x_n + a_2 x_n^2$$

In terms of the error

$$\varepsilon_1 = y_1 - \tilde{y}_1 = y_1 - (a_0 + a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_1^2)$$

 \vdots
 $\varepsilon_n = y_n - \tilde{y}_n = y_n - (a_0 + a_1 x_n + a_2 x_n^2)$

i.e.,

$$e = b - Ax$$

where

$$\mathbf{e} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 6 applies, with here $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n3}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Fitting the exponential

Things are a bit more complicated here

If we proceed as before, we get the system

$$y_1 = k_0 e^{k_1 x_1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_n = k_0 e^{k_1 x_n}$$

 $e^{k_1x_i}$ is a nonlinear term, it cannot be put in a matrix

However: take the In of both sides of the equation

$$\ln(y_i) = \ln(k_0 e^{k_1 x_i}) = \ln(k_0) + \ln(e^{k_1 x_i}) = \ln(k_0) + k_1 x_i$$

If $y_i, k_0 > 0$, then their In are defined and we're in business...

$$\ln(y_i) = \ln(k_0) + k_1 x_i$$

So the system is

$$y = Ax + b$$

with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x} = (k_1), \mathbf{b} = (\ln(k_0)) \text{ and } \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \ln(y_1) \\ \vdots \\ \ln(y_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Fitting something more complicated