

# Conditions on Relations

The Clowder Project Authors

July 21, 2025

This chapter contains some material about reflexive, symmetric, transitive, equivalence, and apartness relations.

## Contents

<b>10.1</b>	<b>Functional and Total Relations .....</b>	<b>2</b>
	10.1.1 Functional Relations .....	2
	10.1.2 Total Relations .....	3
<b>10.2</b>	<b>Reflexive Relations .....</b>	<b>3</b>
	10.2.1 Foundations .....	3
	10.2.2 The Reflexive Closure of a Relation.....	4
<b>10.3</b>	<b>Symmetric Relations .....</b>	<b>6</b>
	10.3.1 Foundations .....	6
	10.3.2 The Symmetric Closure of a Relation.....	7
<b>10.4</b>	<b>Transitive Relations .....</b>	<b>8</b>
	10.4.1 Foundations .....	8
	10.4.2 The Transitive Closure of a Relation .....	9
<b>10.5</b>	<b>Equivalence Relations.....</b>	<b>12</b>
	10.5.1 Foundations .....	12
	10.5.2 The Equivalence Closure of a Relation.....	12

<b>10.6</b>	<b>Quotients by Equivalence Relations .....</b>	<b>14</b>
10.6.1	Equivalence Classes .....	14
10.6.2	Quotients of Sets by Equivalence Relations.....	14
<b>A</b>	<b>Other Chapters .....</b>	<b>19</b>

## 10.1 Functional and Total Relations

### 10.1.1 Functional Relations

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets.

**Definition 10.1.1.1.** A relation  $R: A \rightarrow B$  is **functional** if, for each  $a \in A$ , the set  $R(a)$  is either empty or a singleton.

**Proposition 10.1.1.2.** Let  $R: A \rightarrow B$  be a relation.

1. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The relation  $R$  is functional.
- (b) We have  $R \diamond R^\dagger \subset \chi_B$ .

*Proof.* **Item 1, Characterisations:** We claim that **Items 1a** and **1b** are indeed equivalent:

- **Item 1a**  $\implies$  **Item 1b**: Let  $(b, b') \in B \times B$ . We need to show that

$$[R \diamond R^\dagger](b, b') \preceq_{\{t, f\}} \chi_B(b, b'),$$

i.e. that if there exists some  $a \in A$  such that  $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a$  and  $a \sim_R b'$ , then  $b = b'$ . But since  $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a$  is the same as  $a \sim_R b$ , we have both  $a \sim_R b$  and  $a \sim_R b'$  at the same time, which implies  $b = b'$  since  $R$  is functional.

- **Item 1b**  $\implies$  **Item 1a**: Suppose that we have  $a \sim_R b$  and  $a \sim_R b'$  for  $b, b' \in B$ . We claim that  $b = b'$ :

- Since  $a \sim_R b$ , we have  $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a$ .
- Since  $R \diamond R^\dagger \subset \chi_B$ , we have

$$[R \diamond R^\dagger](b, b') \preceq_{\{t, f\}} \chi_B(b, b'),$$

and since  $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a$  and  $a \sim_R b'$ , it follows that  $[R \diamond R^\dagger](b, b') = \text{true}$ , and thus  $\chi_B(b, b') = \text{true}$  as well, i.e.  $b = b'$ .

This finishes the proof. □

## 10.1.2 Total Relations

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets.

**Definition 10.1.2.1.1.** A relation  $R: A \rightarrow B$  is **total** if, for each  $a \in A$ , we have  $R(a) \neq \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 10.1.2.1.2.** Let  $R: A \rightarrow B$  be a relation.

1. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The relation  $R$  is total.
- (b) We have  $\chi_A \subset R^\dagger \diamond R$ .

*Proof.* **Item 1, Characterisations:** We claim that **Items 1a** and **1b** are indeed equivalent:

- **Item 1a**  $\implies$  **Item 1b:** We have to show that, for each  $(a, a') \in A$ , we have

$$\chi_A(a, a') \preceq_{\{t, f\}} [R^\dagger \diamond R](a, a'),$$

i.e. that if  $a = a'$ , then there exists some  $b \in B$  such that  $a \sim_R b$  and  $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a'$  (i.e.  $a \sim_R b$  again), which follows from the totality of  $R$ .

- **Item 1b**  $\implies$  **Item 1a:** Given  $a \in A$ , since  $\chi_A \subset R^\dagger \diamond R$ , we must have

$$\{a\} \subset [R^\dagger \diamond R](a),$$

implying that there must exist some  $b \in B$  such that  $a \sim_R b$  and  $b \sim_{R^\dagger} a$  (i.e.  $a \sim_R b$ ) and thus  $R(a) \neq \emptyset$ , as  $b \in R(a)$ .

This finishes the proof. □

## 10.2 Reflexive Relations

### 10.2.1 Foundations

Let  $A$  be a set.

**Definition 10.2.1.1.1.** A **reflexive relation** is equivalently:<sup>1</sup>

- An  $\mathbb{E}_0$ -monoid in  $(\mathbf{N}_\bullet(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)), \chi_A)$ .
- A pointed object in  $(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A), \chi_A)$ .

**Remark 10.2.1.1.2.** In detail, a relation  $R$  on  $A$  is **reflexive** if we have an inclusion

$$\eta_R: \chi_A \subset R$$

of relations in  $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$ , i.e. if, for each  $a \in A$ , we have  $a \sim_R a$ .

**Definition 10.2.1.1.3.** Let  $A$  be a set.

1. The **set of reflexive relations on  $A$**  is the subset  $\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{refl}}(A, A)$  of  $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$  spanned by the reflexive relations.
2. The **poset of relations on  $A$**  is the subposet  $\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{refl}}(A, A)$  of  $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$  spanned by the reflexive relations.

**Proposition 10.2.1.1.4.** Let  $R$  and  $S$  be relations on  $A$ .

1. *Interaction With Inverses.* If  $R$  is reflexive, then so is  $R^\dagger$ .
2. *Interaction With Composition.* If  $R$  and  $S$  are reflexive, then so is  $S \diamond R$ .

*Proof.* **Item 1, Interaction With Inverses:** Clear.

**Item 2, Interaction With Composition:** Clear. □

## 10.2.2 The Reflexive Closure of a Relation

Let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ .

**Definition 10.2.2.1.1.** The **reflexive closure** of  $\sim_R$  is the relation  $\sim_R^{\text{refl}}$ <sup>2</sup> satisfying the following universal property:<sup>3</sup>

- (★) Given another reflexive relation  $\sim_S$  on  $A$  such that  $R \subset S$ , there exists an inclusion  $\sim_R^{\text{refl}} \subset \sim_S$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that since  $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$  is posetal, reflexivity is a property of a relation, rather than extra structure.

<sup>2</sup>*Further Notation:* Also written  $R^{\text{refl}}$ .

<sup>3</sup>*Slogan:* The reflexive closure of  $R$  is the smallest reflexive relation containing  $R$ .

**Construction 10.2.2.1.2.** Concretely,  $\sim_R^{\text{refl}}$  is the free pointed object on  $R$  in  $(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A), \chi_A)^4$ , being given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\text{refl}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R \coprod^{\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)} \Delta_A \\ &= R \cup \Delta_A \\ &= \{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid \text{we have } a \sim_R b \text{ or } a = b\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Clear. □

**Proposition 10.2.2.1.3.** Let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ .

1. *Adjointness.* We have an adjunction

$$\left( (-)^{\text{refl}} \dashv \overline{\omega} \right): \quad \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{refl}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{\omega}} \end{array} \mathbf{Rel}^{\text{refl}}(A, A),$$

witnessed by a bijection of sets

$$\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{refl}}(R^{\text{refl}}, S) \cong \mathbf{Rel}(R, S),$$

natural in  $R \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{refl}}(A, A))$  and  $S \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A))$ .

2. *The Reflexive Closure of a Reflexive Relation.* If  $R$  is reflexive, then  $R^{\text{refl}} = R$ .
3. *Idempotency.* We have

$$(R^{\text{refl}})^{\text{refl}} = R^{\text{refl}}.$$

4. *Interaction With Inverses.* We have

$$\left( R^\dagger \right)^{\text{refl}} = \left( R^{\text{refl}} \right)^\dagger, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{refl}}} & \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \\ (-)^\dagger \downarrow & & \downarrow (-)^\dagger \\ \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{refl}}} & \mathbf{Rel}(A, A). \end{array}$$

---

<sup>4</sup>Or, equivalently, the free  $\mathbb{E}_0$ -monoid on  $R$  in  $(\mathbf{N}_\bullet(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)), \chi_A)$ .

5. *Interaction With Composition.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Rel}(A, A) \times \text{Rel}(A, A) \xrightarrow{\diamond} \text{Rel}(A, A) & \\
 (S \diamond R)^{\text{refl}} = S^{\text{refl}} \diamond R^{\text{refl}}, & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow (-)^{\text{refl}} \times (-)^{\text{refl}} \\ \text{Rel}(A, A) \times \text{Rel}(A, A) \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow (-)^{\text{refl}} \\ \text{Rel}(A, A) \end{array} \\
 & \text{Rel}(A, A) \times \text{Rel}(A, A) \xrightarrow{\diamond} \text{Rel}(A, A). & 
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* **Item 1, Adjointness:** This is a rephrasing of the universal property of the reflexive closure of a relation, stated in **Definition 10.2.2.1.1**.

**Item 2, The Reflexive Closure of a Reflexive Relation:** Clear.

**Item 3, Idempotency:** This follows from **Item 2**.

**Item 4, Interaction With Inverses:** Clear.

**Item 5, Interaction With Composition:** This follows from **Item 2** of **Definition 10.2.1.1.4**.

□

## 10.3 Symmetric Relations

### 10.3.1 Foundations

Let  $A$  be a set.

**Definition 10.3.1.1.1.** A relation  $R$  on  $A$  is **symmetric** if we have  $R^\dagger = R$ .

**Remark 10.3.1.1.2.** In detail, a relation  $R$  is symmetric if it satisfies the following condition:

(★) For each  $a, b \in A$ , if  $a \sim_R b$ , then  $b \sim_R a$ .

**Definition 10.3.1.1.3.** Let  $A$  be a set.

1. The **set of symmetric relations on  $A$**  is the subset  $\text{Rel}^{\text{symm}}(A, A)$  of  $\text{Rel}(A, A)$  spanned by the symmetric relations.
2. The **poset of relations on  $A$**  is the subposet  $\text{Rel}^{\text{symm}}(A, A)$  of  $\text{Rel}(A, A)$  spanned by the symmetric relations.

**Proposition 10.3.1.1.4.** Let  $R$  and  $S$  be relations on  $A$ .

1. *Interaction With Inverses.* If  $R$  is symmetric, then so is  $R^\dagger$ .
2. *Interaction With Composition.* If  $R$  and  $S$  are symmetric, then so is  $S \diamond R$ .

*Proof.* **Item 1, Interaction With Inverses:** Clear.

**Item 2, Interaction With Composition:** Clear.

□

### 10.3.2 The Symmetric Closure of a Relation

Let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ .

**Definition 10.3.2.1.1.** The **symmetric closure** of  $\sim_R$  is the relation  $\sim_R^{\text{symm}}$ <sup>5</sup> satisfying the following universal property:<sup>6</sup>

- (★) Given another symmetric relation  $\sim_S$  on  $A$  such that  $R \subset S$ , there exists an inclusion  $\sim_R^{\text{symm}} \subset \sim_S$ .

**Construction 10.3.2.1.2.** Concretely,  $\sim_R^{\text{symm}}$  is the symmetric relation on  $A$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\text{symm}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R \cup R^\dagger \\ &= \{(a, b) \in A \times A \mid \text{we have } a \sim_R b \text{ or } b \sim_R a\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Clear. □

**Proposition 10.3.2.1.3.** Let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ .

1. *Adjointness.* We have an adjunction

$$((-)^{\text{symm}} \dashv \overline{\phantom{x}}): \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{symm}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{\phantom{x}}} \end{matrix} \mathbf{Rel}^{\text{symm}}(A, A),$$

witnessed by a bijection of sets

$$\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{symm}}(R^{\text{symm}}, S) \cong \mathbf{Rel}(R, S),$$

natural in  $R \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{symm}}(A, A))$  and  $S \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A))$ .

2. *The Symmetric Closure of a Symmetric Relation.* If  $R$  is symmetric, then  $R^{\text{symm}} = R$ .

3. *Idempotency.* We have

$$(R^{\text{symm}})^{\text{symm}} = R^{\text{symm}}.$$

<sup>5</sup>*Further Notation:* Also written  $R^{\text{symm}}$ .

<sup>6</sup>*Slogan:* The symmetric closure of  $R$  is the smallest symmetric relation containing  $R$ .

4. *Interaction With Inverses.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{symm}}} & \text{Rel}(A, A) \\ \downarrow (-)^{\dagger} & & \downarrow (-)^{\dagger} \\ \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{symm}}} & \text{Rel}(A, A) \end{array}$$

$(R^{\dagger})^{\text{symm}} = (R^{\text{symm}})^{\dagger},$

5. *Interaction With Composition.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rel}(A, A) \times \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\diamond} & \text{Rel}(A, A) \\ \downarrow (-)^{\text{symm}} \times (-)^{\text{symm}} & & \downarrow (-)^{\text{symm}} \\ \text{Rel}(A, A) \times \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\diamond} & \text{Rel}(A, A) \end{array}$$

$(S \diamond R)^{\text{symm}} = S^{\text{symm}} \diamond R^{\text{symm}},$

*Proof.* **Item 1, Adjointness:** This is a rephrasing of the universal property of the symmetric closure of a relation, stated in **Definition 10.3.2.1.1**.

**Item 2, The Symmetric Closure of a Symmetric Relation:** Clear.

**Item 3, Idempotency:** This follows from **Item 2**.

**Item 4, Interaction With Inverses:** Clear.

**Item 5, Interaction With Composition:** This follows from **Item 2** of **Definition 10.3.1.1.4**.

□

## 10.4 Transitive Relations

### 10.4.1 Foundations

Let  $A$  be a set.

**Definition 10.4.1.1.1.** A **transitive relation** is equivalently:<sup>7</sup>

- A non-unital  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -monoid in  $(\mathbf{N}_{\bullet}(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)), \diamond)$ .
- A non-unital monoid in  $(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A), \diamond)$ .

<sup>7</sup>Note that since  $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$  is posetal, transitivity is a property of a relation, rather than extra structure.



**Remark 10.4.1.1.2.** In detail, a relation  $R$  on  $A$  is **transitive** if we have an inclusion

$$\mu_R: R \diamond R \subset R$$

of relations in  $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$ , i.e. if, for each  $a, c \in A$ , the following condition is satisfied:

(★) If there exists some  $b \in A$  such that  $a \sim_R b$  and  $b \sim_R c$ , then  $a \sim_R c$ .

**Definition 10.4.1.1.3.** Let  $A$  be a set.

1. The **set of transitive relations from  $A$  to  $B$**  is the subset  $\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{trans}}(A)$  of  $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$  spanned by the transitive relations.
2. The **poset of relations from  $A$  to  $B$**  is the subposet  $\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{trans}}(A)$  of  $\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)$  spanned by the transitive relations.

**Proposition 10.4.1.1.4.** Let  $R$  and  $S$  be relations on  $A$ .

1. *Interaction With Inverses.* If  $R$  is transitive, then so is  $R^\dagger$ .
2. *Interaction With Composition.* If  $R$  and  $S$  are transitive, then  $S \diamond R$  **may fail to be transitive**.

*Proof.* **Item 1**, *Interaction With Inverses*: Clear.

**Item 2**, *Interaction With Composition*: See [MSE 2096272].<sup>8</sup>

□

## 10.4.2 The Transitive Closure of a Relation

Let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ .

<sup>8</sup>*Intuition:* Transitivity for  $R$  and  $S$  fails to imply that of  $S \diamond R$  because the composition operation for relations intertwines  $R$  and  $S$  in an incompatible way:

- If  $a \sim_{S \diamond R} c$  and  $c \sim_{S \diamond R} e$ , then:
  - There is some  $b \in A$  such that:
    - \*  $a \sim_R b$ ;
    - \*  $b \sim_S c$ ;
  - There is some  $d \in A$  such that:
    - \*  $c \sim_R d$ ;
    - \*  $d \sim_S e$ .

**Definition 10.4.2.1.1.** The **transitive closure** of  $\sim_R$  is the relation  $\sim_R^{\text{trans}}$ <sup>9</sup> satisfying the following universal property:<sup>10</sup>

- (★) Given another transitive relation  $\sim_S$  on  $A$  such that  $R \subset S$ , there exists an inclusion  $\sim_R^{\text{trans}} \subset \sim_S$ .

**Construction 10.4.2.1.2.** Concretely,  $\sim_R^{\text{trans}}$  is the free non-unital monoid on  $R$  in  $(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A), \diamond)$ <sup>11</sup>, being given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\text{trans}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} R^{\diamond n} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R^{\diamond n} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (a, b) \in A \times B \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists some } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^{\times n} \\ \text{such that } a \sim_R x_1 \sim_R \dots \sim_R x_n \sim_R b \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Clear. □

**Proposition 10.4.2.1.3.** Let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ .

1. *Adjointness.* We have an adjunction

$$((-)^{\text{trans}} \dashv \overline{\phantom{x}}): \quad \mathbf{Rel}(A, A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{trans}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{\phantom{x}}} \end{array} \mathbf{Rel}^{\text{trans}}(A, A),$$

witnessed by a bijection of sets

$$\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{trans}}(R^{\text{trans}}, S) \cong \mathbf{Rel}(R, S),$$

natural in  $R \in \mathbf{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{trans}}(A, A))$  and  $S \in \mathbf{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}(A, B))$ .

2. *The Transitive Closure of a Transitive Relation.* If  $R$  is transitive, then  $R^{\text{trans}} = R$ .

3. *Idempotency.* We have

$$(R^{\text{trans}})^{\text{trans}} = R^{\text{trans}}.$$

<sup>9</sup>Further Notation: Also written  $R^{\text{trans}}$ .

<sup>10</sup>Slogan: The transitive closure of  $R$  is the smallest transitive relation containing  $R$ .

<sup>11</sup>Or, equivalently, the free non-unital  $\mathbb{E}_1$ -monoid on  $R$  in  $(\mathbf{N}_\bullet(\mathbf{Rel}(A, A)), \diamond)$ .

4. *Interaction With Inverses.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{trans}}} \text{Rel}(A, A) \\ (R^\dagger)^{\text{trans}} = (R^{\text{trans}})^\dagger, & \downarrow (-)^\dagger & \downarrow (-)^\dagger \\ & \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{trans}}} \text{Rel}(A, A). \end{array}$$

5. *Interaction With Composition.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Rel}(A, A) \times \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\diamond} \text{Rel}(A, A) \\ (S \diamond R)^{\text{trans}} \stackrel{\text{poss.}}{\neq} S^{\text{trans}} \diamond R^{\text{trans}}, & \downarrow (-)^{\text{trans}} \times (-)^{\text{trans}} & \downarrow (-)^{\text{trans}} \\ & \text{Rel}(A, A) \times \text{Rel}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\diamond} \text{Rel}(A, A). \end{array} \quad \text{X}$$

*Proof.* **Item 1, Adjointness:** This is a rephrasing of the universal property of the transitive closure of a relation, stated in **Definition 10.4.2.1.1**.

**Item 2, The Transitive Closure of a Transitive Relation:** Clear.

**Item 3, Idempotency:** This follows from **Item 2**.

**Item 4, Interaction With Inverses:** We have

$$\begin{aligned} (R^\dagger)^{\text{trans}} &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (R^\dagger)^{\diamond n} \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (R^{\diamond n})^\dagger \\ &= \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R^{\diamond n} \right)^\dagger \\ &= (R^{\text{trans}})^\dagger, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used, respectively:

- **Definition 10.4.2.1.2.**
- **Constructions With Relations**, ?? of ??.
- **Constructions With Relations**, ?? of **Definition 9.2.3.1.2**.

- **Definition 10.4.2.1.2.**

This finishes the proof.

*Item 5, Interaction With Composition:* This follows from **Item 2** of **Definition 10.4.1.1.4.**

□

## 10.5 Equivalence Relations

### 10.5.1 Foundations

Let  $A$  be a set.

**Definition 10.5.1.1.1.** A relation  $R$  is an **equivalence relation** if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.<sup>12</sup>

**Example 10.5.1.1.2.** The **kernel of a function**  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is the equivalence relation  $\sim_{\text{Ker}(f)}$  on  $A$  obtained by declaring  $a \sim_{\text{Ker}(f)} b$  iff  $f(a) = f(b)$ .<sup>13</sup>

**Definition 10.5.1.1.3.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be sets.

1. The **set of equivalence relations from  $A$  to  $B$**  is the subset  $\text{Rel}^{\text{eq}}(A, B)$  of  $\text{Rel}(A, B)$  spanned by the equivalence relations.
2. The **poset of relations from  $A$  to  $B$**  is the subposet  $\text{Rel}^{\text{eq}}(A, B)$  of  $\text{Rel}(A, B)$  spanned by the equivalence relations.

### 10.5.2 The Equivalence Closure of a Relation

Let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ .

**Definition 10.5.2.1.1.** The **equivalence closure**<sup>14</sup> of  $\sim_R$  is the relation  $\sim_R^{\text{eq}}$ <sup>15</sup> satisfying the following universal property:<sup>16</sup>

<sup>12</sup> *Further Terminology:* If instead  $R$  is just symmetric and transitive, then it is called a **partial equivalence relation**.

<sup>13</sup> The kernel  $\text{Ker}(f): A \dashv\vdash A$  of  $f$  is the underlying functor of the monad induced by the adjunction  $\text{Gr}(f) \dashv f^{-1}: A \rightleftarrows B$  in **Rel** of **Constructions With Relations**, ?? of ??.

<sup>14</sup> *Further Terminology:* Also called the **equivalence relation associated to  $\sim_R$** .

<sup>15</sup> *Further Notation:* Also written  $R^{\text{eq}}$ .

<sup>16</sup> *Slogan:* The equivalence closure of  $R$  is the smallest equivalence relation containing  $R$ .

- (★) Given another equivalence relation  $\sim_S$  on  $A$  such that  $R \subset S$ , there exists an inclusion  $\sim_R^{\text{eq}} \subset \sim_S$ .

**Construction 10.5.2.1.2.** Concretely,  $\sim_R^{\text{eq}}$  is the equivalence relation on  $A$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\text{eq}} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left( (R^{\text{refl}})^{\text{symm}} \right)^{\text{trans}} \\ &= ((R^{\text{symm}})^{\text{trans}})^{\text{refl}} \\ &= \left\{ (a, b) \in A \times B \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^{\times n} \text{ satisfying at} \\ \text{least one of the following conditions:} \\ \\ 1. \text{ The following conditions are satisfied:} \\ \\ \quad (a) \text{ We have } a \sim_R x_1 \text{ or } x_1 \sim_R a; \\ \quad (b) \text{ We have } x_i \sim_R x_{i+1} \text{ or } x_{i+1} \sim_R x_i \\ \quad \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq i \leq n-1; \\ \quad (c) \text{ We have } b \sim_R x_n \text{ or } x_n \sim_R b; \\ \\ 2. \text{ We have } a = b. \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From the universal properties of the reflexive, symmetric, and transitive closures of a relation ([Definitions 10.2.2.1.1](#), [10.3.2.1.1](#) and [10.4.2.1.1](#)), we see that it suffices to prove that:

1. The symmetric closure of a reflexive relation is still reflexive.
2. The transitive closure of a symmetric relation is still symmetric.

which are both clear. □

**Proposition 10.5.2.1.3.** Let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ .

1. *Adjointness.* We have an adjunction

$$((-)^{\text{eq}} \dashv \overline{\phantom{x}}): \quad \mathbf{Rel}(A, B) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{eq}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\overline{\phantom{x}}} \end{array} \mathbf{Rel}^{\text{eq}}(A, B),$$

witnessed by a bijection of sets

$$\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{eq}}(R^{\text{eq}}, S) \cong \mathbf{Rel}(R, S),$$

natural in  $R \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}^{\text{eq}}(A, B))$  and  $S \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}(A, B))$ .

2. *The Equivalence Closure of an Equivalence Relation.* If  $R$  is an equivalence relation, then  $R^{\text{eq}} = R$ .
3. *Idempotency.* We have

$$(R^{\text{eq}})^{\text{eq}} = R^{\text{eq}}.$$

*Proof.* **Item 1, Adjointness:** This is a rephrasing of the universal property of the equivalence closure of a relation, stated in **Definition 10.5.2.1.1**.

**Item 2, The Equivalence Closure of an Equivalence Relation:** Clear.

**Item 3, Idempotency:** This follows from **Item 2**. □

## 10.6 Quotients by Equivalence Relations

### 10.6.1 Equivalence Classes

Let  $A$  be a set, let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ , and let  $a \in A$ .

**Definition 10.6.1.1.1.** The **equivalence class associated to  $a$**  is the set  $[a]$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [a] &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in X \mid x \sim_R a\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid a \sim_R x\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{since } R \text{ is symmetric})$$

### 10.6.2 Quotients of Sets by Equivalence Relations

Let  $A$  be a set and let  $R$  be a relation on  $A$ .

**Definition 10.6.2.1.1.** The **quotient of  $X$  by  $R$**  is the set  $X/\sim_R$  defined by

$$X/\sim_R \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[a] \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid a \in X\}.$$

**Remark 10.6.2.1.2.** The reason we define quotient sets for equivalence relations only is that each of the properties of being an equivalence relation—reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity—ensures that the equivalence classes  $[a]$  of  $X$  under  $R$  are well-behaved:

- *Reflexivity.* If  $R$  is reflexive, then, for each  $a \in X$ , we have  $a \in [a]$ .

- *Symmetry.* The equivalence class  $[a]$  of an element  $a$  of  $X$  is defined by

$$[a] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in X \mid x \sim_R a\},$$

but we could equally well define

$$[a]' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in X \mid a \sim_R x\}$$

instead. This is not a problem when  $R$  is symmetric, as we then have  $[a] = [a]'$ .<sup>17</sup>

- *Transitivity.* If  $R$  is transitive, then  $[a]$  and  $[b]$  are disjoint iff  $a \not\sim_R b$ , and equal otherwise.

**Proposition 10.6.2.1.3.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function and let  $R$  be a relation on  $X$ .

1. *As a Coequaliser.* We have an isomorphism of sets

$$X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}} \cong \text{CoEq}\left(R \hookrightarrow X \times X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \end{array} X\right),$$

where  $\sim_R^{\text{eq}}$  is the equivalence relation generated by  $\sim_R$ .

2. *As a Pushout.* We have an isomorphism of sets<sup>18</sup>

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}} & \longleftarrow & X \\ \uparrow \ulcorner & & \uparrow \\ X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}} \cong X \amalg_{\text{Eq}(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2)} X & & X \longleftarrow \text{Eq}(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2). \end{array}$$

where  $\sim_R^{\text{eq}}$  is the equivalence relation generated by  $\sim_R$ .

<sup>17</sup>When categorifying equivalence relations, one finds that  $[a]$  and  $[a]'$  correspond to presheaves and copresheaves; see Constructions With Categories, ??.

<sup>18</sup>Dually, we also have an isomorphism of sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Eq}(\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}}. \end{array}$$

3. *The First Isomorphism Theorem for Sets.* We have an isomorphism of sets<sup>19,20</sup>

$$X/\sim_{\text{Ker}(f)} \cong \text{Im}(f).$$

4. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, I.* Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

(a) There exists a map

$$\bar{f}: X/\sim_R \rightarrow Y$$

making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ q \downarrow & \exists \nearrow \bar{f} & \\ X/\sim_R & & \end{array}$$

commute.

(b) We have  $R \subset \text{Ker}(f)$ .

(c) For each  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x \sim_R y$ , then  $f(x) = f(y)$ .

5. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, II.* Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation

<sup>19</sup>Further Terminology: The set  $X/\sim_{\text{Ker}(f)}$  is often called the **coimage** of  $f$ , and denoted by  $\text{CoIm}(f)$ .

<sup>20</sup>In a sense this is a result relating the monad in **Rel** induced by  $f$  with the comonad in **Rel** induced by  $f$ , as the kernel and image

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(f) &: X \rightarrowtail X, \\ \text{Im}(f) &\subset Y \end{aligned}$$

of  $f$  are the underlying functors of (respectively) the induced monad and comonad of the adjunction

$$(\text{Gr}(f) \dashv f^{-1}): \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Gr}(f) & \\ & \downarrow \perp & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B \\ & \uparrow f^{-1} & \end{array}$$

of **Constructions With Relations**, ?? of ??.



on  $X$ . If the conditions of **Item 4** hold, then  $\bar{f}$  is the *unique* map making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow q & \nearrow \exists! \bar{f} & \\ X/\sim_R & & \end{array}$$

commute.

6. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, III.* Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$ . We have a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}(X/\sim_R, Y) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}^R(X, Y),$$

natural in  $X, Y \in \text{Obj}(\text{Sets})$ , given by the assignment  $f \mapsto \bar{f}$  of **Items 4** and **5**, where  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}^R(X, Y)$  is the set defined by

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}^R(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}(X, Y) \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{for each } x, y \in X, \\ \text{if } x \sim_R y, \text{ then} \\ f(x) = f(y) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

7. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, IV.* Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$ . If the conditions of **Item 4** hold, then the following conditions are equivalent:
- (a) The map  $\bar{f}$  is an injection.
  - (b) We have  $R = \text{Ker}(f)$ .
  - (c) For each  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $x \sim_R y$  iff  $f(x) = f(y)$ .
8. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, V.* Let  $R$  be an equivalence relation on  $X$ . If the conditions of **Item 4** hold, then the following conditions are equivalent:
- (a) The map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is surjective.
  - (b) The map  $\bar{f}: X/\sim_R \rightarrow Y$  is surjective.
9. *Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, VI.* Let  $R$  be a relation on  $X$  and let  $\sim_R^{\text{eq}}$  be the equivalence relation associated to  $R$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

(a) The map  $f$  satisfies the equivalent conditions of **Item 4**:

- There exists a map

$$\bar{f}: X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}} \rightarrow Y$$

making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ q \downarrow & \searrow \exists \bar{f} & \\ X/\sim_R^{\text{eq}} & & \end{array}$$

commute.

- For each  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x \sim_R^{\text{eq}} y$ , then  $f(x) = f(y)$ .
- (b) For each  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x \sim_R y$ , then  $f(x) = f(y)$ .

*Proof.* **Item 1**, As a Coequaliser: Omitted.

**Item 2**, As a Pushout: Omitted.

**Item 3**, The First Isomorphism Theorem for Sets: Clear.

**Item 4**, Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, I: See [Pro25c].

**Item 5**, Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, II: See [Pro25d].

**Item 6**, Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, III: This follows from **Items 5** and **6**.

**Item 7**, Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, IV: See [Pro25b].

**Item 8**, Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, V: See [Pro25a].

**Item 9**, Descending Functions to Quotient Sets, VI: The implication **Item 8a**  $\implies$  **Item 8b** is clear.

Conversely, suppose that, for each  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x \sim_R y$ , then  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Spelling out the definition of the equivalence closure of  $R$ , we see that the condition  $x \sim_R^{\text{eq}} y$  unwinds to the following:

- (★) There exist  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^{\times n}$  satisfying at least one of the following conditions:
- The following conditions are satisfied:
    - \* We have  $x \sim_R x_1$  or  $x_1 \sim_R x$ ;
    - \* We have  $x_i \sim_R x_{i+1}$  or  $x_{i+1} \sim_R x_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ;
    - \* We have  $y \sim_R x_n$  or  $x_n \sim_R y$ ;

– We have  $x = y$ .

Now, if  $x = y$ , then  $f(x) = f(y)$  trivially; otherwise, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x_1), \\ f(x_1) &= f(x_2), \\ &\vdots \\ f(x_{n-1}) &= f(x_n), \\ f(x_n) &= f(y), \end{aligned}$$

and  $f(x) = f(y)$ , as we wanted to show.  $\square$

# Appendices

## A Other Chapters

### Preliminaries

1. Introduction
2. A Guide to the Literature

### Sets

3. Sets
4. Constructions With Sets
5. Monoidal Structures on the Category of Sets
6. Pointed Sets
7. Tensor Products of Pointed Sets

### Relations

8. Relations
9. Constructions With Relations

### 10. Conditions on Relations

### Categories

11. Categories
12. Presheaves and the Yoneda Lemma

### Monoidal Categories

13. Constructions With Monoidal Categories

### Bicategories

14. Types of Morphisms in Bicategories

### Extra Part

15. Notes

## References

- [MSE 2096272] **Akiva Weinberger**. *Is composition of two transitive relations transitive? If not, can you give me a counterexample?* Mathematics Stack Exchange. URL: <https://math.stackexchange.com/q/2096272> (cit. on p. 9).
- [Pro25a] Proof Wiki Contributors. *Condition For Mapping from Quotient Set To Be A Surjection* — Proof Wiki. 2025. URL: [https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Condition\\_for\\_Mapping\\_from\\_Quotient\\_Set\\_to\\_be\\_Surjection](https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Condition_for_Mapping_from_Quotient_Set_to_be_Surjection) (cit. on p. 18).
- [Pro25b] Proof Wiki Contributors. *Condition For Mapping From Quotient Set To Be An Injection* — Proof Wiki. 2025. URL: [https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Condition\\_for\\_Mapping\\_from\\_Quotient\\_Set\\_to\\_be\\_Injection](https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Condition_for_Mapping_from_Quotient_Set_to_be_Injection) (cit. on p. 18).
- [Pro25c] Proof Wiki Contributors. *Condition For Mapping From Quotient Set To Be Well-Defined* — Proof Wiki. 2025. URL: [https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Condition\\_for\\_Mapping\\_from\\_Quotient\\_Set\\_to\\_be\\_Well-Defined](https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Condition_for_Mapping_from_Quotient_Set_to_be_Well-Defined) (cit. on p. 18).
- [Pro25d] Proof Wiki Contributors. *Mapping From Quotient Set When Defined Is Unique* — Proof Wiki. 2025. URL: [https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Mapping\\_from\\_Quotient\\_Set\\_when\\_Defined\\_is\\_Unique](https://proofwiki.org/wiki/Mapping_from_Quotient_Set_when_Defined_is_Unique) (cit. on p. 18).