

Every exercise in the first three chapters of Hartshorne

Jack Ceroni*

(Dated: Monday 12th May, 2025)

Contents

I Introduction	1
II Chapter 1	1
III Section 1.1	1
IV Chapter 2	3
A Section 2.1	3
B Section 2.2	4
V Chapter 3	4

I. Introduction

Every exercise in the first three chapters of Hartshorne, no excuses (“every” means **every single one**).

Current tally

- Chapter 1: 6/XX
- Chapter 2: 1/XX
- Chapter 3: 0/XX

II. Chapter 1

III. Section 1.1

Solution III.1 (Problem 1.1.1). There are a few parts:

1. Of course, $A(Y) = k[x, y]/(y - x^2)$. We can define $\varphi : k[x, y] \rightarrow k[x]$ as $\varphi(p)(x) = p(x, x^2)$. Verification that this is a ring homomorphism is trivial. It is obviously surjective, as $k[x] \subset k[x, y]$, and $\varphi|_{k[x]} = \text{id}$. In addition, $\varphi(y - x^2) = 0$. Moreover, if $\varphi(p) = 0$, then $p(x, x^2) = 0$. Define $h(x, y) = p(x, y + x^2) \in k[x, y] = k[x][y]$. Of course, we may write $h(x, y) = h_0(x) + h_1(x)y + h_2(x)y^2 + \cdots$, by definition, and $p(x, x^2) = h(x, 0) = h_0(x)$, so $h(x, y) = yg(x, y)$ for some g . Thus, $p(x, y) = (y - x^2)g(x, y - x^2)$, which means $p \in (y - x^2)$. It follows that $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = (y - x^2)$, so by the first isomorphism theorem, $k[x, y]/(y - x^2) \simeq \text{Im}(\varphi) = k[x]$, so $A(Y) \simeq k[x]$, as desired.

* jceroni@uchicago.edu

2. Suppose $\varphi : k[x, y]/(xy - 1) \rightarrow k[x]$ is a ring homomorphism. Since $[x][y] = [xy] = [1]$ in the domain, $\varphi([x])\varphi([y]) = \varphi([x][y]) = \varphi([1]) = 1$. Thus, $\varphi([x]) = a \in k$ and $\varphi([y]) = a^{-1} \in k$. Given some $b \in k$, with $b \neq 0$, note that we must also have $\varphi([b])\varphi([b^{-1}]) = 1$, so $\varphi([b])$ is a unit in $k[x]$, thus in k . It follows that $\text{Im}(\varphi) = k$, which means that φ cannot be an isomorphism.
3. **(Starred)** The idea is to make use of a sequence of automorphisms of $k[x, y]$, which descend to automorphisms of the quotient. In particular, a general quadratic polynomial is of the form

$$p(x, y) = ax^2 + by^2 + cxy + dx + ey + f \quad (1)$$

TODO: Finish this

Solution III.2 (Problem 1.1.2). Clearly, $Y = V(y - x^2, z - x^3)$. We want to show that $(y - x^2, z - x^3)$. To do so, define the map $\varphi : k[x, y, z] \rightarrow k[x]$ which takes $p(x, y, z)$ to $p(x, x^2, x^3)$. It is clear that this is a surjective ring homomorphism and $(y - x^2, z - x^3) \subset \text{Ker}(\varphi)$. Moreover, suppose $\varphi(p) = 0$. Define $h(x, y, z) = p(x, y + x^2, z + x^3)$. Of course, $h(x, 0, 0) = 0$, so we can write

$$h(x, y, z) = yg_1(x, y, z) + zg_2(x, y, z) \quad (2)$$

as each term is divisible by z or y . We then have

$$p(x, y, z) = h(x, y - x^2, z - x^3) = (y - x^2)g_1(x, y - x^2, z - x^3) + (z - x^3)g_2(x, y - x^2, z - x^3) \quad (3)$$

which means that $p \in (y - x^2, z - x^3)$. Thus, $k[x] \simeq k[x, y, z]/(y - x^2, z - x^3)$ so $(y - x^2, z - x^3)$ is prime, so Y is an affine variety and is 1-dimensional as $\dim(k[x]) = 1$. We have $I(Y) = (y - x^2, z - x^3)$, so we automatically have a pair of generators (this ideal clearly cannot be generated by one of these generators).

Solution III.3 (Problem 1.1.3). We have

$$V(x^2 - yz, xz - x) = V(x^2 - yz) \cap [V(x) \cup V(z - 1)] \quad (4)$$

$$= V(x^2 - yz, x) \cup V(x^2 - yz, z - 1) \quad (5)$$

$$= V(yz, x) \cup V(x^2 - y, z - 1) \quad (6)$$

$$= ([V(y) \cup V(z)] \cap V(x)) \cup V(x^2 - y, z - 1) \quad (7)$$

$$= V(y, x) \cup V(z, x) \cup V(y - x^2, z - 1) \quad (8)$$

Showing that each of the ideals (y, x) , (z, x) and $(y - x^2, z - 1)$ can be done easily via the same method as Problem 1.1.2. Thus, Y is the union of three irreducible components. It is easy to see that they each are 1-dimensional (as their coordinate rings are isomorphic to polynomials in a single variable).

Solution III.4 (Problem 1.1.4). Every open set in the product topology for $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$ can be written as the union of a collection of $U \times V$, with $U, V \subset \mathbb{A}^1$ open. Of course, U and V will be \mathbb{A}^1 with some finite collection of points removed (when they are non-empty). Thus, $U \times V$ is \mathbb{A}^2 with some finite collection of vertical/horizontal lines removed. All of these sets are open in the Zariski topology for \mathbb{A}^2 . However, the Zariski topology is strictly finer than the product topology.

In particular, consider the open set $Y = V(x - y)^C$ in the Zariski topology. Given some point p in Y , if Y is open in the product topology we must be able to choose some $U \times V$ which is a neighbourhood of p and contained in Y . However, any complement of a finite collection of horizontal/vertical lines will clearly contain some point on the diagonal $V(x - y)$.

Solution III.5 (Problem 1.1.5). First, note that the coordinate ring of some algebraic set V is of the form $A(V) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(V)$, where $I(V)$ is a radical ideal. It follows immediately that if $[p]^n = [p^n] = 0$ in $A(V)$, then $p^n \in I(V)$, so $p \in I(V)$ and $[p] = 0$. This means $A(V)$ has no nilpotent elements. Moreover, it is immediately clear that $A(V)$ is a finitely-generated k -algebra, generated by x_1, \dots, x_n .

Conversely, suppose B is a finitely-generated k -algebra with no nilpotent elements. Let b_1, \dots, b_n be a generating set for B , then the evaluation map $\text{ev} : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow B$ sending p to $p(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is surjective.

It follows that $B \simeq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\text{Ker}(\varphi)$. Denote the ideal $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ by I . Since B has no nilpotent elements, it follows that if $p^n \in I$ so that $p(b_1, \dots, b_n)^n = 0$, then $p(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$, so $p \in I$. Thus, I is a radical ideal and $I(V(I)) = I$. It follows that $B \simeq A(V(I))$.

Solution III.6 (Problem 1.1.6). If X is a topological space with non-empty open set U and \overline{U} is proper, then $X = \overline{U} \cup (X - U)$, so X is reducible. Thus, if X is irreducible, every non-empty open set is dense. For the second part, suppose C_1 and C_2 are closed in \overline{Y} with $C_1 \cup C_2 = \overline{Y}$. Then since \overline{Y} is closed in X , C_1 and C_2 are as well. Thus, $C_1 \cap Y$ and $C_2 \cap Y$ is closed in Y . Since Y is irreducible and the union of these closed sets is Y , either $Y \subset C_1$ or $Y \subset C_2$. Thus, $\overline{Y} \subset C_1$ or $\overline{Y} \subset C_2$, so \overline{Y} is irreducible.

Solution III.7 (Problem 1.1.7). This is a long problem:

1. Given some non-empty family \mathcal{F} of closed sets, suppose there is no minimal element. Let $C_1 \in \mathcal{F}$, we can choose $C_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ which is a proper subset of C_1 . We continue on inductively, choosing $C_{j+1} \in \mathcal{F}$ a proper subset of C_j . At follows that we have a descending chain in X which does not terminate, so X is not Noetherian. On the other hand, given a descending chain $C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \dots$, there must be a minimal element, hence some C_n such that if $C_j \subset C_n$, then $C_n = C_j$, so the chain terminates after a finite number of steps. The open set conditions can easily be seen to be equivalent to the conditions above by taking complements.
2. Let $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ be an open cover for X . Suppose there is no finite subcover. Pick U_1 in the cover arbitrarily. Then pick some $x_2 \in U_1^C$ and pick a neighbourhood of x_2 in the cover, label it U_2 . We proceed inductively, choosing $x_{n+1} \in (U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n)^C$, letting $U_{n+1} \in \{U_\alpha\}$ being a neighbourhood of x_{n+1} . Then the ascending chain of open set $V_n = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$ never terminates, as V_{n+1} contains x_{n+1} while V_n does not. Thus, X is not Noetherian. It follows that if X is Noetherian, then it must be quasi-compact.
3. Any descending chain $C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \dots$ of closed sets of $Y \subset X$ implies that $C_j = K_j \cap Y$ with K_j a closed set. We then define $K'_n = K_1 \cap \dots \cap K_n$, which gives a descending chain $K'_1 \supset K'_2 \supset \dots$ of X . This chain eventually terminates, so $K'_n = K'_{n+1} = \dots$. Note that

$$K'_j \cap Y = (K_1 \cap Y) \cap \dots \cap (K_j \cap Y) = C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_j = C_j \quad (9)$$

which implies that $C_n = C_{n+1} = \dots$, so Y is Noetherian.

4. Recall that any quasi-compact subset of a quasi-compact Hausdorff space is closed. If X is Noetherian, then every subset is Noetherian, thus quasi-compact, thus closed. Every subset being closed implies that X has the discrete topology. Quasi-compactness of the whole space then implies that it is a finite set of points (if we had an infinite number of points, with each single-point set open, we could not have a finite subcover).

Solution III.8 (Problem 1.1.8). We write $Y = V(I)$, and $H = V(f)$, where f is some irreducible polynomial. Since Y is not in H , $f \notin I$, and $V(I) \cap V(f) = V(I, f) = V(J)$. Let

IV. Chapter 2

A. Section 2.1

Solution IV.1 (Problem 2.1.1). Let \mathcal{F} be a presheaf, recall that its sheafification \mathcal{F}^+ is obtained by setting $\mathcal{F}^+(U)$ to be all functions $s : U \rightarrow \sqcup_{p \in U} \mathcal{F}_p$ such that $s(p) \in \mathcal{F}_p$ and for each $p \in U$, there is a neighbourhood V of p and $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ such that $s(q) = t_q$ for each $q \in V$ (where t_q is the germ of t at q). The arrows are simply restriction of functions. The morphism $\theta(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+(U)$ of Abelian groups is given by $\theta(U)(s)(p) = s_p$.

If \mathcal{F} is the constant presheaf associated to A on X , note that $\mathcal{F}(U) = A$, so all of the stalks of \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to A , and the Abelian group $\mathcal{F}^+(U)$ is isomorphic to the group of functions $s : U \rightarrow A$ such that

for each $p \in U$, there is a neighbourhood V of p and $t \in A$ such that $s(q) = t$ for each $q \in V$. In other words, $s : U \rightarrow A$ is a locally constant function, which is the case if and only if it is continuous when A is given the discrete topology. Hence, $\mathcal{F}^+(U)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}(U)$, where \mathcal{G} is the constant sheaf associated to A on X . We have such an isomorphism for all U , and the isomorphisms are compatible with the restriction maps, so we have an isomorphism of sheaves. One can imagine working out the details of the isomorphism described in more detail, but I personally can't be bothered as it is clear what's going on.

Solution IV.2 (Problem 2.1.2). There are three parts to this question:

1. Once again, recall that the kernel presheaf of a presheaf morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is given by $U \mapsto \text{Ker}(\varphi(U)) \subset \mathcal{F}(U)$. Of course, it follows that every section of $\text{Ker}(\varphi(U))$ is a section of $\mathcal{F}(U)$, so it follows that if φ is a morphism of *sheaves*, and $s \in \text{Ker}(\varphi(U))$ restricts to 0 on a cover of U , then $s = 0$. Moreover, given a collection of sections of $\text{Ker}(\varphi(U))$ defined on a cover $\{V_i\}$ for U which agree on overlaps, we can construct $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ which restricts to each of these sections. Then, we note that

$$\varphi(U)(s)|_{V_i} = \varphi(V_i)(s|_{V_i}) = \varphi(V_i)(0) = 0 \quad (10)$$

for each V_i , so since \mathcal{G} is a sheaf, it follows that $\varphi(U)(s) = 0$, which means that $s \in \text{Ker}(\varphi(U))$. Thus, in this case, the kernel presheaf is a sheaf.

B. Section 2.2

V. Chapter 3