

Security Audit Report

HOOT Token

4/2/2023

Revision: 4/2/2023

PREPARED FOR:

A Birds Nest, Hoot.Finance

ARCADIA CONTACT INFO

Email: audits@arcadiamgroup.com

Telegram: https://t.me/thearcadiagroup



Table of Contents

Revision: 4/2/2023	0
Executive Summary	2
1. Introduction and Audit Scope	2
2. Audit Summary	3
a. Audit Methodology	3
b. Summary	4
Conclusion	4
Disclaimer	4



Executive Summary

1. Introduction and Audit Scope

A Representative Party of Hoot Finance ("CLIENT") engaged The Arcadia Group ("Arcadia"), a software development, research, and security company, to conduct a review of the following HOOT smart contracts on Ethereum at contract address 0x12A7530D6f9e1a9B0351D78aB711f7C2c033873A



2. Audit Summary

a. Audit Methodology

Arcadia completed this security review using various methods primarily consisting of dynamic and static analysis. This process included a line-by-line analysis of the in-scope contracts, optimization analysis, analysis of key functionalities and limiters, and reference against intended functionality.

The followings are the steps we perform during smart contract engagements:

- Investigating the project and its technical architecture overview through its documentation
- Understanding the overview of the smart contracts, the functions of the contracts, the inheritance, and how the contracts interface with each others thanks to the graph created by Solidity Visual Developer
- Manual smart contract audit:
 - Review the code to find any issue that could be exploited by known attacks listed by <u>Consensys</u>
 - Identifying which existing projects the smart contracts are built upon and what are the known vulnerabilities and remediations to the existing projects
 - Line-by-line manual review of the code to find any algorithmic and arithmetic related vulnerabilities compared to what should be done based on the project's documentation
 - Find any potential code that could be refactored to save gas
 - Run through the unit-tests and test-coverage if exists
- Automated smart contract audit:
 - Scanning for vulnerabilities in the smart contracts using Static Code Analysis Software
 - Making a static analysis of the smart contracts using Slither
- Additional review: a follow-up review is done when the smart contracts have any new update. The follow-up is done by reviewing all changes compared to the audited commit revision and its impact to the existing source code and found issues.
- In this engagement, the primary contract in question utilizes a templated standard ERC20 Implementation ("StandardERC20"), as such, review for modification from the standard was the primary focus. Review for functionality such as the ability to mint, burn or upgrade the contracts occurred.



b. Summary

Severity Rating	Number of Original Occurrences	Number of Remaining Occurrences
CRITICAL	0	0
HIGH	0	0
MEDIUM	0	0
LOW	0	0
INFORMATIONAL	0	0

Conclusion

The contract is a StandardERC20 implementation without any unexpected mint, burn or upgrade functionality.

Disclaimer

While best efforts and precautions have been taken in the preparation of this document, The Arcadia Group and the Authors assume no responsibility for errors, omissions, or damages resulting from the use of the provided information. Additionally, Arcadia would like to emphasize that the use of Arcadia's services does not guarantee the security of a smart contract or set of smart contracts and does not guarantee against attacks. One audit on its own is not enough for a project to be considered secure; that categorization can only be earned through extensive peer review and battle testing over an extended period.