

Introduction

Daniel Arribas-Bel & Thomas de Graaff

September 5, 2014

Introduction

Why this workshop?

- ▶ In the *social sciences* few attention to what tools to use (and why they make sense)
- ▶ Increasing *need* for/in openness & transparency
 - ▶ from journals, universities and governments
 - ▶ increase in cooperation (over wider distances)
 - ▶ access to your own files
 - ▶ make yourself more visible
- ▶ Why we want to give this workshop
 - ▶ implementation of an earlier overview workshop
 - ▶ our goal is to give these kinds of workshops on large conferences

What we want (and don't want) with this workshop

- ▶ We are mostly interested in the principles behind a good open (scientific) workflow, aware of the facts that
 - ▶ there is no final, optimal, set of workflow tools
 - ▶ investment is very, very costly
- ▶ However, being a practical workshop we do
 - ▶ work with a specific set of tools (R, markdown, pandoc, git) which
 - ▶ enables us *in this workshop* to make a paper reproducible and open

Related work

- ▶ Inspired by Kieran Healey's (associate professor in sociology) work: Choosing your Workflow Applications
- ▶ Courses for reproducible research seems to pop up everywhere (but mostly in datascience courses):
 - ▶ Datascience course: <https://www.coursera.org/>
 - ▶ Tools for Reproducible Research
<http://kbroman.org/Tools4RR/>

Workflow

Open?

- ▶ Workflow: *Progression of steps (tasks, events, interactions) that comprise a work process, involve two or more persons, and create or add value to the organization's activities* (BusinessDictionary)
- ▶ Open workflow: One that enhances *transparency, collaboration* and *reproducibility*

Research cycle

- ▶ Read other papers
- ▶ Think of a brilliant idea
- ▶ Do:
 1. Collect data
 2. Transform/manipulate data
 3. Analyze data
 4. Write up results
 5. Present results
 6. Go back to 1. until satisfied
- ▶ Send paper to journal and go back once again to 1. until referees satisfied
- ▶ And... document throughout the entire process!!!

Why bother about a workflow or tools?

- ▶ Good scientific practice: *document how you have achieved your results*; this ensures
 - ▶ Reproducibility
 - ▶ Transparency
 - ▶ Modularity
 - ▶ Portability (across systems and users)
 - ▶ Efficiency
 - ▶ Self-sanity

Why should it be open?

- ▶ Open Science
- ▶ Reproducibility
- ▶ Transparency
- ▶ Modularity
- ▶ Portability (across systems and users)
- ▶ Efficiency
- ▶ Visibility

When should I adopt an open reproducible workflow?

- ▶ The sooner the better
- ▶ But think twice about which one (switching is costly)
- ▶ Start one step at a time

A journey of a thousand miles begins with a single step

Lao-tzu

Reproducibility

In computation science:

The data and code used to make a finding are available and they are sufficient for an independent researcher to recreate the finding (Peng, 2011)

- ▶ Literature programming (Donald E. Knuth, 1984):
 - ▶ weaving of **code**, **documentation** and **output** (articles, presentations, websites)

In the social sciences?

- ▶ Complete reproducibility often not feasible
 - ▶ qualitative research
 - ▶ proprietary data (?)
- ▶ but you can come a long way, especially with
 - ▶ theoretical work
 - ▶ quantitative (e.g., statistical or simulation) work
- ▶ Goal should be more to make your research as reproducible as *possible*

Code, documentation and output

1. Synonyms
2. All based on text files
3. Encompasses almost anything
 - ▶ data itself
 - ▶ set of commands for data cleaning and statistical analysis
 - ▶ database with references
 - ▶ transcript of interviews
 - ▶ text for articles, presentations or websites
4. Only output is displayed/interpreted differently (e.g., in a browser or pdf viewer)

Our goal (not being ambitious)

What we want is that with *one single* command we

- ▶ read in and transform our data
- ▶ run the analysis
- ▶ create output (tables and figures)
- ▶ combine output with text and references
- ▶ create presentation material (paper, slides, webpages) and
- ▶ publish presentation material on an open repository

This all under a full fledged versioning control system

Tools for reproducibility

- ▶ Markup languages
 - ▶ Markdown
 - ▶ LaTeX
 - ▶ HTML
- ▶ Terminal tools (GNU make, diff, pandoc)
- ▶ Versioning system (Git & VCN)
- ▶ Reference manager (bibdesk/Mendeley)

Tools for reproducibility (cnt.)

- ▶ Statistical software (pure command line driven): Python and R
- ▶ Environments
 - ▶ R and Rstudio environment
 - ▶ Python and iPython notebook environment
 - ▶ Python and Sumatra
 - ▶ Emacs org mode

Tools for openness

- ▶ Repositories:
 - ▶ Github (host webpages as well)
 - ▶ Bitbucket
- ▶ R packages <http://cran.r-project.org/>
- ▶ iPython notebook viewer <http://nbviewer.ipython.org/>

Examples

Reproducible Research with R and RStudio Book1

- ▶ `https://github.com/christophergandrud/Rep-Res-Book`

Amsterdam paper example using ipython notebook:

- ▶ `http://darribas.org/buzz_adam`

What we use in this workshop

1. R and RStudio (with the `knitr` package)
2. Markdown language
3. Bibdesk/Mendeley
4. Git and Github
5. GNU make

Only implicitly we make use of LaTeX, BibTeX, HTML and pandoc
(all under the hood of RStudio)

Schedule Day 1 - Friday Sept. 5th

- ▶ [9am–12am] Introduction
 - ▶ Concepts behind open workflows
 - ▶ Overview of tools
 - ▶ Install session
- ▶ Lunch
- ▶ [1pm–3pm] Version control and task automation
 - ▶ git and make
- ▶ [3:30pm–5:30pm] Typesetting
 - ▶ Markdown, LaTeX and BibTeX
 - ▶ pandoc
- ▶ Diner

Schedule Day 2 - Saturday Sept. 6th.

- ▶ [9am–12am] Data analysis
 - ▶ R and RStudio
- ▶ [12am–1pm] Online publishing

Loose ends...

- ▶ Questions?

This workshop is financially supported by FOSTER.

