

Module Guide for The Crazy Four

Team #25, The Crazy Four

Ruida Chen

Ammar Sharbat

Alvin Qian

Jiaming Li

November 13, 2025

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Nov 8	Alvin	Module Hierarchy and decomposition
Nov 9	Alvin	Updated API's for game action modules
Nov 13	Alvin	modified module decomposition to remove incorrect content

2 Reference Material

This section records information for easy reference.

2.1 Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
AC	Anticipated Change
ACID	Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability
API	Application Programming Interface
CSS	Cascading Style Sheets
DAG	Directed Acyclic Graph
DOM	Document Object Model
FR	Functional Requirement
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation
JWT	JSON Web Token
M	Module
MG	Module Guide
NFR	Non-Functional Requirement
OS	Operating System
R	Requirement
REST	Representational State Transfer
SC	Scientific Computing
SR	Safety Requirement
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
SQL	Structured Query Language
UC	Unlikely Change
UI	User Interface

Contents

1	Revision History	i
2	Reference Material	ii
2.1	Abbreviations and Acronyms	ii
3	Introduction	1
4	Anticipated and Unlikely Changes	2
4.1	Anticipated Changes	2
4.2	Unlikely Changes	2
5	Module Hierarchy	2
6	Connection Between Requirements and Design	5
7	Module Decomposition	6
7.1	Hardware Hiding Modules	6
7.1.1	Operating System Module (M20)	6
7.1.2	Browser Runtime Module (M21)	6
7.1.3	Database Module (M22)	6
7.2	Behaviour-Hiding Module	7
7.2.1	Game Engine Module (M15)	7
7.2.2	Rules Module (M16)	7
7.2.3	Scoring Module (M17)	7
7.2.4	Base Conversion Module (M18)	7
7.2.5	Game Actions Module (M19)	8
7.3	Software Decision Module - Backend	8
7.3.1	API Module (M1)	8
7.3.2	Real-time Gateway Module (M2)	8
7.3.3	Matchmaking Module (M3)	8
7.3.4	Authentication Module (M4)	9
7.3.5	Repository Module (M5)	9
7.3.6	Audit Module (M6)	9
7.4	Software Decision Module - Frontend	9
7.4.1	Real-time Client Module (M7)	9
7.4.2	Application Shell Module (M8)	10
7.4.3	Authentication Client Module (M9)	10
7.4.4	Lobby View Module (M10)	10
7.4.5	Game Board View Module (M11)	10
7.4.6	Move Controller Module (M12)	10
7.4.7	Scoreboard View Module (M13)	11
7.4.8	Profile View Module (M14)	11

8	Traceability Matrix	11
9	Use Hierarchy Between Modules	13
10	User Interfaces	13
11	Design of Communication Protocols	14
12	Timeline	14

List of Tables

1	Module Hierarchy	5
2	Trace Between Requirements and Modules (TblRT)	12
3	Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules (TblACT)	13

List of Figures

1	Use hierarchy among modules	13
---	---------------------------------------	----

3 Introduction

Decomposing a system into modules is a commonly accepted approach to developing software. A module is a work assignment for a programmer or programming team (Parnas et al., 1984). We advocate a decomposition based on the principle of information hiding (Parnas, 1972). This principle supports design for change, because the “secrets” that each module hides represent likely future changes. Design for change is valuable in SC, where modifications are frequent, especially during initial development as the solution space is explored.

Our design follows the rules laid out by Parnas et al. (1984), as follows:

- System details that are likely to change independently should be the secrets of separate modules.
- Each data structure is implemented in only one module.
- Any other program that requires information stored in a module’s data structures must obtain it by calling access programs belonging to that module.

After completing the first stage of the design, the Software Requirements Specification (SRS), the Module Guide (MG) is developed (Parnas et al., 1984). The MG specifies the modular structure of the system and is intended to allow both designers and maintainers to easily identify the parts of the software. The potential readers of this document are as follows:

- New project members: This document can be a guide for a new project member to easily understand the overall structure and quickly find the relevant modules they are searching for.
- Maintainers: The hierarchical structure of the module guide improves the maintainers’ understanding when they need to make changes to the system. It is important for a maintainer to update the relevant sections of the document after changes have been made.
- Designers: Once the module guide has been written, it can be used to check for consistency, feasibility, and flexibility. Designers can verify the system in various ways, such as consistency among modules, feasibility of the decomposition, and flexibility of the design.

The rest of the document is organized as follows. Section 4 lists the anticipated and unlikely changes of the software requirements. Section 5 summarizes the module decomposition that was constructed according to the likely changes. Section 6 specifies the connections between the software requirements and the modules. Section 7 gives a detailed description of the modules. Section 8 includes two traceability matrices. One checks the completeness of the design against the requirements provided in the SRS. The other shows the relation between anticipated changes and the modules. Section 9 describes the use relation between modules.

4 Anticipated and Unlikely Changes

This section lists possible changes to the system. According to the likeliness of the change, the possible changes are classified into two categories. Anticipated changes are listed in Section 4.1, and unlikely changes are listed in Section 4.2.

4.1 Anticipated Changes

Anticipated changes are the source of the information that is to be hidden inside the modules. Ideally, changing one of the anticipated changes will only require changing the one module that hides the associated decision. The approach adapted here is called design for change.

AC1: The specific hardware on which the software is running.

AC2: The format of the initial input data.

...

[Anticipated changes relate to changes that would be made in requirements, design or implementation choices. They are not related to changes that are made at run-time, like the values of parameters. —SS]

4.2 Unlikely Changes

The module design should be as general as possible. However, a general system is more complex. Sometimes this complexity is not necessary. Fixing some design decisions at the system architecture stage can simplify the software design. If these decision should later need to be changed, then many parts of the design will potentially need to be modified. Hence, it is not intended that these decisions will be changed.

UC1: Input/Output devices (Input: File and/or Keyboard, Output: File, Memory, and/or Screen).

...

5 Module Hierarchy

This section provides an overview of the module design. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy decomposed by secrets in Table 1. The modules listed below, which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented.

M1: API Module

- Provides stateless HTTP (REST) endpoints for auth and profile management.

M2: Real-time Gateway Module

- Manages stateful WebSocket connections for live gameplay and state syncing.

M3: Matchmaking Module

- Handles game lobby creation, joining, and starting a match.

M4: Authentication Module

- Manages user identity, password hashing, and session token generation.

M5: Repository Module

- Abstracts all database queries (SQL) for creating, reading, updating, and deleting data.

M6: Audit Module

- Logs important server-side events for debugging and security.

M7: Real-time Client Module

- Establishes and maintains the client-side WebSocket connection; sends/receives game events.

M8: Application Shell Module

- The main React component providing global layout, navigation, and state.

M9: Authentication Client Module

- Provides the UI and logic for login/signup forms.

M10: Lobby View Module

- UI component for displaying, creating, and joining game lobbies.

M11: Game Board View Module

- UI component that renders the main game interface (hands, deck, discard pile).

M12: Move Controller Module

- Manages user input (e.g., card clicks) and highlights valid moves.

M13: Scoreboard View Module

- UI component for displaying end-of-round scores in decimal and Dozenal.

M14: Profile View Module

- UI component for displaying user statistics and game history.

M15: Game Engine Module

- Manages the core game state (deck, hands) and turn progression.

M16: Rules Module

- Stateless logic to validate moves (e.g., match suit, rank, or Dozenal sum).

M17: Scoring Module

- Calculates scores at the end of a round.

M18: Base Conversion Module

- Utility to convert numbers between decimal and Dozenal.

M19: Game Actions Module

- Defines types and structure for player actions (play card, draw, declare suit, submit score tally).

M20: Operating System Module

- Represents the server's OS, providing the Node.js runtime environment.

M21: Browser Runtime Module

- Represents the client's web browser, providing the React runtime environment.

M22: Database Module

- Represents the PostgreSQL software that handles physical data storage.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3 (Leaf Modules)
Hardware-Hiding Module		M20 (Server OS)
		M21 (Client Runtime)
		M22 (PostgreSQL)
Behaviour-Hiding Module	(Core Domain Logic)	M15
		M16
		M17
		M18
		M19
Software Decision Module	Backend (Server)	M1
		M2
		M3
		M4
		M5
		M6
	Frontend (Client)	M7
		M8
		M9
		M10
		M11
		M12
		M13
		M14

Table 1: Module Hierarchy

6 Connection Between Requirements and Design

The design of the system is intended to satisfy the requirements developed in the SRS. In this stage, the system is decomposed into modules. The connection between requirements and modules is listed in Table 2.

The design of the system is intended to satisfy the requirements developed in the SRS. In this stage, the system is decomposed into modules. The connection between requirements and modules is listed in the Traceability Matrix in Section 8 (Table 2). This decomposition ensures that each Functional Requirement (FR), Non-functional Requirement (NFR), and

Safety Requirement (SR) has a clear owner in the design, facilitating implementation and verification.

For example, core gameplay logic (FR-1 to FR-5) is satisfied by the M15 and M16 modules, while the user-facing presentation (FR-7, FR-9) is handled by frontend modules like M13 and M11. Security and data persistence requirements (FR-10 to FR-17, SR-3, SR-8) are satisfied by the backend’s M4 and M5 modules.

7 Module Decomposition

Modules are decomposed according to the principle of “information hiding” proposed by Parnas et al. (1984). The *Secrets* field in a module decomposition is a brief statement of the design decision hidden by the module. The *Services* field specifies *what* the module will do without documenting *how* to do it. For each module, a suggestion for the implementing software is given under the *Implemented By* title.

Only the leaf modules in the hierarchy have to be implemented.

7.1 Hardware Hiding Modules

7.1.1 Operating System Module (M20)

Secrets: Process scheduling, filesystem, Node.js runtime environment, network stack.

Services: Provides the execution environment for the backend server.

Implemented By: OS (such as Linux)

Type of Module: Hardware

7.1.2 Browser Runtime Module (M21)

Secrets: DOM rendering, event loop, TypeScript (React) execution, WebSocket/HTTP client implementation.

Services: Provides the execution environment for the frontend client.

Implemented By: Browser (Chrome, Firefox, Edge)

Type of Module: Hardware

7.1.3 Database Module (M22)

Secrets: Data storage on disk, indexing, transaction (ACID) implementation, SQL query optimization.

Services: Provides persistent storage for user and game data.

Implemented By: PostgreSQL

Type of Module: Hardware

7.2 Behaviour-Hiding Module

7.2.1 Game Engine Module (M15)

Secrets: Internal representations of the cards, deck, discard pile, players, and the turn-management state machine.

Services: Creates new matches, enforces turn order, applies validated moves, and determines when a round or match is finished.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (TypeScript)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.2.2 Rules Module (M16)

Secrets: Exact move-validation criteria, including how matching ranks, suits, dozenal sums, and special cards are handled.

Services: Confirms whether a proposed move is legal and enumerates valid moves for a player based on the current game situation.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (TypeScript)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.2.3 Scoring Module (M17)

Secrets: The scoring equation that converts remaining cards into round points and aggregates them over a match.

Services: Produces the score summary for each player whenever a round ends.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (TypeScript)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.2.4 Base Conversion Module (M18)

Secrets: The mapping of digits and symbols used to move between decimal and dozenal numbers.

Services: Translates numeric values to and from dozenal form for scoring logic and UI presentation.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (TypeScript)

Type of Module: Abstract Data Type

7.2.5 Game Actions Module (M19)

Secrets: The canonical data structures and serialization format that represent every move a player can make.

Services: Defines and validates the action payloads that flow between client and server, keeping both sides in sync on network contracts.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (TypeScript shared library)

Type of Module: Abstract Data Type

7.3 Software Decision Module - Backend

7.3.1 API Module (M1)

Secrets: REST endpoint structure, payload schemas, and HTTP conventions for every backend capability.

Services: Exposes stateless HTTP routes for authentication, profile management, and bootstrapping new games as defined in the SRS.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (Node.js, Express)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.3.2 Real-time Gateway Module (M2)

Secrets: Real-time messaging strategy, room management, and conflict-resolution logic for server-authoritative play.

Services: Hosts WebSocket connections, validates incoming moves, and pushes synchronized game state updates to every participant.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (Node.js, Socket.io)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.3.3 Matchmaking Module (M3)

Secrets: The pairing heuristics, lobby data structures, and invitation policies for assembling tables.

Services: Creates, lists, and manages lobbies so players can host private games or enter matchmaking queues.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (Node.js)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.3.4 Authentication Module (M4)

Secrets: Password hashing configuration, credential storage details, and token-signing keys.

Services: Creates accounts, validates logins, manages guest sessions, and issues/verifies tokens used by the rest of the backend.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (Node.js)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.3.5 Repository Module (M5)

Secrets: Schema design, optimized SQL queries, and database access strategies.

Services: Offers a clean persistence interface for storing players, credentials, match history, and statistics while shielding callers from database details.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (Node.js, node-postgres)

Type of Module: Abstract Data Type

7.3.6 Audit Module (M6)

Secrets: The exact event schema, retention policy, and storage targets for operational logs.

Services: Captures authentication, gameplay, and system events to support debugging, compliance, and user inquiries.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (Node.js, Winston)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.4 Software Decision Module - Frontend

7.4.1 Real-time Client Module (M7)

Secrets: Connection lifecycle logic, buffering strategy, and reconnection heuristics for the browser client.

Services: Establishes WebSocket links to the M2, relays user actions, and applies server updates to the local UI state.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (TypeScript, Socket.io-client)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.4.2 Application Shell Module (M8)

Secrets: App-wide navigation plan, shared layout primitives, and global state wiring (theme, auth awareness).

Services: Hosts the consistent chrome of the site and orchestrates routing between major views.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (React)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.4.3 Authentication Client Module (M9)

Secrets: Decisions about secure token storage and the flows for refreshing or clearing credentials in the browser.

Services: Presents login, signup, and logout experiences while coordinating with the M1 for authentication calls.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (React)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.4.4 Lobby View Module (M10)

Secrets: Layout, styling, and interaction patterns for discovering or hosting lobbies.

Services: Shows available rooms, lets players create or join sessions, and triggers matchmaking calls via M1 and M7.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (React)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.4.5 Game Board View Module (M11)

Secrets: Visual composition of the board, card animations, and responsive behavior across devices.

Services: Draws the playable surface, displays player hands and discard piles, and highlights valid actions per the rule engine.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (React)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.4.6 Move Controller Module (M12)

Secrets: Gesture-handling patterns and UX rules for how players select cards or declare suits.

Services: Interprets user intent on the board, performs light validation, and forwards structured actions through the M7.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (React hooks and event handlers)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.4.7 Scoreboard View Module (M13)

Secrets: Presentation choices for multi-base score displays and animations for round summaries.

Services: Shows standings after each round, presenting both decimal and dozenal scores in a clear, accessible format.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (React)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

7.4.8 Profile View Module (M14)

Secrets: Layout decisions for profile cards, statistics summaries, and sensitive account actions.

Services: Displays player history, stats, and account-management controls, including export or deletion requests tied to FR-15..17.

Implemented By: The Crazy Four (React)

Type of Module: Abstract Object

8 Traceability Matrix

This section shows two traceability matrices: between the modules and the requirements and between the modules and the anticipated changes.

Table 2: Trace Between Requirements and Modules (TblRT)

Requirement (FR/NFR/SR)	Primary Modules
FR-1 Start new game	M1, M3, M15, M5
FR-2 Turn management	M15, M16, M19, M2, M7, M12, M11
FR-3 Rule validation	M16, M15, M19, M12, M11
FR-4 Special cards	M16, M15, M19, M12, M11
FR-5 End of game	M15, M17, M13, M5
FR-6 Calculate score	M17, M18, M19, M13
FR-7 Display score	M13, M18
FR-9 Highlight valid moves	M12, M11, M16
FR-10 Account creation	M1, M4, M5, M9
FR-11 Login or Logout	M1, M4, M5, M9
FR-12 Guest mode	M1, M4, M9
FR-13 Credential validation	M4, M1, M5
FR-14 Data storage	M5, M1, M6
FR-15 Data retrieval	M5, M1, M14
FR-16 Data update	M5, M1, M14
FR-17 Data deletion	M5, M1, M14
NFR (Performance)	M2, M15, M7, M11
NFR (Usability)	M11, M8
NFR (Robustness)	M7, M2, M5
NFR (Maintainability)	M16, M17, M1, M6
SR-1 (Dozenal validation)	M16, M18, M15
SR-2 (UI feedback)	M11, M8
SR-3 (Data persistence)	M5, M1
SR-4 (Accurate scoring)	M17, M18
SR-5 (Session recovery)	M7, M2, M4
SR-7 (Encrypted transmit)	(Hardware-Hiding: TLS Layer), M1, M2
SR-8 (Secure storage)	M5, M4
SR-10 (Input validation)	M1, M2, M12

Table 3: Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules (TblACT)

AC	Modules
AC??	M16, M15
AC??	M18, M17, M16, M13
AC??	M17, M13
AC??	M8, M11, M13, M10, M14
AC??	M5, M1, M14
AC??	M2, M7
AC??	M4, M9, M1

9 Use Hierarchy Between Modules

In this section, the uses hierarchy between modules is provided. Parnas (1978) said of two programs A and B that A *uses* B if correct execution of B may be necessary for A to complete the task described in its specification. That is, A *uses* B if there exist situations in which the correct functioning of A depends upon the availability of a correct implementation of B. Figure 1 illustrates the use relation between the modules. It can be seen that the graph is a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Each level of the hierarchy offers a testable and usable subset of the system, and modules in the higher level of the hierarchy are essentially simpler because they use modules from the lower levels.

[The uses relation is not a data flow diagram. In the code there will often be an import statement in module A when it directly uses module B. Module B provides the services that module A needs. The code for module A needs to be able to see these services (hence the import statement). Since the uses relation is transitive, there is a use relation without an import, but the arrows in the diagram typically correspond to the presence of import statement. —SS]

[If module A uses module B, the arrow is directed from A to B. —SS]

Figure 1: Use hierarchy among modules

10 User Interfaces

[Design of user interface for software and hardware. Attach an appendix if needed. Drawings, Sketches, Figma —SS]

11 Design of Communication Protocols

[If appropriate —SS]

12 Timeline

[Schedule of tasks and who is responsible —SS]

[You can point to GitHub if this information is included there —SS]

References

David L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Comm. ACM*, 15(2):1053–1058, December 1972.

David L. Parnas. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. In *ICSE '78: Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering*, pages 264–277, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1978. IEEE Press. ISBN none.

D.L. Parnas, P.C. Clement, and D. M. Weiss. The modular structure of complex systems. In *International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 408–419, 1984.