

Random Number Sales Contract: Parameter Table

Let's cover the following, **for each scenario**:

- **pricePerByte** (set by contract owner/admin)
- **priceDepositDivisor** (set by contract owner/admin)
- **minMinerDeposit** (set by buyer for their call)
- **numberOfBytes** (set by buyer for their call)
- **What do miners typically deposit?**
- **How can a buyer construct their buy call?**
- **What is the final price they pay in QU?**

Miner flow:

- Miners choose a security deposit (must be one of the "validDepositAmounts").
 - Only miners who have revealed entropy with at least `minMinerDeposit` in the recent pool are considered "eligible" for a `BuyEntropy` request.
 - **Higher deposits mean more buyers can select them (if they want higher security).**
-

Buyer flow:

Buyers pick:

- `numberOfBytes` (between 1 and 32)
 - `minMinerDeposit` (how strong/secure they want: e.g. only buy from a miner who locked at least X QU for their entropy)
 - Calls `BuyEntropy` (contract checks pool, applies price formula, requires enough QU sent).
-

price/byte	depDiv	MinerDeposits	#Bytes	minDep	div(minDep/depDiv)	Price(qu)	Notes
10	1,000	100, 1k, 10k	8	100	0	80	Any miner \geq 100 QU
10	1,000	100, 1k, 10k	8	1,000	1	160	Any miner \geq 1k QU
10	1,000	100, 1k, 10k	8	10,000	10	880	Only miner \geq 10k QU
10	10,000	100, 1k, 10k	8	10,000	1	160	Less sensitive to deposit
100	1,000	100, 1k, 10k	8	10,000	10	8,800	Expensive premium random
10	100	10k, 50k, 100k	32	50,000	500	160,320	Huge premium for security
5	1,000	1k, 10k	32	10,000	10	1,760	Low base cost
100	5,000	50k, 100k	16	50,000	10	17,600	Flat curve, big random

How this works in practice:

Miner Example:

- Suppose a miner wants to maximize the number of buyers who can select their entropy.
- The miner makes a commitment with a deposit of 10,000 QU (one of the valid powers of ten, as in your contract).
- This miner will be eligible for any buyer call with `minMinerDeposit` \leq 10,000 QU.

Buyer Example 1:

- Buyer wants **cheap random**; doesn't care about miner having a high deposit:
 - Sets `minMinerDeposit` = 100
 - With default settings (`pricePerByte=10`, `priceDepositDivisor=1000`, `numberOfBytes=8`):
 - They pay: $10 * 8 * (\text{div}(100, 1000) + 1) = 10 * 8 * (0 + 1) = 80$ QU
- Buyer wants **secure random**; only accepts entropy from high-security miners:
 - Sets `minMinerDeposit` = 10,000

- Pays: $10 * 8 * (\text{div}(10,000,1000) + 1) = 10 * 8 * (10 + 1) = 880 \text{ QU}$

Buyer Example 2:

- Buyer wants **bulk random** (32 bytes, high deposit security):
 - `minMinerDeposit = 50,000`
 - Using `pricePerByte=10, priceDepositDivisor=1,000`:
 - Price: $10 * 32 * (50 + 1) = 10 * 32 * 51 = 16,320 \text{ QU}$

Buyer Example 3:

- Admin wants to "flatten" cost curve (make deposit matter less):
 - Increases `priceDepositDivisor` to 10,000 (from 1,000).
 - Buy with `minMinerDeposit=10,000`: $\text{div}(10,000,10,000)=1$, so Price: $10 * 8 * 2 = 160 \text{ QU}$.
 - Makes high security not much more expensive.
-

Summary of Control and Choices

- **As the contract owner/admin:**
Set `pricePerByte` for base cost of every byte.
- **Set `priceDepositDivisor`** to control how much higher-minDeposit requests cost buyers.
 - **Lower divisor:** Big cost jump for higher miner security
 - **Higher divisor:** Flatter cost curve—high-security random is still affordable
- **Miners** select their security/eligibility via their deposit (higher = eligible for more buyers, get more rewards).
- **Buyers** choose:
 - `numberOfBytes` (how much random)
 - `minMinerDeposit` (how "secure", i.e., only accept entropy from miners who have revealed with at least this much deposit)

- Contract formula combines these for the final price, using only state-configured parameters.
-