Lecturer Report Tutorial Questions

General statistics

- Number of conversations: 35

- Number of guestions in this tutorial: 7

Overall insights

The student's struggles across several topics boil down to three interrelated themes:

- 1. Unclear or mixed-up definitions
 - Eigenvalues vs. eigenvectors (λ I, A v = λ v, characteristic polynomial)
 - Symmetric matrix ($A = A^T$, $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$) vs. identity or commuting factors
 - Orthogonal vectors vs. orthogonal matrix (M^TM = I) vs. linear independence
 - Rotation matrix entries (linking $\cos \theta$, $\sin \theta$ to geometric rotation)
- 2. Gaps in algebraic mechanics and notation
 - Mixing scalars with matrices (when and why $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ I)
 - Rearranging/factoring to get $(A \lambda I)v = 0$ and using $det(A \lambda I) = 0$
 - Applying the transpose-of-a-product rule ((AB)^T = B^TA^T) and det(A^T)=det(A)
 - Carrying out dot products correctly (sum vs. vector) and checking all pairwise products
 - Tracking dimensions and correct order in matrix multiplication
- 3. Difficulty linking abstract statements to concrete checks
 - Translating definitions into index or component form (e.g. $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, $v \cdot w = 0$)
 - Working through small examples step by step (2×2 matrices, specific θ for rotations)
 - Articulating each logical step (rather than skipping from premise to conclusion)
 - Recognizing when counterexamples violate hypotheses (e.g. zero vector in an "orthogonal" set)

In short, the student needs clearer, self-generated definitions; guided practice on the algebraic rules (scalar/matrix interplay, transposes, determinants, dot products); and more worked-out examples that tie abstract properties to entrywise and geometric checks.

Question-specific insights

Question 1

The student's difficulties can be grouped into three main areas:

1. Notation and basic concepts

- Misreading "{u, v}" as a system of equations rather than the set containing two vectors.
- Unclear that independence/dependence is a property of a set of vectors, not of an individual vector or matrix.

2. Formal definition vs. intuition

- Forgotten or confused the precise criterion: "a set is independent iff the only solution to $c_1v_1+...+c_kv_k=0$ is the trivial one (all $c_i=0$)."
- Initially equated dependence only with one vector being a scalar multiple of another, rather than any nontrivial linear combination.

3. Applying the definition

- Struggled to translate $v_1c_1 + v_2c_2 = 0$ into componentwise equations and solve for c_1 , c_2 .
- Misremembered given relations (e.g. accidentally introduced u + v = 0).
- Didn't immediately see that a free variable in the three-vector test implies infinitely many nontrivial solutions—and thus dependence.

Question 2

The student's main challenges clustered around three areas:

1. Conceptual Foundations

- Lacking a crisp definition of an orthogonal matrix ($M^TM = I$ or equivalently $M^{-1} = M^T$), and confusing that with the notion of orthogonal vectors.
- Uncertainty about what a transpose is, what the identity matrix I is, and how matrix multiplication works.

2. Key Algebraic Properties

- Applying the product-transpose rule $(AB)^T = B^TA^T$.
- Seeing why, in $(AB)^T(AB) = B^T(A^TA)B = B^TB = I$, both A and B being orthogonal force AB to be orthogonal.

3. Application & Reasoning

- Working through concrete examples (e.g. showing the zero matrix fails $M^TM = I$).
- Interpreting "relation" among columns in terms of linear dependence and how dependence in B's columns carries over to AB.
 - Performing the actual matrix multiplications without arithmetic slips.
- Articulating each logical step instead of jumping to "false" or accepting statements without justification.

Question 3

The student's difficulties cluster around two broad areas—basic eigen-concepts and the algebraic machinery used to derive the characteristic equation (and then extend it to A^{T}):

- 1. Misunderstanding of eigenvalues vs. eigenvectors
 - Could not clearly state or interpret A $v = \lambda v$
 - Confused eigenvectors (the nonzero v) with eigenvalues (the scalars λ)
- 2. Scalar-vs-matrix operations
 - Didn't see why λ becomes λ I when mixing scalars and matrices
 - Mixed up left/right multiplication ((λ I)v vs. v(λ I))
- 3. Rearranging and factoring to get $(A \lambda I)v = 0$
 - Struggled to move terms, factor out v, and recognize the zero-vector condition
- 4. Link between $det(A \lambda I) = 0$ and nontrivial solutions
 - Didn't grasp that a zero determinant means non-invertibility, which in turn allows nonzero v
- 5. Transpose operation and its determinant
 - Unfamiliar with $(AB)^T = B^TA^T$ and the fact that $det(A^T) = det(A)$
- Unsure how to show A and A^{T} share the same eigenvalues without inventing a new "transpose" eigenvector

In short, the student needs a firm review of (1) what eigenvalues/eigenvectors are, (2) how λ I and determinants produce the characteristic polynomial, and (3) how transposes interact with determinants and matrix-vector products.

Question 4

The student's conceptual roadblocks boil down to three interrelated gaps:

1. Foundations of symmetry

- They haven't firmly grasped the definition "A is symmetric $\Leftrightarrow A^T = A$," nor why that forces $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$.
- They're unsure what, if any, constraints symmetry places on diagonal entries.

2. Transpose-and-product mechanics

- They don't see how $(AB)^T = B^TA^T$ combines with $A^T = A$, $B^T = B$ to yield AB = BA as the only way to make $(AB)^T = AB$.
- They're unclear why two individually symmetric factors can fail to produce a symmetric product unless they commute.

3. Concrete examples and notation

- They need non-trivial examples of symmetric matrices (beyond "all-ones") to get intuition.
- They struggle to translate symmetry into index form $(a_{ij} = a_{ji})$ and to check off-diagonal entries in practice.

In sum, the student needs:

- A clear definition and consequences of symmetry (including diagonal vs. off-diagonal behavior)
- Step-by-step application of the transpose rule to AB
- Illustrative examples showing when AB ≠ BA spoils symmetry
- Practice with index notation to link the abstract identities to entrywise checks.

Question 6

The student's difficulties can be grouped into two broad, interrelated areas:

1. Fundamentals of the dot product and orthogonality

• They didn't consistently carry out the dot-product procedure: they stopped at pairwise multiplications

and sometimes thought the result was a vector instead of summing to a scalar.

- They forgot that orthogonality means a dot product of zero (initially guessed "positive value").
- They were unclear on what an "orthogonal set" entails—namely, that you must check every pair in a collection of vectors (there are n(n-1)/2 pairs) and confirm each dot product vanishes.

2. Structure and interpretation of the 2×2 rotation matrix

- They weren't sure why its entries are exactly [$\cos \theta$ - $\sin \theta$; $\sin \theta$ $\cos \theta$], nor how $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$ arise from rotating basis vectors by angle θ .
- They struggled to see how plugging in a specific angle (e.g. 90°) changes those entries and why the matrix's columns remain orthonormal.
- They held the misconception that a "rotation matrix" must look identical after certain rotations, rather than understanding that its components vary continuously with θ .
- They needed help linking the geometric action (rotating (1,0) and (0,1)) to the algebraic form and verifying orthogonality of the resulting column vectors via the dot product.

Question 7

The student's main challenges can be grouped into five areas:

- 1. Definitions and concrete meaning of symmetry
- Precisely recalling that "A is symmetric" means $A = A^T$ (equivalently $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$) rather than just "rows look like columns."
 - Seeing how this plays out in a simple 2×2 example (e.g. $a_{12} = a_{21}$).
- 2. Applying the transpose-of-a-product rule
 - Remembering $(XYZ)^T = Z^TY^TX^T$ in a multi-factor product.
 - Choosing how to group factors (e.g. treating B^TA as one block) and tracking the reversal of order.

- Handling double transposes $((B^T)^T = B)$ to simplify the expression.

3. Step-by-step algebraic execution

- Writing out each intermediate transpose and substitution instead of leaping to the final result.
- Organizing the work so that one can clearly see $(B^T A B)^T \rightarrow B^T A^T (B^T)^T \rightarrow B^T A B$.

4. Basic matrix-multiplication conventions and misconceptions

- Ensuring dimensions match (columns of one matrix = rows of the next) and identifying the correct size of a product ($m \times q$ for an $m \times n$ times $n \times q$).
- Avoiding the false leap from "symmetric" (and square) straight to "identity," and clarifying which matrices in the problem must be tested for which properties.

5. Articulation of understanding

- Restating definitions and properties in their own words when prompted.
- Connecting each algebraic step back to the core concept (showing $M^T = M$ is exactly what it means to be symmetric).

Question 8

The student's core challenges revolved around the fine points of orthogonality versus linear independence:

- They had not internalized that an orthogonal set must consist of *distinct nonzero* vectors whose pairwise inner products vanish.
- They missed that the standard result "orthogonal ⇒ linearly independent" only holds when no vector in the set is the zero vector.
- They were puzzled by the fact that the zero vector is orthogonal to every vector (its inner product is zero) yet its inclusion automatically creates a linear dependency.

As a warming the company of the language of the company of the com		(0 -)	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	Albak an
• As a result, they needed help seeing how the simple	counterexample	{U, e ₁ }	· Illustrates	tnat an
"orthogonal" set containing 0 can fail to be independent.				