## Week 7 - solutions November 3, 2020

**Exercise 1.** To show that f(x) is o(g(x)) we need to show that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0.$$

On the other hand, to show that f(x) is not o(g(x)) we need to show that the limit above is non zero.

1. Show that 5x is  $o(x^2)$ .

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{5x}{x^2} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{5}{x} = 0$$

2. Show that  $2x^2$  is not  $o(x^2)$ .

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{2x^2}{x^2} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{2}{1} = 2 \neq 0$$

3. Show that 1/x is o(x).

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\frac{1}{x}}{x}=\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{1}{x^2}=0$$

4. Show that if f(x) is o(g(x)), then f(x) is O(g(x)).

If f(x) is o(g(x)), then  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0$ . I.e.

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \; \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall x > \delta \quad \left| \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \right| < \epsilon$$

$$\iff \forall \epsilon > 0 \; \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall x > \delta \quad |f(x)| < \epsilon |g(x)|.$$

Choose any  $\epsilon > 0$  and a corresponding  $\delta$  such that the above inequality holds. Then

$$\forall x > \delta \quad |f(x)| < \epsilon |g(x)|$$

i.e.  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$  are the witnesses to show that f(x) is O(g(x)).

**Exercise 2.** Which function below grows fastest when n goes to infinity?

$$\checkmark (\log_3(33))^{n-3}$$

- $\bigcirc$  3<sup>n</sup>
- $\bigcap n^{3\log_3(n)}$
- $\bigcap n^3 \log_3(n)$

Because  $3 = \log_3(3^3) = \log_3(27) < \log_3(33)$  it follows that

$$\frac{(\log_3(33))^n}{3^n} = \left(\frac{\log_3(33)}{3}\right)^n$$

goes to infinity for  $n \to \infty$ . Because the constant factor  $(\log_3(33))^{-3}$  does not affect the growth rate, it follows that  $(\log_3(33))^{n-3}$  grows faster than  $3^n$ .

**Exercise 3.** Consider the two statements below, where c and k are constants with  $k \geq 2$ :

$$n^k \ is \ O(k^n) \qquad \qquad (\log n)^k e^{(c+o(1))(\log n)^{1/3}(\log(\log(n)))^{2/3}} \ is \ e^{(c+o(1))(\log n)^{1/3}(\log(\log(n)))^{2/3}}.$$

- O They are both False.
- Only the first is True.
- Only the second is True.
- ✓ They are both True.

To easily (and informally) see that  $n^k$  is  $O(k^n)$ , we write n as  $k^{\log_k(n)}$  and  $n^k$  as  $k^{k\log_k(n)}$ , so that  $\frac{k^n}{n^k} = \frac{k^n}{k^{k\log_k(n)}} = k^{n-k\log_k(n)}$ ; with  $n-k\log_k(n)$  going to  $\infty$  for  $n \to \infty$  and  $k \ge 2$ , it follows that  $\frac{k^n}{n^k}$  goes to  $\infty$  for  $n \to \infty$ .

Just looking at the formulas for the second problem, one "immediately" sees that the factor  $(\log n)^k$  gets swallowed up by the o(1) in the exponent, due to the presence of the "more powerful"  $(\log n)^{1/3}$   $(\log(\log(n)))^{2/3}$  in the exponent. The cumbersome details are much less complicated than they look, but can be found below.

First simplify the two expressions by replacing  $\log(n)$  by m, while writing (as customary)  $\exp(x)$  for  $e^x$ :

$$m^k \exp\left((c+o(1))m^{1/3}(\log(m))^{2/3}\right)$$
 is  $\exp\left((c+o(1))m^{1/3}(\log(m))^{2/3}\right)$ .

As above, write  $m^k = \exp(k \log(m))$ , so  $m^k \exp\left((c + o(1))m^{1/3}(\log(m))^{2/3}\right)$  becomes  $\exp\left(k \log(m) + (c + o(1))m^{1/3}(\log(m))^{2/3}\right)$  which becomes

$$\exp\left(\left(c + \left(\frac{k\log(m)}{m^{1/3}(\log(m))^{2/3}}\right) + o(1)\right)m^{1/3}(\log(m))^{2/3}\right)$$

when using  $k \log(m) = \left(\frac{k \log(m)}{m^{1/3}(\log(m))^{2/3}}\right) m^{1/3} (\log(m))^{2/3}$ . For n and thus m going to  $\infty$ , the term  $\left(\frac{k \log(m)}{m^{1/3}(\log(m))^{2/3}}\right)$  goes to zero and is thus o(1); with o(1) + o(1) = o(1) the result follows.

**Exercise 4.** Let f be arbitrary functions from  $\mathbf{N}$  to  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$ .

Let  $g_1, g_2$  be two functions from N to  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are both  $\Theta(f)$ .

1. Show that the function  $g_1 + g_2$  is  $\Theta(f)$  or provide a counterexample.

The functions  $g_i : \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ , i = 1, 2 are  $\Theta(f)$  for some function f, i.e. there exist  $c_{i,j} > 0, k_i > 0$ , for j = 1, 2, s.t.

$$\forall x > k_i \quad c_{i,1}|f(x)| \le |g_i(x)| \le c_{i,2}|f(x)|.$$

Let  $k = \max\{k_i\}$ . Then, for all x > k,  $c_{1,1}|f(x)| \le |g_1(x)| \le c_{1,2}|f(x)|$  and  $c_{2,1}|f(x)| \le |g_2(x)| \le c_{2,2}|f(x)|$ , hence

$$(c_{1,1} + c_{2,1})|f(x)| \le |g_1(x)| + |g_2(x)| \le (c_{1,2} + c_{2,2})|f(x)|.$$

The triangle inequality tells us that  $|g_1(x) + g_2(x)| \le |g_1(x)| + |g_2(x)|$ , hence with  $c_2 := c_{1,2} + c_{2,2}$ , we have that

$$|g_1(x) + g_2(x)| \le c_2 |f(x)|.$$

So we have shown that  $g_1 + g_2$  is O(f).

On the other hand, since  $g_i : \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ , we have  $|g_1(x) + g_2(x)| = |g_1(x)| + |g_2(x)| = g_1(x) + g_2(x)$ . It follows that, for  $c_1 := c_{1,1} + c_{2,1}$ ,

$$|c_1|f(x)| \le |g_1(x)| + |g_2(x)| = |g_1(x) + g_2(x)|.$$

So  $g_1 + g_2$  is  $\Omega(f)$ .

Overall, we have shown that  $g_1 + g_2$  is O(f) and  $\Omega(f)$ , therefore  $g_1 + g_2$  is  $\Theta(f)$ .

2. Show that the function  $g_1g_2$  is  $\Theta(f^2)$  or provide a counterexample.

We use the same notation as in 1. and obtain that for all x > k

$$(c_{1,1}c_{2,1})f^2(x) \le |g_1(x)| \cdot |g_2(x)| \le (c_{1,2}c_{2,2})f^2(x).$$

Let  $c_1 := c_{1,1}c_{2,1}$  and  $c_2 := c_{1,2}c_{2,2}$ . We use the observation that  $|g_1(x)g_2(x)| = |g_1(x)||g_2(x)|$ . Then for all x > k,

$$c_1 f^2(x) \le |(g_1 \cdot g_2)(x)| \le c_2 f^2(x),$$

i.e.,  $g_1 \cdot g_2$  is  $\Theta(f^2)$ .

Let  $g_3, g_4$  be two functions from **N** to **R** such that  $g_3$  and  $g_4$  are both  $\Theta(f)$ .

3. Show that the function  $g_3 + g_4$  is  $\Theta(f)$  or provide a counterexample.

Here the functions  $g_3$ ,  $g_4$  may take negative values. We can follow the reasoning in 1. to show that  $g_3 + g_4$  is O(f). But there exist  $g_3$ ,  $g_4$  s.t.  $g_3 + g_4$  is not  $\Omega(f)$ . For instance, let  $g_4(x) = -g_3(x)$ . Then,  $(g_3 + g_4)(x) = 0$  and so  $\forall c > 0$ ,  $\forall x > 0$  we have that  $|g_3(x) + g_4(x)| = 0 < c|f(x)|$  for any function  $f: \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ . As a consequence  $g_3 + g_4$  is not  $\Omega(f)$  and the statement is false.

4. Show that the function  $g_3g_4$  is  $\Theta(f^2)$  or provide a counterexample.

Same proof as in 2., since we did not need the fact that  $g_i : \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}$  in there.

Let g be a function from N to  $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$  such that g is O(f).

5. Show that  $2^g$  is  $O(2^f)$ , or provide a counterexample.

Take g = 2n and f = n. We have that g is O(f), but  $2^g = 2^{2n}$  and  $2^f = 2^n$  so that  $2^g = (2^f)^2$  and  $2^g$  is not  $O(2^f)$ .

**Exercise 5.** Consider the two statements below, where k and  $\ell$  are constants with  $k > \ell \geq 2$  and  $m \to \infty$ :

$$\log_m(k)$$
 is  $\Theta(\log_m(\ell))$   $k^{\log_\ell(m)}$  is  $O(\ell^{\log_k(m)})$ .

- O They are both false.
- $\checkmark$  Only the first is true.
- Only the second is true.
- O They are both true.

Because  $\log_m(x) = \frac{\log_2(x)}{\log_2(m)}$  both  $\log_m(k)$  and  $\log_m(\ell)$  are of order  $\frac{1}{\log_2(m)}$ ; in particular  $\log_m(k)$  is  $\Theta(\log_m(\ell))$ .

Writing  $k = \ell^{\log_{\ell}(k)}$  and  $\log_{k}(m) = \frac{\log_{\ell}(m)}{\log_{\ell}(k)}$  the comparison for the second problem is between  $k^{\log_{\ell}(m)} = \ell^{\log_{\ell}(k)\log_{\ell}(m)}$  and  $\ell^{\log_{k}(m)} = \ell^{\log_{\ell}(m)/\log_{\ell}(k)}$ . Because  $k > \ell \geq 2$  we find that  $k^{\log_{\ell}(m)} = \ell^{c\log_{\ell}(m)} = m^{c}$  for the constant  $c = \log_{\ell}(k) > 1$  and that  $\ell^{\log_{k}(m)} = \ell^{(\log_{\ell}(m))/c} = m^{1/c}$ . It follows that  $k^{\log_{\ell}(m)}$  is not  $O(\ell^{\log_{k}(m)})$ .

**Exercise 6.** Consider the following two statements:

$$(f \text{ is } o(f))$$
 and  $(f \text{ is } o(g) \text{ implies } f \text{ is } O(g)).$ 

- $\checkmark$  Only the second is true.
- O They are both false.
- Only the first is true.

O They are both true.

The statement "f if o(f)" would imply that for any function f it is the case that  $\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{|f(x)|}{|f(x)|}=0$ . That is cleary incorrect: for instance for the function f(x)=1 it is the case that  $\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{|f(x)|}{|f(x)|}=\frac{1}{1}=1$ . Thus the first statement is not correct.

The statement "f is o(g)" implies that  $\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{|f(x)|}{|g(x)|}=0$ , and thus that for any  $\epsilon>0$  there exists an  $x_0$  such that  $\frac{|f(x)|}{|g(x)|}<\epsilon$  for all  $x>x_0$ , implying that  $|f(x)|<\epsilon|g(x)|$  for all  $x>x_0$ , which in turn implies that f is O(g). Thus the second statement is correct.

**Exercise 7.** Given the two statements below, where d > 0 is an integer constant and  $a_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  are positive integers with  $\max_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}(a_i) = D$  for a constant D > 0,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i i^d \text{ is } \Theta(n^{d+1}) \qquad \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i n^i \text{ is } \Theta(n^d)$$

- ✓ They are both true.
- Only the first is true.
- Only the second is true.
- O They are both false.

The first summation is a sum of d-th powers which behaves like a (d + 1)-st power of the summation bound, the second is just a polynomial of degree d and thus behaves like its highest order term.

**Exercise 8.** Construct two functions f and g from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that f is not O(g) and g is not O(f) or prove that such functions are impossible to find.

For instance, consider the functions defined for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} n! & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (n-1)! & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \text{ and } g(n) = \begin{cases} (n-1)! & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ n! & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We have that f is not O(g) because for any constant  $\alpha$ , one can find an even integer  $n > \alpha$  to obtain  $f(n) = n! > \alpha \cdot (n-1)! = \alpha g(n)$ . Similarly, g is not O(f).

**Exercise 9.** Consider the following algorithm, which takes as input a sequence of n integers  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$  and produces as output a matrix  $M = \{m_{ij}\}$  where  $m_{ij}$  is the minimum term in the sequence of integers  $a_i, a_{i+1}, ..., a_j$  for  $j \ge i$  and  $m_{ij} = 0$  otherwise.

```
initializ M so that m_{ij} = a_i if j \ge i and m_{ij} = 0 otherwise for i := 1 to n
for j := i + 1 to n
for k := i + 1 to j
m_{ij} := min(m_{ij}, a_k)
end for
end for
end for
return M = \{m_{ij}\} \{m_{ij} \text{ is the minimum term of } a_i, a_{i+1}, ..., a_j\}
```

1. Show that this algorithm uses  $O(n^3)$  comparisons to compute the matrix M. The algorithm only makes comparisons in the line " $m_{ij} := min(m_{ij}, a_k)$ " (since determining the minimum is a comparison). Thus 1 comparison is made in each iteration of the three for-loops.

i can take on the values 1 to n (for i := 1 to n), thus i can take on n values.

j can take on the values i+1 to n (for j:=i+1 to n), thus j can take on n-i values, which is at

most n-1 values (when i=1).

k can take on the values i+1 to j (for k:=i+1 to j), thus j can take on j-i values, which is at most n-1 values (when i=1 and j=n).

The total number of comparisons is then the product of the (maximum) number of values for i, j and k in the for-loops.

Number of comparisons = 
$$n \times (n-1) \times (n-1)$$
  
=  $n(n-1)^2$   
=  $n(n^2 - 2n + 1)$   
=  $n^3 - 2n^2 + n$ 

Thus the number of comparisons is  $n^3 - 2n^2 + n$ , while  $^3 - 2n^2 + n$  is  $O(n^3)$ .

2. Show that this algorithm uses  $\Omega(n^3)$  comparisons to compute the matrix M. Using this fact and part (a), conclude that the algorithms uses  $\Theta(n^3)$  comparisons. [Hint: Only consider the cases where  $i \leq \frac{n}{4}$  and  $j \geq \frac{3n}{4}$  in the two outer loops in the algorithm.] The number of comparisions is  $n^3 - 2n^2 + n$ , while  $3 - 2n^2 + n$  is  $\Omega(n^3)$ .

Since the number of comparisons is  $O(n^3)$  and  $\Omega(n^3)$ , the number of comparisons is also  $\Theta(n^3)$ .

**Exercise 10.** What is the largest n for which one can solve within a minute using an algorithm that requires f(n) bit operations, where each bit operation is carried out in  $10^{-12}$  seconds, with these functions f(n)?

a. log n

Each bit operation is carried out in  $10^{-12}$  seconds:  $T = 10^{-12}$  seconds.

The algorithm can take at most 1 minute which contains 60 seconds, while there are  $\frac{t}{T} = \frac{16}{10^{-12}} =$  $60 \times 10^{12}$  possible bit operations in 60 seconds.

Algorithm requires f(n) = log n bit operations:

$$log~n = 60 \times 10^{12}$$

Note: The logarithm has base 2, because bits only have 2 possible values.

$$log_2 \ n = 60 \times 10^{12}$$

Let us take the exponential with base 2 of each side of the previous equation:

$$n = 2^{60 \times 10^{12}}$$

b. 1,000,000n

Each bit operation is carried out in  $10^{-12}$  seconds:  $T = 10^{-12}$  seconds.

The algorithm can take at most 1 minute which contains 60 seconds, while there are  $\frac{t}{T} = \frac{16}{10^{-12}} =$  $60 \times 10^{12}$  possible bit operations in 60 seconds.

Algorithm requires f(n) = 1,000,000n bit operations:

$$1,000,000n = 60 \times 10^{12}$$
  
$$n = 60 \times 10^6 = 60,000,000$$

Each bit operation is carried out in  $10^{-12}$  seconds:  $T = 10^{-12}$  seconds.

The algorithm can take at most 1 minute which contains 60 seconds, while there are  $\frac{t}{T} = \frac{16}{10^{-12}} =$  $60 \times 10^{12}$  possible bit operations in 60 seconds.

Algorithm requires  $f(n) = n^2$  bit operations

$$n^2 = 60 \times 10^{12}$$

Take the square root of each side of the previous equation:

$$n = \sqrt{60 \times 10^{12}} \approx 7.745967 \times 10^6 = 7,745,967$$