What works for what region?

Thomas de Graaff[1]*

Abstract
Regions are ...

Keywords
Regional science — spatial heterogeneity — conditional robustness

[1] *Department of Spatial Economics, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands*
***Corresponding author**: t.de.graaff@vu.nl

Contents

Introduction

In recent years, the urban economics literature witnessed large growth; not only in a wider economic acceptance, but as well in scientific rigour and robustness. Remarkably, the empirical regional economics (or regional science in general) literature stayed behind, although many concepts and challenges in both disciplines are conceptually similar and are derived from the same theoretical backgrounds. (Thissen et al., 2016)

In regional science in general and in regional economics in specific, remarkably little attention has been given to reproducibility and robustness of results (with some exceptions as, amongst some others, by Rey, 2014; Arribas-Bel and de Graaff, 2014; Arribas-Bel et al., Forthcoming).

1. Literature review

2. Methodology

3. Research Agenda

References

Arribas-Bel, D. and T. de Graaff (2014). *Workshops on open workflows, 2nd Edition*.

Arribas-Bel, D., T. de Graaff, and S. Rey (Forthcoming). "Looking at John Snow's cholera map from the XXIst Century: a practical primer on reproducibility and Open Science". In: *Regional Research Frontiers: The Next 50 Years*. Ed. by R. Jackson and P. Schaeffer. Berlin: Springer.

Rey, S. J. (2014). "Open regional science". In: *Annals of Regional Science* 52.3, pp. 825–837.

Thissen, M., T. de Graaff, and F. G. van Oort (2016). "Competitive network positions in trade and structural economic growth: A geographically weighted regression analysis for European regions". In: *Papers in Regional Science* 95.1, pp. 159–180.