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Kővári Bence

Abstract

Ide jön a ½-1 oldalas angol nyelvű összefoglaló, amelynek szövege a Diplomaterv Portálra külön is feltöltésre kerül. Ez a magyar nyelvű összefoglaló angolra fordított változata.

# Introduction

## Deck-building board games

Board games come in many different shapes and forms: some people enjoy the thrill of rolling the dice for a chance to win big in *Monopoly*, while others prefer crushing their opponents mentally in purely skill-based, deterministic games like chess. These two styles provide a basis for a possible categorization of modern board games. Board-game fans call games that rely more on the luck of the draw and the theatrics of play American-style (or *Ameritrash*), while they dub games that are more abstract and require careful planning and thought throughout *Eurogames*. (examples?)

These two types have very different skill-testing elements. Games that fall into the *Ameritrash* category generally require players to be quick on their feet and fast to adapt to a wide array of situations. *Eurogames* however reward long-term planning and a distinct type of abstract or mathematical thinking.

Naturally, most board games borrow different elements of the two categories and mix them together to provide the best play experience possible. One excellent example of this is the genre of deck-building board games (deck-builders).

The main idea behind deck-builders is the following: what if players were subject to the luck of the draw, but had a chance to tip the scales in their favor by manipulating their own deck during play? The concept of collectible card games (CCGs) is very similar, however the decks used are constructed before play begins, while in deck-builders deck construction is the focus of the main gameplay loop. The first game to fully embrace this idea was *Dominion,* released in 2008.

Most deck-builders follow a very similar play pattern. All the players start with a pre-constructed personal deck of weak cards that don’t have any substantial effect on their own. Players take turns draw a number of these cards, playing them to gain per-turn resources that they can use to buy cards from a common supply. The played cards and the ones players buy during their turn go into the players’ personal discard pile. Once a player’s personal deck is depleted, they shuffle their discard pile to form a new deck of cards to draw from, with their decks now containing the cards they have previously bought.

These cards can have a wide variety of effects. Some of them provide the player with economical advantages, allowing them to buy more cards during subsequent turns. Others make it possible to draw more cards from the deck than normal, allows players to discard cards in order to draw new ones, or enables them to remove the weaker cards from their deck permanently. Several cards facilitate player interaction: making others discard their own cards or stealing resources from them.

The end goals of these games can differ greatly with some requiring the players to accumulate the highest number of victory points. In others, players battle until one of them loses all of their health points. However, no matter the objective, the necessity of players to improve their decks bit by bit remains a constant.

## Creating a text-based programming language

## Motivation

I have always been fascinated and deeply entertained by board games. They provide a safe environment to test one’s mettle against their friends in a competition of wits. After I was introduced to deck-builders I was highly intrigued: they combine luck and strategy in a very distinct way. Deck-builders don’t allow players to calculate their way to a certain victory, requiring critical and strategic thinking in a more meta way. One might not be able to decide what cards they draw in a turn, but they can influence the pool of cards they draw from.

## Overview of rest of the paper

In the next section of the paper, I will highlight and explain in detail the different phases of crating the *Tabl* programming language and the interpreter I have developed to process files written in the language. In the section after, I am going to focus on the technological aspects that make testing the created deck-building game possible, describing the different technologies used in both the back- and front-end of the web application.

The third section deals with two case studies: I have created pre-existing card games *Dominion* and *Hero Realms* in *Tabl* and I will be comparing playing these deck-builders through the web application and in real life, testing the product’s viability.

The last section contains my closing thoughts about the project and discussion about the possibility of the expansion of its scope to other types of board games besides deck-builders, or maybe even to board games in general.