

Conditions on Relations

The Clowder Project Authors

July 22, 2025

00TJ This chapter contains some material about reflexive, symmetric, transitive, equivalence, and apartness relations.

Contents

10.1 Functional and Total Relations.....	2
10.1.1 Functional Relations	2
10.1.2 Total Relations	3
10.2 Reflexive Relations.....	4
10.2.1 Foundations.....	4
10.2.2 The Reflexive Closure of a Relation.....	5
10.3 Symmetric Relations	8
10.3.1 Foundations.....	8
10.3.2 The Symmetric Closure of a Relation.....	9
10.4 Transitive Relations	12
10.4.1 Foundations.....	12
10.4.2 The Transitive Closure of a Relation.....	14
10.5 Equivalence Relations.....	18
10.5.1 Foundations.....	18
10.5.2 The Equivalence Closure of a Relation	18

10.6	Quotients by Equivalence Relations.....	21
10.6.1	Equivalence Classes	21
10.6.2	Quotients of Sets by Equivalence Relations	22
A	Other Chapters	28

02D0 10.1 Functional and Total Relations

00JC 10.1.1 Functional Relations

Let A and B be sets.

00JD DEFINITION 10.1.1.1 ► FUNCTIONAL RELATIONS

A relation $R: A \rightarrow B$ is **functional** if, for each $a \in A$, the set $R(a)$ is either empty or a singleton.

00JE PROPOSITION 10.1.1.2 ► PROPERTIES OF FUNCTIONAL RELATIONS

Let $R: A \rightarrow B$ be a relation.

00JF 1. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:

00JG (a) The relation R is functional.

00JH (b) We have $R \diamond R^\dagger \subset \chi_B$.

PROOF 10.1.1.3 ► PROOF OF PROPOSITION 10.1.1.2

Item 1: Characterisations

We claim that **Items 1a** and **1b** are indeed equivalent:

- **Item 1a** \implies **Item 1b**: Let $(b, b') \in B \times B$. We need to show that

$$[R \diamond R^\dagger](b, b') \preceq_{\{t, f\}} \chi_B(b, b'),$$

i.e. that if there exists some $a \in A$ such that $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a$ and $a \sim_R b'$, then $b = b'$. But since $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a$ is the same as $a \sim_R b$, we have both


$a \sim_R b$ and $a \sim_R b'$ at the same time, which implies $b = b'$ since R is functional.

- *Item 1b* \implies *Item 1a*: Suppose that we have $a \sim_R b$ and $a \sim_R b'$ for $b, b' \in B$. We claim that $b = b'$:

- Since $a \sim_R b$, we have $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a$.
- Since $R \diamond R^\dagger \subset \chi_B$, we have

$$[R \diamond R^\dagger](b, b') \preceq_{\{t, f\}} \chi_B(b, b'),$$

and since $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a$ and $a \sim_R b'$, it follows that $[R \diamond R^\dagger](b, b') = \text{true}$, and thus $\chi_B(b, b') = \text{true}$ as well, i.e. $b = b'$.

This finishes the proof. 

00JJ 10.1.2 Total Relations

Let A and B be sets.

00JK DEFINITION 10.1.2.1.1 ► TOTAL RELATIONS

A relation $R: A \rightarrow B$ is **total** if, for each $a \in A$, we have $R(a) \neq \emptyset$.

00JL PROPOSITION 10.1.2.1.2 ► PROPERTIES OF TOTAL RELATIONS

Let $R: A \rightarrow B$ be a relation.

1. *Characterisations*. The following conditions are equivalent:

00JN (a) The relation R is total.

00JP (b) We have $\chi_A \subset R^\dagger \diamond R$.

PROOF 10.1.2.1.3 ► PROOF OF PROPOSITION 10.1.2.1.2

Item 1: Characterisations

We claim that **Items 1a** and **1b** are indeed equivalent:

- **Item 1a** \implies **Item 1b**: We have to show that, for each $(a, a') \in A$, we have


$$\chi_A(a, a') \preceq_{\{t, f\}} [R^\dagger \diamond R](a, a'),$$

i.e. that if $a = a'$, then there exists some $b \in B$ such that $a \sim_R b$ and $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a'$ (i.e. $a \sim_R b$ again), which follows from the totality of R .

- **Item 1b** \implies **Item 1a**: Given $a \in A$, since $\chi_A \subset R^\dagger \diamond R$, we must have

$$\{a\} \subset [R^\dagger \diamond R](a),$$

implying that there must exist some $b \in B$ such that $a \sim_R b$ and $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a$ (i.e. $a \sim_R b$) and thus $R(a) \neq \emptyset$, as $b \in R(a)$.

This finishes the proof. 

00TK 10.2 Reflexive Relations

00TL 10.2.1 Foundations

Let A be a set.

00TM DEFINITION 10.2.1.1.1 ► REFLEXIVE RELATIONS

A **reflexive relation** is equivalently:¹

- An \mathbb{E}_0 -monoid in $(N_\bullet(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)), \chi_A)$.
- A pointed object in $(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A), \chi_A)$.

¹Note that since $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$ is posetal, reflexivity is a property of a relation, rather than extra structure.

00TN

REMARK 10.2.1.1.2 ► UNWINDING DEFINITION 10.2.1.1.1

In detail, a relation R on A is **reflexive** if we have an inclusion

$$\eta_R: \chi_A \subset R$$

of relations in $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$, i.e. if, for each $a \in A$, we have $a \sim_R a$.

00TP

DEFINITION 10.2.1.1.3 ► THE PO/SET OF REFLEXIVE RELATIONS ON A SET

Let A be a set.

00TQ

1. The **set of reflexive relations on A** is the subset $\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{refl}}(A, A)$ of $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$ spanned by the reflexive relations.

00TR

2. The **poset of relations on A** is the subposet $\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{refl}}(A, A)$ of $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$ spanned by the reflexive relations.

00TS

PROPOSITION 10.2.1.1.4 ► PROPERTIES OF REFLEXIVE RELATIONS

Let R and S be relations on A .

00TT

1. *Interaction With Inverses.* If R is reflexive, then so is R^\dagger .

00TU

2. *Interaction With Composition.* If R and S are reflexive, then so is $S \diamond R$.

PROOF 10.2.1.1.5 ► PROOF OF PROPOSITION 10.2.1.1.4

Item 1: Interaction With Inverses

Clear.

Item 2: Interaction With Composition

Clear.

**00TV 10.2.2 The Reflexive Closure of a Relation**

Let R be a relation on A .

00TW

DEFINITION 10.2.2.1.1 ► THE REFLEXIVE CLOSURE OF A RELATION

The **reflexive closure** of \sim_R is the relation \sim_R^{refl} ¹ satisfying the following universal property:²

- (★) Given another reflexive relation \sim_S on A such that $R \subset S$, there exists an inclusion $\sim_R^{\text{refl}} \subset \sim_S$.

¹Further Notation: Also written R^{refl} .

²Slogan: The reflexive closure of R is the smallest reflexive relation containing R .

00TX

CONSTRUCTION 10.2.2.1.2 ► THE REFLEXIVE CLOSURE OF A RELATION

Concretely, \sim_R^{refl} is the free pointed object on R in $(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A), \chi_A)$ ¹, being given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\text{refl}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R \coprod^{\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)} \Delta_A \\ &= R \cup \Delta_A \\ &= \{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid \text{we have } a \sim_R b \text{ or } a = b\}. \end{aligned}$$

¹Or, equivalently, the free \mathbb{E}_0 -monoid on R in $(\mathbf{N}_\bullet(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)), \chi_A)$.

PROOF 10.2.2.1.3 ► PROOF OF CONSTRUCTION 10.2.2.1.2

Clear. 

00TY

PROPOSITION 10.2.2.1.4 ► PROPERTIES OF THE REFLEXIVE CLOSURE OF A RELATION

Let R be a relation on A .

00TZ

1. *Adjointness*. We have an adjunction

$$\left((-)^{\text{refl}} \dashv \overline{} \right): \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{refl}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{}} \end{array} \mathbf{Rel}^{\text{refl}}(A, A),$$

witnessed by a bijection of sets

$$\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{refl}}(R^{\text{refl}}, S) \cong \mathbf{Rel}(R, S),$$

natural in $R \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{refl}}(A, A))$ and $S \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A))$.

00U0 2. *The Reflexive Closure of a Reflexive Relation.* If R is reflexive, then $R^{\text{refl}} = R$.

00U1 3. *Idempotency.* We have

$$(R^{\text{refl}})^{\text{refl}} = R^{\text{refl}}.$$

00U2 4. *Interaction With Inverses.* We have

$$(R^\dagger)^{\text{refl}} = (R^{\text{refl}})^\dagger, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{refl}}} & \text{Rel}(A, A) \\ (-)^\dagger \downarrow & & \downarrow (-)^\dagger \\ \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{refl}}} & \text{Rel}(A, A). \end{array}$$

00U3 5. *Interaction With Composition.* We have

$$(S \diamond R)^{\text{refl}} = S^{\text{refl}} \diamond R^{\text{refl}}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rel}(A, A) \times \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\diamond} & \text{Rel}(A, A) \\ (-)^{\text{refl}} \times (-)^{\text{refl}} \downarrow & & \downarrow (-)^{\text{refl}} \\ \text{Rel}(A, A) \times \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\diamond} & \text{Rel}(A, A). \end{array}$$

PROOF 10.2.2.1.5 ► PROOF OF PROPOSITION 10.2.2.1.4

Item 1: Adjointness

This is a rephrasing of the universal property of the reflexive closure of a relation, stated in [Definition 10.2.2.1.1](#).

Item 2: The Reflexive Closure of a Reflexive Relation

Clear.


Item 3: Idempotency

This follows from **Item 2**.

Item 4: Interaction With Inverses

Clear.

Item 5: Interaction With Composition

This follows from **Item 2** of **Proposition 10.2.1.1.4**. 

00U4 10.3 Symmetric Relations

00U5 10.3.1 Foundations

Let A be a set.

00U6 DEFINITION 10.3.1.1.1 ► SYMMETRIC RELATIONS

A relation R on A is **symmetric** if we have $R^\dagger = R$.

00U7 REMARK 10.3.1.1.2 ► UNWINDING DEFINITION 10.3.1.1.1

In detail, a relation R is symmetric if it satisfies the following condition:

(★) For each $a, b \in A$, if $a \sim_R b$, then $b \sim_R a$.

00U8 DEFINITION 10.3.1.1.3 ► THE PO/SET OF SYMMETRIC RELATIONS ON A SET

Let A be a set.

00U9 1. The **set of symmetric relations on A** is the subset $\text{Rel}^{\text{symm}}(A, A)$ of $\text{Rel}(A, A)$ spanned by the symmetric relations.

00UA 2. The **poset of relations on A** is the subposet $\text{Rel}^{\text{symm}}(A, A)$ of $\text{Rel}(A, A)$ spanned by the symmetric relations.

00UB PROPOSITION 10.3.1.1.4 ► PROPERTIES OF SYMMETRIC RELATIONS

Let R and S be relations on A .

00UC

1. *Interaction With Inverses.* If R is symmetric, then so is R^\dagger .

00UD

2. *Interaction With Composition.* If R and S are symmetric, then so is $S \diamond R$.

PROOF 10.3.1.1.5 ► PROOF OF PROPOSITION 10.3.1.1.4

Item 1: Interaction With Inverses

Clear.

Item 2: Interaction With Composition

Clear.



00UE **10.3.2 The Symmetric Closure of a Relation**

Let R be a relation on A .

00UF

DEFINITION 10.3.2.1.1 ► THE SYMMETRIC CLOSURE OF A RELATION

The **symmetric closure** of \sim_R is the relation \sim_R^{symm} ¹ satisfying the following universal property:²

(★) Given another symmetric relation \sim_S on A such that $R \subset S$, there exists an inclusion $\sim_R^{\text{symm}} \subset \sim_S$.

¹*Further Notation:* Also written R^{symm} .

²*Slogan:* The symmetric closure of R is the smallest symmetric relation containing R .

00UG

CONSTRUCTION 10.3.2.1.2 ► THE SYMMETRIC CLOSURE OF A RELATION

Concretely, \sim_R^{symm} is the symmetric relation on A defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\text{symm}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R \cup R^\dagger \\ &= \{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid \text{we have } a \sim_R b \text{ or } b \sim_R a\}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF 10.3.2.1.3 ► PROOF OF CONSTRUCTION 10.3.2.1.2

Clear.



00UH

PROPOSITION 10.3.2.1.4 ► PROPERTIES OF THE SYMMETRIC CLOSURE OF A RELATION

00UJ

Let R be a relation on A .

- 1.
- Adjointness.*
- We have an adjunction

$$((-)^{\text{symm}} \dashv \overline{}): \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{symm}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{}} \end{array} \mathbf{Rel}^{\text{symm}}(A, A),$$

witnessed by a bijection of sets

$$\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{symm}}(R^{\text{symm}}, S) \cong \mathbf{Rel}(R, S),$$

natural in $R \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{symm}}(A, A))$ and $S \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A))$.

00UK

- 2.
- The Symmetric Closure of a Symmetric Relation.*
- If
- R
- is symmetric, then
- $R^{\text{symm}} = R$
- .

00UL

- 3.
- Idempotency.*
- We have

$$(R^{\text{symm}})^{\text{symm}} = R^{\text{symm}}.$$

00UM

- 4.
- Interaction With Inverses.*
- We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{symm}}} & \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \\ \downarrow (-)^{\dagger} & & \downarrow (-)^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{symm}}} & \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \end{array}$$

$$(R^{\dagger})^{\text{symm}} = (R^{\text{symm}})^{\dagger},$$

00UN

- 5.
- Interaction With Composition.*
- We have

$$(S \diamond R)^{\text{symm}} = S^{\text{symm}} \diamond R^{\text{symm}}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \times \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\diamond} & \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \\ \downarrow (-)^{\text{symm}} \times (-)^{\text{symm}} & & \downarrow (-)^{\text{symm}} \\ \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \times \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\diamond} & \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \end{array}$$

|

|

Item 1: Adjointness

This is a rephrasing of the universal property of the symmetric closure of a relation, stated in [Definition 10.3.2.1.1](#).

Item 2: The Symmetric Closure of a Symmetric Relation

Clear.

Item 3: Idempotency

This follows from [Item 2](#).

Item 4: Interaction With Inverses

Clear.

Item 5: Interaction With Composition

This follows from [Item 2](#) of [Proposition 10.3.1.1.4](#). 

00UP 10.4 Transitive Relations

00UQ 10.4.1 Foundations

Let A be a set.

00UR DEFINITION 10.4.1.1.1 ► TRANSITIVE RELATIONS

A **transitive relation** is equivalently:¹

- A non-unital \mathbb{E}_1 -monoid in $(\mathbf{N}_\bullet(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)), \diamond)$.
- A non-unital monoid in $(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A), \diamond)$.

¹Note that since $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$ is posetal, transitivity is a property of a relation, rather than extra structure.

00US REMARK 10.4.1.1.2 ► UNWINDING DEFINITION 10.4.1.1.1

In detail, a relation R on A is **transitive** if we have an inclusion

$$\mu_R: R \diamond R \subset R$$

of relations in $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$, i.e. if, for each $a, c \in A$, the following condition is satisfied:

- (★) If there exists some $b \in A$ such that $a \sim_R b$ and $b \sim_R c$, then $a \sim_R c$.

00UT

DEFINITION 10.4.1.1.3 ► THE PO/SET OF TRANSITIVE RELATIONS ON A SET

Let A be a set.

00UU

1. The **set of transitive relations from A to B** is the subset $\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{trans}}(A)$ of $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$ spanned by the transitive relations.

00UV

2. The **poset of relations from A to B** is the subposet $\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{trans}}(A)$ of $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$ spanned by the transitive relations.

00UW

PROPOSITION 10.4.1.1.4 ► PROPERTIES OF TRANSITIVE RELATIONS

Let R and S be relations on A .

00UX

1. *Interaction With Inverses.* If R is transitive, then so is R^\dagger .

00UY

2. *Interaction With Composition.* If R and S are transitive, then $S \diamond R$ **may fail to be transitive**.

PROOF 10.4.1.1.5 ► PROOF OF PROPOSITION 10.4.1.1.4

Item 1: Interaction With Inverses

Clear.

Item 2: Interaction With Composition

See [MSE 2096272].¹



¹*Intuition:* Transitivity for R and S fails to imply that of $S \diamond R$ because the composition operation for relations intertwines R and S in an incompatible way:

- If $a \sim_{S \diamond R} c$ and $c \sim_{S \diamond R} e$, then:

- There is some $b \in A$ such that:
 - * $a \sim_R b$;
 - * $b \sim_S c$;
- There is some $d \in A$ such that:
 - * $c \sim_R d$;
 - * $d \sim_S e$.

00UZ 10.4.2 The Transitive Closure of a Relation

Let R be a relation on A .

00V0 DEFINITION 10.4.2.1.1 ► THE TRANSITIVE CLOSURE OF A RELATION

The **transitive closure** of \sim_R is the relation \sim_R^{trans} ¹ satisfying the following universal property:²

- (★) Given another transitive relation \sim_S on A such that $R \subset S$, there exists an inclusion $\sim_R^{\text{trans}} \subset \sim_S$.

¹Further Notation: Also written R^{trans} .

²Slogan: The transitive closure of R is the smallest transitive relation containing R .

00V1 CONSTRUCTION 10.4.2.1.2 ► THE TRANSITIVE CLOSURE OF A RELATION

Concretely, \sim_R^{trans} is the free non-unital monoid on R in $(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A), \diamond)$ ¹, being given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^{\text{trans}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} R^{\diamond n} \\
 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R^{\diamond n} \\
 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (a, b) \in A \times B \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists some } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^{\times n} \\ \text{such that } a \sim_R x_1 \sim_R \dots \sim_R x_n \sim_R b \end{array} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

¹Or, equivalently, the free non-unital \mathbb{E}_1 -monoid on R in $(\mathbf{N}_\bullet(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)), \diamond)$.

PROOF 10.4.2.1.3 ► PROOF OF CONSTRUCTION 10.4.2.1.2

Clear.



00V2

PROPOSITION 10.4.2.1.4 ► PROPERTIES OF THE TRANSITIVE CLOSURE OF A RELATION

Let R be a relation on A .

00V3

1. *Adjointness.* We have an adjunction

$$((-)^{\text{trans}} \dashv \overline{}): \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{trans}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{}} \end{matrix} \mathbf{Rel}^{\text{trans}}(A, A),$$

witnessed by a bijection of sets

$$\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{trans}}(R^{\text{trans}}, S) \cong \mathbf{Rel}(R, S),$$

natural in $R \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{trans}}(A, A))$ and $S \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}(A, B))$.

00V4

2. *The Transitive Closure of a Transitive Relation.* If R is transitive, then $R^{\text{trans}} = R$.

00V5

3. *Idempotency.* We have

$$(R^{\text{trans}})^{\text{trans}} = R^{\text{trans}}.$$

00V6

4. *Interaction With Inverses.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{trans}}} & \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \\ \downarrow (-)^{\dagger} & & \downarrow (-)^{\dagger} \\ \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{trans}}} & \mathbf{Rel}(A, A). \end{array}$$

$$(R^{\dagger})^{\text{trans}} = (R^{\text{trans}})^{\dagger},$$

00V7

5. *Interaction With Composition.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \times \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\diamond} & \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \\ \downarrow (-)^{\text{trans}} \times (-)^{\text{trans}} & \text{ } & \downarrow (-)^{\text{trans}} \\ \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \times \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\diamond} & \mathbf{Rel}(A, A). \end{array}$$

$(S \diamond R)^{\text{trans}} \stackrel{\text{poss.}}{\neq} S^{\text{trans}} \diamond R^{\text{trans}},$

|

|

Item 1: Adjointness

This is a rephrasing of the universal property of the transitive closure of a relation, stated in [Definition 10.4.2.1.1](#).

Item 2: The Transitive Closure of a Transitive Relation

Clear.

Item 3: Idempotency

This follows from [Item 2](#).

Item 4: Interaction With Inverses

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (R^\dagger)^{\text{trans}} &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (R^\dagger)^{\diamond n} \\
 &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (R^{\diamond n})^\dagger \\
 &= \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R^{\diamond n} \right)^\dagger \\
 &= (R^{\text{trans}})^\dagger,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used, respectively:

- [Construction 10.4.2.1.2](#).
- [Constructions With Relations](#), ?? of ??.
- [Constructions With Relations](#), ?? of [Proposition 9.2.3.1.2](#).
- [Construction 10.4.2.1.2](#).

This finishes the proof.

Item 5: Interaction With Composition

This follows from [Item 2](#) of [Proposition 10.4.1.1.4](#).



00V8 10.5 Equivalence Relations

00V9 10.5.1 Foundations

Let A be a set.

00VA DEFINITION 10.5.1.1 ► EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

A relation R is an **equivalence relation** if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.¹

¹*Further Terminology:* If instead R is just symmetric and transitive, then it is called a **partial equivalence relation**.

00VB EXAMPLE 10.5.1.1.2 ► THE KERNEL OF A FUNCTION

The **kernel of a function** $f: A \rightarrow B$ is the equivalence relation $\sim_{\text{Ker}(f)}$ on A obtained by declaring $a \sim_{\text{Ker}(f)} b$ iff $f(a) = f(b)$.¹

¹The kernel $\text{Ker}(f): A \rightarrow A$ of f is the underlying functor of the monad induced by the adjunction $\text{Gr}(f) \dashv f^{-1}: A \rightleftarrows B$ in **Rel** of **Constructions With Relations**, ?? of ??.

00VC DEFINITION 10.5.1.1.3 ► THE PO/SET OF EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS ON A SET

Let A and B be sets.

- 00VD 1. The **set of equivalence relations from A to B** is the subset $\text{Rel}^{\text{eq}}(A, B)$ of $\text{Rel}(A, B)$ spanned by the equivalence relations.
- 00VE 2. The **poset of relations from A to B** is the subposet $\text{Rel}^{\text{eq}}(A, B)$ of $\text{Rel}(A, B)$ spanned by the equivalence relations.

00VF 10.5.2 The Equivalence Closure of a Relation

Let R be a relation on A .

00VG DEFINITION 10.5.2.1.1 ► THE EQUIVALENCE CLOSURE OF A RELATION

The **equivalence closure**¹ of \sim_R is the relation \sim_R^{eq} ² satisfying the following universal property:³

(★) Given another equivalence relation \sim_S on A such that $R \subset S$, there exists an inclusion $\sim_R^{\text{eq}} \subset \sim_S$.

¹*Further Terminology:* Also called the **equivalence relation associated to** \sim_R .

²*Further Notation:* Also written R^{eq} .

³*Slogan:* The equivalence closure of R is the smallest equivalence relation containing R .

00VH

CONSTRUCTION 10.5.2.1.2 ► THE EQUIVALENCE CLOSURE OF A RELATION

Concretely, \sim_R^{eq} is the equivalence relation on A defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 R^{\text{eq}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\left(R^{\text{refl}} \right)^{\text{symm}} \right)^{\text{trans}} \\
 &= \left(\left(R^{\text{symm}} \right)^{\text{trans}} \right)^{\text{refl}} \\
 &= \left\{ (a, b) \in A \times B \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^{\times n} \text{ satisfying at} \\ \text{least one of the following conditions:} \\ \\ 1. \text{ The following conditions are satisfied:} \\ \\ \quad (a) \text{ We have } a \sim_R x_1 \text{ or } x_1 \sim_R a; \\ \quad (b) \text{ We have } x_i \sim_R x_{i+1} \text{ or } x_{i+1} \sim_R x_i \\ \quad \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq i \leq n-1; \\ \quad (c) \text{ We have } b \sim_R x_n \text{ or } x_n \sim_R b; \\ \\ 2. \text{ We have } a = b. \end{array} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF 10.5.2.1.3 ► PROOF OF CONSTRUCTION 10.5.2.1.2


From the universal properties of the reflexive, symmetric, and transitive closures of a relation (**Definitions 10.2.2.1.1, 10.3.2.1.1 and 10.4.2.1.1**), we see that it suffices to prove that:

00VJ

1. The symmetric closure of a reflexive relation is still reflexive.

00VK

2. The transitive closure of a symmetric relation is still symmetric.

which are both clear. 

00VL

PROPOSITION 10.5.2.1.4 ► PROPERTIES OF EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

Let R be a relation on A .

00VM

1. *Adjointness.* We have an adjunction

$$((-)^{\text{eq}} \dashv \overline{}) : \mathbf{Rel}(A, B) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{eq}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{}} \end{array} \mathbf{Rel}^{\text{eq}}(A, B),$$

witnessed by a bijection of sets

$$\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{eq}}(R^{\text{eq}}, S) \cong \mathbf{Rel}(R, S),$$

natural in $R \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{eq}}(A, B))$ and $S \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}(A, B))$.

00VN

2. *The Equivalence Closure of an Equivalence Relation.* If R is an equivalence relation, then $R^{\text{eq}} = R$.

00VP

3. *Idempotency.* We have

$$(R^{\text{eq}})^{\text{eq}} = R^{\text{eq}}.$$


PROOF 10.5.2.1.5 ► PROOF OF PROPOSITION 10.5.2.1.4**Item 1: Adjointness**

This is a rephrasing of the universal property of the equivalence closure of a relation, stated in **Definition 10.5.2.1.1**.

Item 2: The Equivalence Closure of an Equivalence Relation

Clear.

Item 3: Idempotency

This follows from **Item 2**. 

00VQ 10.6 Quotients by Equivalence Relations

00VR 10.6.1 Equivalence Classes

Let A be a set, let R be a relation on A , and let $a \in A$.

02B1 DEFINITION 10.6.1.1.1 ► EQUIVALENCE CLASSES

The **equivalence class associated to** a is the set $[a]$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [a] &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in X \mid x \sim_R a\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid a \sim_R x\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{since } R \text{ is symmetric})$$

02B2 10.6.2 Quotients of Sets by Equivalence Relations

Let A be a set and let R be a relation on A .

02B3 DEFINITION 10.6.2.1.1 ► QUOTIENTS OF SETS BY EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

The **quotient of X by R** is the set X/\sim_R defined by

$$X/\sim_R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[a] \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid a \in X\}.$$

00VV REMARK 10.6.2.1.2 ► WHY USE “EQUIVALENCE” RELATIONS FOR QUOTIENT SETS

The reason we define quotient sets for equivalence relations only is that each of the properties of being an equivalence relation—reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity—ensures that the equivalence classes $[a]$ of X under R are well-behaved:

- *Reflexivity.* If R is reflexive, then, for each $a \in X$, we have $a \in [a]$.
- *Symmetry.* The equivalence class $[a]$ of an element a of X is defined by

$$[a] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in X \mid x \sim_R a\},$$

but we could equally well define

$$[a]' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in X \mid a \sim_R x\}$$

instead. This is not a problem when R is symmetric, as we then have $[a] = [a]'$.¹

- *Transitivity.* If R is transitive, then $[a]$ and $[b]$ are disjoint iff $a \not\sim_R b$, and equal otherwise.

¹When categorifying equivalence relations, one finds that $[a]$ and $[a]'$ correspond to presheaves and copresheaves; see *Constructions With Categories*, ??.

00VW

PROPOSITION 10.6.2.1.3 ► PROPERTIES OF QUOTIENT SETS

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function and let R be a relation on X .

02B4

1. *As a Coequaliser.* We have an isomorphism of sets

$$X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}} \cong \text{CoEq} \left(R \hookrightarrow X \times X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \end{array} X \right),$$

where \sim_R^{eq} is the equivalence relation generated by \sim_R .

02B5

2. *As a Pushout.* We have an isomorphism of sets¹

$$X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}} \cong X \amalg_{\text{Eq}(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2)} X, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}} & \longleftarrow & X \\ \uparrow & \ulcorner & \uparrow \\ X & \longleftarrow & \text{Eq}(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2) \end{array}$$

where \sim_R^{eq} is the equivalence relation generated by \sim_R .

02B6

3. *The First Isomorphism Theorem for Sets.* We have an isomorphism of sets^{2,3}

$$X/\sim_{\text{Ker}(f)} \cong \text{Im}(f).$$

00W0

4. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, I.* Let R be an equivalence relation on X . The following conditions are equivalent:

02B7

- (a) There exists a map

$$\bar{f}: X/\sim_R \rightarrow Y$$

making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ q \downarrow & \searrow \bar{f} & \nearrow \exists \\ X/\sim_R & & \end{array}$$

commute.

02B8 (b) We have $R \subset \text{Ker}(f)$.

02B9 (c) For each $x, y \in X$, if $x \sim_R y$, then $f(x) = f(y)$.

00W1 5. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, II.* Let R be an equivalence relation on X . If the conditions of **Item 4** hold, then \bar{f} is the *unique* map making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow q & \nearrow \exists! \bar{f} & \\ X/\sim_R & & \end{array}$$

commute.

00W2 6. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, III.* Let R be an equivalence relation on X . We have a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}(X/\sim_R, Y) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}^R(X, Y),$$

natural in $X, Y \in \text{Obj}(\text{Sets})$, given by the assignment $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ of **Items 4** and **5**, where $\text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}^R(X, Y)$ is the set defined by

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}^R(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}(X, Y) \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{for each } x, y \in X, \\ \text{if } x \sim_R y, \text{ then} \\ f(x) = f(y) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

02BA 7. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, IV.* Let R be an equivalence relation on X . If the conditions of **Item 4** hold, then the following conditions are equivalent:

02BB (a) The map \bar{f} is an injection.

02BC (b) We have $R = \text{Ker}(f)$.

02BD (c) For each $x, y \in X$, we have $x \sim_R y$ iff $f(x) = f(y)$.

02BE 8. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, V.* Let R be an equivalence relation on X . If the conditions of **Item 4** hold, then the following conditions are equivalent:

02BF (a) The map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is surjective.

02BG (b) The map $\bar{f}: X/\sim_R \rightarrow Y$ is surjective.

02BH 9. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, VI.* Let R be a relation on X and let \sim_R^{eq} be the equivalence relation associated to R . The following conditions are equivalent:

02BJ (a) The map f satisfies the equivalent conditions of **Item 4**:

- There exists a map

$$\bar{f}: X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}} \rightarrow Y$$

making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ q \downarrow & \searrow \exists \bar{f} & \\ X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}} & & \end{array}$$

commute.

- For each $x, y \in X$, if $x \sim_R^{\text{eq}} y$, then $f(x) = f(y)$.

02BK (b) For each $x, y \in X$, if $x \sim_R y$, then $f(x) = f(y)$.

¹Dually, we also have an isomorphism of sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Eq}(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \text{Eq}(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2) \cong X \times_{X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}}} X & & X \longrightarrow X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}} \end{array}$$

²*Further Terminology:* The set $X/\sim_{\text{Ker}(f)}$ is often called the **coimage** of f , and denoted by $\text{CoIm}(f)$.

³In a sense this is a result relating the monad in **Rel** induced by f with the comonad in **Rel** induced by f , as the kernel and image

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ker}(f) &: X \rightarrowtail X, \\ \text{Im}(f) &\subset Y\end{aligned}$$

of f are the underlying functors of (respectively) the induced monad and comonad of the adjunction

$$(\text{Gr}(f) \dashv f^{-1}): \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Gr}(f) & \\ & \downarrow \perp & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B \\ & \uparrow f^{-1} & \end{array}$$

of **Constructions With Relations**, ?? of ??.

PROOF 10.6.2.1.4 ► PROOF OF PROPOSITION 10.6.2.1.3

Item 1: As a Coequaliser

Omitted.

Item 2: As a Pushout

Omitted.

Item 3: The First Isomorphism Theorem for Sets

Clear.

Item 4: Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, I

See [Pro25c].

Item 5: Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, II

See [Pro25d].

Item 6: Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, III

This follows from **Items 5** and **6**.

Item 7: Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, IV

See [Pro25b].

Item 8: Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, V

See [Pro25a].

Item 9: Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, VI


The implication **Item 8a** \implies **Item 8b** is clear.

Conversely, suppose that, for each $x, y \in X$, if $x \sim_R y$, then $f(x) = f(y)$. Spelling out the definition of the equivalence closure of R , we see that the condition $x \sim_R^{\text{eq}} y$ unwinds to the following:

- (★) There exist $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^{\times n}$ satisfying at least one of the following conditions:
 - The following conditions are satisfied:
 - * We have $x \sim_R x_1$ or $x_1 \sim_R x$;
 - * We have $x_i \sim_R x_{i+1}$ or $x_{i+1} \sim_R x_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$;
 - * We have $y \sim_R x_n$ or $x_n \sim_R y$;
 - We have $x = y$.

Now, if $x = y$, then $f(x) = f(y)$ trivially; otherwise, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= f(x_1), \\
 f(x_1) &= f(x_2), \\
 &\vdots \\
 f(x_{n-1}) &= f(x_n), \\
 f(x_n) &= f(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

and $f(x) = f(y)$, as we wanted to show. 

Appendices

A Other Chapters

Preliminaries

1. [Introduction](#)
2. [A Guide to the Literature](#)

Sets

3. [Sets](#)
4. [Constructions With Sets](#)
5. [Monoidal Structures on the Category of Sets](#)
6. [Pointed Sets](#)
7. [Tensor Products of Pointed Sets](#)

Relations

8. [Relations](#)
9. [Constructions With Relations](#)

10. [Conditions on Relations](#)

Categories

11. [Categories](#)
12. [Presheaves and the Yoneda Lemma](#)

Monoidal Categories

13. [Constructions With Monoidal Categories](#)

Bicategories

14. [Types of Morphisms in Bicategories](#)

Extra Part

15. [Notes](#)

References

- [MSE 2096272] [Akiva Weinberger](#). *Is composition of two transitive relations transitive? If not, can you give me a counterexample?* Mathematics Stack Exchange. url: <https://math.stackexchange.com/q/2096272> (cit. on p. 13).
- [Pro25a] Proof Wiki Contributors. *Condition For Mapping from Quotient Set To Be A Surjection* — Proof Wiki. 2025. url: https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Condition_for_Mapping_from_Quotient_Set_to_be_Surjection (cit. on p. 26).
- [Pro25b] Proof Wiki Contributors. *Condition For Mapping From Quotient Set To Be An Injection* — Proof Wiki. 2025. url: https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Condition_for_Mapping_from_Quotient_Set_to_be_An_Injection

- [//proofwiki.org/wiki/Condition_for_Mapping_from_Quotient_Set_to_be_Injection](https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Condition_for_Mapping_from_Quotient_Set_to_be_Injection) (cit. on p. 26).
- [Pro25c] Proof Wiki Contributors. *Condition For Mapping From Quotient Set To Be Well-Defined* — Proof Wiki. 2025. url: https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Condition_for_Mapping_from_Quotient_Set_to_be_Well-Defined (cit. on p. 26).
- [Pro25d] Proof Wiki Contributors. *Mapping From Quotient Set When Defined Is Unique* — Proof Wiki. 2025. url: https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Mapping_from_Quotient_Set_when_Defined_is_Unique (cit. on p. 26).