

## 2025 Quarter 3

Changes: Defined intentional density vs. obfuscation of communication

### 1. Clarity & Flow

- Strand 3: Exceptionally clear, with seamless transitions—even when employing sophisticated, intentionally dense language that enhances the intellectual depth of the argument.
  - Strand 2: Generally clear, though some passages may require additional reader engagement due to deliberate complexity.
  - Strand 1: Lacks clarity due to unintentional obfuscation rather than a purposeful, rigorous style.
- 

### 2. Depth of Interpretation

- Strand 3: Profound, multi-layered analysis that challenges conventional thinking.
  - Strand 2: Solid insight with moments of depth, though occasionally surface-level.
  - Strand 1: Basic analysis with limited exploration of complex ideas.
- 

### 3. Responsiveness to the Prompt

- Strand 3: Fully addresses (or even critiques) the prompt, engaging directly with its nuances.
  - Strand 2: Addresses the prompt satisfactorily but follows a conventional approach.
  - Strand 1: Partially addresses the prompt or deviates from its core focus.
- 

### 4. Profound/Interesting Ideas

- Strand 3: Highly original, thought-provoking, and conceptually daring ideas.
  - Strand 2: Interesting ideas with some originality and depth.
  - Strand 1: Ideas are basic and lack originality or complexity.
- 

### 5. Risk-Taking

- Strand 3: Boldly challenges conventions or the prompt, taking significant intellectual risks.
  - Strand 2: Occasionally ventures into innovative or unconventional territory.
  - Strand 1: Sticks to safe, conventional arguments with minimal risk.
- 

### 6. Scope

- Strand 3: Broad and interdisciplinary, engaging multiple dimensions and perspectives.
- Strand 2: Adequate breadth, though focus remains somewhat limited.
- Strand 1: Narrow in focus, with limited exploration of related ideas.