EEE3097S Weekly Review Submission 4

Meeting 4: DSLGRE001 ADMALI004   11/09/2021

We met for 1 hour via MS Teams

Reflection on tutor meeting

- We gave feedback on how we found the Paper Design. We found that the first submission really helped iron out the cracks and give us an overview of what we plan to do going forward. Designing the UML diagram gave us a good indication as to how we should come up with Acceptance Test Procedures to test each part of the entire system.
- Question 1 asked in meeting: Do we make the UML diagram for the ICM or for the ICM substitute? This was not clear – Additionally added specifications on ICM substitute. - **Our Tutor approved our UML diagram that we submitted in our Paper Design since the data is the same.**
- Question 2 asked in meeting: For Task 2 – Should we execute tests on the Pi or still on the computer? - **We are waiting for update from our tutor.**
- There were issues brought up regarding the encoding of the data and whether or not we should encode individual data items. It was suggested that we encode once all the data is saved. The type of encoding used needs to be considered since not all encoding can handle large data items.
- Fraser gave us the go ahead to start with our simulations.