# Idotea Assembly Summary

#### 296,173,922 PE reads (Illumina, 125bp)

- Visualized with FASTQC
- Trimmed with TRIMMOMATIC



#### 262,020,548 PE reads

- Re-visualized with FASTQC
- Error corrected with RCORRECTOR
- In silico normalized with TRINITY



#### 10,099,252 PE reads

Assembled using TRINITY (20, 25, 30-mers)



115,931 'transcripts' (contigs) (25-mers) 90,663 'genes'

N50: 1,154 Total bases: 79,193,347

• Evaluated and filtered with TRANSRATE

40,122 'transcripts' 30,147 'genes'

N50: 1,710 Total bases: 47,523,534

### Raw Assembly

Filtered Assembly

115,931 'transcripts' 90,663 'genes'

N50: 1,154 Total bases: 79,193,347

40,122 'transcripts' 30,147 'genes'

N50: 1,710 Total bases: 47,523,534



Completeness determined with BUSCO

\* 68% found (many duplicates)

Completeness determined with BUSCO

\* 61% found (many duplicates)



Coding sequences identified with TRANSDECODER

\* 33,731 'peptides' identified



Coding sequences identified with TRANSDECODER

\* 21,439 'peptides' identified



Annotated with PANNZER (UniProtKB)

- \* 14,288 annotations (many duplicates)
- \* 1,330,727 GO terms assigned

Are the apparent duplicate genes paralogs or are they alleles?

## Next...

- cd-hit will be run on all 3 assemblies to maximize finding potential transcripts
  - This will collapse potential paralogs, but with Idotea's high level of polymorphism many may actually be alleles
  - Duplicate BUSCOs and annotations should be reduced