

OL

Robert Buchan advises on how to avoid aggravation during the XX Commonwealth Games

financial sponsorship and investment. run-up to and during the 2014 Games are being hosted – they could find themselves facing civil or criminal liability. To assist those advising on particularly those located in or within areas in which the Games Ion Olympic Games in 2012, audiences without significant not take place or attract international to visit in 2014 by Lonely cotland has been voted sporting events - events that could the third-best country nave been sold, demonstrating the net, in part due to which will see 4,500 more than 260 medals between huge commercial draw of global more than one million tickets 23 July and 3 August, Already,

the issue, this article will first consider protection is required, then provide the reasons why additional legal protection that is in place.

person's legitimate brand protection something about which they are passionate. Indeed, as evidenced by Why special protection? For trade mark professionals – for whom brand protection is clearly close to their hearts – it can be person's inability to show support easy to overlook the fact that one measures can be seen as another

difference in viewpoint can generate enforcement campaign in 2012, this its (often large, corporate) sponsors. some of the press surrounding the negative publicity for an event and However, the reality is that the

amount of spectators involved, public health and safety is also protected by avoiding a myriad of unofficial street necessity for significant sponsorship is maintained to allow future events prevent free-riding on the associated sponsors, but ensure that the legacy taken are not just about protecting for such high-profile events means that legal protection is required to to take place. And, given the huge the investments made by current traders blocking access to venues. goodwill. The special measures

a clear deterrent message and avoid, negative publicity, but can send out marketing during a televised event. to be legitimate and proportionate will in large part be judged by the Whether the measures are seen relation to the event. A firm hand in the run-up may generate some for example, large-scale ambush enforcement that takes place in

image and name of the official mascot "Clyde". Clearly such rights can IP protection still have an important these that are likely to catch people role to play, for example protecting the words "Glasgow 2014", and the Meanwhile, traditional forms of However, this article will focus on the specific additional protection likely to be enforced immediately be enforced in the usual manner. preceding and during the Games. the Commonwealth Games logo, that has been put in place as it is out and, in particular, are more

Overarching legislation

(Games Association Right) Order 2009 Act 2008 with the main framework being implemented by the Glasgow The overarching legislation is the and the Glasgow Commonwealth Commonwealth Games Act 2008 Games (Trading and Advertising) Glasgow Commonwealth Games (Scotland) Regulations 2013.

Terns of infringement The tables below contain words that if used in combination are likely to give rise to presumption of infringement

Table A.	Table B
GAMES	GLASGOW
TWO THOUSAND AND FOURTEEN	MEDALS
2014	SPONSORS
XXth	GOLD
	SHVER

The Association Right The 2009 Order came into effect in

Right. It is infringed if, in the course of promote accommodation or activities or the "Glasgow 2014" name are likely business, a person uses, in relation to packaging of or the goods themselves goods or services, any representation that person or their goods or services that is likely to suggest to the public So, for example, websites looking to lanuary 2010. It creates an exclusive that there is an association between in Glasgow by using the Games logo Commonwealth Games Association essence prevents the use of images a wide variety of activities, but in legal right known as the Glasgow or words in advertising or on the that implies such an association. and the Games. This could cover to fall foul of these rights.

use is made of any two of the words set any word in table A, together with one infringement, the following are likely out in table A (above); or that contain Although not specified in the 2009 infringement: expressions in which Order, guidance issued by the 2014 legal team indicates that although not conclusive, when assessing to give rise to a presumption of

infringing materials. The Association includes injunctive relief, as well as prominent use of "Glasgow Games" Right lasts for six months after the closing ceremony in August 2014. the delivery-up or destruction of may find itself on the sharp end Therefore, a business making or more of the words in table B. of an infringement action that

infringement proceedings in relation to the Association Right. In addition, name or address, use of an existing coming into effect in January 2010. relates, for example, to indications However, it is not only a one-way there are several defences, such as registered design or trade mark, or In addition, infringement does not occur where the use is honest and continuous use prior to the Order remedy for groundless threats of track! The 2009 Order provides a legitimate use of a person's own

of kind, quality, quantity, intended purpose, value, geographical origin or other characteristics of the goods or services. Understandably, from a practical point of view, there is also a defence for use made in a publication or broadcast about the Games.

Trading and advertising offences In an effort to prevent ambush marketing, the 2013 Order creates trading and advertising offences in "event zones" during the Games. Essentially, this allows the organising committee to control advertising and outdoor trading in the vicinity of venues during, and for a period before, the event. One interesting difference between 2014 Games and the Olympic Games of 2012 is that there is not a central sporting village. Instead, there are 17 event zones in and around Glasgow, including an area in Edinburgh where the swimming events will take place. This is likely to make the work of the appointed enforcement officers (essentially they will act as trading standards officers) more of a challenge.

The trading offence is wide and prevents street trading in or around the event zones. Not only does it prevent the actual sale or offering for sale of goods or services, but also prevents seeking charitable donations or providing public entertainment. Buildings normally used as retail premises, such as restaurants or shops, are exempt, albeit they will have to ensure their displays of promotional material do not breach the separate advertising offence.

The advertising offence prevents advertising in relation to goods, services or a business in the event zones. It is wide and will prevent billboards, leafleting, providing free goods as part of a sale, branded vehicles or fancy-dress costumes promoting a business. There are specific exemptions for individuals

wearing advertising attire, displaying advertisements on their bodies or carrying personal property, except where that individual knew or had reasonable cause to believe that they

were participating in an ambush marketing campaign.

As well as creating criminal offences punishable by fine, the enforcement officers have wide powers to prevent the commission of any offence by, for example, seizing articles or entering any place where they believe offences have been or are likely to be committed.

Interesting times

I am sure that the Games will be a huge success and that the legal protections in place will assist. However, the allure of a global audience for some brand owners and businesses is likely to mean that there will be a need for vigilant monitoring and appropriate enforcement. No doubt some larger sporting brands already have legal advice in place about how they can prominently advertise their brand without falling foul of the various protections. With brands and sports heavily intertwined, it will be interesting to watch both the sporting and branding games this summer.

The allu<mark>re</mark> of a global au<mark>di</mark>ence for some brand owners and businesses is likely to mean that there will be a need for vigilant monitoring and enforcement

Robert Buchan

is a Partner at Brodies LLP robert.buchan@brodies.com Robert specialises in IP and IT disputes in Scotland, is an associate member of ITMA, and is accredited as an IP specialist by the Law Society of Scotland.