

# Solutions to Hatcher's algebraic topology book

Jack Ceroni\*

(Dated: Thursday 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2025)

## I. Chapter 0

**Solution I.1** (Problem 0.1). Recall that  $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$ , remove point  $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ . We can represent points in  $S^1 \times S^1$  by a pair of angles in  $S = [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi] - (0, 0)$ , the idea is to homotop this pair to the boundary of the square  $[-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]$  (which we can do continuously as we omit the origin). The resulting boundary of the square is precisely the space  $-1 \times S^1 \cup S^1 \times -1$ , which is a pair of circles wedged at  $(-1, -1)$ .

**Solution I.2** (Problem 0.2). Simply use  $F(x, t) = (1 - t)x + \frac{tx}{\|x\|}$ .

**Solution I.3** (Problem 0.3). If  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $Y \rightarrow Z$  are homotopy equivalences (called  $f_1$  and  $g_1$  respectively, with corresponding backward maps  $f_2$  and  $g_2$ ), then

$$(g_1 \circ f_1) \circ (f_2 \circ g_2) \simeq g_1 \circ g_2 \simeq \text{id} \quad (1)$$

with similar logic showing that  $(f_2 \circ g_2) \circ (g_1 \circ f_1) \simeq \text{id}$ , where we construct a homotopy by concatenating the homotopy  $f_1 \circ f_2 \simeq \text{id}$  and the homotopy  $g_1 \circ g_2 \simeq \text{id}$ . This same concatenation argument shows that if maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  are homotopic via  $F$  and  $g, h : X \rightarrow Y$  are homotopic via  $G$ , then  $f$  and  $h$  are homotopic via  $G \star F$ . Finally, if  $f$  is homotopic to  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ , which is a homotopy equivalence with backward map  $h : Y \rightarrow X$ , then by transitivity of homotopy proved earlier,

$$f \circ h \simeq g \circ h \simeq \text{id} \quad \text{and} \quad h \circ f \simeq h \circ g \simeq \text{id} \quad (2)$$

so that  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence.

**Solution I.4** (Problem 0.4). Let  $r : X \rightarrow A$  be defined as  $r(x) = f_1(x)$ . Then note that  $r \circ \iota : A \rightarrow A$  is homotopic to the identity via the homotopy  $G : A \times [0, 1] \rightarrow A$  given by  $G(x, t) = f_t(x)$ , which is well-defined at  $f_t(A) \subset A$  for all  $t$ . Similarly,  $\iota \circ r$  is homotopic to the identity via  $F = f_t$ .

## II. Chapter 1

### A. Section 1.1

**Solution II.1** (Problem 1.1.17). The idea is to loop the first circle of the wedge around the second one exactly  $n$  times. In particular, suppose  $S^1 \vee S^1$  is realized as the space  $S^1 \times \{1\} \cup \{1\} \times S^1$  (where we think of  $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ ). We define  $r_n : S^1 \vee S^1 \rightarrow \{1\} \times S^1$  as

$$r_n(e^{i\theta} \times 1) = 1 \times e^{in\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad r_n(1 \times e^{i\theta}) = 1 \times e^{i\theta}. \quad (3)$$

It is clear that this function is continuous. This is clearly a retraction onto  $\{1\} \times S^1 \simeq S^1$ . To see that all of the  $r_n$  are not mutually homotopic, recall that each of the homotopy classes of  $S^1$  based at 1 are represented by the loops  $\omega_n : t \mapsto e^{2\pi i n t}$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If we had  $r_n \simeq r_m$  via homotopy  $H$  for  $m \neq n$ , this would give a homotopy between  $\omega_n$  and  $\omega_m$  via

$$G(s, t) = (\pi_2 \circ H)(e^{2\pi i s} \times 1, t) \quad (4)$$

---

\* jceroni@uchicago.edu

where  $\pi_2 : \{1\} \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  is projection. In particular,

$$G(s, 0) = (\pi_2 \circ H)(e^{2\pi is} \times 1, 0) = (\pi_2 \circ r_n)(e^{2\pi is} \times 1) = e^{2\pi ins} = r_n(s) \quad (5)$$

and

$$G(s, 1) = (\pi_2 \circ H)(e^{2\pi is} \times 1, 1) = (\pi_2 \circ r_m)(e^{2\pi is} \times 1) = e^{2\pi ims} = r_m(s) \quad (6)$$

which is a contradiction.

**Solution II.2** (Problem 1.1.18). Let  $f : S^{n-1} \rightarrow A$  be the attaching map which attaches  $e^n$  to  $A$  to form  $X \simeq D^n \sqcup_f A$ . Then  $X$  is the union of open sets  $e^n = \text{Int}(D^n)$  and  $X - \{p\}$  for some  $p \in e^n$ . The intersection of these open sets is  $e^n - \{p\}$  which is path-connected (as  $n \geq 2$ ), and from Lemma 1.15 we can write any loop in  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$  for some  $x_0 \in e^n - \{p\}$  as a product of loops contained in either of these open sets. Of course, any loop in  $e^n$  is nullhomotopic, so any loop is a product of loops contained in  $X - \{p\}$ .

Thus, the inclusion  $\iota_* : \pi_1(X - \{p\}, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x_0)$  is a surjection. We can choose some  $y_0 \in A$ , and note that since  $A$  (thus the whole space  $X$  and  $X - \{p\}$  are, as we attach a cell of dimension 2 or more) is path-connected, a path from  $x_0$  to  $y_0$  will induce isomorphisms  $\pi_1(X - \{p\}, x_0) \simeq \pi_1(X - \{p\}, y_0)$  and  $\pi_1(X, x_0) \simeq \pi_1(X, y_0)$ . The overall effect of composing these maps with  $\iota_*$  is to take a loop in  $X - \{p\}$  based at  $y_0$ , move its basepoint to  $x_0$ , map it via inclusion into  $X$ , and move the basepoint back to  $x_0$ . This is the same map on homotopy classes as the inclusion  $\iota_* : \pi_1(X - \{p\}, y_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, y_0)$ , so this map is an inclusion. Finally, note that  $\iota_* : \pi_1(A, y_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(X - \{p\}, y_0)$  is an isomorphism, as  $X - \{p\}$  deformation retracts to  $A$ . Thus, the inclusion of  $A$  in  $X$  induces a surjection on fundamental groups. From here:

- $S^1 \vee S^2$  is obtained by attaching a two-cell to  $S^1$  at a single point, so  $\iota_* : \pi_1(S^1, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^1 \vee S^2, x_0)$  is a surjection. Moreover,  $S^1 \vee S^2$  retracts to  $S^1$ , so  $\iota_*$  is also an injection. Thus,  $\pi_1(S^1 \vee S^2, x_0) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ .
- Assuming that  $X$  contains finitely many cells, note that  $X$  can be constructed by repeatedly attaching cells  $e^n$  with  $n \geq 2$  to  $X^1$ . Composing each of these inclusions gives the desired result. In the case that  $X$  contains infinitely many cells, we know that a compact subset of  $X$  is contained in a finite subset of the cells. Thus, given some  $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X, x_0)$ , note that the image of  $\gamma$  is compact and therefore contained in some finite subsets of cell  $Y$ , which can be obtained by attaching finitely many cells to  $X^1$ . Define  $\eta : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  as  $\eta(t) = \gamma(t)$ , so that  $j_*[\eta] = [\gamma]$  for inclusion  $j : Y \rightarrow X$ . From above, the inclusion  $\iota_* : \pi_1(X^1, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, x_0)$  will be a surjection, so pick  $\theta$  where  $\iota_*[\theta] = j_*[\eta] = [\gamma]$ , and we have the desired result.

**Solution II.3** (Problem 1.1.19). Let  $\gamma$  be a loop in  $X$ , since  $\gamma$  is compact we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $X$  is made up of a finite number of cells (see Problem 1.1.18). Let  $e_1^1, \dots, e_k^1$  be the set of 1-cells in  $X$ . For the  $j$ -th cell  $e_j^1$ , let  $\Phi_j : D^1 \rightarrow X$  be the corresponding characteristic map. Depending on whether both endpoints of  $D^1 \simeq [0, 1]$  are attached to  $p \in X^0$  or just one, choose an open set  $U_j$  of one or both endpoints of the form  $[0, \varepsilon)$ ,  $(1 - \varepsilon, 1]$ , or  $[0, \varepsilon) \cup (1 - \varepsilon, 1]$ . Then  $\Phi_j(U_j)$  is a contractible open neighbourhood of  $p$ .

From here, we cover  $X$  with the 1-cells, along with all of the contractible  $\Phi_j(U_j)$ . Let  $R_t^j : X \rightarrow X$  be the homotopy which shrinks  $\Phi_j(U_j)$  to  $p$  and stretches  $\Phi_j([0, 1] - U_j)$  so that  $[0, 1] - U_j$  is mapped homeomorphically onto all of  $\overline{e_j^1}$ , with the endpoint (or endpoints) in  $\partial U_j$  taken to  $p$ . We note that we can partition  $[0, 1]$  into a finite collection on intervals  $[s_j, s_{j+1}]$  taken by  $\gamma$  into one of the open sets described (by the Lebesgue number lemma). This allows us to write  $\gamma$  as a (finite) product of paths in each of the open sets,  $\beta_1 \star \dots \star \beta_n$ .

Let  $R_t$  be the concatenation of all homotopies  $R_t^j$ . We note that  $R_t$  will fix the basepoint  $x_0$  of  $\gamma$ , which is assumed to be a 0-cell, so  $R_t \circ \gamma$  is a path-homotopy, so

$$\gamma \simeq R_1 \circ \gamma = R_1 \circ (\beta_1 \star \dots \star \beta_n) = (R_1 \circ \beta_1) \star \dots \star (R_1 \circ \beta_n) \quad (7)$$

which has the effect of shrinking the  $\beta_k$  contained in some  $\Phi_j(U_j)$  to a point and stretching  $\beta_k$  in  $e_j^1$  to the closure  $\overline{e_j^1}$ . Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\gamma$  is path-homotopic to a composition of

paths each of which is contained in some  $\overline{e_j^1}$ . Moreover, by combining neighbouring paths in the composition which lie in the same cell-closure, we can assume that the endpoints of each  $\beta_k$  are the endpoints of a cell. Depending on whether these endpoints are the same or different, we can use a straight-line homotopy, which preserves endpoints, taking  $\beta_k$  to its single endpoint, or the path going from one endpoint to the other. This gives us the desired result:  $\gamma$  is path-homotopic to a finite composition of paths traversing the edges of the cell-complex.

## B. Section 1.2

*Before jumping into the exercises of this section, we find it necessary to provide our own brief proof of Seifert Van-Kampen, following both the detailed treatment of Munkres and the brief treatment of Hatcher.*

**Definition II.1.** Let  $G$  be a group. A word in  $G$  is an element of the set of finite-length tuples of elements of  $W(G)$ , of the form  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ . A word  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  represents  $g \in G$  if  $g_1 \cdots g_n = g$ .

**Definition II.2** (Free product). Given a collection of subgroups  $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ , we say that a word  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  is a word in these subgroups if each  $g_j$  is in some  $G_\alpha$ . We say that  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  is reduced if  $g_j \neq 1$  for all  $j$  and adjacent  $g_j$  and  $g_{j+1}$  are contained in distinct  $G_\alpha$ . We say that  $G$  is a *free product* of the  $G_\alpha$  if  $G_\alpha \cap G_\beta = \{1\}$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and each  $g \in G$  is represented by a *unique* reduced word in the  $G_\alpha$ .

**Lemma II.1.** Let  $G$  be a group with subgroups  $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ , let  $(w_1, \dots, w_\ell)$  be a word in the  $G_\alpha$  representing  $w$ . Then there exists a reduced word representing  $w$  which can be obtained from  $(w_1, \dots, w_\ell)$  by removing all instances of 1 and then performing a finite sequence of mappings

$$(w_1, \dots, w_\ell) \mapsto (w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, w_n w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, \dots, w_\ell) \quad \text{when } w_n, w_{n+1} \in G_\alpha \text{ and } w_n w_{n+1} \neq 1 \quad (8)$$

$$(w_1, \dots, w_\ell) \mapsto (w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, w_{n+2}, \dots, w_\ell) \quad \text{when } w_n, w_{n+1} \in G_\alpha \text{ and } w_n w_{n+1} = 1 \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* Of course, removing instances of 1 does not change the element of  $G$  that  $(w_1, \dots, w_\ell)$  represents, so without loss of generality, assume  $w_j \neq 1$  for all  $j$ . Additionally, the above operations do not change the element of  $G$  that the word represents. If  $(w_1, \dots, w_\ell)$  is a word such that *neither* of the above operations can be performed, we must have all adjacent  $w_j$  in distinct  $G_\alpha$ , so  $(w_1, \dots, w_\ell)$  is reduced. Since the above operations strictly reduce the length of the word, it follows by induction on the length of the word that the claim holds.  $\square$

**Proposition II.1** (Extension property of free products). Suppose  $G$  is a free product of  $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ . Then if  $H$  is another group, and  $\varphi_\alpha : G_\alpha \rightarrow H$  are homomorphisms, there is a unique homomorphism  $\Phi : G \rightarrow H$  such that  $\Phi|_{G_\alpha} = \varphi_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* If  $G$  is a free product of the  $G_\alpha$ , given  $g \in G$  there is a unique reduced word in the  $G_\alpha$ , called  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  such that  $g_1 \cdots g_n = g$ . Define  $\Phi(g) = \varphi_{\alpha_1}(g_1) \cdots \varphi_{\alpha_n}(g_n)$  where  $g_j \in G_{\alpha_j}$ . To check that this is a homomorphism, take some  $h$  represented by reduced word  $(h_1, \dots, h_m)$  with  $h_j \in G_{\beta_j}$ . We have

$$\Phi(g)\Phi(h) = \varphi_{\alpha_1}(g_1) \cdots \varphi_{\alpha_n}(g_n) \varphi_{\beta_1}(h_1) \cdots \varphi_{\beta_m}(h_m) \quad (10)$$

Consider the word  $w = (g_1, \dots, g_n, h_1, \dots, h_m)$ . This word represents  $gh$ , which has a unique reduced representation. As was explained earlier, we can obtain this unique reduced representation by performing a finite sequence of manipulations to  $w$ :

$$(w_1, \dots, w_\ell) \mapsto (w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, w_n w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, \dots, w_\ell) \quad \text{when } \gamma_n = \gamma_{n+1} \text{ and } w_n w_{n+1} \neq 1 \quad (11)$$

$$(w_1, \dots, w_\ell) \mapsto (w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, w_{n+2}, \dots, w_\ell) \quad \text{when } \gamma_n = \gamma_{n+1} \text{ and } w_n w_{n+1} = 1 \quad (12)$$

It is easy to see that none of these moves

$\square$

**Remark II.1.** Conversely, if  $G$  satisfies this extension property for some collection of homomorphisms  $\varphi_\alpha : G_\alpha \rightarrow H$  from subgroups, then  $G$  is a free product of the  $G_\alpha$ . This proof is harder.

**Definition II.3** (External free products).

We have defined what an external free product of a collection of groups is, but the question still remains as to whether they even *exist*. As it turns out, they do.

**Proposition II.2** (Existence of external free products).

**Remark II.2.**

**Proposition II.3** (Extension property of external free products).

### III. Section 1.3

### IV. Chapter 2

#### A. Section 2.2

**Solution IV.1** (Problem 2.2.43). First, let us state what it means for a chain complex to split into a direct sum. If we consider the chain complex of groups  $C_n$ , it splits into a direct sum of chain complexes of groups  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  if we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & A_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & A_n & \longrightarrow & A_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & C_n & \longrightarrow & C_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & B_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & B_n & \longrightarrow & B_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

where each of the vertical sequences is short exact and splits, that is  $C_n \simeq A_n \oplus B_n$  for all  $n$ . As a first step, consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\partial) \xrightarrow{\iota} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Im}(\partial) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the  $C_n$  are free Abelian groups. Because  $C_n$  is free Abelian, it has a basis  $\{x_\alpha^{(n)}\}_{\alpha \in J}$  where each subgroup  $C_{n,\alpha} = \langle x_\alpha^{(n)} \rangle$  is infinite cyclic and  $C$  is the direct sum of the  $C_{n,\alpha}$ . Let  $I \subset J$  be the collection of  $\alpha$  such that  $\partial x_\alpha^{(n)} = 0$  and let  $K = J - I$ . We define a family of homomorphisms  $\phi_\alpha : C_{n,\alpha} \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\partial)$  as follows: if  $\alpha \in I$ , then  $\phi_\alpha = \iota$ , inclusion, and if  $\alpha \in K$ , then  $\phi_\alpha = 0$ . It then follows from the extension property of the direct sum that we can extend this family of homomorphisms uniquely to a homomorphism  $\Phi : C_n \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\partial)$  which restricts to the  $\phi_\alpha$  on the subgroups. It is clear that  $\Phi \circ \iota = \text{id}$ , so from the splitting lemma, our short exact sequence splits and we have  $C_n \simeq \text{Ker}(\partial) \oplus \text{Im}(\partial)$ .

This procedure gives us the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\partial_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \text{Ker}(\partial_n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\partial_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & C_n & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & \text{Ker}(\partial_{n-1}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial_n & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Im}(\partial_n) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \text{Ker}(\partial_{n-1}) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

If we let  $K_n = \text{Ker}(\partial_n)$  and  $L_{n+1} = \text{Im}(\partial_{n+1})$ , then this diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & L_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & K_n & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & L_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & C_n & \longrightarrow & K_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & L_n & \longrightarrow & K_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

so that the direct sum of the complexes in the bottom and top row is  $0 \rightarrow L_{n+1} \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ . We can repeat this process inductively to write the entire chain complex as an iterated direct sum of length-at-most-2 subcomplexes.

Moving on to Part B, let us assume that each of the  $C_n$  are finitely generated.