# Haskell for CMI

Ryan Hota, Shubh Sharma, Arjun Maneesh Agarwal

### Haskell for CMI – Ryan Hota, Shubh Sharma, Arjun Maneesh Agarwal

© 2025 Ryan Hota, Shubh Sharma, Arjun Maneesh Agarwal

Text licensed under CC-by-SA-4.0

Code licensed under AGPL-3.0

This is (still!) an incomplete draft.

Please send any corrections, comments etc. to feedback\_host@mailthing.com

Last updated May 27, 2025.



**Haskell for CMI** – Ryan Hota, Shubh Sharma, Arjun Maneesh Agarwal

To someone

### **Table of Contents**

Table of Cont	tents	iii
Basic Theory		1
functions (feel f	free to change it)	2
Haskell Setuj	p on Linux	3
setup linux (fee	l free to change it)	4
Haskell Setuj	p on MacOS	5
setup mac (feel	free to change it)	6
Haskell Setuj	p on Windows	7
setup win (feel	free to change it)	8
Basic Syntax		9
Bool, Int, Intege	er and more (feel free to change it)	10
	to Types	
Logical Oper	rations	13
	λ <b>17 Xors</b>	
	λ 17 Xors contd	
	<b>17 Xors, contd.</b>	
	<b>λ 17 Xors, cotd</b>	
	<b>\(\lambda\) 17 Xors, contd</b>	
Numerical Fi	unctions	18
	\( \lambda \) Implementation of abs function 19	
Division,	A Trilogy	19

	λ A division algorithm on positive inte		
T	by repreated subtraction	22	0
Exponentiat	ion		2
	λ A naive integer exponation algorithm		
	A better exponentiation algorithm us divide and conquer	sing 23	
7 od ou d 1 o			4
gcu and to	cm		4
	λ Naive GCD and LCM		
ъ : г	λ Fast GCD and LCM		
Recursive Fi	unctions		6
M (1 (* 1 T	λ Factorial, Binomial and Fibbonacci		07
Mathematical F	Functions		26
	λ Square root by binary search		
	<b>\lambda</b> Log defined using Taylor Approxima		
	<b>\lambda</b> Sin and Cos using Taylor Approxima		
Basic String Op	perations		
	<b>\1</b>		31
	Characters	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	* Newton-Raphson method		51
			31
Dealing with C			
Dealing with C			37
Dealing with C		34	37
Dealing with C	* Newton-Raphson method	34	37
Dealing with C	* Newton-Raphson method	34	37
Dealing with C	* Newton-Raphson method	34	37
Dealing with C	<ul> <li>Newton-Raphson method</li> <li>set</li> <li>empty set</li> </ul>	38 38 38	37
Dealing with C	<ul> <li>Newton-Raphson method</li> <li>set</li> <li>empty set</li> <li>singleton set</li> </ul>	34 38 38 38	37
Dealing with C	<ul> <li>Newton-Raphson method</li> <li>set</li> <li>empty set</li> <li>singleton set</li> <li>belongs</li> </ul>	34 38 38 38 38	37
Dealing with C	<ul> <li>Newton-Raphson method</li> <li>set</li> <li>empty set</li> <li>singleton set</li> <li>belongs</li> <li>union</li> </ul>	34 38 38 38 38 38	37
Dealing with C	<ul> <li>Newton-Raphson method</li> <li>set</li> <li>empty set</li> <li>singleton set</li> <li>belongs</li> <li>union</li> <li>intersection</li> </ul>	34 38 38 38 38 38 38	37
Dealing with C	<ul> <li>Newton-Raphson method</li> <li>set</li> <li>empty set</li> <li>singleton set</li> <li>belongs</li> <li>union</li> <li>intersection</li> <li>cartesian product</li> </ul>	34 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	<b>37</b> 38
Dealing with C	<ul> <li>Newton-Raphson method</li> <li>set</li> <li>empty set</li> <li>singleton set</li> <li>belongs</li> <li>union</li> <li>intersection</li> <li>cartesian product</li> <li>exponent</li> </ul>	34 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	<b>37</b> 38
Dealing with C	<ul> <li>‡ set</li> <li>‡ empty set</li> <li>‡ singleton set</li> <li>‡ belongs</li> <li>‡ union</li> <li>‡ intersection</li> <li>‡ cartesian product</li> <li>‡ exponent</li> </ul> us to ∈ or ‡ belongs	34 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	<b>37</b> 38
Dealing with C	<ul> <li>‡ Newton-Raphson method</li> <li>‡ set</li> <li>‡ empty set</li> <li>‡ singleton set</li> <li>‡ belongs</li> <li>‡ union</li> <li>‡ intersection</li> <li>‡ cartesian product</li> <li>‡ exponent</li> </ul> as to ∈ or ‡ belongs <ul> <li>∆ declaration of x</li> </ul>	34 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	<b>37</b> 38
Dealing with Control of the Control	<ul> <li>‡ Newton-Raphson method</li> <li>‡ set</li> <li>‡ empty set</li> <li>‡ singleton set</li> <li>‡ belongs</li> <li>‡ union</li> <li>‡ intersection</li> <li>‡ cartesian product</li> <li>‡ exponent</li> </ul> us to ∈ or ‡ belongs <ul> <li>λ declaration of x</li> <li>λ declaration of y</li> </ul>	34 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	<b>37</b> 38 39
Dealing with Control of the Control	<ul> <li>‡ Newton-Raphson method</li> <li>‡ set</li> <li>‡ empty set</li> <li>‡ singleton set</li> <li>‡ belongs</li> <li>‡ union</li> <li>‡ intersection</li> <li>‡ cartesian product</li> <li>‡ exponent</li> </ul> as to ∈ or ‡ belongs <ul> <li>∆ declaration of x</li> </ul>	34 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	<b>37</b> 38 39

	another function	
( A , B )	is analogous to $A \times B$ or $\doteqdot$ cartesian product	40
	<b>λ type of a pair</b>	
	λ elements of a product type	
	λ first component of a pair40	
	λ second component of a pair40	
	λ function from a product type41	
	$\lambda$ another function from a product type 41	
	λ function to a product type41	
() is analo	ogous to 🕏 singleton set	41
No 🕏 inter	rsection of Types	42
No 🕏 unio	on of Types	42
	ion of Sets	
Į.	÷ disjoint union	
Either A	B is analogous to $A \sqcup B$ or $\Leftrightarrow$ disjoint union	42
	λ elements of an either type	
	λ function to an either type	
	λ function from an either type	
	$\lambda$ another function from an either type 44	
The Maybe	Type	44
	λ naive reciprocal44	
	λ reciprocal using either45	
	λ function from a maybe type	
	λ elements of a maybe type	
	λ function to a maybe type	
Void is ana	alogous to {} or 🖨 empty set	46
Introduction	ı to Lists	48
lists (feel free t	to change it)	49
`		
Polymorphis	sm and Higher Order Functions	50
• -	l	
- orymorphism		

	nana I	51	
	λ nand 2	51	
	$\lambda$ squaring all elements of a list	51	
	λ <b>And</b>	52	
	Polymorphism	53	
	λ <b>drop</b>	53	
A Taste of Type (	Classes	53	3
	λ Functions being equal?	53	
	† Typeclasses	54	
	λ elem	54	
Higher Order Funct	ions		. 55
4 J 1 I : 4 O			- /
Advanced List O			56
advanced lists (feel	free to change it)		. 57
Introduction to I	)atatynes		58
	• •		
pre-complexity data	types (feel free to change it)		. J>
Computation as	Reduction		60
computation (feel fr	ee to change it)		. 61
(			
Complexity			62
complexity (feel free	e to change it)		. 63
Advanced Data S	tructures		64
post-complexity dat	a types (feel free to change it).		. 65
T 01			
Type Classes			66
typeclasses (feel free	e to change it)		. 67

Monads	68
Monad (feel free to change it	)

### Basic Theory

Ryan Hota, Shubh Sharma, Arjun Maneesh Agarwal

### functions (feel free to change it)

## Haskell Setup on Linux

Shubh Sharma

### setup linux (feel free to change it)

## Haskell Setup on MacOS

Arjun Maneesh Agarwal

### setup mac (feel free to change it)

## Haskell Setup on Windows

Ryan Hota

### setup win (feel free to change it)

### Basic Syntax

Arjun Maneesh Agarwal

### Bool, Int, Integer and more (feel free to change it)

### **Introduction to Types**

Haskell is a strictly typed language. This means, Haskell needs to strictly know what the type of **anything** and everything is.

But one would ask here, what is type? According to Cambridge dictionary,

Type refers to a particular group of things that share similar characteristics and form a smaller division of a larger set

Haskell being strict implies that it needs to know the type of everything it deals with. For example,

- The type of e is Real.
- The type of 2 is **Int**, for integer.
- The type of 2 can also be Real. But the 2 :: Int and 2 :: Real are different, because they have different types.
- The type of  $x \mapsto |x|$  is Real  $\to$  Int, because it takes a real number to an integer.
- We write  $(x \mapsto \lfloor x \rfloor)e = 2$  By applying a function of type Real  $\to$  Int to something of type Real we get something of type Int
- The type of  $x \mapsto x + 2$ , when it takes integers, is Int  $\rightarrow$  Int.
- We cannot write  $(x \mapsto x + 2)(e)$ , because the types don't match. The function wants an input of type Int but e is of type Real. We could define a new function  $x \mapsto x + 2$  of type Real  $\rightarrow$  Real, but it is a different function.
- Functions can return functions. Think of (+) as a function that takes an Int, like 3, and returns a function like  $x \mapsto x + 3$ , which has type Int  $\to$  Int Concretely, (+) is  $x \mapsto (y \mapsto y + x)$ . This has type Int  $\to$  (Int  $\to$  Int).
- We write (+)(3)(4) = 7. First, (+) has type Int  $\rightarrow$  (Int  $\rightarrow$  Int), so (+)(3) has type Int  $\rightarrow$  Int. So, (+)(3)(4) should have type Int.
- The type of  $x\mapsto 2*x$  is Int  $\to$  Int when it takes integers to integers. It can also be Real  $\to$  Real when it takes reals to reals. These are two different functions, because they have different types. But if we make a 'super type' or **typeclass** called Num is which is a property which both Int and Real have, then we can define  $x\mapsto 2*x$  more generally as of type Num  $a\Rightarrow a\to a$  which reads, for a type a with property(belonging to) Num, the function  $x\mapsto 2*x$  has type  $a\to a$
- Similarly, one could define a generalized version of the other functions we described.

A study of types and what we can infer from them(and how we can infer them) is called, rightfully so, **Type Theory**. It is deeply related to computational proof checking and formal verification. While we will not study about it in too much detail in this course, it is its own subject and is covered in detail in other courses.

While we recommend, at least for the early chapters, to declare the types of your functions explicitly ex. (+) :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int; Haskell has a type inference system which is quite accurate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Damas-Hindley-Milner Type Inference is the one used in Haskell at time of writing.

and tries to go for the most general type. This can be both a blessing and curse, as we will see in a few moments.

This chapter will deal (in varying amounts of details) with the types Bool, Int, Integer, Float, Char and String.

Bool is a type which has only two valid values, True and False. It most commonly used as output for indicator functions(indicate if something is true or not).

```
Int and Integer are the types used to represent integers.
```

Integer can hold any number no matter how big, up to the limit of your machine's memory, while Int corresponds to the set of positive and negative integers that can be expressed in 32 or 64 bits(based on system) with the bounds changing depending on implementation (guaranteed at least -2^29 to 2^29). Going outside this range may give weird results. Ex.

```
product [1..52] :: Int gives a negative number which cannot realistically be 52!. On the other hand, product [1..52] :: Integer gives indeed the correct answer.
```

The reason for Int existing despite its bounds and us not using Integer for everything is related to speed and memory. Using the former is faster and uses lesser memory.

```
>>> product [1..52] :: Int
-8452693550620999680
(0.02 secs, 87,896 bytes)
>>> product [1..52] :: Integer
8065817517094387857166063685640376697528950544088327782400000000000
(0.02 secs, 123,256 bytes)
```

Almost 1.5 times more memory is used in this case.

An irrefutable fact is that computers are fundamentally limited by the amount of data they can keep and humans are fundamentality limited by the amount of time they have. This implies that if, we can optimize for speed and space, we should do so. We will talk some more about this in [chapter 9], but the rule of thumb is that more we know about the input, the more we can optimize. Knowing that it will be between, say  $-2^{29}$  to  $2^{29}$ , allows for some optimizations which can't be done with arbitrary length. We (may) see some of these optimizations later.

Rational, Float and Double are the types used to deal with non-integral numbers. The former is used for fractions or rationals while the latter for reals with varying amount of precision. Rationals are declared using % as the viniculum(the dash between numerator and denominator). For example 1%3, 2%5, 97%31.

Float or Floating point contains numbers with a decimal point with a fixed amount of memory being used for their storage. The term floating-point comes from the fact that the number of digits permitted after the decimal point depends upon the magnitude of the number. The same can be said for Double or Double Precision Floating Point which offers double the space beyond the point, at cost of more memory. For example

```
>>> sqrt 2 :: Float
1.4142135
>>> sqrt 99999 :: Float
316.2262
>>> sqrt 2 :: Double
1.4142135623730951
>>> sqrt 99999 :: Double
316.226184874055
>>> sqrt 999999999 :: Double
31622.776585872405
```

We can see that the prescission of  $\sqrt{99999}$  is much lower than that of  $\sqrt{2}$ . We will use Float for most of this book.

Char are the types used to represent arbitrary Unicode characters. This includes all numbers, letters, white spaces(space, tab, newline etc) and other special characters.

String is the type used to represent a bunch of characters chained together. Every word, sentence, paragraph is either a string or a collection of them.

In haskell, Strings and Chars are differentiated using the type of quotation used.

"hello" :: String as well as "H" :: String but 'H' :: Char . Unlike some other languages, like say Python, we can't do so interchangeably. Double Quotes for Strings and Single Quotes for Chars.

Similer to many modern languages, In Haskell, String is just a synonym for a list of characters that is <a href="String">String</a> is same as <a href="Char">[Char</a>]. This allows string manipulation to be extremely easy in Haskell and is one of the reason why Pandoc, a universal document converter and one of the most used software in the world, is written in Haskell. We will try to make a mini version of this at the end of the chapter.

To recall, a tuple is a length immutable, ordered multi-typed data structure. This means we can store a fixed number of multiple types of data in an order using tuples. Ex.

```
(False , True ) :: (Bool, Bool) (False , 'a', True ) :: (Bool, Char, Bool) ("Yes", 5.21 , 'a') :: (String, Float, Char)
```

A list is a length mutable, ordered, single typed data structure. This means we can store an arbitrary number things of the same type in a certain order using lists. Ex.

```
[False, True, False] :: [Bool] ['a','b','c','d'] :: [Char]
["One","Two","Three"] :: [String]
```

### **Logical Operations**

For example -

Write Haskell code to simulate the following logical operators

- 1. NOT
- 2. OR
- 3. AND
- 4. NAND
- 5. XOR

Implementing a not operator seems the most straightforward and it indeed is. We can simply specify the output for all the cases, as there are only 2.

```
not :: Bool → Bool
not True = False
not False = True
```

The inbuilt function is also called **not** . We could employ a smiler strategy for **or** to get the following code

```
or :: Bool → Bool → Bool

or True True = True

or True False = True

or False True = True

or False False = False
```

but this is too verbose. One could write a better code using wildcards as follows

```
or :: Bool → Bool → Bool
or False False = False
or _ = True
```

As the first statement is checked against first, the only false case is evaluated and if it is not satisfied, we just return true. We can write this as a one liner using the if statement.

```
or :: Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool or a b = if (a,b) = (False, False) then False else True
```

The inbuilt operator for this is | used as False | True which evaluates to True.

How would one write such a code for and? This is left as exercise for the reader. The inbuilt operator for this is && used as True && False which evaluates to False.

Now that we already have and and not, could we make nand by just composing them? Sure.

```
nand :: Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool nand a b = not (a && b)
```

This also seems like as good of a time as any to introduce operation conversion and function composition. In Haskell, functions are first class citizens. It is a functional programming language after all. Given two functions, we naturally want to compose them. Say we want to make the function  $h(x): x \mapsto -x^2$  and we have  $g(x): x \mapsto x^2$  and  $f(x): x \mapsto -x$ . So we can define  $h(x): (f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$ . In haskell, this would look like

```
negate :: Int \rightarrow Int
negate x = - x

square :: Int \rightarrow Int
square x = x^2

negateSquare :: Int \rightarrow Int
negateSquare x = negate . square
```

We could also define negateSquare in a more cumbersome

negateSquare x = negate(square x) but with complicated expressions these brackets will add up and we want to avoid them as far as possible. We will also now talk about the fact that the infix operators, like +, -, \*, /, ^, &&, || etc are also deep inside functions. This means we can should be able to access them as functions(to maybe compose them) as well as make our own. And we indeed can, the method is brackets and backticks.

An operator inside a bracket is a function and a function in backticks is an operator. For example

```
>>> True && False
False
>>> (&&) True False
False
>>> f x y = x*y + x + y
>>> f 3 4
19
>>> 3 `f` 4
19
```

All this means, we could define nand simply as

```
nand :: Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool nand = not . (&&)
```

Furthermore, as Haskell doesn't have an inbuilt nand operator, say I want to have <code>@@</code> to represent it. Then, I could write

```
(@@) :: Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool (@@) = not.(&&)
```

Finally, we need to make xor. We will now replicate a classic example of 17 ways to define it and a quick reference for a lot of the syntax.

```
λ 17 Xors
 -- Notice, we can declare the type of a bunch of functions by comma seperating
them.
xor1, xor2, xor3, xor4, xor5 :: Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool
-- Explaining the output for each and every case.
xor1 False False = False
xor1 False True = True
xor1 True False = True
xor1 True True = False
-- We could be smarter and save some keystrokes
xor2 False b = b
xor2 b False = b
xor2 b1 b2 = False
-- This seems to to be the same length but notice, b1 and b2 are just names
never used again. This means..
xor3 False True = True
xor3 True False = True
xor3 b1 b2 = False
 -- .. we can replace them with wildcards.
xor4 False True = True
xor4 True False = True
xor4 _ _ = False
 -- Although, a simple observation recduces work further. Notice, we can't
replace b with a wild card here as it is used in the defination later and we
wish to refer to it.
xor5 False b = b
xor5 True b = not b
```

All the above methods basically enumerate all possibilities using increasingly more concise manners. However, can we do better using logical operators?

λ 17 Xors contd.

```
xor6, xor7, xor8, xor9 :: Bool → Bool → Bool
-- Litrally just using the definition
xor6 b1 b2 = (b1 && (not b2)) || ((not b1) && b2)
-- Recall that the comparision operators return bools?
xor7 b1 b2 = b1 ≠ b2
-- And using the fact that operators are functions..
xor8 b1 b2 = (≠) b1 b2
-- .. we can have a 4 character definition.
xor9 = (≠)
```

We could also use if .. then .. else syntax. To jog your memory, the if keyword is followed by some condition, aka a function that returns True or False, this is followed by the then keyword and a function to excute if the condition is satisfied and the else keyword and a function to execute as a if the condition is not satisfied. For example

```
* 17 Xors, contd.

xor10, xor11 :: Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool

xor10 b1 b2 = if b1 = b2 then False else True

xor11 b1 b2 = if b1 \neq b2 then True else False
```

Or use the guard syntax. Similar to piecewise functions in math, we can define the function piecewise with the input changing the definition of the function, we can define guarded definition where the inputs control which definition we access. If the pattern(a condition) to a guard is met, that definition is accessed in order of declaration.

We do this as follows

```
λ 17 Xors, cotd
xor12, xor13, xor14, xor15 :: Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool
xor12 b1 b2
  | b1 = True = not b2 -- If b1 is True, the code acesses this definition
regardless of b2's value. The function enters the definition which matches
  | b2 = False = b1
 -- Can you spot a problem in xor12? xor12 False True is not defined and would
raise the exception Non-exhaustive patterns in function xor12.
 -- This means that the pattern of inputs provided can't match with any of the
definitions. We can fix it by either being careful and matching all the
xor13 False b2 = b2 -- Notice, we can have part of the definition unguarded
before entering the guards.
xor13 True b2
  | b2 = False = True
   | b2 = True = False
xor14 b1 b2
  | b1 = b2 = False
   | b1 ≠ b2 = True
-- .. or by using the otherwise keyword, we can define a catch-all case. If
none of the patterns are matched, the function enters the otherwise definiton.
xor15 b1 b2
   | b1 = True = not b2
   otherwise = b2
```

Finally, we can define use the case .. of .. syntax. While this syntax is rarer, and too verbose, for simple functions, we will see a lot of it later in [monads chapter]. In this syntax, the general form is

```
case <expression> of
  <pattern1> → <result1>
  <pattern2> → <result2>
...
```

The case expression evaluates the <expression>, and matches it against each pattern in order. The first matching pattern's corresponding result is returned. You can nest case expressions to match on multiple values, although it can become extreamly unreadable, rather quickly.

```
Nor17 xors, contd
xor16, xor17 :: Bool → Bool → Bool

-- We use a single case on the first input.
xor16 :: Bool → Bool → Bool
xor16 b1 b2 = case b1 of
False → b2
True → not b2

-- Or we can return to defining for every single case, just using more words.
xor17 b1 b2 = case b1 of
False → case b2 of
False → False
True → True
True → case b2 of
False → True
True → False
```

Now that we are done with this tiresome activity, and learned a lot of Haskell syntax, let's go for a ride.

### **X** Exercise

It is a well know fact that one can define all logical operators using only nand. Well, let's do so. Redefine and, or, not, xor using only nand.

### **Numerical Functions**

A lot of numeric operators and functions come predefined in Haskell. Some natural ones are

```
>>> 7 + 3
10
>>> 3 + 8
11
>>> 97 + 32
129
>>> 3 - 7
-4
>>> 5 - (-6)
11
>>> 546 - 312
234
>>> 7 * 3
21
>>> 8*4
32
>>> 45 * 97
4365
>>> 45 * (-12)
-540
>>> (-12) * (-11)
132
>>> abs 10
10
>>> abs (-10)
```

The internal definition of addition and subtraction is discussed in the appendix while we talk about some multiplication algorithms in the time complexity chapter. For our purposes, we want it to be clear and predictable what one expects to see when any of these operators are used. Abs is also implemented in a very simple fashion.

```
** Implementation of abs function abs :: Num a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a abs a = if \ a \geqslant 0 then a else -a
```

### Division, A Trilogy

Now let's move to the more interesting operators and functions.

recip is a function which reciptocates a given numebr, but it has rather interesting type signature. It is only defined on types with the Fractional typeclass. This refers to a lot of things, but the most common ones are Rational, Float and Double. recip, as the name suggests, returns the reciprocal of the number taken as input. The type signature is

```
recip :: Fractional a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a
```

```
>>> recip 5

0.2

>>> k = 5 :: Int

>>> recip k

<interactive>:47:1: error: [GHC-39999] ...
```

It is clear that in the above case, 5 was treated as a Float or Double and the expected output provided. In the following case, we specified the type to be Int and it caused a horrible error. This is because for something to be a fractional type, we literally need to define how to reciprocate it. We will talk about how exactly it is defined in < some later chapter probably 8 >. For now, once we have recip defined, division can be easily defined as

```
(/) :: Fractional a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a

x / y = x * (recip y)
```

Again, notice the type signature of (/) is Fractional  $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$ .

However, this is not the only division we have access to. Say we want only the quotient, then we have div and quot functions. These functions are often coupled with mod and rem are the respective remainder functions. We can get the quotient and remainder at the same time using divMod and quotRem functions. A simple example of usage is

```
>>> 100 `div` 7
14
>>> 100 `mod` 7
2
>>> 100 `divMod` 7
(14,2)
>>> 100 `quot` 7
14
>>> 100 `rem` 7
2
>>> 100 `quotRem` 7
(14,2)
```

One must wonder here that why would we have two functions doing the same thing? Well, they don't actually do the same thing.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$ It is worth pointing out that one could define `recip` using `(/)` as well given 1 is defined. While this is not standard, if `(/)` is defined for a data type, Haskell does autmoatically infer the reciprocation. So technically, for a datatype to be a member of the type class `Fractional` it needs to have either reciprocation or division defined, the other is infered.

### **X** Exercise

```
From the given example, what is the difference between div and quot?

>>> 8 'div' 3
2
>>> (-8) 'div' 3
-3
>>> (-8) 'div' (-3)
2
>>> 8 'div' (-3)
-3
>>> 8 'quot' 3
2
>>> (-8) 'quot' 3
-2
>>> (-8) 'quot' (-3)
2
>>> 8 'quot' (-3)
-2
>>> 8 'quot' (-3)
```

### **X** Exercise

```
From the given example, what is the difference between mod and rem?

>>> 8 `mod` 3
2
>>> (-8) `mod` 3
1
>>> (-8) `mod` (-3)
-2
>>> 8 `mod` (-3)
-1
>>> 8 `rem` 3
2
>>> (-8) `rem` 3
-2
>>> (-8) `rem` (-3)
-2
>>> 8 `rem` (-3)
-2
>>> 8 `rem` (-3)
```

While the functions work similarly when the divisior and dividend are of the same sign, they seem to diverge when the signs don't match. The thing here is we ideally want our division algorithm to satisfy d\*q+r=n, |r|<|d| where d is the divisior, n the dividend, q the quotient and  $\mathbf{r}$  the remainder. The issue is for any  $-d < r < 0 \Rightarrow 0 < r < d$ . This means we need to choose the sign for the remainder.

In Haskell, mod takes the sign of the divisor(comes from floored division, same as Python's %), while rem takes the sign of the dividend (comes from truncated division, behaves the same way as Scheme's remainder or C's %.).

Basically, div returns the floor of the true divison value(recall  $\lfloor -3.56 \rfloor = -4$ ) while quot returns the trunicated value of the true division(recall trunicate(-3.56) = -3 as we are just trunicating the decimal point off). The reason we keep both of them in Haskell is to be comfertable for people who come from either of these languages. Also, The div function is often the more natural one to use, whereas the quot function corresponds to the machine instruction on modern machines, so it's somewhat more efficient(although not much, I had to go upto  $10^{100000}$  to even get millisecond difference in the two).

A simple excercise for us now would be implementing our very own integer division algorithm. We begin with a division algorithm for only positive integers.

```
A division algorithm on positive integers by repreated subtraction divide :: Integer \rightarrow Integer \rightarrow (Integer, Integer) divide n d = go 0 n where go q r = if r \geqslant d then go (q+1) (r-d) else (q,r)
```

Now, how do we extend it to negitives by a little bit of case handling.

An excercise left for the reader is to figure out which kind of division is this, floored or trunicated, and implement the one we haven't yourself. Let's now tal

### **Exponentiation**

Haskell defines for us three exponation operators, namely  $(^{\wedge})$ ,  $(^{\wedge})$ , (\*\*).

### **X** Exercise

```
What can we say about the three exponation operators?

<will make this example later>
```

Unlike division, they have almost the same function. The difference here is in the type signature. While, infering the exact type signature was not expected, we can notice:

- is raising genral numbers to positive integral powers. This means it makes no assumptions about if the base can be reciprocated and just produces an error if the power is negative.
- ^^ is raising fractional numbers to general integral powers. That is, it needs to be sure that the reciprocal of the base exists(negative powers) and doesn't throw an error if the power is negative.
- \*\* is raising numbers with floating point to powers with floating point. This makes it the most general exponation.

The operators clearly get more and more general as we go down the list but they also get slower. However, they are also reducing in accurecy and may even output <a href="Infinity">Infinity</a> in some cases. The ... means I am trunicating the output for readablity, ghci did give the compelete answer.

```
>>> 2^1000
10715086071862673209484250490600018105614048117055336074 ...
>>> 2 ^^ 1000
1.0715086071862673e301
>>> 2^10000
199506311688075838488374216268358508382 ...
>>> 2^10000
Infinity
>>> 2 ** 10000
Infinity
```

The exact reasons for the inaccuracy comes from float conversions and approximation methods. We will talk very little about this specialist topic somewhat later.

However, something within our scope is implementing (^) ourselves.

```
A naive integer exponation algorithm

exponation :: (Num a, Integral b) ⇒ a → b → a

exponation a 0 = 1

exponation a b = if b < 0

then error "no negitve exponation"

else a * (exponation a (b-1))</pre>
```

This algorithm, while the most naive way to do so, computes  $2^{100000}$  in mearly 0.56 seconds.

However, we could do a bit better here. Notice, to evaluate  $a^b$ , we are making b multiplications. A fact we mentioned before is that multiplication of big numbers is faster when it is balenced, that is the numbers being multiplied have similer number of digits.

So to do better, we could simply compute  $a^{\frac{b}{2}}$  and then square it, given b is even, or compute  $a^{\frac{b-1}{2}}$  and then square it and multiply by a otherwise. This can be done recursively till we have the solution.

The idea is simple: instead of doing b multiplications, we do far fewer by solving a smaller problem and reusing the result. While one might not notice it for smaller b's, once we get into the hundreds or thousands, this method is dramatically faster.

This algorithm brings the time to compute  $2^{100000}$  down to 0.07 seconds.

The idea is that we are now making at most 3 multiplications at each step and there are at most  $\log(b)$  steps. This brings us down from b multiplications to  $3\log(b)$  multiplications. Furthermore, most of these multiplications are somewhat balenced and hence optimized. This kind of a stratergy is called divide and conquer. You take a big problem, slice it in half, solve the smaller version, and then stitch the results together. It's a method/technique that appears a lot in Computer Science(in sorting to data search to even solving diffrential equations and training AI models) and we will see it again shortly.

Finally, there's one more minor optimization that's worth pointing out. It's a small thing, and doesn't even help that much in this case, but if the multiplication were particularly costly, say as in matrices; our exponation method could be made slightly better. Let's say we are dealing with say  $2^{255}$ . Our current algorithm would evaluate it as:

$$2^{31} = (2^{15})^2 * 2$$

$$= ((2^7)^2 * 2)^2 * 2$$

$$= (((2^3)^2 * 2)^2 * 2)^2 * 2$$

$$= ((((2^1)^2 * 2)^2 * 2)^2 * 2)^2 * 2$$

This is a problem as the small \* 2 in every bracket are unbalenced. The exact way we deal with all this is by something called 2<sup>k</sup> arry method. Although, more often then not, most built in implementations use the divide and conquer exponentiation we studied.

### gcd and lcm

A very common function for number theoretic use cases is gcd and lcm. They are pre-defined as

```
>>> :t gcd
gcd :: Integral a ⇒ a → a → a
>>> :t lcm
lcm :: Integral a ⇒ a → a → a
>>> gcd 12 30
6
>>> lcm 12 30
60
```

We will now try to define these functions ourselves.

A naive way to do so would be:

```
A Naive GCD and LCM
-- Uses a brute-force approach starting from the smaller number and counting
gcdNaive :: Integer → Integer → Integer
gcdNaive a ∅ = a
gcdNaive a b =
     if b > a
         then gcdNaive b a -- Ensure first argument is greater
        else go a b b
  where
     -- Start checking from the smaller of the two numbers
     go x y current =
        if (x \mod \ current = 0) \& (y \mod \ current = 0)
            then current
            else go x y (current - 1)
 -- Uses a brute-force approach starting from the larger number and counting up
lcmNaive :: Integer → Integer → Integer
lcmNaive a b =
    if b > a
        then lcmNaive b a -- Ensure first argument is greater
        else go a b a
  where
     -- Start checking from the larger of the two numbers
    go x y current =
        if current `mod` y = 0
             then current
             else go x y (current + x)
```

These both are quite slow for most practical uses. A lot of cryptography runs on computer's ability to find gcd and lcm fast enough. If this was the fastest, we would be cooked. So what do we do? Call some math.

A simple optimization could be using  $p * q = \gcd(p, q) * \operatorname{lcm}(p, q)$ . This makes the speed of both the operations same, as once we have one, we almost already have the other.

Let's say we want to find  $g := \gcd(p,q)$  and p > q. That would imply p = dq + r for some r < q. This means  $g \mid p, q \Rightarrow g \mid q, r$  and by the maximality of  $g, \gcd(p,q) = \gcd(q,r)$ . This helps us out a lot as we could eventually reduce our problem to a case where the larger term is a multiple of the smaller one and we could return the smaller term then and there. This can be implemented as:

```
& Fast GCD and LCM
gcdFast :: Integer → Integer → Integer
gcdFast p 0 = p -- Using the fact that the moment we get q | p, we will reduce
to this case and output the answer.
gcdFast p q = gcdFast q (p `mod` q)

lcmFast :: Integer → Integer
lcmFast p q = (p * q) `div` (gcdFast p q)
```

We can see that this is much faster. The exact number of steps or time taken is a slightly involved and not very related to what we cover. Intrested readers may find it and related citrations here.

This algorithm predates computers by approximatly 2300 years. If was first decribed by Euclid and hence is called the Euclidean Algorithm. While, faster algorithms do exist, the ease of

implementation and the fact that the optimizations are not very dramatic in speeding it up make Euclid the most commonly used algorithm.

While we will see these class of algorithms, including checking if a number is prime or finding the prime factorization, these require some more weapons of attack we are yet to devlop.

### **Recursive Functions**

A lot of mathematical functions are defined recursively. We have already seen a lot of them in < chapter 1>. Factorial, binomials and fibbonacci are common examples. We will implement them here for the the sake of completness, although I don't think converting them from paper to code is hard, we will still do it.

```
A Factorial, Binomial and Fibbonacci
factorial :: Integer → Integer
 factorial 0 = 1
factorial n = n * factorial (n-1)
nCr :: Integer → Integer → Integer
nCr _ 0 = 1
nCr n r
  |r > n
             = 0
  n = r
             = 1
   |otherwise = (nCr (n-1) (r-1)) + (ncr (n-1) r)
 fibbonacci :: Integer → Integer
 fibbonacci n = fst (go n) where
  go 0 = (1,0)
  go 1 = (1, 1)
  go n = (a + b, a) where (a,b) = go (n-1)
```

You might remember that we don't directly translate the defination of fibbonacci as doing so would be extreamly inafficent, as we would be recomputing values left and right. A much simpler way is to carry the data we need. And that is what we do here.

### **Mathematical Functions**

We will now talk about mathematical functions like log, sqrt, sin, asin etc. We will also take this oppurtunity to talk about real exponation. To begin, Haskell has a lot of pre-defined functions.

```
>>> sqrt 81
9.0
>>> log (2.71818)
0.9999625387017254
>>> log 4
1.3862943611198906
>>> log 100
4.605170185988092
>>> logBase 10 100
2.0
>>> exp 1
2.718281828459045
>>> exp 10
22026.465794806718
>>> pi
3.141592653589793
>>> sin pi
1.2246467991473532e-16
>>> cos pi
-1.0
>>> tan pi
-1.2246467991473532e-16
>>> asin 1
1.5707963267948966
>>> asin 1/2
0.7853981633974483
>>> acos 1
0.0
>>> atan 1
0.7853981633974483
```

pi is a predefined variable inside haskell. It carries the value of  $\pi$  upto some decimal places based on what type it is forced in.

```
>>> a = pi :: Float
>>> a
3.1415927
>>> b = pi :: Double
>>> b
3.141592653589793
```

All the functions above have the type signature Fractional  $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a$  or for our purposes Float  $\rightarrow$  Float. Also, notice the functions are not giving exact answers in some cases and instead are giving approximations. These functions are quite unnatural for a computer, so we surely know that the computer isn't processing them. So what is happening under the hood?

Imagine you're playing a number guessing game with a friend.

They are thinking of a number between 1 and 100, and every time you guess, they'll say whether your guess is too high, too low, or correct.

You don't start at 1. You start at 50. Why? Because 50 cuts the range exactly in half. Depending on whether the answer is higher or lower, you can now ignore half the numbers.

Next guess? Halfway through the remaining half. Then half of that. And so on.

That's binary search: each step cuts the list in half, so you zoom in on the answer quickly.

Here's how it works:

- Start in the middle of a some ordered list.
- If the middle item is your target, you're done.
- If it's too big, repeat the search on the left half.
- If it's too small, repeat on the right half.

Keep halving until you find it - or realize it's not there.

While using a raw binery search for roots would be impossible as the exact answer is seldom rational and hence, the algorithm would never terminate. So instead of searching for the exact root, we look for an approximation by keeping some tolerence. Here is what it looks like:

We leave it as an excercise to extend this to a cube root.

The internal implementation sets the tolerance to some constant, defining, for example as

```
sqrt = bsSqrt 0.00001
```

Furthermore, there is a faster method to compute square roots and cube roots(in general roots of polynomials), which uses a bit of analysis. You will find it defined and walked-through in the back excercise.

However, this method won't work for log as we would need to do real exponation, which, as we will soon see, is defined using log. So what do we do? Taylor series and reduction.

We know that  $\ln(1+x)=x-\frac{x^2}{2}+\frac{x^3}{3}-\ldots$  For small  $x,\ln(1+x)\approx x$ . So if we can create a scheme to make x small enough, we could get the logithrm by simply multiplying. Well,  $\ln(x^2)=2\ln(|x|)$ . So, we could simply keep taking square roots of a number till it is within some error range of 1 and then simply use the fact  $\ln(1+x)\approx x$  for small x.

This is a very efficient algorithm for approximating <code>log</code> . Doing better requires the use of either precomputed lookup tables(which would make the programme heavier) or use more sophesticated mathematical methods which while more accurate would slow the programme down. There is an excercise in the back, where you will implement a state of the art algorithm to compute <code>log</code> accurately upto 400-1000 decimal places.

Finally, now that we have log = logTay 0.0001, we can easily define some other functions.

```
logBase a b = log(b) / log(a)
exp n = if n = 1 then 2.71828 else (exp 1) ** n
(**) a b = exp (b * log(a))
```

We will use this same Taylor approximation scheme for  $\sin$  and  $\cos$ . The idea here is:  $\sin(x) \approx x$  for small x and  $\cos(x) = 1$  for small x. Furthermore,  $\sin(x+2\pi) = \sin(x)$ ,  $\cos(x+2\pi) = \cos(x)$  and  $\sin(2x) = 2\sin(x)\cos(x)$  as well as  $\cos(2x) = \cos^2(x) - \sin^2(x)$ .

This can be encoded as

```
Sin and Cos using Taylor Approximation
 sinTay :: Float \rightarrow Float \rightarrow Float
 sinTay tol x
   | abs(x) ≤ tol
                        = x -- Base case: sin(x) ≈ x when x is small
   \mid abs(x) \geqslant 2 * pi
                           = if x > 0
                               then sinTay tol (x - 2 * pi)
                               else sinTay tol (x + 2 * pi) -- Reduce x to [-2\pi]
 2\pi
                            = 2 * (\sin Tay tol (x/2)) * (\cos Tay tol (x/2)) --
  otherwise
 \sin(x) = 2 \sin(x/2) \cos(x/2)
 cosTay :: Float \rightarrow Float \rightarrow Float
 cosTay tol x
  | abs(x) \leq tol
                        = 1.0 -- Base case: cos(x) ≈ 1 when x is small
   | abs(x) \ge 2 * pi
                           = if x > 0
                               then cosTay tol (x - 2 * pi)
                               else cosTay tol (x + 2 * pi) -- Reduce x to [-2\pi]
 2\pi
                            = (\cos Tay \ tol \ (x/2))**2 - (\sin Tay \ tol \ (x/2))**2
  otherwise
 \cos(x) = \cos^2(x/2) - \sin^2(x/2)
```

As one might notice, this approximation is somewhat poorer in accuracy than log. This is due to the fact that the taylor approximation is much less truer on sin and cos in the neighbourhood of 0 than for log.

We will see a better approximation once we start using lists, using the power of the full Taylor expansion.

Finally, similer to our above things, we could simply set the tolerance and get a function that takes an input and gives an output, name it  $\sin$  and  $\cos$  and define  $\tan x = (\sin x) / (\cos x)$ .

It is left as excercise to use taylor approximation to define inverse sin(asin), inverse cos(acos) and inverse tan(atan).

### **Basic String Operations**

We will now talk about string operations. As we mentioned in the start, strings are a list of characters. This autmoatically implies a lot of advanced string related operations would need to go through lists. Hence, we will only cover the basic ones here.

```
>>> "hello" ++ " " ++ "world!"
"hello world"
>>> 'h' : "ello world"
"hello world"
>>> 'h' ++ "ello world"
<interactive>:78:1: error: [GHC-83865]
>>> "hello" : " " : "world"
<interactive>:79:17: error: [GHC-83865]
>>> length "hello"
>>> reverse "hello"
"olleh"
>>> take 3 "hello"
"hel"
>>> drop 3 "hello"
>>> splitAt 3 "hello"
("hel","lo")
```

We want you to observe and infer that (++) is used to concat two strings while (:) is used to append a character to a string. This distinction matters as doing it any other way creates a horrible error.

length provides the length of the string, reverse reverses the string and take and drop allow us to either take the first few elements of a string or dispose of them. One could simply define splitAt n str = (take n str, drop n str).

Note, (:) is a primitive and is defined axiomatically. That is, we cannot breakdown it's implementation. It just exists and works. The exact working will become more clearer in chapter 9 and 11.

Defining the other functions is just an excercise in recursion, and a very straightforward one at that. The only one with any cleverness will be reverse, but alas we have already seen it in chapter 1.

```
(++) :: String \rightarrow String \rightarrow String
[] ++ str = str
(x:xs) + ys = x : (xs + ys)
length :: String \rightarrow Int
length "" = 0
length(x:xs) = 1 + length xs
take :: Int \rightarrow String \rightarrow Int
take _ "" = ""
take 0 str = str
take n(x:xs) = x : (take (n-1) xs)
drop :: Int \rightarrow String \rightarrow String
drop _ "" = ""
drop 0 str = str
drop \ n \ (x:xs) = drop \ (n-1) \ xs
naiveReverse :: String \rightarrow String
naiveReverse "" = ""
naiveReverse (x:xs) = (naiveReverse xs) ++ [x]
betterReverse :: String → String
betterReverse (x:xs) = go (x:xs) [] where
  go [] rev = rev
  go(x:xs) rev = go xs(x:rev)
```

naiveReverse clearly uses some  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  append operations where n is the length of the list as we use concat unnecessarily and it is expensive. On the other hand, betterReverse usea only some n append operations. This makes it much faster and is indeed how reverse is defined.

### **Dealing with Characters**

We will now talk about characters. Haskell packs up all the functions relating to them in a module called <a href="Data.Char">Data.Char</a>. We will explore some of the functions there.

So if you are following along, feel free to enter import Data.Char in your ghci or add it to the top
of your haskell file.

The most basic and importent functions here are ord and chr. Characters, like the ones you are reading now, are represented inside a computer using numbers. These numbers are part of a standard called ASCII (American Standard Code for Information Interchange), or more generally, Unicode.

In Haskell, the function ord takes a character and returns its corresponding numeric code (called its code point). The function chr does the reverse: it takes a number and returns the character it represents.

```
>>> ord 'g'
103
>>> ord 'G'
71
>>> chr 71
'G'
>>> chr '103
'g'
```

The ASCII standard originally defined 128 characters, numbered from 0 to 127. These include English letters, digits, punctuation, and some control characters that do not represent symbols but serve technical purposes. For example,

- '\SOH' start of heading
- '\EOT' end of transmission(used in sending data to denote if sending is over. If it is not recived, an error may be percived.)
- '\ETX' end of text
- '\a' alert(sometimes denoted as '\BEL')
- \n new line(sometimes denored as '\LF')
- '\t' horizontal tab(sometimes denored as '\HT')
- '\SP' space(often denoted as '')

While  $chr :: Char \rightarrow Int$  is defined on all valid characters, ord  $:: Int \rightarrow Char$  is not defined on all integers for the reason that we don't have as many characters as integers.

The first defined character, aka ord 0, is \NUL. It is a control character used to represents the null character or nothing. ord (-1) results in an error, as does any other negitive number.

We have in total 34 such control characters. From 0-32 and then at  $127(\ \ \ \ )$  used to log the use of delete. Not to be confused with  $\ \ \ \ \$  which is used to log backspaces).

Although the ASCII range ends at 127, because it was designed for a 7-bit system, modern systems use Unicode, which extends this idea and assigns unique numbers to over a million characters - including symbols from nearly every language. As Haskell uses Unicode under the hood. That means ord and chr can go well beyond ASCII:

We will not go into how ord and chr are implemented, as that involves lower-level details. Just know that they work reliably and are part of the Haskell standard library.

With this out of the way, we can look at some more char based functions.

```
>>> isLower 'a'
True
>>> isLower 'A'
False
>>> isLower ','
False
>>> isUpper 'a'
False
>>> isUpper 'A'
True
>>> isUpper ','
False
>>> toLower 'a'
'a'
>>> toLower 'A'
'a'
>>> toLower ','
>>> toUpper 'a'
'A'
>>> toUpper 'A'
'A'
>>> toUpper ','
```

Simmiler functions are isSpace, isDigit, isAlpha, isAlphaNum for white spaces(space, tab, newline), digits, alphabets and alphanumerics(alphabets or number).

This means one could simply turn a whole string lower case or filter out only the alphabnumeric characters using map and filter.

```
>>> map toLower "HelLo WOrlD, I am Mixed uP"
"hello world, i am mixed up"

>>> filter isAlphaNum "mix^@($ed &(u*(!p m&(!^#e)*!^ss"
"mixedupmess"
```

< I will complete this section later. >

### **X** Collatz

Collatz conjucture states that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  exists a k such that  $c^{k(n)} = 1$  where c is the Collatz function which is  $\frac{n}{2}$  for even n and 3n + 1 for odd n.

Write a function col :: Integer  $\to$  Integer which, given a n, finds the smalltest k such that  $c^{k(n)} = 1$ , called the Collatz chain length of n.

### **X** Palindrome?

- (i) Given a string of lowercase characters, write a function paliStr :: String  $\rightarrow$  Bool to figure out if it is a palindrome.
- (ii) Using the show function, write a function paliInt :: Integer  $\rightarrow$  Bool to figure out if a number is palindrome.

### X Newton-Raphson method

### Newton-Raphson method

Newton–Raphson method is a method to find the roots of a function via subsequent approximations.

Given f(x), we let  $x_0$  be an inital guess. Then we get subsequent guesses using

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

As 
$$n \to \infty$$
,  $f(x_n) \to 0$ .

The intution for why this works is: imagine standing on a curve and wanting to know where it hits the x-axis. You draw the tangent line at your current location and walk down it to where it intersects the x-axis. That's your next guess. Repeat. If the curve behaves nicely, you converge quickly to the root.

Limitations of Newton–Raphson method are

- Requires derivative: The method needs the function to be differentiable and requires evaluation of the derivative at each step.
- Initial guess matters: A poor starting point can lead to divergence or convergence to the wrong root.
- Fails near inflection points or flat slopes: If f'(x) is zero or near zero, the method can behave erratically.
- Not guaranteed to converge: Particularly for functions with multiple roots or discontinuities.

Considering,  $f(x) = x^2 - a$  and  $f(x) = x^3 - a$  are well behaved for all a, implement sqrtNR:: Float  $\rightarrow$  Float  $\rightarrow$  Float and cbrtNR:: Float  $\rightarrow$  Float which finds the square root and cube root of a number upto a tolerance using the Newton-Raphson method.

Hint: The number we are trying to get the root of is a sufficiently good guess for numbers absolutly greater than 1. Otherwise, 1 or -1 is a good guess. We leave it to your mathematical intution to figure out when to use what.

### X Simpson's Fermat

Fermat's last theorem claims that  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  has no solution over integers for integral n > 2. After 200 years of work, the proof was finally found by Andrew Wiles in 1998.

However, in the Simpson's episode titled "The Wizard of Evergreen Terrace", Homer writes  $3987^{12}+4365^{12}=4472^{12}$ . If you put this on your phone calculator, or any handheld calculator, you shall find this to be true.

This is a prank by one of the show's writer David S. Cohen who wrote a code to find near misses to Fermat's Last Theorem. We want you to find some more such near misses. Write a function  $simpsonFermat :: (Int, Int) \rightarrow (Int, Int) \rightarrow Float \rightarrow (Int, Int, Int)$ 

which takes the range for the bases, range for the exponents and an error tolerence(in percentage of distence form the RHS) and returns a tuple containing the satisfying bases and the exponent.

### **X** Digital Root

The digital root of a number is the digit obtained by summing digits until you get a single digit. For example

```
digitalRoot 9875 = digitalRoot (9+8+7+5) = digitalRoot 29 = digitalRoot (2+9). = digitalRoot 11 = digitalRoot (1+1) = 2 Implement the function digitalRoot :: Int \rightarrow Int.
```

### X AGM Log

A rather uncommon mathematical function is AGM or arthmatic-geometric mean. For given two numbers.

$$\mathrm{AGM}(x,y) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x = y \\ \mathrm{AGM}\big(\frac{x+y}{2}, \sqrt{xy}\big) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Write a function  $agm :: (Float, Float) \rightarrow Float \rightarrow Float$  which takes two floats and returns the AGM within some tolerance(as getting to the exact one recursively takes, about infinite steps).

Using AGM, we can define

$$\ln(x) \approx \frac{\pi}{2 \operatorname{AGM} \left(1, \frac{2^{2-m}}{x}\right)} - m \ln(2)$$

which is precise upto p bits where  $x2^m > 2^{\frac{p}{2}}$ .

Using the above defined agm function, define  $logAGM :: Int \rightarrow Float \rightarrow Float \rightarrow Float$  which takes the number of bits of precision, the tolerance for agm and a number greater than 1 and gives the natural logithrm of that number.

Hint: To simplify the question, we added the fact that the input will be greater than 1. This means a simplification is taking m = p/2 directly. While geting a better m is not hard, this is just simpler.

### **X** Multiplexer

A multiplexer is a hardware element which chooses the input stream from a variety of streams.

It is made up of  $2^n + n$  components where the  $2^n$  are the input streams and the n are the selectors.

- (i) Implement a 2 stream multiplex  $mux2 :: Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool \rightarrow Bool$  where the first two booleans are the inputs of the streams and the third boolean is the selector. When the selector is True, take input from stream 1, otherwise from stream 2.
- (ii) Implement a 2 stream multiplex using only boolean operations.
- (iii) Implement a 4 stream multiplex. The type should be  $mux4 :: Bool \rightarrow Bool . (There are 6 arguments to the function, 4 input streams and 2 selectors). We encourage you to do this in atleast 2 ways (a) Using boolean operations (b) Using only <math>mux2$ .

Could you describe the general scheme to define  $\frac{mux2^n}{n}$  (a) using only boolean operations (b) using only  $\frac{mux2^n(n-1)}{n}$  (c) using only  $\frac{mux2^n}{n}$ ?

### **X** Moduler Exponation

Implement modular exponentiation ( $a^b \mod m$ ) efficiently using the fast exponentiation method. The type signature should be  $\operatorname{modExp} :: \operatorname{Int} \to \operatorname{Int} \to \operatorname{Int} \to \operatorname{Int}$ 

### Types as Sets

Ryan Hota

### Sets

**⇒** set

A set is a well-defined collection of "things".

These "things" can be values, objects, or other sets.

For any given set, the "things" it contains are called its **elements**.

Some basic kinds of sets are -

• 

empty set

The **empty set** is the *set that contains no elements* or equivalently, {}.

• 

singleton set

A singelten set is a set that contains exactly one element, such as  $\{34\}, \{\triangle\}$ , the set of natural numbers strictly between 1 and 3, etc.

We might have encountered some mathematical sets before, such as the set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  or the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ , or even a set following the rules of vectors ( a vector space ).

We might have encountered sets as data structures acting as an unordered collection of objects or values, such as Python sets -  $set([]), \{1, 2, 3\}$ , etc.

Note that sets can be finite ( $\{12, 1, \circ, \vec{x}\}$ ), as well as infinite ( $\mathbb{N}$ ).

A fundamental keyword on sets is "∈", or "belongs".

**=** belongs

Given a value x and a set S,

 $x \in S$  is a claim that x is an element of S,

Other common operations include -

union

 $A \cup B$  is the set containing all those x such that either  $x \in A$  or  $x \in B$ .

**=** intersection

 $A \cap B$  is the set containing all those x such that  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$ .

**=** cartesian product

 $A \times B$  is the set containing all ordered pairs (a,b) such that  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .

So,

$$\begin{split} X == \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \text{ and } Y == \{y_1, y_2\} \\ \Rightarrow \\ X \times Y == \{(x_1, y_1), (x_1, y_2), (x_2, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_1), (x_3, y_2)\} \end{split}$$

**=** exponent

 $B^A$  is the set of all functions with domain A and co-domain B, or equivalently, the set of all functions f such that  $f:A\to B$ , or equivalently, the set of all functions from A to B.

### x size of exponent set

If A has |A| elements, and B has |B| elements, then how many elements does  $B^A$  have?

### **Types**

We have enconutered a few types in the previous chapter, such as Bool, Integer and Char. For our limited purposes, we can think about each such type as the set of all values of that type.

For example,

- Bool can be thought of as the **set of all bolean values**, which is { False, True }.
- Integer can be thought of as the set of all integers, which is  $\{0, 1, -1, 2, -2, \ldots\}$ .
- Char can be thought of as the **set of all characters**, which is { '\\NUL', '\\SOH',

```
'\\STX',...,'a','b','c',...,'A','B','C',...}
```

If this analogy were to extend further, we might expect to see analogues of the basic kinds of sets and the common set operations for types, which we can see in the following -

### :: is analogous to $\in$ or $\rightleftharpoons$ belongs

Whenever we want to claim a value x is of type T, we can use the x: keyword, in a similar fashion to x, i.e., we can say x: T in place of  $x \in T$ .

In programming terms, this is known as declaring the variable x.

For example,

```
• A declaration of x
x :: Integer
x = 42
```

This reads - "Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Take the value of x to be 42."

```
• Meclaration of y
y :: Bool
y = xor True False
```

This reads - "Let  $y \in \{\text{False, True}\}$ . Take the value of y to be the  $\oplus$  of True and False."

### **X** declaring a variable

Declare a variable of type Char.

### $A \rightarrow B$ is analogous to $B^A$ or = exponent

As  $B^A$  contains all functions from A to B,

so is each function f defined to take an input of type A and output of type B satisfy  $f :: A \rightarrow B$ .

For example -

```
• A function

SUCC :: Integer → Integer

SUCC X = X + 1
```

```
    N another function
    even :: Integer → Bool
    even n = if n `mod` 2 = 0 then True else False
```

### **x** basic function definition

Define a non-constant function of type  $Bool \rightarrow Integer$ .

### x difference between declaration and function definition

What are the differences between declaring a variable and defining a function?

### ( A , B ) is analogous to $A \times B$ or $\doteqdot$ cartesian product

As  $A \times B$  contains all pairs (a,b) such that  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , so is every pair (a,b) of type (A,B) if x is of type A and b is of type B.

For example, if I ask GHCi to tell me the type of (True, 'c') (which I can do using the command :t), then it would tell me that the value's type is (Bool, Char) -

```
htype of a pair
>>> :t (True, 'c')
(True, 'c') :: (Bool, Char)
```

```
This reads - "GHCi, what is the type of (True, 'c')?

Answer: the type of (True, 'c') is (Bool, Char)."
```

If we have a type X with elements X1, X2, and X3, and another type Y with elements Y1 and Y2, we can use the author-defined function listOfAllElements to obtain a list of all elements of certain types -

```
>>> listOfAllElements :: [X]
[X1,X2,X3]
>>> listOfAllElements :: [Y]
[Y1,Y2]
>>> listOfAllElements :: [(X,Y)]
[(X1,Y1),(X1,Y2),(X2,Y1),(X2,Y2),(X3,Y1),(X3,Y2)]
>>> listOfAllElements :: [(Char,Bool)]
[('\NUL',False),('\NUL',True),('\SOH',False),('\SOH',True), . . . ]
```

There are two fundamental inbuilt operations from a product type -

A function to get the first component of a pair -

```
first component of a pair
fst (a,b) = a
```

and a similar function to get the second component -

```
second component of a pair
snd (a,b) = b
```

We can define our own functions from a product type using these -

```
    function from a product type
    xorOnPair :: ( Bool , Bool ) → Bool
    xorOnPair pair = ( fst pair ) /= ( snd pair )
```

or even by pattern matching the pair -

```
    another function from a product type
    xorOnPair' :: ( Bool , Bool ) → Bool
    xorOnPair' ( a , b ) = a ≠ b
```

Also, we can define our functions to a product type -

For example, consider the useful inbuilt function <code>divMod</code>, which **divides a number by another**, and **returns** both the **quotient and the remainder as a pair**. Its definition is equivalent to the following -

```
  function to a product type
  divMod :: Integer → Integer → ( Integer , Integer )
  divMod n m = ( n `div` m , n `mod` m )
```

### x size of a product type

If a type  $\mathsf{T}$  has n elements, and type  $\mathsf{T}'$  has m elements, then how many elements does  $(\mathsf{T}.\mathsf{T}')$  have?

### () is analogous to \(\ddagger singleton set

(), pronounced Unit, is a type that contains exactly one element.

That unique element is ().

So, it means that ()::(), which might appear a bit confusing.

The () on the left of :: is just a simple value, like 1 or 'a'.

The () on the right of :: is a type, like Integer or Char.

This value () is the only value whose type is ().

On the other hand, other types might have multiple values of that type. (such as <a href="Integer">Integer</a>, where both 1 and 2 have type <a href="Integer">Integer</a>.)

We can even check this using listOfAllElements -

```
>>> listOfAllElements :: [()]
[()]
```

This reads - "The list of all elements of the type () is a list containing exactly one value, which is the value ()."

### x function to unit

```
Define a function of type Bool \rightarrow ().
```

### x function from unit

```
Define a function of type () \rightarrow Bool.
```

### No intersection of Types

We now need to discuss an important distinction between sets and types. While two different sets can have elements in common, like how both  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{N}$  have the element 10 in common, on the other hand, two different types T1 and T2 cannot have any common elements.

For example, the types Int and Integer have no elements in common. We might think that they have the element 10 in common, however, the internal structures of 10::Int and 10::Integer are very different, and thus the two 10 s are quite different.

Thus, the intersection of two different types will always be empty and doesn't make much sense anyway.

Therfore, no intersection operation is defined for types.

### No : union of Types

Suppose the type  $T1 \cup T2$  were an actual type. It would have elements in common with the type T1. As discussed just previously, this is undesirable and thus disallowed.

But there is a promising alternative, for which we need to define the set-theoretic notion of **disjoint union**.

### **x** subtype

Do you think that there can be an anlogue of the *subset* relation  $\subseteq$  for types?

### **Disjoint Union of Sets**

### disjoint union

 $A \sqcup B$  is defined to be  $(\{0\} \times A) \cup (\{1\} \times B)$ , or equivalently, the set of all pairs either of the form (0,a) such that  $a \in A$ , or of the form (1,b) such that  $b \in B$ .

So,

$$\begin{split} X == \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \text{ and } Y == \{y_1, y_2\} \\ \Rightarrow \\ X \sqcup Y == \{(0, x_1), (0, x_2), (0, x_3), (1, y_1), (1, y_2)\} \end{split}$$

The main advantage that this construct offers us over the usual \* union is that given an element x from a disjoint union  $A \sqcup B$ , it is very easy to see whether x comes from A, or whether it comes from B.

For example, consider the statement -  $(0, 10) \in \mathbb{R} \sqcup \mathbb{N}$ .

It is obvious that this 10 comes from  $\mathbb{R}$  and does not come from  $\mathbb{N}$ .

 $(1,10) \in \mathbb{R} \sqcup \mathbb{N}$  would indicate exactly the opposite, i.e, the 10 here comes from  $\mathbb{N}$ , not  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Either A B is analogous to $A \sqcup B$ or $\Rightarrow$ disjoint union

The term "either" is motivated by its appearance in the definition of 🛊 disjoint union.

Recall that in a 🛊 disjoint union, each element has to be

• of the form (0, a), where  $a \in A$ , and A is the set to the left of the  $\sqcup$  symbol,

• or they can be of the form (1, b), where  $b \in B$ , and B is the set to the right of the  $\sqcup$  symbol.

Similarly, in **Either A B**, each element has to be

- of the form Left a, where a:: A
- or of the form Right b, where b:: B

If we have a type X with elements X1, X2, and X3, and another type Y with elements Y1 and Y2, we can use the author-defined function listOfAllElements to obtain a list of all elements of certain types -

```
* elements of an either type

>>>> listOfAllElements :: [X]
[X1,X2,X3]

>>>> listOfAllElements :: [Y]
[Y1,Y2]

>>>> listOfAllElements :: [Either X Y]
[Left X1,Left X2,Left X3,Right Y1,Right Y2]

>>>> listOfAllElements :: [Either Bool Char]
[Left False,Left True,Right '\NUL',Right '\SOH',Right '\STX', . . . ]
```

We can define functions to an **Either** type.

Consider the following problem: We have to make a function that provides feedback on a quiz. We are given the marks obtained by a student in the quiz marked out of 10 total marks. If the marks obtained are less than 3, return <code>'F'</code>, otherwise return the marks as a percentage -

This reads - "

Let feedback be a function that takes an Integer as input and returns Either a Char or an Integer.

As Char and Integer occurs on the left and right of each other in the expression

Either Char Integer, thus Char and Integer will henceforth be referred to as Left and Right respectively.

Let the input to the function feedback be n.

If n<3, then we return 'F'. To denote that 'F' is a Char, we will tag 'F' as Left. (remember that Left refers to Char!)

otherwise, we will multiply n by 10 to get the percentage out of 100 (as the actual quiz is marked out of 10). To denote that the output 10\*n is an Integer, we will tag it with the word Right. (remember that Right refers to Integer!)

"

We can also define a function from an **Either** type.

Consider the following problem : We are given a value that is either a boolean or a character. We then have to represent this value as a number.

This reads - "

Let representAsNumber be a function that takes either a Bool or a Char as input and returns an

As Bool and Char occurs on the left and right of each other in the expression

Either Bool Char, thus Bool and Char will henceforth be referred to as Left and Right respectively.

If the input to representAsNumber is of the form Left bool, we know that bool must have type Bool (as Left refers to Bool). So if the bool is True, we will represent it as 1, else if it is False, we will represent it as 0.

If the input to representAsNumber is of the form Right char, we know that char must have type Bool (as Right refers to Char). So we will represent char as ord char.

..

We might make things clearer if we use a deeper level of pattern matching, like in the following function ( which is equivalent to the last one ).

```
another function from an either type
representAsNumber' :: Either Bool Char → Int
representAsNumber' ( Left False ) = 0
representAsNumber' ( Left True ) = 1
representAsNumber' ( Right char ) = ord char
```

### x size of an either type

```
If a type \mathsf{T} has n elements, and type \mathsf{T'} has m elements, then how many elements does Either \mathsf{T} \mathsf{T'} have?
```

### The Maybe Type

Consider the following problem : We are asked make a function reciprocal that reciprocates a rational number, i.e.,  $(x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}) : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}$ .

Sounds simple enough! Let's see -

```
naive reciprocal
reciprocal :: Rational → Rational
reciprocal x = 1/x
```

```
But there is a small issue! What about \frac{1}{0}? What should be the output of reciprocal 0?
```

Unfortunately, it results in an error -

```
>>> reciprocal 0
*** Exception: Ratio has zero denominator
```

To fix this, we can do something like this - Let's add one *extra element* to the output type Rational, and then reciprocal 0 can have this *extra element* as its output!

So the new output type would look something like this -  $(\{extra\ element\} \sqcup Rational)$ 

Notice that this { extra element} is a \( \display \) singleton set.

Which means that if we take this *extra element* to be the value (),

and take { extra element} to be the type (),

then we can obtain ( $\{extra\ element\} \sqcup Rational$ ) as the type Either () Rational.

Then we can finally rewrite A naive reciprocal to handle the case of reciprocal 0 -

```
  reciprocal using either
  reciprocal :: Rational → Either () Rational
  reciprocal 0 = Left ()
  reciprocal x = Right (1/x)
```

There is already an inbuilt way to express this notion of <a>Either</a> () <a>Rational</a> in Haskell, which is the type <a>Maybe</a> <a>Rational</a>.</a>

Maybe Rational just names it elements a bit differently compared to Either () Rational -

• where

```
Either () Rational has Left (),
Maybe Rational instead has the value Nothing.
```

· where

```
Either () Rational has Right r (where r is any Rational),
Maybe Rational instead has the value Just r.
```

Which means that we can rewrite \( \lambda \) reciprocal using either using Maybe instead -

```
    function from a maybe type
    reciprocal :: Rational → Maybe Rational
    reciprocal 0 = Nothing
    reciprocal x = Just (1/x)
```

But we can also do this for any arbitrary type T in place of Rational. In that case -

There is already an inbuilt way to express the notion of Either () T in Haskell, which is the type Maybe T.

Maybe T just names it elements a bit differently compared to Either () T -

where

```
Either () T has Left (),
Maybe T instead has the value Nothing.
• where
Either () T has Right t (where t is any value of type T),
Maybe T instead has the value Just t.
```

If we have a type X with elements X1, X2, and X3, and another type Y with elements Y1 and Y2, we can use the author-defined function listOfAllElements to obtain a list of all elements of certain types -

```
Pelements of a maybe type
>>> listOfAllElements :: [X]
[X1,X2,X3]
>>> listOfAllElements :: [Maybe X]
[Nothing,Just X1,Just X2,Just X3]
>>> listOfAllElements :: [Y]
[Y1,Y2]
>>> listOfAllElements :: [Maybe Y]
[Nothing,Just Y1,Just Y2]
>>> listOfAllElements :: [Maybe Bool]
[Nothing,Just False,Just True]
>>> listOfAllElements :: [Maybe Char]
[Nothing,Just '\NUL',Just '\SOH',Just '\STX',Just '\ETX', . . . ]
```

### x size of a maybe type

```
If a type \mathsf{T} has n elements, then how many elements does \mathsf{Maybe}\ \mathsf{T} have?
```

We can define functions to a Maybe type. For example consider the problem of making an inverse function of reciprocal, i.e., a function inversOfReciprocal s.t.

```
w x::Rational , inverseOfReciprocal ( reciprocal x ) = x
as follows -
    function to a maybe type
    inverseOfReciprocal Nothing = 0
    inversOfReciprocal (Just x) = (1/x)
```

### Void is analogous to {} or **♦** empty set

The type Void has no elements at all.

This also means that no actual value has type Void.

Even though it is out-of-syllabus, an interesting exercise is to

### **X** Exercise

try to define a function of type (  $\texttt{Bool} \to \texttt{Void}$  )  $\to \texttt{Void}$ .

# Introduction to Lists

Ryan Hota

### lists (feel free to change it)

# Polymorphism and Higher Order Functions

Shubh Sharma

### **Polymorphism**

Functions are our way, to interact with the elements of a type, and one can define functions in one of the two following ways:

- 1. Define an output for every single element.
- 2. Consider the general shape and behaviour of elements, and how they interact with other simple functions to build more complex function.

Up until the section about lists, we saw how to define functions from a given type, to another given type, for example:

nand is a function that accepts 2 Bool values, and checks it at least one of them is False. We will show two ways to write this function.drop

The first is too look at the possible inputs and define the outputs directly:

```
n nand 1
nand :: Bool → Bool → Bool
nand False _ = True
nand True True = False
nand True False = True
```

The other way is to define the function in terms of other functions and how the elements of the type Bool behave

```
n nand 2
nand :: Bool → Bool → Bool
nand a b = not (a && b)
```

The situation is something similar, for a lot of other types, like Int, Char and so on.

But with the addition of the List type in the previous chapter, we were able to add *new* information to a type. In the following sense:

Consider the type [Int], the elements of these types are lists of integers, the way one would interact with these would be to treat it as a collection of objects, in which each element is an integer.

- so to write a function for this type, one first needs to think about the fact that the *shape* of an element looks like a list, and how one gets to the items of the list, and then treat the items like integers and write functions on them.
- A function for lists would thus have 2 components, at least conceptually if not explicit in code itself, consider the following example:

```
squaring all elements of a list
squareAll :: [Int] → [Int]
squareAll [] = []
squareAll (x : xs) = x * x : squareAll xs
```

Here, in the definition when we match patterns, we figure out the shape of the list element, and if we can extract an integer from it, we do so, square it, then put it back in the list.

Something similar can be done with the type [Bool]:

- Once again, to write a function, one needs to first look at the *shape* an element as a list, Then pick elements out of them and treat them as Bool elements.
- An example of this will be the and function, that takes in a collection of Bool and returns True if and only if all of them are True.

### Polymorphism and Higher Order Functions - Shubh Sharma

```
and :: [Bool] → Bool
and [] = True -- We call scenarios like this 'vacuously true'
and (x : xs) = x && and xs
```

Once again, the pattern matching handles the shape of an element as a list, and the definition handles each item of a list as a Bool.

Then we see functions like the following:

- drop, which takes a list and discards the first couple of elements as specified
- elem, which checks if an element belongs to a list
- (=), which checks if 2 elements are the same

Up until now, we had been emphasizing on the *shape* of elemets of a type, but these functions don't seem to care about it that much:

- The drop function just cares about the list structre of an element, and not what the internal item looks like.
- The elem function also doesn't care about the internal type as long as there is some notion of equality defined.
- The (=) works on all types where some notion of equality is defined (A counter example would be the type of functions: Int → Int).

Now one can define such functions for every single type, but that has 2 problems:

- The first is that the defintion of all of these functions is the exact same, so doing this would be a lot of manual work, and one would also need to have different name for different types, which is very inconvenient.
- The second, and arguably a more serious issue, it stops us from abstracting, abstraction is the process of looking at a scenario and removing information that is not relevant to the problem.
  - An example would be that the drop simply lets us treat elements as lists, while we can ignore the type of items in the list.
  - All of Mathematics and Computer Science is done like this, in some sense it is just that.
    - Linear Algebra lets you treat any set where addition and scaling is define as one kind of thing.
    - Metric Spaces let us talk about all sets where there is a notion of distance.
    - Groups let us talk about sets where there is a notion of "combining" things together with more restriction.
  - And this is a powerful tool because solving a problem in the *abstract* version solves the problem in all *concretized* scenarios.

### John Locke, An Essay Concerning Human Understanding (1690)

The acts of the mind, wherein it exerts its power over simple ideas, are chiefly these three: 1. Combining several simple ideas into one compound one, and thus all complex ideas are made. 2. The second is bringing two ideas, whether simple or complex, together, and setting them by one another so as to take a view of them at once, without uniting them into one, by which it gets all its ideas of relations. 3. The third is separating them from all other ideas that accompany them in their real existence: this is called **abstraction**, and thus all its general ideas are made.

One of the ways abstraction is handled in Haskell, and a lot of other programming languages is **Polymorphism**.

### Polymorphism

A **polymorphic** function is one whose output type depends on the input type. Such a property of a function is called **polymorphism**, and the word itself is latin for *many forms*.

A polymorphic function differs from functions we have seen in the following ways:

- It can take input from multiple differnt input types (not necessarily type, restrictions are allowed).
- Its output type can be different for different inputs.

An example for such a function that we have seen in the previous section would be:

```
drop
drop :: Int → [a] → [a]
drop _ [] = []
drop 0 ls = ls
drop n (x:xs) = drop (n-1) xs
```

The polymorphism of this function is shown in the type  $drop :: Int \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]$  where we have used variables (usually called a type variable) instead of explicity mentioning a types, this still has a lot of structure, and is not the same as forgetting about types, for instance, the same variable is used in both the second argument and the output, so they need to be of the same type, dropping some elements from a list of integers also gives a list of integers, we still have all the safety and correctness guarantees that types give us.

### X Datatypes of some list functions

A nice excerise would be to write the types of the following functions defined in the previous section: head, tail, (!!), take and splitAt.

### A Taste of Type Classes

Consider the case of the integer functions

```
f :: Int \rightarrow Int
f x = x<sup>2</sup> + 2*x + 1
g :: Int \rightarrow Int
g x = (x + 1)<sup>2</sup>
```

We know that both functions, do the same thing in the mathematical sense, given any input, both of then have the same output. But the does the following expression make sense in haskell?

```
% Functions being equal?
f = g
```

On one hand, this seems like a fair thing to ask, as we already have a well defined mathematical function, on the other hand we run into 2 issues:

- Is it really fair to say that? In computer science, the way things are computed matter, hence the name of the entire field. I lot of times, one will be able to distinguish which of the functions are running, by simply looking at which one works faster or slower on big inputs, and that might be something people might want to factor in what the mean by "sameness". So maybe the assumption that 2 functions being equal pointwise imply the functions are equal may not be wise.
- The second is that in general it is not possible, in this case we have a mathematical identity that lets us prove so, but given any 2 function, it might be that the only way to prove that they are

### Polymorphism and Higher Order Functions – Shubh Sharma

equal would be to actually check on every single value, and since domains of functions can be infinite, this would simply not be possible to compute.

So we can't have the type of (=) be  $a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Bool$ .

This is where we introduce **Typeclasses**. We will be looking at type classes in to much more detail in a later chapter, but for now.

### **†** Typeclasses

Typeclasses are a collection of types, characterizede by their behaviour.

In the above definition, by 'behaviour' we mean how types interact with other types using functions, that is, what functions are allowed to a the given input type. Some examples of typeclasses are:

- Eq, which is the collection of all types for which the function (=) is defined.
- Ord, which is the collection of all types for which the function (<) is defined.
- Show, which is the collection of all types for which there is a function that converts them to String using the function show.

Note that in the above cases, defining one function lets you define some other functions, like (=) for = and =

We will look into how they work, and how to define your own typeclass in a later section, but for now, we use these to define better polymorphic functions.

```
a elem

elem :: Eq a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow Bool

elem _ [] = False

elem e (x : xs) = e = x || elem e xs
```

Note that there is a use of (=) in the last line of the defintion, this means that we must add the part  $Eq \ a \Rightarrow$  in our type, this is what tells haskell that the input type for this function should be anything that implements Eq.

In fact, don't add it, the compiler yells at us by saying:

To put more emphasis on how type classes do not come at a cost of safety, if we had a list of function, and we passed it to elem the code simply does not compile saying the input type is incorrect.

### X Checking if a list is sorted

Write the function isSorted which takes in a list as an argument, such that the elements of the list have a notion of ordering between them, and the output should be true if the list in an ascending order (equal elements are allowed to be next to each other), and false otherwise.

### **Higher Order Functions**

### Advanced List Operations

Shubh Sharma

### advanced lists (feel free to change it)

# Introduction to Datatypes

Arjun Maneesh Agarwal

### pre-complexity data types (feel free to change it)

- Define recurssion in recursive data types and define (4)
- define Nat, List, Tree

# Computation as Reduction

Shubh Sharma

### computation (feel free to change it)

Contents

## Complexity

Arjun Maneesh Agarwal

### complexity (feel free to change it)

# Advanced Data Structures

Arjun Maneesh Agarwal

### post-complexity data types (feel free to change it)

- Queue
- Segment Tree
- BST
- Set
- Map
- Define recurssion in recursive data types and define (4)
- define Nat, List, Tree

### Type Classes

Ryan Hota

typeclasses (feel free to change it)

### Monads

Ryan Hota

### Monad (feel free to change it)