# Fall 2023 MAT437 problem set 3

Jack Ceroni\*
(Dated: Tuesday 3<sup>rd</sup> October, 2023)

## I. Problem 1

**Part 1.** Recall that for projections p and q in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , the relation of Murray-von Neumann equivalence is that  $p \sim q$  in  $\mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$  when there exists some  $v \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $p = v^*v$  and  $q = vv^*$ 

Let us assume condition (a) holds, so  $p = v^*v$  and  $q = vv^*$  for some v. Then

$$Tr(p) = Tr(v^*v) = Tr(vv^*) = Tr(v)$$
(1)

by the well-known internal commutativity of the trace, so (a) implies (b). Assuming (b), note that since p and q are projections, their spectra must lie entirely in  $\{0,1\}$  (we proved this in an earlier exercise, in a previous problem set). Thus, for r a projection, Tr(r) is precisely the multiplicity of the 1-eigenvalue, and is thus the dimension of the 1-eigenspace. Of course, the 0-eigenspace is the kernel, so the dimension of the 1-eigenspace is just the  $\dim(\text{range}(p))$ . If Tr(p) = Tr(q), then it follows immediately that  $\dim(\text{range}(p)) = \dim(\text{range}(q))$ , and (b) implies (c).

Finally, assume (c) holds. From the same logic as above, both p and q must have the same multiplicity of their 0 and 1 eigenvalues. Moreover, since p and q are self-adjoint, they both have orthogonal bases of eigenvectors:  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  and  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ , respectively. We define u to be a linear map taking each 1-eigenvector of p to a 1-eigenvector of q, and each 0-eigenvector of p to a 0-eigenvector of p (which we can do in bijective correspondence, as they have equal multiplicity).

Clearly,  $p = u^{-1}qu$ . Moreover, u is unitary. Note that  $\langle uv_j, uv_j \rangle = \langle u^*uv_j, v_j \rangle = 1$  for each  $v_j$ , and  $\overline{\langle uv_j, uv_j \rangle} = \langle u^*v_j, u^*b_j \rangle = \langle uu^*v_j, v_j \rangle = 1$  for each  $v_j$ . Since the  $v_j$  form a basis,  $u^*u = uu^* = 1$ . Thus, u is in fact unitary. It follows that  $p \sim_u q$ , so since the underlying algebra is unital, from Proposition 2.2.2 of the book,  $p \sim q$ .

**Part 2.** Recall that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})/\sim_0$  where  $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ . As we remarked upon in the Part 1, the trace of any projection is the dimension of the 1-eigenspace, and is thus a non-negative integer. Thus, define  $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  be the standard trace map. Note that this map is surjective, as the  $n \times n$  identity and zero matrices are elements of  $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ , which have traces 0 and n respectively, for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Claim I.1.** Given  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ , with p an  $m \times m$  matrix and q an  $n \times n$  matrix with  $m \geq n$ , then  $p \sim_0 q$  if and only if  $p \oplus 0_{m-n} \sim q$ , where  $0_{m-n}$  is the  $(m-n) \times (m-n)$  zero-matrix.

*Proof.* Note from RLL Proposition 2.3.2 that  $p \sim_0 p \oplus 0_{m-n}$ . Since  $\sim_0$  is an equivalence relation, it follows that if  $p \sim_0 q$ , then  $q \sim_0 p \oplus 0_{m-n}$ . Thus, by definition, since the left and right are the same size,  $q \sim p \oplus 0_{m-n}$ . The converse follows from  $q \sim p \oplus 0_{m-n} \Rightarrow q \sim_0 p \oplus 0_{m-n} \Rightarrow q \sim_0 p$ .

It follows from this result that Tr is a valid map on  $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C})/\sim_0$ , as if  $p\sim_0 q$ , then assuming WLOG that q is a larger matrix than  $p, p\oplus 0 \sim q$  for some zero-matrix 0, so  $\mathrm{Tr}(p)=\mathrm{Tr}(p\oplus 0)=\mathrm{Tr}(q)$ , from Part 1 of this problem. We already claimed that Tr is surjective. It is also injective as if  $\mathrm{Tr}(p)=\mathrm{Tr}(q)=\mathrm{Tr}(p\oplus 0)$ , then  $q\sim p\oplus 0$ , so  $p\sim_0 q$ . Finally, it is clear from the definition that  $\mathrm{Tr}(p\oplus q)=\mathrm{Tr}(p)+\mathrm{Tr}(q)$ . Thus, Tr is an isomorphism of semigroups, so  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C})\simeq \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ : the image of Tr.

It follows immediately that  $K_0(A) = G(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C})) \simeq G(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) = \mathbb{Z}$ , where the fact that the semigroup isomorphism gives rise to a group isomorphism of Grothendieck groups and the fact that  $G(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) = \mathbb{Z}$  is discussed

<sup>\*</sup> jackceroni@gmail.com

in the textbook.

Part 3. I didn't have time to finish this part, will do so for next week's problems.

#### II. Problem 3

Note that  $\nu(p \oplus 0) = \nu(p) + \nu(0) = \nu(p)$ .

Suppose (i) holds. Suppose  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_n(A)$  are such that  $p \sim_u q$ , so  $p = uqu^*$  for some  $u \in \widetilde{A}$ . Then, note from Proposition 2.2.8 in RLL that  $p \oplus 0 \sim_h q \oplus 0$ , where 0 is the  $n \times n$  zero-matrix. It follows that  $\nu(p \oplus 0) = \nu(q \oplus 0)$  by (i), so  $\nu(p) = \nu(q)$  by assumption. Thus, (i) implies (ii).

Suppose (ii) holds. For  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{\infty}(A)$ , suppose  $p \sim_0 q$ . Suppose WLOG that p is in  $\mathcal{P}_m$  and q is in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  with  $m \geq n$ . It is easy to see that  $p \sim q \oplus 0_{m-n}$ : note that  $p \sim_0 q$ ,  $p = vv^*$  and  $q = v^*v$  for  $v \in M_{m,n}(A)$ . Let w be the element of  $M_m(A)$  which adds m - n zero-columns to the right side of v. It is easy to check that  $ww^* = vv^* = p$  and  $w^*w = q \oplus 0_{m-n}$ . Thus, from RLL Proposition 2.2.8,  $p \oplus 0 \sim_u q \oplus 0_{m-n} \oplus 0$ , so from (ii),  $\nu(p \oplus 0) = \nu(q \oplus 0_{m-n} \oplus 0)$ , implying  $\nu(p) = \nu(q)$ , so (ii) implies (iii).

Suppose (iii) holds, suppose  $p \sim_s q$ . Then from RLL Definition 3.1.6,  $p \oplus 1_n \sim_0 q \oplus 1_n$ , so from (iii),  $\nu(p \oplus 1_n) = \nu(q \oplus 1_n) \Rightarrow \nu(p) + \nu(1_n) = \nu(q) + \nu(1_n)$ . Thus,  $\nu(p) = \nu(q)$ , so (iii) implies (iv).

Finally, let us assume (iv). Suppose  $p \sim_h q$ . Then from Proposition 2.2.7 of RLL,  $p \sim q$ , so immediately  $p \sim_0 q$  and thus  $p \sim_s q$ , so from (iv),  $\nu(p) = \nu(q)$ , and (iv) implies (i).

### III. Problem 4

**Part 1.** By definition, e = ab and f = ba. Let c = aba and d = bab. Then

$$cd = ababab = (ab)(ab)(ab) = (e)(e)(e) = e$$
(2)

as well as

$$dc = bababa = (ba)(ba)(ba) = (f)(f)(f) = f$$
(3)

Moreover,  $cdc = e(aba) = e^2a = ea = aba = c$  and  $dcd = f(bab) = f^2b = fb = bab = d$ . This completes the proof.

**Part 2.** Reflexivity is trivial, as f = ff, so  $f \approx_0 f$ . Symmetry is also trivial as if  $f \approx_0 e$ , then f = ab, e = ba, so swapping a and b (and n and m: the sizes of the matrices) implies  $e \approx_0 f$ . This relation is also transitive. Suppose we have x, y, z idempotent with  $x \approx_0 y$  and  $y \approx_0 z$ . Then there exists a, b with the properties of Part 1, with x = ab and y = ba, as well as c and d with the properties of Part 1 such that y = cd and z = dc.

Thus, ba = cd. It follows that a = aba = acd and c = cdc = bac. Thus, x = (ac)(db) and z = (db)(ac) so  $x \approx_0 z$ . It follows that  $\approx_0$  is an equivalence relation.

**Part 3.** Note that e is a  $m \times m$  matrix with elements in R. Let f be the matrix which adds n zero-columns to the right-hand side of e, so the resulting matrix is  $m \times (m+n)$ . Let g be the matrix adding n zero-rows to the bottom of e, so the resulting matrix is  $(m+n) \times m$ . We then have

$$fg = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0_n^c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e \\ 0_n^r \end{pmatrix} = e^2 = e \tag{4}$$

as well as

$$gf = \begin{pmatrix} e \\ 0_n^r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & 0_n^c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = e \oplus 0_n \tag{5}$$

where the rightmost  $0_n$  is the  $n \times n$  zero-matrix, and  $0_n^r$  and  $0_n^c$  are the zero-rows and zero-columns described above. Thus, by definition,  $e \approx_0 e \oplus 0_n$ .

**Part 4.** To ensure that this operation is well-defined, we must demonstrate that if  $e \approx_0 e'$  and  $f \approx_0 f'$ , then  $e \oplus f \approx_0 e' \oplus f'$ . This follows similarly from the proof of the previous result. In particular, we have e = ab, e' = ba, f = cd, f' = dc. It is easy to see that  $e \oplus f = ab \oplus cd = \text{diag}(a, c)\text{diag}(b, d)$  and  $e' \oplus f' = ba \oplus dc = \text{diag}(b, d)\text{diag}(a, c)$ . Thus, the equivalence follows from the definition.

**Part 5.** To verify that (V(R), +) is an Abelian semigroup, we require a well-defined closed addition (which we demonstrated in the previous exercise), associativity and commutativity. Associativity is trivial: this simply follows from the fact that  $\oplus$  is associative.

$$([a]_V + [b]_V) + [c]_V = [a \oplus b]_V + [c]_V = [(a \oplus b) \oplus c]_V = [a \oplus (b \oplus c)]_V = [a]_V + [b \oplus c]_V = [a]_V + ([b]_V + [c]_V).$$
(6)

All that remains is verifying commutatitivty: this is equivalent to showing that  $[a \oplus b]_V = [b \oplus a]_V$ , or that  $a \oplus b \approx_0 b \oplus a$ . Note that

$$a \oplus b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad b \oplus a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (7)

so the equivalence just follows from the definition.

### IV. Problem 5

**Part 1.** Given idempotent  $e \in A$ , we define  $x = e - e^*$  and  $h = 1 + xx^*$ . Note that  $xx^*$  is positive, so  $\operatorname{sp}(xx^*) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Suppose  $1 + xx^*$  is not invertible: this would imply that  $-1 \in \operatorname{sp}(xx^*)$ , a clear contradiction. Thus  $1 + xx^*$  must be invertible. Now, note that

$$eh = e(1 + xx^*) = e + e(e - e^*)(e^* - e) = e + e(ee^* - e^2 + e^*e - (e^*)^2) = e + ee^* - e + ee^*e - ee^* = ee^*e$$
 (8)

where we use  $(e^*)^2 = e^*e^* = (e^2)^*$ . In addition,

$$he = e + (e - e^*)(e^* - e)e = e + (ee^* - e^2 + e^*e - (e^*)^2)e = e + ee^*e - e + e^*e - e^*e = ee^*e$$
 (9)

Since h is self-adjoint,  $he^* = (eh)^* = e^*ee^* = (he)^* = e^*h$  as well. We set  $p = ee^*h^{-1}$ . We claim that p is a projection in A. Indeed, using the commutativity relations derived above,  $p^* = (h^{-1})^*ee^* = h^{-1}ee^*h^{-1} = h^{-1}ehe^*h^{-1} = h^{-1}hee^*h^{-1} = ee^*h^{-1}$ , so p is self-adjoint (we also use that  $(h^{-1})^* = (h^*)^{-1} = h^{-1}$  as  $(hh^{-1}) = 1 \Rightarrow (h^{-1})^*h^* = 1$ , so  $(h^{-1})^* = (h^{-1})^*$ ). Finally, note that

$$p^{2} = p^{*}p = (h^{-1}ee^{*})(ee^{*}h^{-1}) = h^{-1}(ee^{*}e)e^{*}h^{-1} = h^{-1}hee^{*}h^{-1} = ee^{*}h^{-1} = p$$
(10)

so p is a projection. It ids also easy to verify that  $ep = eee^*h^{-1} = ee^*h^{-1} = p$  and  $pe = p^*e = h^{-1}ee^*e = h^{-1}he = e$ . Thus, by definition,  $e \approx_0 p$ , as we have found elements e, p such that p = ep and e = pe. This completes the proof.

Part 2. Clearly, if  $p \sim_0 q$ , then there exists v such that  $p = vv^*$  and  $q = v^*v$ , so it immediately follows that  $p \approx_0 q$ , as we can simply let a = v and  $b = v^*$  so that p = ab and q = ba. Now, let us assume that  $p \approx_0 q$ . It follows from the solution to Part 1 of Problem 4 that we can choose a and b such that p = ab, q = ba, as well as a = aba and b = bab. Note that  $b^*b = b^*a^*b^*bab = (ab)^*b^*b(ab) = pb^*bp \in pAp$ . It is straightforward to note that  $||a^*a|| - a^*a = ||a||^2 - a^*a$  is positive, as  $||a^*a|| = r(a^*a)$  (an upper bound on the spectrum). Thus,  $x^*x = ||a||^2 - a^*a$  for some x, and  $b^*x^*xb = (xb)^*xb$  is positive, so

$$b^*x^*xb = b^*(||a||^2 - a^*a)b = ||a||^2b^*b - b^*a^*ab = ||a||^2b^*b - p^*p = ||a||^2b^*b - p \ge 0 \Rightarrow ||a||^2b^*b \ge p$$
 (11)

Since  $b^*b$  is positive, it has a positive root  $(b^*b)^{1/2}$ . I couldn't figure out how to continue the argument past here in a timely fashion, so I will revisit this next week.

Part 3. I also didn't have time to finish this part, will do so for next week's problems.