

# Rough notes

(Dated: Thursday 6<sup>th</sup> June, 2024)

## Contents

I. Basic constructs	1
A. Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids	1
B. Some categorical constructions	2
C. Representations up to homotopy preliminaries	3
II. Representations up to homotopy	5
III. Chen's iterated integral and the Igusa map	5
IV. Resources	6
V. Questions	6

## I. Basic constructs

We begin with some basic constructs utilized throughout the notes.

### A. Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids

**Definition I.1** (Groupoid). A category in which all arrows are reversible.

**Definition I.2** (Lie groupoid). A groupoids in which the arrows form a smooth manifold, the objects are an embedded submanifold under the map sending each object to its identity arrow, and the source and target maps are surjective submersions.

**Definition I.3** (Lie algebroid). A vector bundle  $\pi_A : A \rightarrow M$  over a smooth manifold  $M$ , equipped with a bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  on the sections  $\Gamma(A)$  and an anchor map  $\rho : A \rightarrow TM$ . The anchor map is a vector bundle morphism, meaning that  $\pi_A = \pi \circ \rho$ . Moreover, the bracket must satisfy a Leibniz rule:

$$[X, fY] = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(X)}(f) \cdot Y + f[X, Y] \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\rho(X)}$  is the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field  $\rho(X) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

**Remark I.1.** I very much like Abad and Crainic's assertion in their paper *Representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids* that Lie algebroids are to be thought of as “generalized tangent bundles associated to various geometric situations”.

**Example I.1** (Tangent bundle). The most obvious example of a Lie algebroid is  $TM$  itself. The anchor is the identity map and the bracket is the standard Lie bracket between smooth vector fields  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Indeed,

$$[X, fY] = \mathcal{L}_X(fY) = X(f) \cdot Y + f\mathcal{L}_X(Y) = X(f) \cdot Y + f[X, Y] \quad (2)$$

as required.

**Example I.2** (Lie algebra). One should think of a Lie algebroid as a generalized Lie algebra, in which the vector space is replaced with a vector bundle over a base space and the bracket of vectors is replaced by a bracket of sections of the bundle.

It is in this sense that a Lie algebra is a Lie algebroid: if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra, take  $A = \mathfrak{g} \times M$  for some  $M$ , let  $\pi : A \rightarrow M$  be the projection, let the anchor be trivial,  $\rho(e) = 0_{\pi(e)} \in T_{\pi(e)}M$  for all  $e$ , and let the bracket between sections be defined as  $[X, Y](p) = [X(p), Y(p)]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the bracket of the Lie algebra.  $A$  is then a Lie algebroid.

Much like the case of Lie groups/Lie algebras, if given a Lie groupoid  $G$ , we can product a Lie algebroid,  $\text{Lie}(G)$ . This construction is somewhat analogous to the Lie group to Lie algebra map, where we take the tangent space at the identity. For a Lie groupoid, each  $p \in M$  (the base manifold of objects) has an associated identity in  $G$  (the self-referential arrow),  $e_p$ . **TODO: revisit, stress that the main difficulty comes from constructing the bracket**

## B. Some categorical constructions

Categorical language is required for many of the results we wish to discuss. We review briefly.

**Definition I.4** (Simplicial sets, face and degeneracy maps). Let  $\Delta$  be the category of sets  $[n] = \{0, \dots, n\}$  for  $n \geq 0$  (the simplex category). The morphisms are non-order-decreasing set maps. A simplicial set is a contravariant functor  $X : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ . We denote  $X([n])$  by  $X_n$ . Corresponding to simplicial set  $X$ , we have face maps for each image  $X_n$ , defined by  $d_i : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$  for  $i$  from 0 to  $n$ . In particular, we let  $\delta_i : [n-1] \rightarrow [n]$  be the unique non-decreasing map which doesn't hit  $i \in [n]$ . We then let  $d_i = X(\delta_i)$ . Similarly, if we let  $\sigma_i : [n+1] \rightarrow [n]$  be the unique non-decreasing map hitting  $i$  twice and let  $s_i = X(\sigma_i)$  be a map from  $X_n$  to  $X_{n+1}$ , we call these the degeneracy maps.

**Remark I.2.** The face and degeneracy maps will satisfy certain compatibility conditions which follow immediately from the definitions and the contravariance of  $X$ :

1.  $d_i d_j = d_{j-1} d_i$  for  $i < j$ .
2.  $d_i s_j = s_{j-1} d_i$  for  $i < j$ .
3.  $d_i s_j = \text{id}$  if  $i = j$  or  $i = j + 1$ .
4.  $d_i s_j = s_j d_{i-1}$  for  $i > j + 1$ .
5.  $s_i s_j = s_{j+1} s_i$  for  $i \leq j$ .

It is in fact true that if given a collection of sets  $X_n$  and maps  $d_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$  and  $s_n : X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$  which satisfy the above conditions, then there is a unique corresponding simplicial set.

**Definition I.5** (Nerve of a category). Let  $C$  be a small category. The nerve of  $C$ ,  $NC$ , is a simplicial set which is constructed as follows. Let  $X_0 = \text{ob}(C)$ ,  $X_1 = \text{hom}(C)$ , and more generally,  $X_n$  is the collection of all  $n$ -fold compositions of arrows in the category. Each of the  $X_n$  is an object of  $\mathbf{Set}$ . We define maps  $d_i$  and  $s_i$  on each  $X_n$  as follows:  $d_i$  takes a chain of  $n$  arrows,  $A_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_n$  and composes the arrows going in and out of  $A_i$  into a single arrow, leaving a chain of  $n - 1$  arrows.  $s_i$  takes  $A_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_n$  and adds a self-referential arrow at the  $i$ -th object, yielding a chain of  $n + 1$  arrows. One can easily verify that these maps satisfy the conditions of the previous remark, so we let  $NC$  be the unique simplicial set having  $d_i$  and  $s_i$  as face and degeneracy maps.

Why do we do this? Because simplicial sets have a nice associated homotopy theory, and our hope is that we can say things about  $C$  by looking at homotopy of  $NC$  (as it turns out, we can!).

**Definition I.6** (Natural transformation). A natural transformation between functors  $F, G : C \rightarrow D$  (both covariant or contravariant) is a family of morphisms  $\eta_X : F(X) \rightarrow G(X)$  for all  $X \in C$ , such that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is in  $\text{hom}(C)$ , then  $\eta_Y \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ \eta_X$  for covariant functors and  $\eta_X \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ \eta_Y$  for contravariant functors.

### C. Representations up to homotopy preliminaries

We will soon begin our discussion of representations up to homotopy. Let us build to this by describing representations in a series of increasing generality.

*Categories of representations are like how you can't see the face of God, you can only see Him through all of His actions.*

If you have a group or an algebra, its category of representations are all the ways that it can act on different things (vector spaces).

**Definition I.7** (Lie algebra representation). Let  $A$  be a Lie algebra with bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ . A Lie algebra representation on vector space  $V$  is the pair  $(V, \rho)$  where  $\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  is a linear map such that

$$\rho([g, h]_A) = [\rho(g), \rho(h)] \quad (3)$$

for all  $g, h \in A$  and  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is the standard commutator of linear maps on  $V$ .

Now, as an interlude, let us say some things about connections and parallel transport. This will motivate the definition of a Lie algebroid representation.

**Definition I.8** (Connection). Let  $A \rightarrow M$  be a Lie algebroid, let  $E$  be a vector bundle over  $M$ . An  $E$ -connection relative to  $A$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear map  $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ ,  $(a, e) \mapsto \nabla_a e$  such that  $\nabla_{fa} e = f \nabla_a e$  for all  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , and

$$\nabla_a f e = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(a)}(f) \cdot e + f \nabla_a e \quad (4)$$

where  $\rho$  is the anchor of  $A$ . A connection is said to be flat if  $\nabla_{[a, b]} = [\nabla_a, \nabla_b]$ . Observe that fixing  $a \in \Gamma(A)$ ,  $\nabla_a$  is a linear map from  $\Gamma(E)$  to itself satisfying the Leibniz rule of the above formula. In this sense, the flatness condition is very much a generalization of Eq. (3) in the definition of a Lie algebra representation.

**Example I.3.** In the case that  $A = TM$ , we recover the definition of a connection on smooth manifold  $M$ .

**Example I.4.** Suppose  $A = \mathfrak{g} \times M$  for some Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Suppose  $(V, \rho)$  is a Lie algebra representation. Let  $E = V \times M$  be the trivial bundle. Define  $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$  as  $\nabla_a e = \rho(a) \cdot e$ . Clearly, this map is bilinear, and is  $C^\infty(M)$ -linear in  $a$  and  $e$ . It follows since  $\rho = 0$  that the required conditions are satisfied and  $\nabla$  defined directly from  $\rho$  is a connection. In fact, it is a flat connection, as

$$\nabla_{[a, b]} = \rho([a, b]) = [\rho(a), \rho(b)] = [\nabla_a, \nabla_b]. \quad (5)$$

A connection gives us the machinery required to talk about parallel transport and holonomy in a vector bundle. We begin by restricting our attention to connections on  $TM$ . In particular, given some  $E$ -connection  $\nabla$  on  $TM$ , suppose  $\gamma$  is a path in  $M$ . Let  $E_{\gamma(0)}$  and  $E_{\gamma(1)}$  denote the fibres over the endpoints. Our goal is to define an isomorphism between the two fibres.

**Definition I.9.** We say that a section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(E)$  is flat relative to  $\nabla$  along the path  $\gamma$  if  $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}(\sigma)(\gamma(t)) = 0$  for all  $t$ .

**Claim I.1.** Given  $\nabla$  and curve  $\gamma$ , there exists a unique flat section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(E)$  relative to  $\nabla$  along  $\gamma$ .

We need a local form for  $\nabla$ :

**Remark I.3.** TODO: Should probably revisit and make this explanation a bit more clear/sequential Let  $\nabla$  be an  $E$ -connection (where we assume  $E$  to be an  $n$ -dimensional real vector bundle) on  $TM$ . Let  $(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)$  be the local trivialization of  $E$ , so  $\varphi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is a homeomorphism. Then if  $\sigma \in \Gamma(E)$ , we have  $\varphi_\alpha \circ \sigma|_{U_\alpha} : U_\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is well-defined, and is a section of the trivial bundle  $U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^n$  over  $U_\alpha$ , as

$$\text{proj} \circ (\varphi_\alpha \circ \sigma|_{U_\alpha}) = \pi \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1} \circ \varphi_\alpha \circ \sigma|_{U_\alpha} = \pi \circ \sigma|_{U_\alpha} = \text{id}|_{U_\alpha}. \quad (6)$$

It is equally easy to show that if  $\sigma \in \Gamma(U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R})$ , then  $\varphi_\alpha^{-1} \circ \sigma$  is in  $\Gamma(\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha))$ . Let us consider for some fixed  $v \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  the connection relative to  $v$  on the trivial bundle,  $\nabla_v|_{U_\alpha} \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ . Note that  $\nabla_v$  is defined on  $\Gamma(E)$ , the global sections. However, note that we can define a smooth partition of unity vanishing outside  $U_\alpha$ , implying we may extend any  $\sigma \in \Gamma(\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha))$  to a global section  $\tilde{\sigma}$ . In addition, we may prove that  $\nabla_v$  is a local operation: if  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are in  $\Gamma(E)$  and are the same on a neighbourhood  $U$ , then for  $p \in U$ , there is a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p$ , with  $p \in V \subset U$  such that  $\nabla_v(\sigma)(p) = \nabla_v(\sigma')(p)$ . To prove this, note that since  $M$  is a smooth manifold, we can always take  $V$  to be a coordinate ball with closure inside  $U$ . We let  $\chi$  be a smooth bump function equal to 1 on  $\bar{V}$  and vanishing outside  $U$ . We have  $\chi\sigma = \chi\sigma'$ . Then, for any  $q \in V$ ,

$$\nabla_v(\chi\sigma)(q) = \mathcal{L}_v(\chi)(q) \cdot \sigma(q) + \nabla_v(\sigma)(q) \quad (7)$$

as well as

$$\nabla_v(\chi\sigma')(q) = \mathcal{L}_v(\chi)(q) \cdot \sigma(q) + \nabla_v(\sigma)(q) \quad (8)$$

which implies that  $\nabla_v(\sigma)(q) = \nabla_v(\sigma')(q)$ . It follows that  $\nabla_v|_U$  is well-defined, and can easily be seen to be a connection on  $\Gamma(\pi^{-1}(U))$ .

The map  $\nabla_v|_U \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is from  $\Gamma(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\Gamma(U)$ . Note that any  $\sigma \in \Gamma(U \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  is necessarily of the form  $p \mapsto (f_1(p), \dots, f_n(p)) = f_1(p)e_1 + \dots + f_n(p)e_n$ . From linearity, we only need to know the action of  $\nabla_v \circ \varphi^{-1}$  on  $f_k \cdot e_k$ . Of course,

$$(\varphi^{-1} \circ (f_k e_k))(p) = \varphi^{-1}(p, f_k(p)e_k) = f_k(p)\varphi^{-1}(p, e_k) \quad (9)$$

so that  $\varphi^{-1} \circ f_k e_k = f_k \cdot \varphi^{-1}(\cdot, e_k)$ . It follows that

$$(\nabla_v|_U \circ \varphi^{-1})(f_k e_k) = \nabla_v(f_k \cdot \varphi^{-1}(\cdot, e_k)) = \mathcal{L}_v(f_k) \cdot \varphi^{-1}(\cdot, e_k) + f_k \cdot \nabla_v \varphi^{-1}(\cdot, e_k) \quad (10)$$

We define  $A_{jk}$  by

$$\nabla_v \varphi^{-1}(\cdot, e_j)(p) = \sum_k A_{jk}(p) \varphi^{-1}(p, e_k) \quad (11)$$

It follows immediately that we can write  $\nabla_v \circ \varphi^{-1}$  as  $\mathcal{L}_v + A$ , where we take the Lie derivative of the components of  $\sigma$ , and  $A$  is a linear function depending on  $p$ . The condition of flatness along some curve  $\gamma$  immediately reduces, locally, to solving a linear ODE. Local existence and uniqueness of solutions to ODEs of this form gives us the existence of uniqueness of a flat section **TODO: elaborate**.

**Definition I.10** (Parallel transport operation). We define the parallel transport operation of  $\nabla$  along  $\gamma$  to be the isomorphism between  $E_{\gamma(0)}$  and  $E_{\gamma(1)}$  induced by the flat section. **TODO: prove this is an isomorphism**

Now, let us consider the added condition that  $\nabla$  is a flat connection.

**Claim I.2.** If  $\nabla$  is a flat connection, then  $P_\gamma = P_{\gamma'}$  for  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  which are path-homotopic.

*Proof.* **TODO: Spend some time trying to fill in this proof, seems probably of non-trivial difficulty.**  $\square$

**Definition I.11** (Lie algebroid representation). We replace  $(V, \rho)$  with  $(E, \nabla)$ , where  $E$  is a vector bundle over  $M$ , the base manifold of the Lie algebroid  $A \rightarrow M$ , and  $\nabla$  is a flat connection.

**Remark I.4.** Just as a Lie algebra representation gives us a map from the Lie algebra to operators on some vector space, the Lie algebroid representation gives a map  $\nabla$  which takes a section  $\Gamma(A)$  and induces a map from  $\Gamma(E)$  to itself. Moreover, such a map induces isomorphisms between all fibres of the vector bundle  $E$  via parallel transport.

## II. Representations up to homotopy

Now, let us begin our discussion of representation up to homotopy.

**Remark II.1.** Again drawing from Abad and Crainic, we note the main idea of representation up to homotopy: “the idea is to represent Lie algebroids in cochain complexes of vector bundles, rather than in vector bundles”.

Note that given a Lie algebroid  $A$ , since it is a vector bundle, there is an associated De Rham complex  $\Omega^\bullet(A) = \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$  where the differential operator  $d_A : \Omega^k(A) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(A)$  defined by the Koszul formula

$$d_A \omega(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) = \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([\alpha_i, \alpha_j]_A, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) \quad (12)$$

$$+ \sum_i (-1)^i \mathcal{L}_{\rho(\alpha_i)} \omega(\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) \quad (13)$$

where each  $\alpha_k \in \Gamma(A)$ . One can easily check that in the case  $A = TM$ ,  $d_A$  is the standard exterior derivative and we are left with the standard De Rham cohomology.

**Remark II.2.** Note that this formula is actually a valid definition of a differential form, which takes elements of the vector bundle as arguments (not necessarily vector fields). One can show that given  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}$  in some fibre  $A_p$ , then any extension to smooth vector fields  $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{k+1}$  will yield the same value  $d_A \omega(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{k+1})_p$ .

Let us also make note of the fact that if  $\nabla$  is an  $E$ -connection for  $A$ , then we can define *another* operator  $d_\nabla$  on  $\Omega^\bullet(A, E) = \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^* \otimes E)$  given by a similar formula

$$d_\nabla \omega(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) = \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([\alpha_i, \alpha_j]_A, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) \quad (14)$$

$$+ \sum_i (-1)^i \mathcal{L}_{\rho(\alpha_i)} \omega(\alpha_1, \dots, \widehat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_{k+1}) \quad (15)$$

Note that  $d_\nabla$  satisfies the Leibniz rule always, but squares to 0 only when  $\nabla$  is flat.

**Definition II.1** (Representation up to homotopy). A representation up to homotopy of  $A$  on a graded vector bundle  $E$  is a differential operator  $D : \Omega^k(A, E) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(A, E)$  (where degree is computed by taking the sum of the degrees in  $\wedge^\bullet A^*$  and  $E^\bullet$ ). In particular, it satisfies

$$D(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (D\alpha) \wedge \beta + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \wedge (D\beta) \quad (16)$$

as well as  $D^2 = 0$ .

If  $(E, \nabla)$  is a representation of Lie algebroid  $A$ , so  $\nabla$  is flat, is  $(E, d_\nabla)$  a representation up to homotopy? I still don't feel like I really appreciate this definition. What does this have to do with deformation cohomology, I keep seeing this in other papers...

Now we move in to the contents of the paper, *The  $A_\infty$  de Rham theorem and integration of representations up to homotopy*. We start by defining a representation up to homotopy. **Going to have to revisit after I get a better grasp of what representations up to homotopy are.**

## III. Chen's iterated integral and the Igusa map

Sec. 3 of Ref. [?] begins by stating its intention to construct an  $A_\infty$ -quasi-isomorphism of  $DG$ -algebras, from  $(\Omega(M), -d, \wedge)$  to  $(C(M), \delta, \cup)$ . We start by defining the *bar complex* as the graded algebra of  $DG$ -algebra  $(A, d, \wedge)$ , given by

$$B(sA) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} (sA)^{\otimes k} \quad (17)$$

where  $sA$  is the suspension: it shifts the index of the grading of  $A$  by 1 forward, so  $(sA)^k = A^{k+1}$ .  $B(sA)$  is graded because

$$(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_j) \otimes (b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_k) \in (sA)^{\otimes(j+k)}. \quad (18)$$

clearly. **Why do we even need the suspension?** Note that the bar complex carries coboundary  $D$  (formula in the paper).

**Definition III.1** (Path space). Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold, the path space  $PM$  is  $C^\infty([0, 1], M)$  equipped with the  $C^1$ -topology. We say that a map  $f : X \rightarrow PM$  is smooth if  $(t, x) \mapsto f(x)(t)$  is smooth. The  $C^1$ -topology is defined as follows: we take the coarsest topology making the map from  $C^\infty([0, 1], M)$  to  $C(T[0, 1], TM) = C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, TM)$  with the compact-open topology, which sends  $f \mapsto f_*$ , continuous. Note that the compact-open topology is the topology on a function space where we take the collection of all  $V(K, U)$ , sets of functions from a compact subset to an open subset, as a subbasis for the topology.

At a high-level, we want the differential to be the piece of data which determines the topology. The compact-open topology for the codomain is also a natural choice. **why?** After some investigation, it appears as though path space doesn't really have a natural smooth manifold structure. This means that in order to define a "differential form on path space", we will have to take a different approach.

**Definition III.2** (Forms on  $PM$ ). The idea with defining a differential form on  $PM$  is by specifying its "pull-back" to every smooth manifold under every possible smooth map  $f : X \rightarrow PM$ . To be more specific, we have data which associated a differential form  $f^*\alpha$  on  $X$  with a pair  $(X, f)$ : this allows us to "recover  $\alpha$ ", which can be thought of as the differential form on  $PM$ .

To be more precise, the paper takes  $C^\infty(-, PM)$  to be the category of all  $(X, f)$  where  $X$  is a finite dimensional smooth manifold and  $f : X \rightarrow PM$  is smooth (with smoothness defined above). The morphisms from  $(X, f)$  to  $(Y, g)$  are smooth maps  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f = h^*(g) = g \circ h$ . One can easily check this constitutes a valid category.

We then let  $\mathbb{R}(-)$  be the trivial functor from  $C^\infty(-, PM)$  to **Vect**, the category of real vector space, which collapses all objects to  $\mathbb{R}$  and all morphisms to  $\text{id}$ . Finally, we define functor  $\underline{\Omega}(-)$  from  $C^\infty(-, PM)$  to **Vect** taking  $(X, f)$  to  $\Omega(X)$  and  $h \mapsto h^*$ .

We say that *a form on  $PM$  is a natural transformation from  $\mathbb{R}(-)$  to  $\underline{\Omega}(-)$* . Let us unpack this: we must have a family of morphisms  $\eta_{(X, f)} : \mathbb{R}(X, f) = \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Omega(X)$  such that for  $h : (X, f) \rightarrow (Y, g)$ , we have

$$\eta_{(X, f)} = \eta_{(X, h^*g)} = h^* \circ \eta_{(Y, g)} \quad (19)$$

**Still figuring out why this definition coincides with the intuition of the first few sentences.**

From here, we make some other definitions inspired by the theory of smooth manifolds: a continuous map  $f : PM \rightarrow PN$  is said to be smooth if the pre-composition  $f \circ g$  with smooth  $g : X \rightarrow PM$  is smooth. Note that if  $f : PM \rightarrow PN$  is smooth, then there is an induced pullback map  $f^* : \Omega(PN) \rightarrow \Omega(PM)$  where natural transformation  $\eta \in \Omega(PN)$  is sent to  $f^*\eta \in \Omega(PM)$  defined as

$$(f^*\eta)_{(X, g)} = \eta_{(X, f \circ g)} \quad (20)$$

Note that if  $h : (X, g) \rightarrow (Y, g')$  is an arrow, then  $g = g' \circ h$ , so  $f \circ g = f \circ g' \circ h$ , implying that  $h : (X, f \circ g) \rightarrow (Y, f \circ g')$  is an arrow. Thus,

$$(f^*\eta)_{(X, g)} = \eta_{(X, f \circ g)} = h^* \circ \eta_{(Y, f \circ g')} = h^* \circ (f^*\eta)_{(Y, g')} \quad (21)$$

which immediately implies that  $f^*\eta$  is a valid natural transformation.

#### IV. Resources

- The  $A_\infty$  de Rham theorem and integration of representations up to homotopy: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1011.4693>

- *Representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids*: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/0901.0319>
- *Deformations of Lie brackets: cohomological aspects*: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0403434>
- *Pursuing stacks*: <https://thescrivener.github.io/PursuingStacks/ps-online.pdf>
- *Camilo Arias Abad's thesis on representations up to homotopy*

## V. Questions

-