

# MUNKRES TOPOLOGY SOLUTIONS

JACK CERONI

## CONTENTS

1. Topological Groups	2
2. Section 30	2
2.1. Problem 16a	2
2.2. Problem 16b	2
2.3. Problem 17	3

## 1. TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

## 2. SECTION 30

**2.1. Problem 16a.** *Show that the product space  $\mathbb{R}^I$  with  $I$  the unit interval has a countable dense subset.*

We let  $S$  be the set of all points that are rational for finitely many coordinates, and 0 at all other coordinates. Clearly, such a set is countable, as the rationals are countable, and:

$$S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$$

where  $S_n$  is the set of all points such that  $n$  coordinates are rational, and the rest are 0. It is easy to check that this is a dense set: given some  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^I$ , and some basis element  $U = \prod_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ , with  $U_\alpha$  open in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we will have  $U_\alpha = \mathbb{R}$  except for finitely many  $\alpha \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ .

We then pick a rational point in each  $U_{\alpha_j}$  for  $\alpha_j \in \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ , and let  $\mathbf{y}$  be equal to these rational points at the corresponding coordinates, and 0 otherwise. Clearly,  $\mathbf{y} \in S$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in U$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{S}$ , so  $S$  is dense.

**2.2. Problem 16b.** *Show that if  $J$  has cardinality greater than  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ , then  $\mathbb{R}^J$  does not have a countable dense subset as a product space.*

Our strategy is to show that if  $\mathbb{R}^J$  has a countable dense subset, then there exists some injection of  $J$  into  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ .

Let  $A$  be the countable dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}^J$ . It follows that for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^J$ , and every neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $U$  intersects  $A$ . We define a map  $g : J \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$  as:

$$g(\alpha) = A \cap \pi_\alpha^{-1}((a, b))$$

where  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}$  is chosen arbitrarily. Clearly,  $g(\alpha) \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ , for each  $\alpha$ , as  $g(\alpha)$  is a subset of  $A$ . We show that  $g$  is an injection. Suppose:

$$A \cap \pi_\alpha^{-1}((a, b)) = A \cap \pi_\beta^{-1}((a, b))$$

Suppose  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Let  $B = A \cap \pi_\alpha^{-1}((a, b)) \cap \pi_\beta^{-1}((c, d))$ , where  $(c, d) \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$ . Clearly,  $B$  is non-empty as  $A$  is dense. Thus, there exists some  $\mathbf{y} \in A$  such that  $y_\alpha \in (a, b)$ , but  $y_\beta \notin (a, b)$ , contradicting the above. It follows that  $\alpha = \beta$ , so our map is injective.

Finally, since  $A$  is countable, there is a bijection  $h : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Hence, there is a bijection  $h' : \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ . Thus, letting  $f = h' \circ g$ , and we have our desired injection.

**2.3. Problem 17.** Give  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$  the box topology, and let  $\mathbb{Q}^\infty$  be the set of all rational sequences which end in a string of 0s. Which of the four countability axioms does this space satisfy?

Note that  $\mathbb{Q}^\infty$  is itself countable. Thus, it has a countable dense subset (itself), and is clearly Lindelof.

However, this space is **not** first-countable, and is therefore not second-countable. Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be an arbitrary point, and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable collection of non-empty open neighbourhoods of  $\mathbf{x}$ . We claim that this set is not a basis at  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Clearly, we will have  $B_n = V_n \cap \mathbb{Q}^\infty$ , for  $V_n$  open in  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$ , for each  $n$ . Clearly, we then have:

$$\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (a_k^n, b_k^n) \subset V_n$$

by definition of the box topology. We define  $U$  open in  $\mathbb{Q}^\infty$  as follows:

$$U = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \cap \mathbb{Q}^\infty$$

where  $U_n$  is chosen such that  $U_n$  is an interval strictly contained in  $(a_n^n, b_n^n)$  (which was defined above). We can guarantee strict containment, as we are working in the box topology.

We claim that  $U$  contains no  $B_n$ . Indeed, suppose we have  $B_N \subset U$ . Then, from above, we must have  $(a_k^N, b_k^N) \subset U_k$  for all  $k$ . But this is not true for  $k = N$ . Thus,  $U$  cannot contain any element of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

### 3. SECTION 31

#### 3.1. Problem 9a.