

Constructions With Relations

The Clowder Project Authors

July 29, 2025

00NE This chapter contains some material about constructions with relations. Notably, we discuss and explore:

- 029U** 1. The existence or non-existence of Kan extensions and Kan lifts in the 2-category **Rel** (??).
- 029V** 2. The various kinds of constructions involving relations, such as graphs, domains, ranges, unions, intersections, products, converse relations, composition of relations, and collages ([Section 9.2](#)).

This chapter is under revision. TODO:

- 1. Rename range to image
- 2. Co/limits in **Rel**.

Contents

| | |
|--|----------|
| 9.1 Co/Limits in the Category of Relations..... | 2 |
| 9.2 More Constructions With Relations..... | 2 |
| 9.2.1 The Domain and Range of a Relation | 2 |
| 9.2.2 Binary Unions of Relations | 3 |
| 9.2.3 Unions of Families of Relations..... | 4 |
| 9.2.4 Binary Intersections of Relations | 5 |
| 9.2.5 Intersections of Families of Relations | 6 |
| 9.2.6 Binary Products of Relations..... | 7 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 9.2.7 | Products of Families of Relations..... | 9 |
| 9.2.8 | The Collage of a Relation | 10 |
| A | Other Chapters..... | 13 |

00NF 9.1 Co/Limits in the Category of Relations

This section is currently just a stub, and will be properly developed later on.

00NZ 9.2 More Constructions With Relations

00PM 9.2.1 The Domain and Range of a Relation

Let A and B be sets.

00PN **Definition 9.2.1.1.1.** Let $R: A \rightarrowtail B$ be a relation.^{1,2}

¹Following ??, ??, we may compute the (characteristic functions associated to the) domain and range of a relation using the following colimit formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi_{\text{dom}(R)}(a) &\cong \text{colim}_{b \in B} (R_a^b) & (a \in A) \\
 &\cong \bigvee_{b \in B} R_a^b \\
 \chi_{\text{range}(R)}(b) &\cong \text{colim}_{a \in A} (R_a^b) & (b \in B) \\
 &\cong \bigvee_{a \in A} R_a^b
 \end{aligned}$$

where the join \bigvee is taken in the poset $(\{\text{true}, \text{false}\}, \preceq)$ of **Constructions With Sets**, **Definition 3.2.2.1.3**.

²Viewing R as a function $R: A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{dom}(R) &\cong \text{colim}_{y \in Y} (R(y)) \\
 &\cong \bigcup_{y \in Y} R(y), \\
 \text{range}(R) &\cong \text{colim}_{x \in X} (R(x)) \\
 &\cong \bigcup_{x \in X} R(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

- 02AV 1. The **domain of** R is the subset $\text{dom}(R)$ of A defined by

$$\text{dom}(R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ a \in A \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists some } b \in B \\ \text{such that } a \sim_R b \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

- 02AW 2. The **range of** R is the subset $\text{range}(R)$ of B defined by

$$\text{range}(R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ b \in B \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists some } a \in A \\ \text{such that } a \sim_R b \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

00PP 9.2.2 Binary Unions of Relations

Let A and B be sets and let R and S be relations from A to B .

- 00PQ **Definition 9.2.2.1.1.** The **union of** R and S ³ is the relation $R \cup S$ from A to B defined as follows:

- Viewing relations from A to B as subsets of $A \times B$, we define⁴

$$R \cup S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(a, b) \in A \times B \mid \text{we have } a \sim_R b \text{ or } a \sim_S b\}.$$

- Viewing relations from A to B as functions $A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B)$, we define

$$[R \cup S](a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R(a) \cup S(a)$$

for each $a \in A$.

- 00PR **Proposition 9.2.2.1.2.** Let R, S, R_1 , and R_2 be relations from A to B , and let S_1 and S_2 be relations from B to C .

- 00PS 1. *Interaction With Converses.* We have

$$(R \cup S)^\dagger = R^\dagger \cup S^\dagger.$$

- 00PT 2. *Interaction With Composition.* We have

$$(S_1 \diamond R_1) \cup (S_2 \diamond R_2) \stackrel{\text{poss.}}{\neq} (S_1 \cup S_2) \diamond (R_1 \cup R_2).$$

³*Further Terminology:* Also called the **binary union of** R and S , for emphasis.

⁴This is the same as the union of R and S as subsets of $A \times B$.

Proof. Item 1, Interaction With Converses: Clear.

Item 2, Interaction With Composition: Unwinding the definitions, we see that:

- The condition for $(S_1 \diamond R_1) \cup (S_2 \diamond R_2)$ is:
 - There exists some $b \in B$ such that:
 - * $a \sim_{R_1} b$ and $b \sim_{S_1} c$;
 - or
 - * $a \sim_{R_2} b$ and $b \sim_{S_2} c$;
- The condition for $(S_1 \cup S_2) \diamond (R_1 \cup R_2)$ is:
 - There exists some $b \in B$ such that:
 - * $a \sim_{R_1} b$ or $a \sim_{R_2} b$;
 - and
 - * $b \sim_{S_1} c$ or $b \sim_{S_2} c$.

These two conditions may fail to agree (counterexample omitted), and thus the two resulting relations on $A \times C$ may differ. \square

00PU 9.2.3 Unions of Families of Relations

Let A and B be sets and let $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of relations from A to B .

00PV **Definition 9.2.3.1.1.** The **union of the family** $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ is the relation $\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i$ from A to B defined as follows:

- Viewing relations from A to B as subsets of $A \times B$, we define⁵

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (a, b) \in (A \times B)^{\times I} \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there exists some } i \in I \\ \text{such that } a \sim_{R_i} b \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

- Viewing relations from A to B as functions $A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B)$, we define

$$\left[\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i \right] (a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{i \in I} R_i(a)$$

for each $a \in A$.

⁵This is the same as the union of $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ as a collection of subsets of $A \times B$.

00PW Proposition 9.2.3.1.2. Let A and B be sets and let $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of relations from A to B .

00PX 1. *Interaction With Converses.* We have

$$\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i\right)^\dagger = \bigcup_{i \in I} R_i^\dagger.$$

Proof. **Item 1, Interaction With Converses:** Clear. □

00PY 9.2.4 Binary Intersections of Relations

Let A and B be sets and let R and S be relations from A to B .

00PZ Definition 9.2.4.1.1. The **intersection of R and S** ⁶ is the relation $R \cap S$ from A to B defined as follows:

- Viewing relations from A to B as subsets of $A \times B$, we define⁷

$$R \cap S \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(a, b) \in A \times B \mid \text{we have } a \sim_R b \text{ and } a \sim_S b\}.$$

- Viewing relations from A to B as functions $A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B)$, we define

$$[R \cap S](a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R(a) \cap S(a)$$

for each $a \in A$.

00Q0 Proposition 9.2.4.1.2. Let R, S, R_1 , and R_2 be relations from A to B , and let S_1 and S_2 be relations from B to C .

00Q1 1. *Interaction With Converses.* We have

$$(R \cap S)^\dagger = R^\dagger \cap S^\dagger.$$

00Q2 2. *Interaction With Composition.* We have

$$(S_1 \diamond R_1) \cap (S_2 \diamond R_2) = (S_1 \cap S_2) \diamond (R_1 \cap R_2).$$

⁶*Further Terminology:* Also called the **binary intersection of R and S** , for emphasis.

⁷This is the same as the intersection of R and S as subsets of $A \times B$.

Proof. Item 1, Interaction With Converse: Clear.

Item 2, Interaction With Composition: Unwinding the definitions, we see that:

- The condition for $(S_1 \diamond R_1) \cap (S_2 \diamond R_2)$ is:
 - There exists some $b \in B$ such that:
 - * $a \sim_{R_1} b$ and $b \sim_{S_1} c$;
 - and
 - * $a \sim_{R_2} b$ and $b \sim_{S_2} c$;
- The condition for $(S_1 \cap S_2) \diamond (R_1 \cap R_2)$ is:
 - There exists some $b \in B$ such that:
 - * $a \sim_{R_1} b$ and $a \sim_{R_2} b$;
 - and
 - * $b \sim_{S_1} c$ and $b \sim_{S_2} c$.

These two conditions agree, and thus so do the two resulting relations on $A \times C$. \square

00Q3 9.2.5 Intersections of Families of Relations

Let A and B be sets and let $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of relations from A to B .

00Q4 **Definition 9.2.5.I.I.** The **intersection of the family** $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ is the relation $\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i$ defined as follows:

- Viewing relations from A to B as subsets of $A \times B$, we define⁸

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (a, b) \in (A \times B)^{\times I} \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{for each } i \in I, \\ \text{we have } a \sim_{R_i} b \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

- Viewing relations from A to B as functions $A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B)$, we define

$$\left[\bigcap_{i \in I} R_i \right] (a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcap_{i \in I} R_i(a)$$

for each $a \in A$.

⁸This is the same as the intersection of $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ as a collection of subsets of $A \times B$.

00Q5 Proposition 9.2.5.1.2. Let A and B be sets and let $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of relations from A to B .

00Q6 1. *Interaction With Converses.* We have

$$\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} R_i\right)^\dagger = \bigcap_{i \in I} R_i^\dagger.$$

Proof. **Item 1, Interaction With Converses:** Clear. □

00Q7 9.2.6 Binary Products of Relations

Let A , B , X , and Y be sets, let $R: A \rightarrowtail B$ be a relation from A to B , and let $S: X \rightarrowtail Y$ be a relation from X to Y .

00Q8 Definition 9.2.6.1.1. The **product of R and S** ⁹ is the relation $R \times S$ from $A \times X$ to $B \times Y$ defined as follows:

- Viewing relations from $A \times X$ to $B \times Y$ as subsets of $(A \times X) \times (B \times Y)$, we define $R \times S$ as the Cartesian product of R and S as subsets of $A \times X$ and $B \times Y$.¹⁰
- Viewing relations from $A \times X$ to $B \times Y$ as functions $A \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(B \times Y)$, we define $R \times S$ as the composition

$$A \times X \xrightarrow{R \times S} \mathcal{P}(B) \times \mathcal{P}(Y) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{B,Y}^\otimes} \mathcal{P}(B \times Y)$$

in Sets, i.e. by

$$[R \times S](a, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R(a) \times S(x)$$

for each $(a, x) \in A \times X$.

00Q9 Proposition 9.2.6.1.2. Let A , B , X , and Y be sets.

⁹*Further Terminology:* Also called the **binary product of R and S** , for emphasis.

¹⁰That is, $R \times S$ is the relation given by declaring $(a, x) \sim_{R \times S} (b, y)$ iff $a \sim_R b$ and $x \sim_S y$.

00QA 1. *Interaction With Converses.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} R &: A \rightarrowtail A, \\ S &: X \rightarrowtail X \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(R \times S)^\dagger = R^\dagger \times S^\dagger.$$

00QB 2. *Interaction With Composition.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &: A \rightarrowtail B, \\ S_1 &: B \rightarrowtail C, \\ R_2 &: X \rightarrowtail Y, \\ S_2 &: Y \rightarrowtail Z \end{aligned}$$

be relations. We have

$$(S_1 \diamond R_1) \times (S_2 \diamond R_2) = (S_1 \times S_2) \diamond (R_1 \times R_2).$$

Proof. **Item 1, Interaction With Converses:** Unwinding the definitions, we see that:

- We have $(a, x) \sim_{(R \times S)^\dagger} (b, y)$ iff:
 - We have $(b, y) \sim_{R \times S} (a, x)$, i.e. iff:
 - * We have $b \sim_R a$;
 - * We have $y \sim_S x$;
- We have $(a, x) \sim_{R^\dagger \times S^\dagger} (b, y)$ iff:
 - We have $a \sim_{R^\dagger} b$ and $x \sim_{S^\dagger} y$, i.e. iff:
 - * We have $b \sim_R a$;
 - * We have $y \sim_S x$.

These two conditions agree, and thus the two resulting relations on $A \times X$ are equal.

Item 2, Interaction With Composition: Unwinding the definitions, we see that:

- We have $(a, x) \sim_{(S_1 \diamond R_1) \times (S_2 \diamond R_2)} (c, z)$ iff:

- We have $a \sim_{S_1 \diamond R_1} c$ and $x \sim_{S_2 \diamond R_2} z$, i.e. iff:
 - * There exists some $b \in B$ such that $a \sim_{R_1} b$ and $b \sim_{S_1} c$;
 - * There exists some $y \in Y$ such that $x \sim_{R_2} y$ and $y \sim_{S_2} z$;
- We have $(a, x) \sim_{(S_1 \times S_2) \diamond (R_1 \times R_2)} (c, z)$ iff:
 - There exists some $(b, y) \in B \times Y$ such that $(a, x) \sim_{R_1 \times R_2} (b, y)$ and $(b, y) \sim_{S_1 \times S_2} (c, z)$, i.e. such that:
 - * We have $a \sim_{R_1} b$ and $x \sim_{R_2} y$;
 - * We have $b \sim_{S_1} c$ and $y \sim_{S_2} z$.

These two conditions agree, and thus the two resulting relations from $A \times X$ to $C \times Z$ are equal. \square

00QC 9.2.7 Products of Families of Relations

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ be families of sets, and let $\{R_i: A_i \rightarrow B_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of relations.

00QD **Definition 9.2.7.1.1.** The **product of the family** $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ is the relation $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ from $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ to $\prod_{i \in I} B_i$ defined as follows:

- Viewing relations as subsets, we define $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ as its product as a family of sets, i.e. we have

$$\prod_{i \in I} R_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (a_i, b_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} (A_i \times B_i) \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{for each } i \in I, \\ \text{we have } a_i \sim_{R_i} b_i \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

- Viewing relations as functions to powersets, we define

$$\left[\prod_{i \in I} R_i \right] ((a_i)_{i \in I}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{i \in I} R_i(a_i)$$

for each $(a_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$.

00R2 9.2.8 The Collage of a Relation

Let A and B be sets and let $R: A \rightarrow B$ be a relation from A to B .

00R3 **Definition 9.2.8.1.1.** The **collage of R ¹¹** is the poset $\mathbf{Coll}(R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbf{Coll}(R), \preceq_{\mathbf{Coll}(R)})$ consisting of:

- *The Underlying Set.* The set $\mathbf{Coll}(R)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{Coll}(R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \amalg B.$$

- *The Partial Order.* The partial order

$$\preceq_{\mathbf{Coll}(R)}: \mathbf{Coll}(R) \times \mathbf{Coll}(R) \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$$


on $\mathbf{Coll}(R)$ defined by

$$\preceq(a, b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } a = b \text{ or } a \sim_R b, \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

02AZ **Notation 9.2.8.1.2.** We write $\mathbf{Pos}_{/\Delta^1}(A, B)$ for the category defined as the pull-back

$$\mathbf{Pos}_{/\Delta^1}(A, B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{pt}_{[A], \mathbf{Pos}, \text{ev}_0} \times_{\mathbf{Pos}_{/\Delta^1}} \mathbf{pt}_{\text{ev}_1, \mathbf{Pos}, [B]}$$

as in the diagram



02B0 **Remark 9.2.8.1.3.** In detail, $\mathbf{Pos}_{/\Delta^1}(A, B)$ is the category where:

¹¹*Further Terminology:* Also called the **cograph of R** .

- *Objects.* An object of $\mathbf{Pos}_{/\Delta^1}(A, B)$ is a pair (X, ϕ_X) consisting of
 - A poset X ;
 - A morphism $\phi_X: X \rightarrow \Delta^1$;

such that we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_X^{-1}(0) &= A, \\ \phi_X^{-1}(1) &= B.\end{aligned}$$

- *Morphisms.* A morphism of $\mathbf{Pos}_{/\Delta^1}(A, B)$ from (X, ϕ_X) to (Y, ϕ_Y) is a morphism of posets $f: X \rightarrow Y$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \phi_X \searrow & & \swarrow \phi_Y \\ & \Delta^1 & \end{array}$$

commute.

00R4 Proposition 9.2.8.1.4. Let A and B be sets and let $R: A \rightarrowtail B$ be a relation from A to B .

00R5 1. *Functoriality.* The assignment $R \mapsto \mathbf{Coll}(R)$ defines a functor

$$\mathbf{Coll}: \mathbf{Rel}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathbf{Pos}_{/\Delta^1}(A, B),$$

where

- *Action on Objects.* For each $R \in \mathbf{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}(A, B))$, we have

$$[\mathbf{Coll}](R) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathbf{Coll}(R), \phi_R)$$

for each $R \in \mathbf{Rel}(A, B)$, where

- The poset $\mathbf{Coll}(R)$ is the collage of R of **Definition 9.2.8.1.1.**
- The morphism $\phi_R: \mathbf{Coll}(R) \rightarrow \Delta^1$ is given by

$$\phi_R(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in B \end{cases}$$

for each $x \in \mathbf{Coll}(R)$.

- *Action on Morphisms.* For each $R, S \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Rel}(A, B))$, the action on Hom-sets

$$\mathbf{Coll}_{R,S}: \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Rel}(A,B)}(R, S) \rightarrow \text{Pos}(\mathbf{Coll}(R), \mathbf{Coll}(S))$$

of **Coll** at (R, S) is given by sending an inclusion

$$\iota: R \subset S$$

to the morphism

$$\mathbf{Coll}(\iota): \mathbf{Coll}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Coll}(S)$$

of posets over Δ^1 defined by

$$[\mathbf{Coll}(\iota)](x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x$$

for each $x \in \mathbf{Coll}(R)$.¹²

00R6 2. *Equivalence.* The functor of **Item 1** is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. **Item 1, Functoriality:** Clear.

Item 2, Equivalence: Omitted. □

Appendices

¹²Note that this is indeed a morphism of posets: if $x \preceq_{\mathbf{Coll}(R)} y$, then $x = y$ or $x \sim_R y$, so we have either $x = y$ or $x \sim_S y$ (as $R \subset S$), and thus $x \preceq_{\mathbf{Coll}(S)} y$.

A Other Chapters

Preliminaries

1. Introduction
2. A Guide to the Literature

Sets

3. Sets
4. Constructions With Sets
5. Monoidal Structures on the Category of Sets
6. Pointed Sets
7. Tensor Products of Pointed Sets

Relations

8. Relations
9. Constructions With Relations

10. Conditions on Relations

Categories

11. Categories
12. Presheaves and the Yoneda Lemma

Monoidal Categories

13. Constructions With Monoidal Categories

Bicategories

14. Types of Morphisms in Bicategories

Extra Part

15. Notes