Part 3						
	**************************************	773	and the second s		antigrapia o constitutiva per a constitutiva (con escribir).	
L(A)				and the same process was a second of the same	e sample, samplette en	
R(A)	A	Alto palogo				
W(A)	ay (Saato)					
	ESP (	L(A)				
		R(A)				
	PAR Mayorik to The Commission of the Commission		(miss)			
	L(A)	W(A)	landing			
	R(A)	eri Maries se				
		And Marie to		Now As	neaction will	0.000
F(B)	mand pro-	Name .		in arder		many and the second
R(8)		1.16			The state of the s	Andrew Services Services (Services)
ti i kan kan kangan	and State	(B) (R(B)				
141/01	a Calabri Madar	11(8)	and a self-control of the		a a progression and a superior and a	ALC
M(B)	L(B)	and a second	And the second s		sagama africa (m. 1900). The contraction of the safety	e en ven s
	R(B)	No. of part 1 and			gan James I i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
	Access of the second	Construction of the Constr				
	(OMM)	on the second of	distance .			
1 1/47	COMMI	The section of the section				
(A)U	region , a	and the second	. Princes			
U(B)	To see these	and the second s				
COMMIT	laner Laner	A CANCE	ge disease.			
, in the same	ng meti -	(A)	en alestos			
		COMMIT.				
	L. Carrier Control	IMMIT	- A Barrier			

B) We need strict 2PL because it ensures both conflict-serializability and recoverability. Strict 2PL never releases a lock after using it, it holds all locks until committing and releases all locks at once. Implementing strict 2PL prevents any kind of situation from arising where the possibility of a deadlock exists in the transaction.

In addition, strict 2PL avoids cascading abouts.