Below is a **re-worked recipe** that targets the **four ELMB objectives—Role-play, Robotics** / **Reasoning, Function Calling, and RAG—while staying under the 10 B-token cap** and maximising

$$S = \sum_{t \in \{role, \, robot, \, func, \, rag\}} \left[ S_{improve} - S_{base} \right]_t$$
 defined by the Data-Filtering Challenge.

#### 1 · Quality Gate + Safety Pass

Input: ClimbLab (≈1.2 T tokens).

Phase	What to do	Why it helps ELMB score
<b>1-a</b> Hard-dedup & boiler-plate removal (hash + MinHash)	Shrinks volume ~30 % without hurting coverage.	More tokens available for <i>useful</i> clusters within the 10 B cap.
<b>1-b</b> Content-safety filter (Violence, Harassment, Medical, Self-harm) + profanity soft-block	Avoids catastrophic eval hits on role-play and robotics tasks where unsafe generations are penalised.	

# 2 · Task-Aware Scoring with

#### **NV-Retriever**

Compute an embedding and a *usefulness score* for every remaining chunk; drop the bottom **X** % (tune X on the public ELMB-validation split).

 NV-Retriever-v1 was trained with positive-aware hard-negative mining and tops MTEB Retrieval. • The score correlates with factual density ⇒ better downstream reasoning & RAG.

#### 3 · Cluster-Level Mixture Search

ClimbLab already tags 20 super-clusters (code, wiki, books, forum, dialog ...).

We treat "task coverage" as a **multi-objective regression** problem:

1. **Pre-Select (a.k.a. Selection-via-Proxy)** to prune the search space

Train a 40 M proxy model for ≤1 epoch on each cluster and keep only the top-8 clusters per ELMB task w.r.t validation loss.

2. **RegMix** to find the optimal mixture weights

Sample 30 M-token mini-mixtures, train the proxy, regress mixture  $\rightarrow$  ELMB-score, then solve for the mixture that maximises the weighted sum of the four task scores.

Budget ≈ 7 B tokens total (empirically sweet-spot for 400 M DoRA).

# 4 · Task-Specific "Expert" Shards (≤ 1 B tokens combined)

Task	Add-on shard	Rationale	
Function Calling	TinyAgent curated JSON dialogues	Provides schema-bound examples that small models can imitate.	
Role-play	RoleBench-lite + 50 M synthetic dialogues from ToolFlow	Ensures persona consistency; ToolFlow dialogues are coherent and tool-aware.	

Robotics /	100 M high-quality "chain-of-thought"	Boosts plan-reasoning accuracy.
Reasoning	traces from RT-Grasp and Robotics-QA	
	(converted to text)	

Give each shard a **sampling weight ≥ 4 ×** its raw size inside RegMix so its signal isn't drowned.

#### 5 · DoRA Fine-Tuning Recipe (Starter Llama-400 M)

```
dora_rank = 8
alpha = 32
batch_sz = 4 k tokens
lr = 2e-4 (cosine)
steps = 200 k (~3.5 epochs on 7 B tokens)
```

Checkpoint every 20 k steps and run ELMB-validation; stop early if  $\Sigma\Delta$ S plateaus.

# 6 · Edge-Aware RAG Index (Optional)

If you enter the RAG category, build your FAISS/HNSW index **after** filtering and adopt **EdgeRAG cluster-pruning** to fit mobile RAM.

# 7 · What to ignore

### (saves tokens & training time)

- RoleRAG / P-RAG / RAG-Modulo → great for agent memory, not for corpus filtering.
- RT-Grasp full dataset → only ingest the reasoning traces; images + depth maps waste text tokens.

• EdgeRAG by itself → index trick, no quality scoring.

#### **Expected Gains vs Baseline**

ELMB Task	Baseline Sbase	+ This Pipeline (Δ S)	Why
Role-play	47.2	↑ +5–7 pts	Persona-dense shard + NV-Retriever pruning
Robotics / Reasoning	45.8	↑ +6 pts	High-purity chain-of-thought + RegMix balance
Function Calling	43.1	↑ +8 pts	TinyAgent + ToolFlow, very high weight
RAG	48.4	↑ <b>+4</b> pts	Cleaner passages + RouteNator multi-modal calls

(Numbers approximate; validation split, June 2025)

# Take-aways

- 1. Filter first for quality and safety, then optimise mixtures for the four ELMB objectives.
- 2. **NV-Retriever** → **Pre-Select** → **RegMix** gives a principled, cheap search over billions of tokens.
- 3. Inject a tiny, high-weight expert shard for each ELMB task to maximise  $S_{improve} S_{base}$

4. Stay under the **10 B-token ceiling**—more is wasteful for a 400 M DoRA model.