Meeting 22nd of January, 2016 (13:00)

Points of previous meeting

Are Mahesh assemblies good enough?

- Map reads to its own assembly.
- Check Mahesh report.
- SOAPdenovo tested with some strains, not improved.
- Check read coverage for all of them.

Hybrid strains (1009, 1011)

- Make a kraken database with them, not possible.
- 1011 the worst set of reads, probably should be sequenced again to analyze further.

<u>Unknown species (1006, 1010, 1012)</u>

- Map them in pairs: 1006 and 1012 probably same specie, 1010 different one.
- Check regions shared with CBS767 reference genome.

Include hybrid strains in Kraken database

Not possible, it needs a GI number to work, it needs to be included on the taxonomy.

Map raw reads to its own assembly to check assemblies

Overall alignment rate - Bowtie2

Assembly AH reads BC reads

08 25% 08 13%

98.25%	98.13%
97.87%	97.54%
98.42%	98.30%
96.19%	96.59%
97.70%	97.57%
98.26%	98.12%
97.99%	97.83%
97.52%	97.23%
91.33%	91.26%
93.66%	93.53%
85.94%	85.46%
98.09%	97.92%
98.22%	98.15%
98.10%	97.88%
98.13%	97.90%
98.39%	98.25%
89.81%	89.58%
	97.87% 98.42% 96.19% 97.70% 98.26% 97.99% 97.52% 91.33% 93.66% 85.94% 98.09% 98.22% 98.10% 98.13% 98.39%

Assembly AH reads BC reads

1018	92.05%	91.86%
1019	98.04%	98.32%

Most of them quite good except from 1011 and 1017.

Map weird strains between each other to check how close they are.

Overall alignment rate - Bowtie2

Columns: assembly / Rows: Reads (Both sets of reads)

Strain	1006	1010	1012	1009	1011
1006		18.34%	97.88%	12.24%	11.69%
		18.40%	97.73%	12.29%	11.70%
1010	20.29%		20.35%	7.68%	7.84%
	20.21%		20.28%	7.73%	7.81%
1012	97.27%	18.87%		12.59%	12.13%
	97.11%	18.85%		12.55%	12.07%
1009	12.60%	6.59%	12.58%		76.23%
	12.61%	6.62%	12.58%		76.18%
1011	14.71%	6.90%	14.77%	71.37%	
	14.65%	6.87%	14.71%	70.95%	

1006, 1010 and 1012 – Not Debaryomyces hansenii strains

1006 and 1012 same specie

1010 different specie

1009 and 1011 hybrid/double size genome strains

They don't seem to be the same, but sequences of 1011 cannot be completely reliable, bad raw reads and not a good assembly due to that.

${\it PreQC}$ on 1006 and 1012 to prepare for an improvement of the assembly and ${\it SOAPdenovo}$ assembly

Duplicates removal.

Check that coverages don't change that much after removing duplicates.

Assembly not improved. Probably Mahesh assemblies are the best we can get.

Coverage of all the raw data of all the strains.

Coverage of raw reads

Strain Coverage

1001ah 20

1001bc 29

1002ah 9

Strain Coverage 1002bc 15 1003ah 18 1003bc 28 1004ah 10 1004bc 17 1005ah 17 1005bc 24 1006ah 16 1006bc 24 1007ah 19 1007bc 29 1008ah 10 1008bc 16 1009ah 8 1009bc 13 1010ah 10 1010bc 15 1011ah 3 1011bc 5 1012ah 18 1012bc 28 1013ah 14 1013bc 22 1014ah 18 1014bc 29 1015ah 15 1015bc 26 1016ah 21 1016bc 32 1017ah 15 1017bc 22 1018ah 21 1018bc 30 1019ah 16

1019bc 22

Some of the coverages are really low, probably that is why Mahesh assemblies are as best as we can get with these raw sequences. New sequencing should probably be ordered to continue studying these strains.

Compare weird strains with CBS767 to check regions in common

Will be explained in the meeting.