# Hazard Analysis ProgName

Team #25, The Crazy Four Ruida Chen Ammar Sharbat Alvin Qian Jiaming Li

Table 1: Revision History

| Date         | Developer(s)             | Change  |
|--------------|--------------------------|---|
| 10.9<br>10.9 | Jiaming Li<br>Ruida Chen | FMEA<br>Introduction, Scope and Purpose, Components,<br>Reflection Q4 |
| •••          | •••                      | •••   |

## Contents

| 1                                      | Introduction                      | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 Scope and Purpose of Hazard Analysis |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3                                      | System Boundaries and Components  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.1 Frontend                      | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.2 Backend Server                | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.3 Database                      | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.4 Real-Time Communication Layer | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.5 Game Rule Module              | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.6 Auth & User Management System | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.7 External Libraries and APIs   | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4                                      | Critical Assumptions              | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5                                      | Failure Mode and Effect Analysis  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6                                      | Safety and Security Requirements  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7                                      | Roadmap                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### 1 Introduction

For a card game that entertains users by applying different game rules in different numerical systems to teach various number bases, reliability of the software and the user safety are neccessary attributes. In this context, a hazard is defined as any situation within the system or in the surrounding environment that may cause harm, such as confusion, data loss or resulting in incorrect learning outcomes. In this project, hazards are not limited to physical concerns; they mainly focus on software-related risks. These issues may cause users to become frustrated or reduce the educational value of the game. The Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) method is used to identify and evaluate these possible failure modes within key components, guiding the team to improve system fairness, learning accuracy, and overall robustness.

### 2 Scope and Purpose of Hazard Analysis

The purpose of this hazard analysis is to identify components of the card game system that may lead to undesirable outcomes and to understand the potential losses that could occur if such hazards are realized. These losses may include:

- Loss of learning effectiveness, where players receive incorrect results or misleading explanations about numeral bases.
- Loss of user trust or engagement, caused by unfair scoring, interface errors, or game desynchronization.
- Loss of data integrity, resulting in corrupted game records or incorrect user progress tracking.
- Loss of system availability, due to server failure or communication breakdowns during active sessions.

The analysis considers both situations when the system functions incorrectly and when it behaves as designed but under unexpected conditions (e.g., network lag or user misuse). By identifying potential hazards early, this document supports the design of a more stable, accurate, and user-centered learning experience.

### 3 System Boundaries and Components

#### 3.1 Frontend

This component provides the main interface for players to interact with the system, it captures user inputs such as playing, drawing, or skipping cards and provides visual feedback and animations during gameplay.

#### 3.2 Backend Server

This component manages the overall system logic, coordinating user sessions, handle requests, and enforcing gameplay.

#### 3.3 Database

This component stores persistent data such as player account information, game history, and user configuration settings.

#### 3.4 Real-Time Communication Layer

This component maintains live connections between clients and the server to synchronize player actions in real time.

#### 3.5 Game Rule Module

This component enforces the game's core rules, such as base conversion calculations, card validation, and scoring.

#### 3.6 Auth & User Management System

This component handles user registration, login, and session verification.

#### 3.7 External Libraries and APIs

This component relies on several open-source frameworks and libraries.

### 4 Critical Assumptions

The assumptions below focus on a realistic deployment and user population.

#### Assumptions deliberately not made

- We do not assume client input is trusted. All moves are validated on the server.
- We do not assume stable connectivity for the entire session. Rejoin and reconciliation are required features.
- We do not assume prior knowledge of base 12. In app explanations and hints are mandatory.

### 5 Failure Mode and Effect Analysis

Table 2: Critical Assumptions and Implications

|    |   | ar Assumptions and Imp                                  |  |
|----|---|---|--|
| ID | Assumption  | Rationale   | If violated  |
| A1 | Users access the game on a supported desktop or laptop browser (Chrome, Firefox, Edge) with JavaScript, cookies, and local storage enabled. | Limits test matrix and aligns with target environment.  | UI features malfunction, loss of state persistence, inaccessible components.           |
| A2 | Average network latency under 300 ms and packet loss under 1% during play.  | Real time turns and fairness depend on timely messages. | Turn desync, duplicate actions, stale state decisions.                                 |
| A3 | Server and database<br>share a synchronized<br>time source via NTP<br>and timeouts use a<br>monotonic clock.                                | Consistent session expiry and replay ordering.          | Expired tokens accepted, rejoin windows miscalculated, audit confusion.                |
| A4 | WebSocket over TLS 1.2 or higher is available from client networks.   | Required for live play and low latency.                 | Falls back to polling<br>or blocks play which<br>raises latency and<br>server load.    |
| A5 | Database durability is<br>at least write ahead<br>logging with fsync on<br>commit.  | Protects match history and user settings.               | Corrupted or missing results after crashes or restarts.                                |
| A6 | No personally sensitive data beyond username and optional email is stored and there are no financial transactions.                          | Reduces compliance and breach impact.                   | If sensitive data is<br>later added, privacy<br>risk and legal expo-<br>sure increase. |
| A7 | Client devices provide basic accessibility aids such as keyboard, focus navigation, and screen reader support.                              | Meets usability and accessibility requirements.         | Some users cannot operate the game which creates rule misunderstanding hazards.        |

Table 3: Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA)

| Component            | Failure Modes  | Effects of Failure  | Causes of Failure                                | Recommended Action   |
|----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Game Engine          | Incorrect Dozenal validation (e.g., misinterpreting sum = 12). | Invalid moves; breaks gameplay logic and educational purpose. | Logic bug;<br>base-10/12 conversion error.       | (a) Add unit tests for all Dozenal comparisons; (b) property-based tests for edge cases.                           |
| UI Layer             | Hints/feedback not displayed during gameplay.                  | Player confusion;<br>reduced educational<br>value.            | Rendering delay;<br>missing event call-<br>back. | <ul><li>(a) Async UI event queue with logging;</li><li>(b) fallback text-only hint when animation fails.</li></ul> |
| Login Manager        | Player unable to log in or session lost.                       | Loss of access to saved data or multiplayer mode.             | Session timeout; invalid credential cache.       | (a) Guest-mode fallback; (b) local session cache; retry with exponential backoff.                                  |
| Data Manager         | Save corruption during file write.                             | Loss of player profile or progress.                           | Non-atomic save; unexpected shutdown.            | (a) Atomic writes + checksum on load; (b) periodic backups and restore flow.                                       |
| Score Calculator     | Wrong Dozenal-decimal conversion or totals.                    | Inconsistent scores; undermines trust.                        | Arithmetic bug; type overflow.                   | (a) Secondary calculator cross-check; (b) property-based tests on random inputs.                                   |
| Network (future)     | Desynchronized multiplayer state.                              | Different states across clients.                              | Packet loss; high latency.                       | (a) State-hash verification; (b) timeout-based resync; authoritative host.   |
| Educational Feedback | Incorrect/misleading Dozenal explanation.                      | Players learn wrong concepts.                                 | Faulty rule mapping; stale content.              | (a) Peer-reviewed hint knowledge base; (b) versioning and CI checks.   |
| Security Layer       | Unencrypted data at rest/in transit.                           | Privacy breach; data leak.                                    | Missing encryption; insecure local storage.      | (a) AES-256 at rest; (b) HTTPS/TLS in transit; (c) secrets in OS keystore.   |

### 6 Safety and Security Requirements

[Newly discovered requirements. These should also be added to the SRS. (A rationale design process how and why to fake it.) —SS]

### 7 Roadmap

[Which safety requirements will be implemented as part of the capstone timeline? Which requirements will be implemented in the future? —SS]

### Appendix — Reflection

#### [Not required for CAS 741—SS]

The purpose of reflection questions is to give you a chance to assess your own learning and that of your group as a whole, and to find ways to improve in the future. Reflection is an important part of the learning process. Reflection is also an essential component of a successful software development process.

Reflections are most interesting and useful when they're honest, even if the stories they tell are imperfect. You will be marked based on your depth of thought and analysis, and not based on the content of the reflections themselves. Thus, for full marks we encourage you to answer openly and honestly and to avoid simply writing "what you think the evaluator wants to hear."

Please answer the following questions. Some questions can be answered on the team level, but where appropriate, each team member should write their own response:

- 1. What went well while writing this deliverable?
- 2. What pain points did you experience during this deliverable, and how did you resolve them?
- 3. Which of your listed risks had your team thought of before this deliverable, and which did you think of while doing this deliverable? For the latter ones (ones you thought of while doing the Hazard Analysis), how did they come about?

**Answer:** Before this deliverable, our team had already considered general risks such as scheduling conflicts, Git merge issues, and limited testing time near deadlines. During the Hazard Analysis, we identified new, more specific risks, including Dozenal validation logic errors, data corruption during saving, and inaccurate educational feedback. These emerged as we analyzed each system component in the FMEA table, which led us to think more deeply about technical failures and their impact on users.

- 4. Other than the risk of physical harm (some projects may not have any appreciable risks of this form), list at least 2 other types of risk in software products. Why are they important to consider?
  - Usability and human-error risks: Poorly designed user interfaces, low-quality GUI animation, unclear instructions can cause user to make mistake or misunderstand the system, these risks are important because they directly affect user satisfaction, learning outcomes and overall quality of the software.
  - Data integrity and privacy risks: These occur when user data is lost, corrupted or exposed due to software defects, inappropriate authentication. Such risks are critical because they can lead to permanent

loss of user information and legal issues, breaches can severely damage credibility and violate data protection regulations.