



[Home](#) / [Resource Center](#) / [Blog](#) / [Hunting Malware with Memory Analysis](#)

## Hunting Malware with Memory Analysis



December 13, 2012 - Posted by [Jeremy Scott](#) to [Security Insight](#)



Memory analysis is extremely important in incident response, malware analysis and reverse engineering to examine memory of the infected system to extract artifacts relevant to the malicious program. Memory analysis has gained popularity in the context of reverse-engineering malware. Memory analysis can help identify malicious code and explain how the specimen was used on the suspect system.

When performing memory analysis on the suspect system, I try to answer some simple questions in an attempt to identify malicious code:

- What processes were running on the suspect system at the time memory image was taken?
- What artifacts of previous processes existed?
- Are there any active or previous network connections?
- What is the purpose and intent of the suspected file?



**SOLUTIONARY MINDS BLOG**

### Voted Best Corporate Security Blog 2014

Solutionary is a leading managed security services provider. The Solutionary Minds blog is a place to learn about and discuss IT security and compliance topics.

Get the Solutionary Minds blog delivered to your inbox!

Enter your Email:

**Sign up now**

(We will not share your email or use it for anything else.)

- Are there any suspicious DLL modules?
- Are there any suspicious URLs or IP addresses associated with a process?
- Are there any suspicious files associated with a process?
- Are there any suspicious strings associated with a particular process?
- Are there any suspicious files present? Can you extract them?

In this article, we will be performing the memory analysis using the completely open collection of tools called [Volatility](#). Volatility's versatility through the various plugins and ease of use for obtaining basic forensic information for memory image files makes it an invaluable tool in the malware analyst toolbox. While there are other commercially available tools for memory analysis, Volatility is my tool of choice when a memory image file is available.

Assuming we have already done the memory acquisition of the suspect system, the first step is to identify the image file. While you might already know this information, especially if you are the one that performed the memory acquisition, identifying the profile is important when certain plugins may be OS dependent.

```
user@ubuntu:~$ vol -f memory_images/example.vmem imageinfo
Volatile Systems Volatility Framework 2.3_alpha
Determining profile based on KDBG search...

Suggested Profile(s) : WinXPSP2x86, WinXPSP3x86 (Instantiated with WinXPSP2x86)
AS Layer1 : JKIA32PagedMemoryPae (Kernel AS)
AS Layer2 : FileAddressSpace (/home/user/memory_images/example.vmem)
PAE type : PAE
DTB : 0x319000L
KDBG : 0x80545b60L
Number of Processors : 1
Image Type (Service Pack) : 3
KPCR for CPU 0 : 0xffdff000L
KUSER_SHARED_DATA : 0xffdf0000L
Image date and time : 2011-09-30 00:26:30 UTC+0000
Image local date and time : 2011-09-29 20:26:30 -0400
```

Figure 1: ImageInfo

The important information that will be used during the rest of the analysis will be the suggested profile: WinXPSP2x86.

To answer the question of what processes were running on the suspect system at the time of the memory acquisition, we will use **pslist** to list the processes.



[BLOG HOME](#)

[ABOUT AUTHORS](#)

[RECENT](#)

[CATEGORIES](#)

[ARCHIVES](#)

[SERT Q4 2015 Quarterly Threat Report](#)

January 28, 2016

[0-Day in Linux Kernels: High or Low Threat?](#)

January 26, 2016

[Cloud Security Tips](#)

January 21, 2016

[Finding the Culprit](#)

January 19, 2016

[Black Energy Malware is Back...and Still Evolving](#)

January 18, 2016

## Tags

[ActiveGuard](#) [Adobe](#) [Advanced Persistent Threat](#)

[Android Application Security](#) [assessment](#)

```
user@ubuntu:~$ vol -f memory_images/example.vmem --profile=WinXPSP2x86 pslist
Volatile Systems Volatility Framework 2.3 alpha
```

Offset(V)	Name	PID	PPID	Thds	Hnds	Sess	Wow64	Start	Exit
0x819cc830	System	4	0	60	209	-----	0		
0x818efdab0	smss.exe	384	4	3	19	-----	0	2011-09-26 01:33:32	
0x81616ab8	csrss.exe	612	384	12	473	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:35	
0x814c9b40	winlogon.exe	636	384	16	498	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:35	
0x81794d08	services.exe	680	636	15	271	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:35	
0x814a2cd0	lsass.exe	692	636	24	356	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:35	
0x815c2630	vmacthlp.exe	852	680	1	25	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:35	
0x81470020	svchost.exe	868	680	17	199	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:35	
0x818b5248	svchost.exe	944	680	11	274	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:36	
0x813a0458	MsMpEng.exe	1040	680	16	322	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:36	
0x816b7020	svchost.exe	1076	680	87	1477	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:36	
0x817f7548	svchost.exe	1200	680	6	81	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:37	
0x8169a1d0	svchost.exe	1336	680	14	172	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:37	
0x813685e0	spoolsv.exe	1516	680	14	159	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:39	
0x818f5cd0	explorer.exe	1752	1696	32	680	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:45	
0x815c9638	svchost.exe	1812	680	4	102	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:46	
0x8192d7f0	VMwareTray.exe	1876	1752	3	84	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:46	
0x818f6458	VMwareUser.exe	1888	1752	9	245	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:47	
0x8164a020	msseces.exe	1900	1752	11	205	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:47	
0x81717370	ctfmon.exe	1912	1752	3	93	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:47	
0x813a5b28	svchost.exe	2000	680	6	119	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:47	
0x81336638	vmtoolsd.exe	200	680	5	234	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:47	
0x81329b28	VMUpgradeHelper	424	680	5	100	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:48	
0x812d6020	wscntfy.exe	2028	1076	3	63	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:55	
0x812c1718	TPAutoConnSvc.e	2068	680	5	99	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:55	
0x812b03e0	alg.exe	2272	680	7	112	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:55	
0x81324020	TPAutoConnect.e	3372	2068	3	90	0	0	2011-09-26 01:33:59	
0x814e7b38	msiexec.exe	2396	680	5	127	0	0	2011-09-26 01:34:45	
0x814db608	cmd.exe	3756	1752	3	56	0	0	2011-09-30 00:20:44	
0x812f59a8	cmd.exe	3128	200	0	-----	0	0	2011-09-30 00:26:30	2011-09-30 00:26:30

Figure 2: PSList

As we can see, there were quite a few processes running on the suspect system. At first glance there doesn't appear to be anything suspicious. However, *explorer.exe* (PID 1752) has a parent process ID (PPID 1696) that is not listed and has spawned a few other processes. Looking at the PPID column for PID 1752 you can see the processes that were spawned from *explorer.exe*. The processes that were spawned by *explorer.exe* are suspicious to me because of the functionality of those processes and there's no need for *explorer.exe* to need that functionality. Based on this information, we will target *explorer.exe* for analysis.

Let's look at the network connections that existed on the suspect system. The **connections** and **connscan** commands will identify the active and previous network connections, respectively.

attack attackers authentication botnet  
breach Cloud Security compliance  
credit card security cyberattacks  
cybercrime cyberintelligence  
cybersecurity data breach data  
security data security best practices  
DDoS email security encryption exploit global  
threat intelligence GTIR hack hacker  
hacking hacktivist Heartbleed identity  
theft incident response  
information security Intelligence  
intelligence report internal security  
Internet Security IoT it security  
log management log monitoring  
malicious malicious emails malware  
managed security service provider Managed  
Security Services mobile devices  
mobile security MSSP National  
Cyber Security Awareness  
Month NCSAM network security  
password password security patch  
payment card industry PCI  
Compliance PCI DSS penetration  
assessment phishing physical security PII

```
user@ubuntu:~$ vol -f memory_images/example.vmem --profile=WinXPSP2x86 connections
Volatile Systems Volatility Framework 2.3_alpha
Offset(V)  Local Address      Remote Address      Pid
-----
user@ubuntu:~$ vol -f memory_images/example.vmem --profile=WinXPSP2x86 connscan
Volatile Systems Volatility Framework 2.3_alpha
Offset(P)  Local Address      Remote Address      Pid
-----
0x014f6ab0 10.0.0.109:1072    209.190.4.84:443    1752
0x01507380 10.0.0.109:1073    209.190.4.84:443    1752
0x016c2b00 10.0.0.109:1065    184.173.252.227:443 1752
0x017028a0 10.0.0.109:1067    184.173.252.227:443 1752
0x01858cb0 10.0.0.109:1068    209.190.4.84:443    1752
```

Figure 3: Connections

While there were no active connections at the time of the memory acquisition, we can see in the **connscan** output that several connections were made that are associated with PID 1752. This is odd behavior for *explorer.exe*. There is no reason for *explorer.exe* to make network connections to a remote IP. A quick **whois** of the IP addresses returns:

209.190.4.84

NetName: ENET-XLHOST  
OrgName: eNET Inc.  
OrgId: ENET  
Address: 3000 East Dublin Granville Rd.  
City: Columbus  
StateProv: OH

184.173.252.227

NetName: NETBLK-THEPLANET-BLK-17  
OrgName: ThePlanet.com Internet Services, Inc.  
OrgId: TPCM  
Address: 315 Capitol  
Address: Suite 205  
City: Houston  
StateProv: TX

planning Preventing Cyber Crime privacy  
risk assessment scammers security  
security awareness security  
best practices Security breach security  
compliance security controls security  
engineering research team security frameworks  
security intelligence security monitoring  
security policies security policy security  
professionals security program security  
tips SERT smartphone social  
engineering Social Media Security spam  
SQL Injection SSL threat intelligence  
toolkit Trojan vulnerability vulnerability  
management vulnerability scanning Web  
application security wi-fi zero-day

LATEST TWEETS 

Tweets by @Solutionary

This in and of itself is not necessarily an indicator of some malicious, but it is still suspicious. Further digging using something like [spamhaus.org](https://spamhaus.org) and [malwaredomainlist.com](https://malwaredomainlist.com) may yield additional information if the IP addresses are associated with known malicious activity.

Additionally, running **sockets** and **sockscan** will show any listening sockets that may have been initiated by a running process. As suspected, *explorer.exe* PID 1752 is listed.



```

user@ubuntu:~$ vol -f memory_images/example.vmem --profile=WinXPSP2x86 sockets
Volatile Systems Volatility Framework 2.3_alpha
Offset(V)      PID    Port  Proto Protocol      Address      Create Time
-----
0x812b15d0      4      0      47 GRE             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:56
0x812a8008      4    1030      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:56
0x813a5728     692     500     17 UDP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:47
0x812a9b60    2272    1028      6 TCP           127.0.0.1      2011-09-26 01:33:56
0x814c4008    1752    1073      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-30 00:25:39
0x818a3bf8      4     445      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:32
0x8179e730     944     135      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:36
0x812ade38    1076    1076     17 UDP           127.0.0.1      2011-09-30 00:26:30
0x813a4e98    1752    1070      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-30 00:25:34
0x816711c8    1076     123     17 UDP           127.0.0.1      2011-09-30 00:26:30
0x816757d0     692      0    255 Reserved      0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:47
0x815bb708    1752    1067      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-30 00:25:33
0x812bb008    1336    1900     17 UDP           127.0.0.1      2011-09-30 00:26:30
0x81904478     692    4500     17 UDP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:47
0x814c9008      4     445     17 UDP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:32
user@ubuntu:~$ vol -f memory_images/example.vmem --profile=WinXPSP2x86 sockscan
Volatile Systems Volatility Framework 2.3_alpha
Offset(P)      PID    Port  Proto Protocol      Address      Create Time
-----
0x014a8008      4    1030      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:56
0x014a9b60    2272    1028      6 TCP           127.0.0.1      2011-09-26 01:33:56
0x014ade38    1076    1076     17 UDP           127.0.0.1      2011-09-30 00:26:30
0x014b15d0      4      0      47 GRE             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:56
0x014bb008    1336    1900     17 UDP           127.0.0.1      2011-09-30 00:26:30
0x014eb630    1200   52350     17 UDP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-30 00:20:10
0x015a4e98    1752    1070      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-30 00:25:34
0x015a5728     692     500     17 UDP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:47
0x016c2ca0    1076     123     17 UDP           127.0.0.1      2011-09-30 00:22:59
0x016c4008    1752    1073      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-30 00:25:39
0x016c9008      4     445     17 UDP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:32
0x016e62b8    1076     123     17 UDP           127.0.0.1      2011-09-30 00:20:09
0x017bb708    1752    1067      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-30 00:25:33
0x018711c8    1076     123     17 UDP           127.0.0.1      2011-09-30 00:26:30
0x018757d0     692      0    255 Reserved      0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:47
0x0199e730     944     135      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:36
0x019ee008    1752    1072      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-30 00:25:39
0x01aa3bf8      4     445      6 TCP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:32
0x01b04478     692    4500     17 UDP             0.0.0.0      2011-09-26 01:33:47

```

Figure 4: Sockets

Since we can conclude that *explorer.exe* (PID 1752) is suspicious, we will start digging into that process to determine the purpose and intent of the process and find any associated files that can give us an indicator of what the malicious code may be.

By using the **malfind** plugin, we scan the memory image file or a specified process for suspicious executables that might be malware. In this case, running **malfind** against PID 1752 yields two suspect processes.

```

user@ubuntu:~$ vol -f memory images/example.vmem --profile=WinXPSP2x86 malfind -p 1752
Volatile Systems Volatility Framework 2.3_alpha
Process: explorer.exe Pid: 1752 Address: 0x3380000
Vad Tag: VadS Protection: PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE
Flags: CommitCharge: 151, MemCommit: 1, PrivateMemory: 1, Protection: 6

0x03380000  4d 5a 90 00 03 00 00 00 04 00 00 00 ff ff 00 00  MZ.....
0x03380010  b8 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 40 00 00 00 00 00 00 00  .....@.....
0x03380020  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 e4 02 00 20 09 00  .....
0x03380030  00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 01 00 00  .....

0x3380000 4d      DEC EBP
0x3380001 5a      POP EDX
0x3380002 90      NOP
0x3380003 0003    ADD [EBX], AL
0x3380005 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x3380007 000400  ADD [EAX+EAX], AL
0x338000a 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x338000c ff      DB 0xff
0x338000d ff00   INC DWORD [EAX]
0x338000f 00b800000000 ADD [EAX+0x0], BH
0x3380015 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x3380017 004000  ADD [EAX+0x0], AL
0x338001a 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x338001c 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x338001e 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x3380020 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x3380022 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x3380024 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x3380026 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x3380028 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x338002a e402    IN AL, 0x2
0x338002c 0020    ADD [EAX], AH
0x338002e 0900    OR [EAX], EAX
0x3380030 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x3380032 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x3380034 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x3380036 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x3380038 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x338003a 0000    ADD [EAX], AL
0x338003c 0001    ADD [ECX], AL
0x338003e 0000    ADD [EAX], AL

```

Figure 5: Malfind

Memory sections marked as **Page\_Execute\_ReadWrite**, which allows a piece of code to



run and write itself, are indicative of code injection and are easy to identify. Code injection is a very common technique for malware to maintain persistence and ensure that the malicious code continues to run. We'll actually dump those processes for further analysis using the ***-D option***.

We can see that **malfind** found two Dynamic Linked Libraries (DLLs).

```
user@ubuntu:~$ file memory_images/*.dmp
memory_images/process.0x818f5cd0.0x3380000.dmp: PE32 executable (DLL) (GUI) Intel 80386, for MS Windows
memory_images/process.0x818f5cd0.0x36e0000.dmp: PE32 executable (DLL) (GUI) Intel 80386, for MS Windows
```

Figure 6: Dumped DLLs

At this point, we could actually take the DLLs that we found and submit them or the md5 hash to [virustotal.com](https://www.virustotal.com) to see if it could identify the malware for us. VirusTotal is a free online service that analyzes suspicious files and URLs and provides detection of malware using multiple malware scanning engines. For example:

File Name: process.0x818f5cd0.0x3380000.dmp  
Detect Ratio: 6 / 44  
Analysis Date: 2012-11-04 21:12:57 UTC

Avast: Win32:Malware-gen  
Microsoft: Backdoor:Win32/Caphaw.A  
GData: Win32:Malware-gen  
VBA32: Trojan.Agent.ovo  
Rising: Backdoor.Caphaw!4301  
Ikarus: Trojan-Downloader.Win32.Small

-----  
File Name: process.0x818f5cd0.0x36e0000.dmp  
Detect Ratio: 17 / 44  
Analysis Date: 2012-11-04 21:08:11 UTC

MicroWorld-eScan: Trojan.Generic.7997694  
nProtect: Trojan.Generic.7997694  
TrendMicro-HouseCall: TROJ\_GEN.R47CDK1  
Avast: Win32:Malware-gen

BitDefender: Trojan.Generic.7997694  
F-Secure: Trojan.Generic.7997694  
VIPRE: Trojan.Win32.Generic!BT  
AntiVir: BDS/Caphaw.A.150  
TrendMicro: TROJ\_GEN.R47CDK1  
Microsoft: Backdoor:Win32/Caphaw.A  
GData: Trojan.Generic.7997694  
VBA32: Trojan.Agent.ovo  
ESET-NOD32: probably a variant of Win32/Agent.COSXJPL  
Rising: Backdoor.Caphaw!4301  
Ikarus: Trojan-Downloader.Win32.Small  
Fortinet: W32/Agent.COSXJPL  
Panda: Trj/CI.A

---

However, let's continue to use Volatility to see what other information we can find out about the *explorer.exe* process.

The **handles** plugin will enumerate the mutant objects for the explorer.exe processes using the **-t *Mutant*** option to narrow the search to just the mutant objects. Mutant objects or mutexes as they are more commonly known are global objects that coordinate multiple processes and threads. Mutexes are mainly used to control access to shared resources, and are often used by malware.

```
user@ubuntu:~$ vol -f memory_images/example.vmem --profile=WinXPSP2x86 handles -p 1752 -t Mutant -s
Volatile Systems Volatility Framework 2.3_alpha
Offset(V)      Pid      Handle      Access Type      Details
-----
0x8149cf60    1752      0x20      0x1f0001 Mutant      SHIMLIB_LOG_MUTEX
0x8180b3e0    1752      0xd0      0x1f0001 Mutant      ExplorerIsShellMutex
0x81668198    1752      0xd4      0x120001 Mutant      ShimCacheMutex
0x8169d440    1752      0x26c     0x1f0001 Mutant      MTX_919863BFD426AA00979BDF55477F92A7
0x81608158    1752      0x27c     0x1f0001 Mutant      CTF.LBES.MutexDefaultS-1-5-21-1957994488-132
0x8192f160    1752      0x284     0x1f0001 Mutant      CTF.Compart.MutexDefaultS-1-5-21-1957994488-132
0x8192f110    1752      0x288     0x1f0001 Mutant      CTF.Asm.MutexDefaultS-1-5-21-1957994488-132
0x81607030    1752      0x28c     0x1f0001 Mutant      CTF.Layouts.MutexDefaultS-1-5-21-1957994488-132
0x816070c8    1752      0x290     0x1f0001 Mutant      CTF.TMD.MutexDefaultS-1-5-21-1957994488-132
0x816212d0    1752      0x2f8     0x1f0001 Mutant      CTF.TimListCache.FMPDefaultS-1-5-21-1957994488-132
326574676-839522115-500
0x81931548    1752      0x320     0x1f0001 Mutant      ZoneAttributeCacheCounterMutex
0x81931548    1752      0x324     0x1f0001 Mutant      ZoneAttributeCacheCounterMutex
0x819314f8    1752      0x33c     0x1f0001 Mutant      ZonesCacheCounterMutex
0x818f2f38    1752      0x354     0x1f0001 Mutant      ZonesCounterMutex
0x816668b8    1752      0x390     0x1f0001 Mutant      ZonesLockedCacheCounterMutex
0x8132cd10    1752      0x394     0x1f0001 Mutant      MSCTF.Shared.MUTEX.ICH
0x81666868    1752      0x3c4     0x1f0001 Mutant      _SHuassist.mtx
0x8165b2f0    1752      0x3c8     0x1000000 Mutant      _IMSETHISTORY1
```

Figure 7: Mutexes

By using some of the mutex objects in Google queries, we may be able to identify objects that have been seen in other malware or previous malware reports.

Next, we can dump the Virtual Address Descriptor using the **vaddump** plugin and examine the dumped sections with the **strings** command. Strings are the plaintext found within the code.

```
user@ubuntu:~$ vol -f memory_images/example.vmem --profile=WinXPSP2x86 vaddump -p1752 -D memory_images/procdump/
Volatile Systems Volatility Framework 2.3_alpha
Pid      Process      Start      End      Result
-----
1752 explorer.exe      0x10000000 0x10016fff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x10000000-0x10016fff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x03420000 0x0351ffff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x03420000-0x0351ffff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x02fb0000 0x030affff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x02fb0000-0x030affff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x02d90000 0x02d90fff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x02d90000-0x02d90fff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x02a20000 0x02a22fff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x02a20000-0x02a22fff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x02360000 0x023dffff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x02360000-0x023dffff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x01b50000 0x01b74fff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x01b50000-0x01b74fff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x01820000 0x01821fff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x01820000-0x01821fff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x01620000 0x0169ffff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x01620000-0x0169ffff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x009a0000 0x009a9fff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x009a0000-0x009a9fff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x00400000 0x00408fff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00400000-0x00408fff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x00190000 0x0019ffff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00190000-0x0019ffff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x00020000 0x00020fff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00020000-0x00020fff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x00010000 0x00010fff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00010000-0x00010fff.dmp
1752 explorer.exe      0x00000000 0x00002fff memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00000000-0x00002fff.dmp
```

Figure 8: VADDump

The following images show some of the interesting strings that I found which contained network connection information complete with host domain.

```
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: /files/HJ-UK-7 c.gif HTTP/1.1
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Connection: Keep-Alive
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1; SV1; .NET CLR 1.0.3705)
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Host: commonworldme.cc
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Content-Length: 0
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Cache-Control: no-cache
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: ]J-!!!
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: C:\WINDOWS\Explorer.EXE
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: WinSta0\Default
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: C:\WINDOWS\Explorer.EXE
```

Figure 9: Connection Strings 1

```
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: /ping.html HTTP/1.1
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Connection: Keep-Alive
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1; SV1; .NET CLR 1.0.3705)
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Host: brainsphere.cc
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Content-Length: 82
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Cache-Control: no-cache
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: key=dc20377b79&id=9198638FD426AA0097980F55477F92A7&inst=master&net=HJ-UK-7&cmd=cfg
```

Figure 10: Connection Strings 2

This image shows that the malicious code running on our suspect system is in fact the Shylock Trojan.

```
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Documents and Settings
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Administrator
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Desktop
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Shylock4FDA5E7E8E682870E993F97AD26BA6B2
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: /C:\
memory_images/procdump/explorer.exe.1af5cd0.0x00090000-0x0018ffff.dmp: Documents and Settings
```

Figure 11: Shylock

So, in this quick analysis, we have been able to utilize Volatility to quickly extract key information about the running suspicious *explorer.exe* process. This also equips us to further analyze this process as well as other associated processes.

Memory analysis is a powerful technique, and with a tool like Volatility it is possible to find and extract the forensic artifacts from the memory. There is much more that we can do with this memory image. For example, you can use **apihooks** and then drop into **volshell** for further analysis to include disassembling the process. As a matter of fact, in this blog, we have only begun to scratch the surface on what you can do when you are hunting malware with memory analysis.

Read more on Solutionary Minds about:



malware reverse engineering, reverse engineering, incident response, malware, memory analysis

<< All Entries

♥ Recommend

↗ Share



Start the discussion...

Be the first to comment.

ALSO ON SOLUTIONARY

Top 5 iOS Development Security Tips

1 comment • 2 years ago

mickydavid — nice tips.thank you

Happy National Cyber

2 comments • 4 months ago

JB — Since we're or  
getting a little ahead  
...

The Five Big Pitfalls that Cause Enterprise Threat Intelligence ...

1 comment • 4 months ago

Matthew — One point you missed is that organizations  
do not invest in infrastructure that allows them to ...

Five big cyber security 2016

1 comment • 2 months ago

Shawn Moore — Th  
that everyone who c

© 2016 Solutionary, Inc. [Privacy Policy](#) [Terms of Use](#)

ActiveGuard U.S. Patents Issued: 6,988,208; 7,168,093; 7,370,359; 7,424,743; 7,673,049; 7,954,159; 8,261,347.

Canadian Patent No. 2,436,096



SSL Certificates

FOLLOW US

