

# Advanced Research Tools for Economics and Business Administration

Thomas de Graaff

January 8, 2015

# Introduction

# Why this workshop?

- In the *social sciences* few attention to what tools to use (and why they make sense)
- Increasing *need* for/in openness, reproducibility & transparency
  - from journals, universities and governments
  - increase in cooperation (over wider distances)
  - access to your own files
  - make yourself more visible
- Why *I* want to give this workshop
  - intrinsic interest
  - my goal: pre-conferences workshops / courses

# What I want (and don't want) with this workshop

- Give a general introduction of why some tools work together
  - Why version control systems?
  - Why reference managers
- Give an introduction to L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X
  - First the basics
  - Next workshop: some advanced stuff
- What I do not want
  - Tell you what applications to use (**you** need to decide and make a **well-informed** decision)

# Workflow

# Research cycle



# Why bother about a workflow or tools?

- Good scientific practice: *document how you have achieved your results*; this ensures
  - Reproducibility
  - Transparency
  - Modularity
  - Portability (across systems and users)
  - Efficiency
  - Self-sanity

# When should I adopt new tools/workflow?

- The sooner the better (you really have time now)
- But think twice about which one (switching is costly; not in terms of beer but in terms of time)
- Start one step at a time (starting with L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X is a pretty neat idea)

*A journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step*

Lao-tzu



# In general

*In science consensus is irrelevant. What is relevant is reproducible results. The greatest scientists in history are great precisely because they broke with the consensus (Michael Crichton)*

# In data science

- Typically, a publication is not at the heart of research
  - Code
  - Data

*The data and code used to make a finding are available and they are sufficient for an independent researcher to recreate the finding (Peng, 2011)*

# Code, documentation and output

- ❶ Synonyms
- ❷ All based on .txt files
- ❸ Encompasses almost anything
  - data itself (.csv)
  - set of commands for data cleaning and statistical analysis (.do, .R)
  - database with references (.bib)
  - transcript of interviews (.tex)
  - text for articles, presentations or websites (.tex, .html)
- ❹ Only output is displayed/interpreted differently (e.g., in a browser or pdf viewer)

# Tools for workflows in this workshop

- Versioning system (Time-Machine, Dropbox, GitHub)
- Reference manager (Mendeley)
- Markup languages
  - L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X
  - HTML

# Version Control Systems

# Folder structure of your new project (theses, paper, research)

- Think *a priori* about project set-up
  - Seperate analysis, data and output files
- Be careful with source data!
  - Seperate source and derived data files
  - Typically
    - you get/collect data
    - transform data
    - analyse data
  - Keep track of all these stages!

"FINAL".doc



# Version control systems

With version control system only one copy of each file (but with fully backed-up history)

A version control system is not the same as a backup device, but the combination is a killer-ap

- Time machine (mac only) with external hard drive
- Dropbox
- more advanced stuff: Git and GitHub

With `.txt` files you can use the `diff` command



# Reference managers

# Why reference managers?

This is a life saver!

*Use one!*

Several applications out there:

- In this case Mendeley (free but not open source)
- Make sure it exports to `.bib` files
- Search for references (google scholar, jstor, etc.)
- Mendeley can import `.pdf`'s

The L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X logo is centered within a light gray rectangular box. The logo itself is rendered in a red, serif font, with the 'A' having a superscript and the 'E' having a subscript.

# Background

- T<sub>E</sub>X has been devised by Donald E. Knuth in the late 70's
- L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X is a set of macro's around T<sub>E</sub>X and devised in the 80's
- L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X is a *typesetting program*, not a *Word processor*
  - It is actually some code that needs to be compiled
  - Code is typed in by an editor
- So, huge differences between
  - Word processor: Open Office, Word
  - Typesetter: L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X, Adobe's InDesign (in general XML)
  - Editors:
    - Specific editors: TexStudio, TexShop, RStudio
    - General editors: Sublime, TextMate, Notepad++, Vim, Emacs

# Disadvantages

- Not WYSIWYG
- You need to learn (quite) some commands
  - Learning curve, but
  - hurray for cheat sheets and Google
- Very specific lay-outs difficult to attain
- Basic L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X has *difficulties* with incorporating new fonts (Hoefler, minion pro)
  - XeTeX
  - For the purists: L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X does it right (L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X vs Word)

# Advantages

- Free (as in beer) and ubiquitous
- WYSIWYM
- Consistent lay-out throughout the whole document (including tables, appendices, formulas, source code, etc)
- Internal references are a breeze (references, tables of, indices)
- Forced to structure documents
- Macros, thus scriptable
- Large community, thus a package for almost everything (books, articles, presentation, posters, exams, musicscores)
- Superior typography & output
- Large publishers (i.e., Elsevier and Springer) have L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X templates for their articles

# How does it work in practice?

- You edit a `.tex` file without thinking about how it looks
  - distraction free writing (yeah right)
- You then compile it
  - L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X is unforgiving: if there is an error, usually it does not compile
  - Typically, errors are missing brackets or parentheses.
- Typically, source `.tex` file is compiled into `.pdf`