Code Review Checklist

Most important before paper Important every session Unclear

| <u>Usability</u> | Comments (at least Yes / No) |
|--|--|
| Is the code easy to install? Are there setup instructions and a list of requirements? | No: lacks the import requirements (advice use a pyproject.toml) |
| Is there an example script or a full pipeline that is easy to run and understand? | Yes the comments are clear. The overall structure could be more modular (i.e. split processing steps in smaller functions) |
| Data preparation | |
| <u> </u> | The constants in |
| Are data loading and analysis implemented as separate steps? Ideal: have a data loader class | data_analysis_utils should be at the top of the file for readability. There is dead code that could be stripped out. |
| Is ALL data used available in the cluster | We don't know ? |
| | |
| Analysis & Plotting | |
| Are the different steps of the analysis clearly identified in the README? | There is a broad overview. |
| Does the analysis code reflect what is described in the paper? If applicable | We haven't seen the paper |
| Is it clear what code is used to create each of the figures or panels in the paper? | Yes + good adding the final figures in the repo. |
| | |
| Code quality | |
| Project in periodically updated in github, gitignore, README | Lacks a .gitignore, for example there is a .DS_store on github |
| Project structure: folders: data, notebooks, scripts, figures | Very clear. |
| Is the code well organized (functions, classes, modules, settings, as applicable)? | Lacks smaller explicit functions to make the code more modular. |
| Are all functions and classes documented? | Docstrings could be longer and more precise on what is being returned. |
| Are some values hardcoded? | Yes but in explicit constants. |
| | - |

| Can any of the code be replaced by existing packages? | Haven't check |
|--|--|
| Are there any obvious optimisations that will improve performance? | Haven't check |
| Is there any redundant code that should be removed? | Yes, some extra loops on subjects could be avoided and only done once. |
| Does the code agree with basic style guidelines (e.g. PEP8 for Python) | No |
| Variables names are self explanatory (eg no a, b, c etc) | Yes |
| | |
| Are there any passwords in the repo or exposed in the code? | No |
| Is any identifying information unwillingly exposed? | No |