

MAT436 problem set 6

Jack Ceroni*

(Dated: Tuesday 24th December, 2024)

Contents

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| I. Problem 1 (Suggested Problem 1) | 1 |
| II. Problem 2 (Suggested Problem 3) | 1 |
| III. Problem 3 | 2 |
| References | 2 |

I. Problem 1 (Suggested Problem 1)

It is easy to see that the set of functions $C_b(X)$ forms an algebra with the usual addition and multiplication, in fact it is trivial to check that it is also a $*$ -algebra with respect to complex conjugation, and we know that the supremum norm is a valid norm and is clearly submultiplicative, $\|fg\| \leq \|f\|\|g\|$. To check that this is a C^* -algebra, we must verify completeness of the metric space induced by the norm and $\|g^*g\| = \|g\|^2$.

The latter of these claims follows immediately from the fact that $|z^*z| = |z|^2$ for z a complex number.

To see completeness, note that if the sequence f_n is Cauchy, then $\sup_x |f_n(x) - f_m(x)|$ becomes arbitrarily small. Since the complex numbers are complete, this sequence will converge to some $f(x)$ for each x . To see that this assignment $x \mapsto f(x)$ is a valid element of $C_b(X)$, note that boundedness follows from the fact that we have convergence in supremum, so $\sup_x |f_n(x) - f(x)|$ becomes arbitrarily small and f_n is bounded, thus f must be as well. To see continuity, note that if y_n is a sequence converging to y , then

$$|f(y) - f(y_n)| \leq |f(y) - f_m(y)| + |f_m(y) - f_m(y_n)| + |f_m(y_n) - f(y_n)| \quad (1)$$

which can be made arbitrarily small for n and m large enough, as $f_m \rightarrow f$ pointwise and the functions f_m are continuous.

II. Problem 2 (Suggested Problem 3)

Part A. To prove that φ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism, begin by noting that if λ is a constant,

$$\varphi^*(\lambda f + g) = \lambda \varphi^* f + \varphi^* g \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^*(fg) = \varphi^* f \varphi^* g \quad (2)$$

also, $\varphi^*(1) = 1$, clearly, and moreover, $\varphi^*(f^*) = f(\varphi(x))^* = (\varphi^* f)^*$, so we do in fact have a unital $*$ -homomorphism. The fact that φ is itself continuous implies that the map is well-defined, between spaces of continuous functions.

* jack.ceroni@mail.utoronto.ca

Part B. In the case that $\varphi_\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is surjective, we have $\varphi_\rho^*(f) = f \circ \varphi_\rho$, suppose $f \circ \varphi_\rho = 0$, so $(f \circ \varphi_\rho)(x) = 0$ for all x . Since φ_ρ is surjective, it follows that for any y we have $\varphi_\rho(x) = y$ for some x , so $f(y) = f(\varphi_\rho(x)) = 0$ for all y , implying $f = 0$. Hence, $\rho = \varphi_\rho^*$ is injective.

Part C. Suppose $\varphi_\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is injective, it follows that this function is a bijection with its image. Given some function g , it follows that we can consider $g \circ \varphi_\rho^{-1}$, where φ_ρ^{-1} is an extension of $\varphi_\rho^{-1} : \varphi_\rho(X) \rightarrow X$ to all of Y (in particular, we can find such an extension as we are working in a compact Hausdorff space). It follows that $\varphi_\rho^*(g \circ \varphi_\rho^{-1}) = g$, so ρ is surjective.

Part D. This follows immediately from the definition.

III. Problem 3

Proposition III.1. If f is a linear functional on a normed vector space X , then it is bounded if and only if $\text{Ker}(f) = f^{-1}(0)$ is closed.

Proof. In the case that $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is bounded, it is automatically continuous, so it follows that $f^{-1}(0)$ is closed as the inverse image of a closed set by a continuous function.

On the other hand, if $f^{-1}(0)$ is closed, recall the Riesz lemma, which states that for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and closed subspace $E \subset X$, we may choose some $x \in X$ such that $d(x, E) = \inf_{y \in E} \|x - y\| \geq \alpha$ and $\|x\| = 1$. Choose some z such that $d(z, f^{-1}(0)) \geq 1/2$, so obviously $f(z) \neq 0$. Note that for any $x \in X - \text{Ker}(f)$, we have

$$f(x) = f\left(\frac{f(x)}{f(z)}z\right) \implies f\left(x - \frac{f(x)}{f(z)}z\right) = 0 \quad (3)$$

which means that

$$x - \frac{f(x)}{f(z)}z = k \in \text{Ker}(f) \quad (4)$$

so we can re-arrange to get

$$\frac{f(z)}{f(x)}x = \frac{f(z)}{f(x)}k + z = z - \left(-\frac{f(z)}{f(x)}k\right) \quad (5)$$

where obviously $-\frac{f(z)}{f(x)}k \in \text{Ker}(f)$, so by assumption

$$\left\|\frac{f(z)}{f(x)}x\right\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \implies \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} \leq 2|f(z)| \quad (6)$$

which implies that f is bounded, as desired. \square