## Meromorphic connections and the Stokes phenomenon

Jack Ceroni\* (Dated: Wednesday 17<sup>th</sup> January, 2024)

## I. Introduction

This is a set of lecture notes written while taking Marco Gualtieri's course at the University of Toronto in Winter 2024, Topics in geometry: Meromorphic connections and the Stokes phenomenon.

## II. Lecture 1

The first goal is to describe the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. We let X be a complex manifold. Let  $V \to X$  denote a holomorphic vector bundle, which is to say a vector bundle where the transition functions  $\varphi_U \circ \varphi_V^{-1}$  of the local trivialization are holomorphic. Let  $\mathrm{Tot}(V)$  be the total space of the bundle.

We begin with providing two definitions for a *connection*. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the space of all local sections of  $V \to X$  (which are simply continuous right inverses of  $\pi : \text{Tot}(V) \to X$ ). We define a connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{V} \to \Omega^1(X) \otimes \mathcal{V}$  to be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map such that

$$\nabla(fh) = df \otimes h + f\nabla h \tag{1}$$

for all holomorphic functions f on X and holomorphic sections  $h \in \mathcal{V}$ . We emphasize that addition makes sense here, as  $h, h' \in \mathcal{V}$  take values h(x), h'(x) inside the same fibre above some point x, which has vector space structure, so  $\Omega^{-1}(X) \otimes \mathcal{V}$  has vector space structure.

A connection allows us to define the notion of a derivative along a vector field  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(X)$ . Namely, given Y, a choice of a tangent vector for each tangent space, there is of course a natural induced map  $Y: \Omega^1(X) \otimes \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ . We define  $\nabla_Y = Y \circ \nabla : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ . Going forward, let  $\mathrm{Conn}(V)$  denote the space of connections. Note that this is an affine space for  $\Omega^1(X, \mathrm{End}(V))$ , which is taken to be the space of 1-forms which take on values in  $\mathrm{End}(V)$  upon evaluation on tanget spaces. This is due to the fact that if we have  $\nabla, \nabla' \in \mathrm{Conn}(V)$ , then

$$\nabla$$
- (2)

<sup>\*</sup> jack.ceroni@mail.utoronto.ca