

**Table 5.1** Some Key Terms Related to Concurrency

<b>atomic operation</b>	A function or action implemented as a sequence of one or more instructions that appears to be indivisible; that is, no other process can see an intermediate state or interrupt the operation. The sequence of instruction is guaranteed to execute as a group, or not execute at all, having no visible effect on system state. Atomicity guarantees isolation from concurrent processes.
<b>critical section</b>	A section of code within a process that requires access to shared resources and that must not be executed while another process is in a corresponding section of code.
<b>deadlock</b>	A situation in which two or more processes are unable to proceed because each is waiting for one of the others to do something.
<b>livelock</b>	A situation in which two or more processes continuously change their states in response to changes in the other process(es) without doing any useful work.
<b>mutual exclusion</b>	The requirement that when one process is in a critical section that accesses shared resources, no other process may be in a critical section that accesses any of those shared resources.
<b>race condition</b>	A situation in which multiple threads or processes read and write a shared data item and the final result depends on the relative timing of their execution.
<b>starvation</b>	A situation in which a runnable process is overlooked indefinitely by the scheduler; although it is able to proceed, it is never chosen.

```

boolean flag [2];
int turn;
void P0()
{
    while (true) {
        flag [0] = true;
        A: while (flag [1]) {
            if (turn == 1) {
                flag [0] = false;
                while (turn == 1);

                flag [0] = true;
            }
        }
        /* critical section */
        turn = 1;
        flag [0] = false;
        /* remainder */
    }
}

```

```

B: void P1( )
{
    while (true) {
        flag [1] = true;
        while (flag [0]) {
            if (turn == 0) {
                flag [1] = false;
                while (turn == 0);

                flag [1] = true;
            }
        }
        /* critical section */
        turn = 0;
        flag [1] = false;
        /* remainder */
    }
}

```

## Dekker's Algorithm

Combine aspects  
of previous attempts  
(flag, turn)

Now it works!

But it's complicated.

And you need to prove  
that it works.

```

}
void main ()
{
    flag [0] = false;
    flag [1] = false;
    turn = 1;
    parbegin (P0, P1);
}

```

①

## Dekker's Algo : ME Proof

Proof : Suppose  $P\phi$  and  $Pi$  are both in the CS. The proof is by contradiction.

$P\phi$  : Initially,  $\text{Flag}[\emptyset] = \text{true}$  and then  $P\phi$  executes a loop at A: that checks  $\text{Flag}[I]$ . This loop does not terminate unless  $\text{Flag}[i] = \text{false}$ . It's also clear that  $\text{Flag}[\emptyset]$  remains true when the A: loop exits. Furthermore, only process  $P\phi$  can change  $\text{Flag}[\emptyset]$ . Thus  $\text{Flag}[\emptyset] = \text{true}$  and  $\text{Flag}[i] = \text{false}$ .

②

P1: Initially  $\text{flag}[1] = \text{true}$  and then P1 executes a loop at B: that checks  $\text{Flag}[0]$ . This loop does not terminate unless  $\text{Flag}[0] = \text{false}$ . It's also clear that  $\text{Flag}[1]$  remains true when the B: loop exits. Only process P1 can change  $\text{Flag}[1]$ . Therefore  $\text{Flag}[0] = \text{false}$  and  $\text{Flag}[1] = \text{true}$ .

Clearly both sets of underlined conditions cannot be simultaneously true, therefore Pd and P1 cannot be in the CS at the same time, as first supposed.

☒.

Note that this is only a safety proof -- ~~fairness, liveness, etc~~ are separate proofs.