

Algebraic Subtyping for Algebraic Types and Effects

Axel Faes

Thesis voorgedragen tot het behalen
van de graad van Master of Science
in de ingenieurswetenschappen:
computerwetenschappen, hoofdoptie
Artificiële intelligentie

Promotor:

Prof. dr. ir. Tom Schrijvers

Assessor:

assessors

Begeleider:

Amr Hany Saleh

© Copyright KU Leuven

Zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van zowel de promotor als de auteur is overnemen, kopiëren, gebruiken of realiseren van deze uitgave of gedeelten ervan verboden. Voor aanvragen tot of informatie i.v.m. het overnemen en/of gebruik en/of realisatie van gedeelten uit deze publicatie, wend u tot het Departement Computerwetenschappen, Celestijnenlaan 200A bus 2402, B-3001 Heverlee, +32-16-327700 of via e-mail info@cs.kuleuven.be.

Voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de promotor is eveneens vereist voor het aanwenden van de in deze masterproef beschreven (originele) methoden, producten, schakelingen en programma's voor industrieel of commercieel nut en voor de inzending van deze publicatie ter deelname aan wetenschappelijke prijzen of wedstrijden.

Voorwoord

I would like to thank everybody who kept me busy the last year, especially my promoter and my assistants. I would also like to thank the jury for reading the text.

Axel Faes

Inhoudsopgave

Voorwoord	i
Samenvatting	iii
Lijst van figuren	iv
Lijst van tabellen	v
1 Introduction	1
2 Background	3
3 Related Work (Eff)	5
4 Core language (EffCore)	9
5 Semantics	17
6 Elaboration	19
7 Proofs	21
8 Implementation	23
9 Evaluation	25
10 Conclusion	27
A Appendix A	31
Bibliografie	33

Samenvatting

Algebraic effects and handlers are a very active area of research. An important aspect is the development of an optimising compiler. `EFF` is an ML-style language with support for effects and forms the testbed for the optimising compiler. However, the type-&-effect system of `EFF` is unsatisfactory. This is due to the lack of some elegant properties. It is also awkward to implement and use in practice.

Lijst van figuren

3.1	Terms of EFF	5
3.2	Types of EFF	6
3.3	Subtyping for pure and dirty types of EFF	6
3.4	Typing of EFF	8
4.1	Terms of EFFCORE	10
4.2	Types of EFFCORE	11
4.3	Subtyping for pure and dirty types of EFFCORE	12
4.4	Distributivity Subtyping for pure and dirty types of EFFCORE	13
4.5	Subtyping for dirts of EFFCORE	14
4.6	Subtyping for dirts of EFFCORE using row variable unions and intersections	15
4.7	Typing of EFFCORE	16
6.1	Elaboration of source to core language: expressions	19
6.2	Elaboration of source to core language: computations	20

Lijst van tabellen

Hoofdstuk 1

Introduction

The specification for a type-&-effect system with algebraic subtyping for algebraic effects and handlers is given in this document. The formal properties of this system are studied in order to find which properties are satisfied compared to other type-&-effect systems. The proposed type-&-effect system builds on two very recent developments in the area of programming language theory.

Algebraic subtyping In his December 2016 PhD thesis, Stephen Dolan (University of Cambridge, UK), has presented a novel type system that combines subtyping and parametric polymorphism in a particularly attractive and elegant fashion. A cornerstone of his design are the algebraic properties that the subtyping relation should respect.

Algebraic effects and handlers These are a new formalism for formally modelling side-effects (e.g. mutable state or non-determinism) in programming languages, developed by Matija Pretnar (University of Ljubljana) and Gordon Plotkin (University of Edinburgh). This approach is gaining a lot of traction, not only as a formalism but also as a practical feature in actual programming languages (e.g. the Koka language developed by Microsoft Research). We are collaborating with Matija Pretnar on the efficient implementation of one such language, called Eff. Axel Faes has contributed to this collaboration during a project he did for the Honoursprogramme of the Faculty of Engineering Science.

1.0.1 Motivation

Algebraic effects and handlers benefit from a custom type-&-effect system, a type system that also tracks which effects can happen in a program. Several such type-&-effect systems have been proposed in the literature, but all are unsatisfactory. We attribute this to the lack of the elegant properties of Dolan's type system. Indeed the existing type-&-effect systems are not only theoretically unsatisfactory, but they are also awkward to implement and use in practice.

Research questions

- How can Dolan's elegant type system be extended with effect information?

- Which properties are preserved and which aren't preserved?
- What advantages are there to a type-&-effect system based on Dolan's elegant type system?

1.0.2 Goals

The goal of this thesis is to derive a type-&-effect system that extends Dolan's elegant type system with effect information. This type-&-effect system should inherit Dolan's harmonious combination of subtyping (in our case induced by a lattice structure on the effect information) with parametric polymorphism and preserve all of its desirable properties (both low-level algebraic properties and high-level meta-theoretical properties like type soundness and the existence of principal types). Afterwards this type-&-effect system The following approach is taken:

1. Study of the relevant literature and theoretical background.
2. Design of a type-&-effect system derived from Dolan's, that integrates effects.
3. Proving the desirable properties of the proposed type-&-effect system: type soundness, principal typing, ...
4. Time permitting: Design of a type inference algorithm that derives the principal types of programs without type annotations and proving its correctness.
5. Time permitting: Implementation of the algorithm and comparing it to other algorithms (such as row polymorphism based type-&-effect systems).

Hoofdstuk 2

Background

Hoofdstuk 3

Related Work (Eff)

The type-&-effect system that is used in `EFF` is based on subtyping and dirty types [1].

3.0.1 Types and terms

Terms Figure 3.1 shows the two types of terms in `EFF`. There are values v and computations c . Computations are terms that can contain effects. Effects are denoted as operations Op which can be called.

Types Figure 3.2 shows the types of `EFF`. There are two main sorts of types. There are (pure) types A, B and dirty types $\underline{C}, \underline{D}$. A dirty type is a pure type A tagged with a finite set of operations Δ , which we call dirt, that can be called. This finite set Δ is an over-approximation of the operations that are actually called. The type $\underline{C} \Rightarrow \underline{D}$ is used for handlers because a handler takes an input computation \underline{C} , handles the effects in this computation and outputs computation \underline{D} as the result.

value v ::=	x	variable
	$ \quad k$	constant
	$ \quad \text{fun } x \mapsto c$	function
	$ \quad \{$	handler
	$\text{return } x \mapsto c_r,$	return case
	$[Op \ y \ k \mapsto c_{Op}]_{Op \in O}$	operation cases
	$\}$	
comp c ::=	$v_1 \ v_2$	application
	$ \quad \text{let rec } f \ x = c_1 \text{ in } c_2$	rec definition
	$ \quad \text{return } v$	returned val
	$ \quad Op \ v$	operation call
	$ \quad \text{do } x \leftarrow c_1 ; c_2$	sequencing
	$ \quad \text{handle } c \text{ with } v$	handling

Figuur 3.1: Terms of `EFF`

3. RELATED WORK (EFF)

(pure) type $A, B ::=$	$\text{bool} \mid \text{int}$	basic types
	$\mid A \rightarrow \underline{C}$	function type
	$\mid \underline{C} \Rightarrow \underline{D}$	handler type
dirty type $\underline{C}, \underline{D} ::=$	$A ! \Delta$	
dirt $\Delta ::=$	$\{0p_1, \dots, 0p_n\}$	

Figuur 3.2: Types of EFF

3.0.2 Type System

Subtyping

The dirty type $A ! \Delta$ is assigned to a computation returning values of type A and potentially calling operations from the set Δ . This set Δ is always an over-approximation of the actually called operations, and may safely be increased, inducing a natural subtyping judgement $A ! \Delta \leq A ! \Delta'$ on dirty types. As dirty types can occur inside pure types, we also get a derived subtyping judgement on pure types. Both judgements are defined in Figure 3.3. Observe that, as usual, subtyping is contravariant in the argument types of functions and handlers, and covariant in their return types.

Subtyping			
SUB-bool	SUB-int	$\text{SUB-}\rightarrow$	$\text{SUB-}\Rightarrow$
$\frac{}{\text{bool} \leq \text{bool}}$	$\frac{}{\text{int} \leq \text{int}}$	$\frac{A' \leq A \quad \underline{C} \leq \underline{C}'}{A \rightarrow \underline{C} \leq A' \rightarrow \underline{C}'}$	$\frac{\underline{C}' \leq \underline{C} \quad \underline{D} \leq \underline{D}'}{\underline{C} \Rightarrow \underline{D} \leq \underline{C}' \Rightarrow \underline{D}'}$
		$\text{SUB-}!$	
		$\frac{A \leq A' \quad \Delta \subseteq \Delta'}{A ! \Delta \leq A' ! \Delta'}$	

Figuur 3.3: Subtyping for pure and dirty types of EFF

Typing rules

Figure 3.4 defines the typing judgements for values and computations with respect to a standard typing context Γ .

Values The rules for subtyping, variables, and functions are entirely standard. For constants we assume a signature Σ that assigns a type A to each constant k , which we write as $(k : A) \in \Sigma$.

A handler expression has type $A ! \Delta \cup O \Rightarrow B ! \Delta$ iff all branches (both the operation cases and the return case) have dirty type $B ! \Delta$ and the operation cases cover the set of

operations \mathcal{O} . Note that the intersection $\Delta \cap \mathcal{O}$ is not necessarily empty. The handler deals with the operations \mathcal{O} , but in the process may re-issue some of them (i.e., $\Delta \cap \mathcal{O}$).

When typing operation cases, the given signature for the operation $(\text{Op} : A_{\text{Op}} \rightarrow B_{\text{Op}}) \in \Sigma$ determines the type A_{Op} of the parameter x and the domain B_{Op} of the continuation k . As our handlers are deep, the codomain of k should be the same as the type $B ! \Delta$ of the cases.

Computations With the following exceptions, the typing judgement $\Gamma \vdash c : \underline{C}$ has a straightforward definition. The `return` construct renders a value v as a pure computation, i.e., with empty dirt. An operation invocation $\text{Op } v$ is typed according to the operation's signature, with the operation itself as its only operation. Finally, rule `WITH` shows that a handler with type $\underline{C} \Rightarrow \underline{D}$ transforms a computation with type \underline{C} into a computation with type \underline{D} .

typing contexts $\Gamma ::= \epsilon \mid \Gamma, x : A$			
Expressions			
$\frac{\text{SUBVAL} \quad \Gamma \vdash v : A \quad A \leqslant A'}{\Gamma \vdash v : A'}$	$\frac{\text{VAR} \quad (x : A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : A}$	$\frac{\text{CONST} \quad (\mathbf{k} : A) \in \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{k} : A}$	$\frac{\text{FUN} \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash c : \underline{C}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } x \mapsto c : A \rightarrow \underline{C}}$
$\frac{\text{HAND} \quad \begin{array}{c} \Gamma, x : A \vdash c_r : B ! \Delta \\ \left[(0p : A_{0p} \rightarrow B_{0p}) \in \Sigma \quad \Gamma, x : A_{0p}, k : B_{0p} \rightarrow B ! \Delta \vdash c_{0p} : B ! \Delta \right]_{0p \in O} \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \{\text{return } x \mapsto c_r, [0p \ y \ k \mapsto c_{0p}]_{0p \in O}\} : \quad A ! \Delta \cup O \Rightarrow B ! \Delta}$			
Computations			
$\frac{\text{SUBCOMP} \quad \Gamma \vdash c : \underline{C} \quad \underline{C} \leqslant \underline{C'}}{\Gamma \vdash c : \underline{C'}}$	$\frac{\text{APP} \quad \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow \underline{C} \quad \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : \underline{C}}$		
$\frac{\text{LETREC} \quad \Gamma, f : A \rightarrow \underline{C}, x : A \vdash c_1 : \underline{C} \quad \Gamma, f : A \rightarrow \underline{C} \vdash c_2 : \underline{D}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let rec } f \ x = c_1 \text{ in } c_2 : \underline{D}}$			$\frac{\text{RET} \quad \Gamma \vdash v : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : A ! \emptyset}$
$\frac{\text{OP} \quad (0p : A \rightarrow B) \in \Sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash v : A}{\Gamma \vdash 0p \ v : B ! \{0p\}}$		$\frac{\text{DO} \quad \Gamma \vdash c_1 : A ! \Delta \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash c_2 : B ! \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow c_1 ; c_2 : B ! \Delta}$	
$\frac{\text{WITH} \quad \Gamma \vdash v : \underline{C} \Rightarrow \underline{D} \quad \Gamma \vdash c : \underline{C}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{handle } c \text{ with } v : \underline{D}}$			

Figuur 3.4: Typing of EFF

Hoofdstuk 4

Core language (EffCore)

EFFCORE is a language with row-based effects, intersection and union types and effects and is subtyping based.

4.0.1 Types and terms

Terms Figure 4.1 shows the two types of terms in EFFCORE. There are values v and computations c . Computations are terms that can contain effects. Effects are denoted as operations Op which can be called. The function term is explicitly annotated with a type and type abstraction and type application has been added to the language. These terms only work on pure types.

Types Figure 4.2 shows the types of EFFCORE. There are two main sorts of types. There are (pure) types A, B and dirty types $\underline{C}, \underline{D}$. A dirty type is a pure type A tagged with a finite set of operations Δ , which we call dirt, that can be called. It can also be an union or intersection of dirty types. In further sections, the relations between dirty intersections or unions and pure intersections or unions are explained. The finite set Δ is an over-approximation of the operations that are actually called. Row variables are introduced as well as intersection and unions. The $.(DOT)$ is used to close rows that do not end with a row variable. The type $\underline{C} \Rightarrow \underline{D}$ is used for handlers because a handler takes an input computation \underline{C} , handles the effects in this computation and outputs computation \underline{D} as the result.

4.0.2 Type system

Subtyping

The subtyping rules are given in Figure 4.3, Figure 4.4 and Figure 4.5. Figure 4.3 contains all subtyping rules related to types. Figure 4.4 contains the distributive subtyping rules. Finally Figure 4.5 contains the subtyping rules that govern the dirt.

The dirty type $A ! \Delta$ is assigned to a computation returning values of type A and potentially calling operations from the set Δ . This set Δ is always an over-approximation of the actually called operations, and may safely be increased, inducing a natural subtyping

value $v ::=$	x	variable
	k	constant
	$\text{fun } x : A \mapsto c$	function
	$\Lambda\alpha.v$	type abstraction
	$v A$	type application
	$\{$	handler
	$\text{return } x \mapsto c_r,$	return case
	$[Op\ y\ k \mapsto c_{Op}]_{Op \in O}$	operation cases
	$\}$	
comp $c ::=$	$v_1\ v_2$	application
	$\text{if } e \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2$	conditional
	$\text{let rec } f\ x = c_1 \text{ in } c_2$	rec definition
	$\text{return } v$	returned val
	$Op\ v$	operation call
	$\text{do } x \leftarrow c_1 ; c_2$	sequencing
	$\text{handle } c \text{ with } v$	handling

Figure 4.1: Terms of EFFCORE

judgement $A ! \Delta \leq A ! \Delta'$ on dirty types. As dirty types can occur inside pure types, we also get a derived subtyping judgement on pure types. Observe that, as usual, subtyping is contravariant in the argument types of functions and handlers, and covariant in their return types.

Dirt intersection and union There are several possible methods to compute the dirt intersection and union. If row variables were to be disregarded, dirt union and intersection could be defined as set union and intersection. This methods allows unions and intersections to be eliminated. This has an advantage, eliminating unions and intersections simplifies the effect system. However, we cannot disregard row variables.

Thus, set union and intersection cannot simply be used. It would be possible to define two extra types, namely $\delta_1 \sqcup \delta_2$ and $\delta_1 \sqcap \delta_2$. Using these types, it is possible to use a form of set union and intersection. The following union $\{Op_1, \dots, Op_n, \delta_1\} \sqcup \{Op_{n+1}, \dots, Op_{n+m}, \delta_2\}$ could be defined as $\{Op_1, \dots, Op_n, Op_{n+1}, \dots, Op_{n+m}, (\delta_1 \sqcup \delta_2)\}$. A similar construction can be used for intersection. This simplifies the subtyping rules since the more complicated aspects are enclosed within the row variables.

Typing rules

Figure 4.7 defines the typing judgements for values and computations with respect to a standard typing context Γ .

Values The rules for subtyping, variables, type abstraction, type application and functions are entirely standard. For constants we assume a signature Σ that assigns a

(pure) type A, B	::=	$\text{bool} \mid \text{int}$	basic types
		$A \rightarrow \underline{C}$	function type
		$\underline{C} \Rightarrow \underline{D}$	handler type
		α	type variable
		$\forall \alpha. A$	polytype
		\top	top
		\perp	bottom
		$A \sqcap B$	intersection
		$A \sqcup B$	union
dirty type $\underline{C}, \underline{D}$::=	$A ! \Delta$	
		$\underline{C} \sqcap \underline{D}$	intersection
		$\underline{C} \sqcup \underline{D}$	union
dirt Δ	::=	$\{R\}$	
R	::=	$\text{Op} ; R$	row
		δ	row variable
		\cdot	closed row
		$\delta_1 \sqcap \delta_2$	row intersection
		$\delta_1 \sqcup \delta_2$	row union
		$R_1 \sqcap R_2$	intersection
		$R_1 \sqcup R_2$	union

Figuur 4.2: Types of EFFCORE

type A to each constant k , which we write as $(k : A) \in \Sigma$.

A handler expression has type $A ! \Delta \cup \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow B ! \Delta$ iff all branches (both the operation cases and the return case) have dirty type $B ! \Delta$ and the operation cases cover the set of operations \mathcal{O} . Note that the intersection $\Delta \cap \mathcal{O}$ is not necessarily empty (with \cap being the intersection of the operations, not to be confused with the \sqcap type). The handler deals with the operations \mathcal{O} , but in the process may re-issue some of them (i.e., $\Delta \cap \mathcal{O}$).

When typing operation cases, the given signature for the operation $(\text{Op} : A_{\text{Op}} \rightarrow B_{\text{Op}}) \in \Sigma$ determines the type A_{Op} of the parameter x and the domain B_{Op} of the continuation k . As our handlers are deep, the codomain of k should be the same as the type $B ! \Delta$ of the cases.

Computations With the following exceptions, the typing judgement $\Gamma \vdash c : \underline{C}$ has a straightforward definition. The `return` construct renders a value v as a pure computation, i.e., with empty dirt. In this case, this is defined as a set with the \cdot (DOT) as the only element. An operation invocation $\text{Op } v$ is typed according to the operation's signature, with the operation itself as its only operation. Finally, rule `WITH` shows that a handler with type $\underline{C} \Rightarrow \underline{D}$ transforms a computation with type \underline{C} into a computation with type \underline{D} .

Subtyping of pure and dirty types		
$\frac{}{A \leq \top}$	$\frac{}{\perp \leq A}$	
$\frac{}{\text{bool} \leq \text{bool}}$	$\frac{}{\text{int} \leq \text{int}}$	
$\frac{}{A \leq A}$	$\frac{A_1 \leq A_2 \quad A_2 \leq A_3}{A_1 \leq A_3}$	
$\frac{A_1 \leq A'_2 \quad \Delta_1 \leq \Delta'_2}{A_1 ! \Delta_1 \leq A_2 ! \Delta_2}$	$\frac{A \leq B}{\forall \alpha. A \leq \forall \alpha. B}$	
$\frac{A_2 \leq A_1 \quad \underline{C}_1 \leq \underline{C}_2}{A_1 \rightarrow \underline{C}_1 \leq A_2 \rightarrow \underline{C}_2}$	$\frac{\underline{C}_2 \leq \underline{C}_1 \quad \underline{D}_1 \leq \underline{D}_2}{\underline{C}_1 \Rightarrow \underline{D}_1 \leq \underline{C}_2 \Rightarrow \underline{D}_2}$	
$\frac{A_1 \leq A_2 \quad B_1 \leq B_2}{A_1 \sqcap B_1 \leq A_2 \sqcap B_2}$	$\frac{A_1 \leq A_2 \quad B_1 \leq B_2}{A_1 \sqcup B_1 \leq A_2 \sqcup B_2}$	$\frac{A \leq B_1 \quad A \leq B_2}{A \leq B_1 \sqcap B_2}$
$\frac{i \in \{1; 2\}}{A_1 \sqcap A_2 \leq A_i}$	$\frac{B_1 \leq A \quad B_2 \leq A}{B_1 \sqcup B_2 \leq A}$	$\frac{i \in \{1; 2\}}{A_i \leq A_1 \sqcup A_2}$

Figuur 4.3: Subtyping for pure and dirty types of EFFCORE

Distributivity Subtyping of pure and dirty types

SUB-DIST-FUNC-INTER

$$\overline{(A \rightarrow \underline{C}) \sqcap (A \rightarrow \underline{D}) \leq A \rightarrow (\underline{C} \sqcap \underline{D})}$$

SUB-DIST-FUNC-UNION

$$\overline{(A \rightarrow \underline{C}) \sqcap (B \rightarrow \underline{C}) \leq (A \sqcup B) \rightarrow \underline{C}}$$

SUB-DIST-HAND-INTER

$$\overline{(A \Rightarrow \underline{C}) \sqcap (A \Rightarrow \underline{D}) \leq A \Rightarrow (\underline{C} \sqcap \underline{D})}$$

SUB-DIST-HAND-UNION

$$\overline{(A \Rightarrow \underline{C}) \sqcap (B \Rightarrow \underline{C}) \leq (A \sqcup B) \Rightarrow \underline{C}}$$

SUB-DIST-ALL

$$\overline{\forall \alpha. A \sqcap \forall \alpha. B \leq \forall \alpha. A \sqcap B}$$

SUB-INTER-UNION

$$\overline{(A_1 \sqcap A_3) \sqcup (A_2 \sqcap A_4) \leq (A_1 \sqcup A_2) \sqcap (A_3 \sqcup A_4)}$$

SUB-UNION-INTER

$$\overline{(A_1 \sqcup A_3) \sqcap (A_2 \sqcup A_4) \leq (A_1 \sqcap A_2) \sqcup (A_3 \sqcap A_4)}$$

Figuur 4.4: Distributivity Subtyping for pure and dirty types of EffCore

Subtyping of dirts

SUB-!-EMPTY		SUB-!-TOP	
$\overline{\{.\} \leq \Delta}$		$\overline{\{Op_1, ..., Op_n, .\} \leq \{Op_1, ..., Op_n, \delta\}}$	
SUB-!-REFL		SUB-!-TRANS	
$\overline{\Delta \leq \Delta}$		$\overline{\Delta_1 \leq \Delta_2 \quad \Delta_2 \leq \Delta_3}$	
		$\Delta_1 \leq \Delta_3$	
SUB-!-ROW-ROW			
$n \geq 0$	$m \geq 0$	$p \geq 0$	$\{Op_1, ..., Op_n, Op_{n+m+1}, ..., Op_{n+m+p}, \delta_1\} \leq \{Op_1, ..., Op_n, Op_{n+1}, ..., Op_{n+m}, \delta_2\}$
$\overline{\{\delta_1\} \leq \{Op_{n+1}, ..., Op_{n+m}, \delta_2\} \quad Op_{n+m}, ..., Op_{n+m+p} \subseteq \delta_2}$			
SUB-!-DOT-ROW			
	$n \geq 0$	$m \geq 0$	$p \geq 0$
$\{Op_1, ..., Op_n, Op_{n+m+1}, ..., Op_{n+m+p}, .\} \leq$		$\{Op_1, ..., Op_n, Op_{n+1}, ..., Op_{n+m}, \delta_2\}$	
$\overline{\{.\} \leq \{Op_{n+1}, ..., Op_{n+m}, \delta_2\} \quad Op_{n+m}, ..., Op_{n+m+p} \subseteq \delta_2}$			
SUB-!-ROW-DOT			
$n \geq 0$	$m \geq 0$	$\{Op_1, ..., Op_n, \delta_1\} \leq \{Op_1, ..., Op_n, Op_{n+1}, Op_{n+m}, .\}$	
$\overline{\{\delta_1\} \leq \{Op_{n+1}, Op_{n+m}, .\}}$			
SUB-!-DOT-DOT			
$n \geq 0$	$m \geq 0$	$\{Op_1, ..., Op_n, .\} \leq \{Op_1, ..., Op_n, Op_{n+1}, ..., Op_{n+m}, .\}$	
$\overline{\{.\} \leq \{Op_{n+1}, Op_{n+m}, .\}}$			
SUB-INTER-!		SUB-UNION-!	
$\Delta_1 \leq \Delta_2$	$\Delta_3 \leq \Delta_4$	$\Delta_1 \leq \Delta_2$	$\Delta_3 \leq \Delta_4$
$\overline{\Delta_1 \sqcap \Delta_3 \leq \Delta_2 \sqcap \Delta_4}$		$\overline{\Delta_1 \sqcup \Delta_3 \leq \Delta_2 \sqcup \Delta_4}$	
SUB-INTER-LB-!		SUB-UNION-L-!	
$i \in \{1; 2\}$		$\Delta_2 \leq \Delta_1$	$\Delta_3 \leq \Delta_1$
$\overline{(\Delta_1 \sqcap \Delta_2) \leq \Delta_i}$		$\overline{(\Delta_2 \sqcup \Delta_3) \leq \Delta_1}$	
		SUB-UNION-UB-!	
		$i \in \{1; 2\}$	
		$\overline{\Delta_i \leq (\Delta_1 \sqcup \Delta_2)}$	
SUB-INTER-UNION-!			
$\overline{(\Delta_1 \sqcap \Delta_3) \sqcup (\Delta_2 \sqcap \Delta_4) \leq (\Delta_1 \sqcup \Delta_2) \sqcap (\Delta_3 \sqcup \Delta_4)}$			
SUB-UNION-INTER-!			
$\overline{(\Delta_1 \sqcup \Delta_3) \sqcap (\Delta_2 \sqcup \Delta_4) \leq (\Delta_1 \sqcap \Delta_2) \sqcup (\Delta_3 \sqcap \Delta_4)}$			

Figuur 4.5: Subtyping for dirts of EFFCORE

Subtyping of dirts

SUB-!-EMPTY $\frac{}{. \leq \delta}$	SUB-!-REFL $\frac{}{\delta \leq \delta}$	SUB-!-TRANS $\frac{\delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \quad \delta_2 \leq \delta_3}{\delta_1 \leq \delta_3}$	SUB-INTER-! $\frac{\delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \quad \delta_3 \leq \delta_4}{\delta_1 \sqcap \delta_3 \leq \delta_2 \sqcap \delta_4}$
SUB-UNION-! $\frac{\delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \quad \delta_3 \leq \delta_4}{\delta_1 \sqcup \delta_3 \leq \delta_2 \sqcup \delta_4}$	SUB-INTER-G-! $\frac{\delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \quad \delta_1 \leq \delta_3}{\delta_1 \leq (\delta_2 \sqcap \delta_3)}$	SUB-INTER-LB-! $\frac{i \in \{1; 2\}}{(\delta_1 \sqcap \delta_2) \leq \delta_i}$	
	SUB-UNION-L-! $\frac{\delta_2 \leq \delta_1 \quad \delta_3 \leq \delta_1}{(\delta_2 \sqcup \delta_3) \leq \delta_1}$	SUB-UNION-UB-! $\frac{i \in \{1; 2\}}{\delta_i \leq (\delta_1 \sqcup \delta_2)}$	
	SUB-INTER-UNION-! $\frac{}{(\delta_1 \sqcap \delta_3) \sqcup (\delta_2 \sqcap \delta_4) \leq (\delta_1 \sqcup \delta_2) \sqcap (\delta_3 \sqcup \delta_4)}$		
	SUB-UNION-INTER-! $\frac{}{(\delta_1 \sqcup \delta_3) \sqcap (\delta_2 \sqcup \delta_4) \leq (\delta_1 \sqcap \delta_2) \sqcup (\delta_3 \sqcap \delta_4)}$		
	DIRT-VAR-UNION-! $\frac{}{\{Op_1, \dots, Op_n, Op_{n+1}, \dots, Op_{n+m}, (\delta_1 \sqcup \delta_2)\} \leq \{Op_1, \dots, Op_n, \delta_1\} \sqcup \{Op_{n+1}, \dots, Op_{n+m}, \delta_2\}}$		
	DIRT-VAR-INTERSECTION-! $\frac{}{\{Op_i Op_i \in \{Op_1, \dots, Op_n\} \wedge Op_i \in \{Op_{n+1}, \dots, Op_{n+m}\}, (\delta_1 \sqcap \delta_2)\} \leq \{Op_1, \dots, Op_n, \delta_1\} \sqcap \{Op_{n+1}, \dots, Op_{n+m}, \delta_2\}}$		

Figuur 4.6: Subtyping for dirts of `EFFCORE` using row variable unions and intersections

typing contexts $\Gamma ::= \epsilon \mid \Gamma, x : A, x : \forall \alpha. B$	
Expressions	
$\frac{\text{VAL} \quad \Gamma \vdash v : A \quad A \leq B}{\Gamma \vdash v : B}$	$\frac{\text{VAR} \quad (x : A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : A}$
$\frac{\text{CONST} \quad (\mathbf{k} : A) \in \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{k} : A}$	$\frac{\text{TYPE ABS} \quad \Gamma, \alpha \vdash v : A}{\Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. v : \forall \alpha. A}$
$\frac{\text{TYPE APP} \quad \Gamma \vdash v : \forall \alpha. B}{\Gamma \vdash v A : B[A/\alpha]}$	$\frac{\text{FUN} \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash c : \underline{C}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } x : A \mapsto c : A \rightarrow \underline{C}}$
$\frac{\text{HAND} \quad \begin{array}{c} \Gamma, x : A \vdash c_r : B ! \Delta \\ \left[(0p : A_{0p} \rightarrow B_{0p}) \in \Sigma \quad \Gamma, x : A_{0p}, k : B_{0p} \rightarrow B ! \Delta \vdash c_{0p} : B ! \Delta \right]_{0p \in O} \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \{\text{return } x \mapsto c_r, [0p \ y \ k \mapsto c_{0p}]_{0p \in O}\} : \quad A ! \Delta \cup O \Rightarrow B ! \Delta}$	
Computations	
$\frac{\text{COMP} \quad \Gamma \vdash c : \underline{C} \quad \underline{C} \leq \underline{D}}{\Gamma \vdash c : \underline{D}}$	$\frac{\text{APP} \quad \Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow \underline{C} \quad \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : \underline{C}}$
$\frac{\text{COND} \quad \Gamma \vdash v : A \quad \Gamma \vdash c_1 : \underline{C} \quad \Gamma \vdash c_2 : \underline{D}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } v \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 : (\underline{C} \sqcup \underline{D})}$	
$\frac{\text{LETREC} \quad \Gamma, f : A \rightarrow \underline{C}, x : A \vdash c_1 : \underline{C} \quad \Gamma, f : A \rightarrow \underline{C} \vdash c_2 : \underline{D}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let rec } f \ x = c_1 \text{ in } c_2 : \underline{D}}$	$\frac{\text{RET} \quad \Gamma \vdash v : A}{\Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : A ! \{\cdot\}}$
$\frac{\text{OP} \quad (0p : A \rightarrow B) \in \Sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash v : A \quad \underline{C} : B ! \{0p ; R\}}{\Gamma \vdash 0p \ v : \underline{C}}$	
$\frac{\text{DO} \quad \Gamma \vdash c_1 : A ! \Delta \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash c_2 : B ! \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow c_1 ; c_2 : B ! \Delta}$	$\frac{\text{WITH} \quad \Gamma \vdash v : \underline{C} \Rightarrow \underline{D} \quad \Gamma \vdash c : \underline{C}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{handle } c \text{ with } v : \underline{D}}$

Figuur 4.7: Typing of EFFCORE

Hoofdstuk 5

Semantics

Hoofdstuk 6

Elaboration

The elaboration show how the source language can be transformed into EFFCORE.

typing contexts $\Gamma ::= \epsilon \mid \Gamma, x : A, x : \forall \alpha. B$		
Expressions		
$\frac{\text{VAL} \quad \Gamma \vdash v : A \rightsquigarrow v' \quad A \leq B}{\Gamma \vdash v : B \rightsquigarrow v'}$	$\frac{\text{VAR} \quad (x : S) \in \Gamma \quad S = \forall \bar{\alpha}. A}{\Gamma \vdash x : A[\bar{S}/\bar{\alpha}] \rightsquigarrow x \bar{S}}$	$\frac{\text{CONST} \quad (k : A) \in \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash k : A \rightsquigarrow k'}$
$\frac{\text{FUN} \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash c : \underline{C} \rightsquigarrow c'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } x \mapsto c : A \rightarrow \underline{C} \rightsquigarrow \text{fun } x : A \mapsto c' : A \rightarrow \underline{C}}$		
$\frac{\text{HAND} \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash c_r : B ! \Delta \quad \left[(Op : A_{Op} \rightarrow B_{Op}) \in \Sigma \quad \Gamma, x : A_{Op}, k : B_{Op} \rightarrow B ! \Delta \vdash c_{Op} : B ! \Delta \right]_{Op \in O}}{\Gamma \vdash \{\text{return } x \mapsto c_r, [Op \ y \ k \mapsto c_{Op}]_{Op \in O}\} : \quad A ! \Delta \cup O \Rightarrow B ! \Delta \rightsquigarrow \{\text{return } x \mapsto c_r, [Op \ y \ k \mapsto c_{Op}]_{Op \in O}\} : \quad A ! \Delta \cup O \Rightarrow B ! \Delta}$		

Figuur 6.1: Elaboration of source to core language: expressions

typing contexts $\Gamma ::= \epsilon \mid \Gamma, x : A, x : \forall \alpha. B$

Computations

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{COMP} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash c : \underline{C} \rightsquigarrow c' \quad \underline{C} \leq \underline{D}}{\Gamma \vdash c : \underline{D} \rightsquigarrow c'} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{APP} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash v_1 : A \rightarrow \underline{C} \rightsquigarrow v'_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash v_2 : A \rightsquigarrow v'_2}{\Gamma \vdash v_1 v_2 : \underline{C} \rightsquigarrow v'_1 v'_2 : \underline{C}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{LETREC} \\ \frac{\Gamma, f : A \rightarrow \underline{C}, x : A \vdash c_1 : \underline{C} \rightsquigarrow c'_1 s \quad \Gamma, f : A \rightarrow \underline{C} \vdash c_2 : \underline{D} \rightsquigarrow c'_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let rec } f x = c_1 \text{ in } c_2 : \underline{D} \rightsquigarrow \text{let rec } f x = c'_1 \text{ in } c'_2 : \underline{D}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{RET} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash v : A \rightsquigarrow v'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{return } v : A ! \emptyset \rightsquigarrow \text{return } v' : A ! \{.\}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{OP} \\ \frac{(\text{Op} : A \rightarrow B) \in \Sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash v : A \rightsquigarrow v'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{Op } v : B ! \{\text{Op}\} \rightsquigarrow \text{Op } v' : B ! \{\text{Op},.\}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{DO} \\ \frac{S = \forall \bar{\alpha}. A \quad \bar{\alpha} = FTV(A) - TV(\Gamma) \quad \Gamma \vdash c_1 : \underline{C} \rightsquigarrow c'_1 \quad \Gamma, x : S \vdash c_2 : \underline{D} \rightsquigarrow c'_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{do } x \leftarrow c_1 ; c_2 : \underline{D} \rightsquigarrow (\text{fun } x : A \mapsto c'_2)(\Lambda \bar{\alpha}. c'_1)} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{WITH} \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash v : \underline{C} \Rightarrow \underline{D} \rightsquigarrow v' \quad \Gamma \vdash c : \underline{C} \rightsquigarrow c'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{handle } c \text{ with } v : \underline{D} \rightsquigarrow \text{handle } c' \text{ with } v' : \underline{D}} \end{array}$$

Figuur 6.2: Elaboration of source to core language: computations

Hoofdstuk 7

Proofs

Hoofdstuk 8

Implementation

Hoofdstuk 9

Evaluation

Hoofdstuk 10

Conclusion

Bijlagen

Bijlage A

Appendix A

Bibliografie

- [1] A. Bauer and M. Pretnar. An effect system for algebraic effects and handlers. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, 10(4), 2014.

Fiche masterproef

Student: Axel Faes

Titel: Algebraic Subtyping for Algebraic Types and Effects

UDC:

Korte inhoud:

Thesis voorgedragen tot het behalen van de graad van Master of Science in de ingenieurswetenschappen: computerwetenschappen, hoofdoptie Artificiële intelligentie

Promotor: Prof. dr. ir. Tom Schrijvers

Assessor: assessors

Begeleider: Amr Hany Saleh