


# Support Vector Machine (SVM)

## Introduction

Support Vector Machine (SVM):


- is a classification model.
- belongs to supervised learning.
- finds the global optimum, not a local optimum.

SVM is one of the **most effective** solutions for classification problem.




# Introduction

Binary classification can be viewed as the task of separating classes in feature space.




## Introduction

There are infinite number of linear separators, which one is **optimal**?




## Introduction

There are infinite number of linear separators, which one is **optimal**?



# Introduction


There are infinite number of linear separators, which one is **optimal**?



Training samples on the margin lines are called support vectors to shape the optimal hyperplane.


## Introduction

There are infinite number of linear separators, which one is **optimal**?



Training samples on the margin lines are called support vectors to shape the optimal hyperplane.


## Introduction



Observation: Vector  $w$  is perpendicular to the decision boundary. Why?



# Introduction




**Vector  $w$  is perpendicular to the decision boundary, why?**

Pick  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  on the decision boundary s.t.  $f(p_1) = 0$  and  $f(p_2) = 0$

We have  $\begin{cases} wp_1 + b = 0 \\ wp_2 + b = 0 \end{cases}$ , hence  $w(p_1 - p_2) = 0$


Therefore,  $\vec{w} \perp \overrightarrow{p_1 p_2}$

## Introduction



**What is the width of separation margin  $M$ ?**

## Introduction




**What is the width of separation margin  $M$ ?**

Pick  $x^-$  on a margin s.t.  $f(x^-) = -1$ ; let  $x^+$  be the closest point to  $x^-$  st  $f(x^+) = 1$ .


We have  $\begin{cases} wx^+ + b = +1 \\ wx^- + b = -1 \end{cases}$ , hence  $w(x^+ - x^-) = +2 \rightarrow M = \frac{2}{\|w\|}$

# Introduction



Optimization:  $\operatorname{argmax} M = \frac{2}{\|w\|} \rightarrow \operatorname{argmin} \frac{\|w\|}{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{argmin} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$  such that all data points are on the correct side of the margins (positive and negative side).

# Introduction




Problem:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_w \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$$

$$\text{Constraint} \begin{cases} y^{(i)} = +1 \rightarrow wx^{(i)} + b \geq +1 \\ y^{(i)} = -1 \rightarrow wx^{(i)} + b \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

This is a binary classification in which  $x$  is data feature and  $y$  is data label (+1 or -1).

# Introduction



**How to solve?**

Problem:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_w \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$$


$$\text{Constraint } \begin{cases} y^{(i)} = +1 \rightarrow wx^{(i)} + b \geq +1 \\ y^{(i)} = -1 \rightarrow wx^{(i)} + b \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

Problem:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_w \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$$

$$\text{Constraint } y^{(i)}(wx^{(i)} + b) \geq +1$$

# Introduction



## How to solve?

- Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions.

Problem:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_w \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$$

$$\text{Constraint } \begin{cases} y^{(i)} = +1 \rightarrow wx^{(i)} + b \geq +1 \\ y^{(i)} = -1 \rightarrow wx^{(i)} + b \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

Problem:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_w \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$$

$$\text{Constraint } y^{(i)}(wx^{(i)} + b) \geq +1$$

## Exercise: Optimization problem

Find  $\operatorname{argmin}_{x,y} f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$

Subject to  $g(x,y) = x + y = 1$

High school solution?



## Exercise: Optimization problem

Find  $\operatorname{argmin}_{x,y} f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$

Subject to  $g(x,y) = x + y = 1$

### High school solution

$$f(x) = x^2 + (1 - x)^2 = 2x^2 - 2x + 1$$

$$f'(x) = 4x - 2 = 0 \rightarrow x = \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow y = \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow f_{\min}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

## Exercise: Optimization problem

Find  $\operatorname{argmin}_{x,y} f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$

Subject to  $g(x,y) = x + y = 1$

### University solution


Use **Lagrange multiplier** technique.

Joseph-Louis Lagrange.  
(Italian mathematician and astronomer)




## Exercise: Optimization problem

Find  $\operatorname{argmin}_{x,y} f(x,y)$   
Subject to  $g(x,y) = k$



## Exercise: Optimization problem


Find  $\operatorname{argmin}_{x,y} f(x,y)$   
Subject to  $g(x,y) = k$




# Lagrange multiplier (equality constraint)

Find  $\operatorname{argmin}_X f(X)$  or  $\operatorname{argmax}_X f(X)$

Subject to  
 $g_1(X) = k_1$   
 $g_2(X) = k_2$   
...  
 $g_n(X) = k_n$



Gradient vectors of  $g$  are perpendicular to the **level curves** at points on the level curve.



Gradient vector of  $f$  is perpendicular to the **tangent line** of boundary curve at the max/min points.

Intuition: Gradient vectors lie along the direction of greatest change for a function, whereas:

- **level curve** lies along the direction of *no change* (all points have the same value).
- **tangent line** is *flat* at the min/max points.

Since the gradient vectors should not “waste effort” to point in any direction of the level curve or the tangent line. Therefore they are perpendicular to the level curves and the tangent line

# Lagrange multiplier (equality constraint)

Find  $\operatorname{argmin}_X f(X)$  or  $\operatorname{argmax}_X f(X)$

Subject to 
$$\begin{aligned} g_1(X) &= k_1 \\ g_2(X) &= k_2 \\ &\dots \\ g_n(X) &= k_n \end{aligned}$$


Solution

$$\nabla f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \nabla g_i(X)$$

$$g_i(X) = k_i$$

$\mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda) = f(X) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g_i(X)$  is **Lagrange function**.

$\lambda$  is **Lagrange multiplier**.



Gradient vector  $\nabla f$  is parallel to  $\nabla g$  as they are perpendicular to the tangent line that the point X.

which means setting  $\nabla \mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda) = 0$

## Exercise: Optimization problem

Find  $\operatorname{argmin}_{x,y} f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$

Subject to  $g(x,y) = x + y = 1$

### University solution

Use **Lagrange multiplier** technique?

Solution

$$\nabla f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \nabla g_i(X)$$

$$g_i(X) = k_i$$

## Lagrange multiplier (equality constraint)

$$\text{Find } \underset{x,y}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$$

$$\text{Subject to } g(x,y) = x + y = 1$$

Solution using Lagrange multiplier

$$\begin{cases} \nabla f(x,y) = \lambda \nabla g(x,y) \\ x + y = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{cases} \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right\rangle = \left\langle \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}, \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \right\rangle \\ x + y = 1 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \langle 2x, 2y \rangle = \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle \\ x + y = 1 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} x = \lambda/2 \\ y = \lambda/2 \\ x + y = 1 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} x = 1/2 \\ y = 1/2 \\ \lambda = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\rightarrow f_{\min}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$$



## Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT, inequality constraint)

KKT condition is a generalization of Lagrange Multiplier (equality constraints) to deal with inequality constraints. Given the problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & f(x) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & h_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & \ell_j(x) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, r \end{aligned}$$

The **Karush–Kuhn–Tucker conditions (KKT)** are:

$\nabla f(x) - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla h_i(x) - \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_j \nabla \ell_j(x) = 0$	(stationary)
$h_i(x) \leq 0$	(primal feasibility)
$\ell_j(x) = 0$	(primal feasibility)
$\lambda_i \leq 0$	(dual feasibility)
$\lambda_i h_i(x) = 0$	(complementary slackness)

# Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT, inequality constraint)

**Problem:** Given,

$$\begin{aligned}f(x, y) &= x^3 + y^2 \\g(x, y) &= x^2 - 1 \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}g(x) \geq 0 &\Rightarrow \lambda \geq 0 \\g(x) \leq 0 &\Rightarrow \lambda \leq 0 \\g(x) = 0 &\Rightarrow \lambda \text{ is unconstrained}\end{aligned}$$

Find the extreme values.

**Step 1:** solve gradient of the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}L(x, y, \lambda) &= f(x, y) - \lambda g(x, y) \\&= x^3 + y^2 - \lambda(x^2 - 1) \\ \nabla L(x, y, \lambda) &= \nabla f(x, y) - \lambda \nabla g(x, y) = 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} L(x, y, \lambda) &= 3x^2 - 2\lambda x = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} L(x, y, \lambda) &= 2y = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} L(x, y, \lambda) &= x^2 - 1 = 0 \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$x = \pm 1, \lambda = \pm \frac{3}{2}$$

**Step 2:** check with constraint  $\lambda \geq 0$

$$\lambda = \frac{3}{2}, x = 1, y = 0, f(x, y) = 1$$

which exactly means the condition  $g(x, y) \geq 0$

# Applying KKT to SVM – Primal form of SVM

Problem:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_w \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$$

$$\text{Constraint } y^{(i)}(wx^{(i)} + b) \geq +1$$

Rewrite

**Primal form of SVM:**

$$\operatorname{argmin}_w f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } g_i(w, b) = y_i(wx_i + b) - 1 \geq 0$$

Quadratic function to minimize,  
thus there is a single global minimum

Inequality constraint

## Applying KKT to SVM – Primal form of SVM

### Primal form of SVM:

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad & f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_i(w, b) = y_i(wx_i + b) - 1 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Lagrangian function:

$$L(w, b, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 - \sum_i \lambda_i \overbrace{(y_i(wx_i + b) - 1)}^{>= 0}$$

Hence, the goal of primal form is equivalent to minimizing  $w$  and  $b$ , but maximizing  $\lambda$ .

$$\min_{w, b} \max_{\lambda} L(w, b, \lambda)$$

Furthermore, the Lagrangian duality is

$$\min_{w, b} \max_{\lambda} L(w, b, \lambda) = \max_{\lambda} \min_{w^*, b^*} L(w, b, \lambda)$$

## Applying KKT to SVM – Primal form of SVM

### Primal form of SVM:

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad & f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_i(w, b) = y_i(wx_i + b) - 1 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Lagrangian function:

$$L(w, b, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 - \sum_i \lambda_i (y_i(wx_i + b) - 1)$$

The KKT conditions to solve the primal form (or  $\min_{w,b} L(w, b, \lambda)$ ) are:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} L(w, b, \lambda) = w - \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b} L(w, b, \lambda) = - \sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$$

$$y_i(wx_i + b) - 1 \geq 0$$

$$\lambda_i \geq 0$$

$$\lambda_i (y_i(wx_i + b) - 1) = 0$$

### **Optimal solution:**

$$w^* = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i$$

$$\text{and } \sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$$

$$\text{and } \lambda_i \geq 0$$


# Applying KKT to SVM – Primal form of SVM

Let's analyze the last three conditions:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} y_i(wx_i + b) - 1 &\geq 0 \\ \lambda_i &\geq 0 \\ \lambda_i(y_i(wx_i + b) - 1) &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Observations:

- For points having  $\lambda_i > 0$ , the  $y_i(wx_i + b) - 1 = 0$  where  $y_i = \pm 1$ , which means  $(wx_i + b) = \pm 1 = y_i$ . These points **are on** the margins and are called support vectors.
- For points having  $\lambda_i = 0$ , the constraint  $y_i(wx_i + b) - 1 > 0$  where  $y_i = \pm 1$ , which means  $(wx_i + b) > 1$  or  $(wx_i + b) < -1$ . These points **are not on** the margins.



## Applying KKT to SVM – Primal form of SVM

Therefore, the optimal solution is:

$$\begin{aligned} w^* &= \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i \\ b^* &= \frac{1}{y_k} - w^* x_k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{with } \sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0 \\ &\text{and } \lambda_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $b^*$  can be calculated by using any data sample  $k$  where  $\lambda_k > 0$ , i.e., use a support vector  $k$  to compute  $b^*$ .

The weight  $w^*$  is a linear combination of the training inputs  $x_i$ , the training outputs  $y_i$ , and the values of  $\lambda_i$ . Most of the  $\lambda_i$  will turn out to have the value zero. The non-zero  $\lambda_i$  will correspond to the support vectors.

These are the first-order optimality conditions. Instead of directly calculating  $w^*$  and  $b^*$ , we substitute them back to the Lagrangian function to calculate  $\lambda$  s such that  $\max_{\lambda} \min_{w, b} L(w, b, \lambda) = \min_{w, b} \max_{\lambda} L(w, b, \lambda)$ , then calculate  $w^*$  and  $b^*$ .

A new example  $x_{new}$  has the prediction label  $y_{new} = \text{sign}(w^* x_{new} + b^*)$ .

## Dual Form of SVM

### Primal form of SVM:

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad & f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_i(w, b) = y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) - 1 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian Dual Problem: instead of minimizing over  $w, b$  subjecting to constraints involving  $\lambda$ , we can maximize over  $\lambda$  (the dual variable) subject to the relations obtained previously (optimality) for  $w$  and  $b$ .

The solution must satisfy the two relations:

$$w^* = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i \qquad \sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$$

By substituting for  $w$  and  $b$  back in the original Lagrangian equation, we can get rid of the dependence on  $w$  and  $b$ .



## Dual Form of SVM

### Primal form of SVM:

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 \\ \text{s.t. } g_i(w, b) &= y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) - 1 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Optimality:

$$w = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$$

$$\|w\|^2 = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i \sum_j \lambda_j y_j x_j = \sum_i \sum_j \overbrace{\lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j}^{\text{Scalar}} \underbrace{\langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle}_{\text{Inner (dot) product}}$$

### Lagrangian dual form of SVM:

$$\underset{\lambda}{\operatorname{argmax}} \underset{w, b}{\operatorname{argmin}} L(w, b, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 - \sum_i \lambda_i (y_i (w \cdot x_i + b) - 1)$$

Dual problem

$$\text{s.t. } w = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i \quad \& \quad \sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0 \quad \& \quad \lambda_i \geq 0$$

## Dual Form of SVM

Optimality:  $\|w\|^2 = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i \sum_j \lambda_j y_j x_j = \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle$        $w = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i$        $\sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$

$$\sum_i \lambda_i (y_i (w \cdot x_i + b) - 1) = \underbrace{\sum_i \lambda_i y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}_{\text{Zero}} + b \sum_i \lambda_i y_i - \sum_i \lambda_i$$

$$\sum_i \lambda_i y_i \left\langle \sum_j \lambda_j y_j x_j, x_i \right\rangle = \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle$$

$$L(w, b, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 - \sum_i \lambda_i (y_i (w \cdot x_i + b) - 1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle - \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle - 0 + \sum_i \lambda_i$$

$$L(\lambda) = \sum_i \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle \quad (\text{at optimality } w, b)$$

## Dual Form of SVM

Optimality:  $\|w\|^2 = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i \sum_j \lambda_j y_j x_j = \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle \quad w = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i \quad \sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda} L(\lambda) &= \sum_i \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} \quad &\sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0 \text{ \& } \lambda_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Dual form** gets rid of the dependence on **w** and **b**

Quadratic programming techniques can be used to solve for  $\lambda$ s, such as CVXOPT, ECOS, Gurobi, HiGHS, MOSEK, OSQP, ProxQP, qpOASES, qpSWIFT, quadprog, SCS, etc. Then **w** and **b** can be calculated.

Extra library practice: <https://pypi.org/project/qpsolvers/>

# Quadratic programming

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda} L(\lambda) &= \sum_i \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle \\ \text{s. t. } \sum_i \lambda_i y_i &= 0 \text{ \& } \lambda_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Quadratic programming expects the optimization to be in the standard form of:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda} L(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \lambda^T P \lambda + q^T \lambda \\ \text{s. t. } A \lambda &= b \text{ \& } G \lambda \leq h \text{ \& } lb \leq \lambda \leq ub \end{aligned}$$

Need to convert the dual form optimization to the standard form:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda} L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle - \sum_i \lambda_i = \operatorname{argmin}_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^T P \lambda + q^T \lambda$$

For instance, assume that  $X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$


$$P = y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle = (Y * Y^T) .* (X * X^T) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -4 \\ -4 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}; q = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}; G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; h = 0; A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}; b = 0;$$

Call the quadratic programming solver to find  $\lambda^*$ : `solvers.qp(P, q, G, h, A, b)`

$$\lambda^* = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, w^* = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i = 1 \times 1 \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \times (-1) \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$b^* = \frac{1}{y_k} - w^T x_k = \frac{1}{1} - [-1 \ -1] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 3$  or  $\frac{1}{-1} - [-1 \ -1] \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 3$ . Hence  $[-1 \ -1] \begin{bmatrix} x^{(1)} \\ x^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} + 3 = 0$  is the equation of the boundary line.



## Dual Form of SVM

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda} L(\lambda) &= \sum_i \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle \\ \text{s.t. } \sum_i \lambda_i y_i &= 0 \text{ \& } \lambda_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- The solution  $\lambda$ s we find here will be the same as the solution to the primal problem.
- This will let us solve the problem by computing the just the inner products  $\langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle$  (which will be very important later on when we want to solve **non-linearly separable** classification problems) using **Kernel Trick**.

## Dual Form of SVM


- **Primal:**  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_d, b) \rightarrow d+1$  primal variables ( $d$  is the feature dimension)
- **Dual:**  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N \rightarrow N$  dual variables ( $N$  is the number of data samples)

→ **Primal form** is preferred when we **don't need to apply kernel trick** to the data and the **dataset is large** but the **dimension of each data point is small**.


→ **Dual form** is preferred when data has a **huge dimension** and we need to **apply the kernel trick**.

## Support vectors

## Support vectors!!!



# Hard Margin SVM



## Primal form of SVM:

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 \\ \text{s.t. } g_i(w, b) &= y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) - 1 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$


Hard margin SVM

**Hard margin SVM** can work only when data is **completely linearly separable** without any errors (noise or outliers).

→ Objective is to **maximize the margin**.



## Soft Margin SVM




Primal form of SVM with soft margin:

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad & f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_i \xi_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_i(w, b) = y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \\ & \text{and } \xi_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Soft margin SVM

Soft margin SVM is when the dataset is noisy (with some overlap in positive and negative samples), there will be some error in classifying them with the hyper-plane  
→ Objective is to **maximize the margin** along with **minimize the per-sample errors in classification**.

# Soft Margin SVM




## Primal form of SVM with soft margin:

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad & f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_i \xi_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_i(w, b) = y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \\ & \text{and } \xi_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Soft margin


Soft margin SVM is when the dataset is noisy (with some overlap in positive and negative samples), there will be some error in classifying them with the hyper-plane  
→ Objective is to **maximize the margin** along with **minimize the per-sample errors in classification** (like regularization to penalize misclassified points).  
Hyper-parameter **C** tells how much we want to avoid misclassifying each training example.

## Hard Margin vs. Soft Margin



Hard margin

- $C$  tends to  $+\infty$ , what happens?
- $C$  tends to 0, what happens?




Soft margin

### Primal form of SVM with soft margin:


$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmin}_w f(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_i \xi_i \\ \text{s.t. } g_i(w, b) &= y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \\ \xi_i &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

## Hard Margin vs. Soft Margin



Hard margin

If  $C$  is large, we want very **few** misclassifications.  
If  $C$  is **small**, we allow more misclassifications.




Soft margin


### Primal form of SVM with soft margin:

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{w}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad & f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_i \xi_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_i(w, b) = y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \\ & \text{and } \xi_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

## Hard Margin vs. Soft Margin



Hard margin



Soft margin

**Soft margin is a generalization of Hard margin!, but how to find C?**

**Primal form of SVM with soft margin:**

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmin}_w f(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_i \xi_i \\ \text{s.t. } g_i(w, b) &= y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \\ \xi_i &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

## Dual Form with Soft Margin

### Primal form of SVM with soft margin:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_w f(w) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_i \xi_i$$

$$\text{s.t. } g_i(w, b) = y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \\ \text{and } \xi_i \geq 0$$

$$L(w, b, \lambda)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_i \xi_i$$

$$- \sum_i \lambda_i (y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) - 1 + \xi_i) - \sum_i \mu_i \xi_i$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} L(w, b, \xi) = w - \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b} L(w, b, \xi) = - \sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i} L(w, b, \xi) = C - \lambda_i - \mu_i = 0$$

$$y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i$$

$$\lambda_i \geq 0, \mu_i \geq 0$$

$$\lambda_i (y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) - 1) = 0, \mu_i \xi_i = 0$$

**Optimality:**

$$w = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i$$

$$\text{and } \sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$$

$$\mu_i = C - \lambda_i$$


$$\mu_i \geq 0 \rightarrow 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq C$$

## Dual Form with Soft Margin

$$\mu_i = C - \lambda_i$$

Optimality:  $\|w\|^2 = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i \sum_j \lambda_j y_j x_j = \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i, x_j \rangle$   $w = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i$   $\sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(w, b, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_i \xi_i - \sum_i \lambda_i (y_i (w \cdot x_i + b) - 1 + \xi_i) - \sum_i \mu_i \xi_i \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i, x_j \rangle + C \sum_i \xi_i - \left( \sum_i \lambda_i y_i w \cdot x_i + b \sum_i \lambda_i y_i - \sum_i \lambda_i + \sum_i \lambda_i \xi_i \right) \\
 &\quad - \left( C \sum_i \xi_i - \sum_i \lambda_i \xi_i \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Zero  


$$L(\lambda) = \sum_i \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i, x_j \rangle$$

( $w, b, \mu_i, \xi_i$  are removed)

## Dual Form with Soft Margin

$$\mu_i = C - \lambda_i$$

Optimality:  $\|w\|^2 = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i \sum_j \lambda_j y_j x_j = \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle$   $w = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i x_i$   $\sum_i \lambda_i y_i = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(w, b, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_i \xi_i - \sum_i \lambda_i (y_i (w \cdot x_i + b) - 1 + \xi_i) - \sum_i \mu_i \xi_i \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle + C \sum_i \xi_i - \left( \underbrace{\sum_i \lambda_i y_i w \cdot x_i}_{\sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle} + \underbrace{b \sum_i \lambda_i y_i}_{\text{Zero}} - \sum_i \lambda_i + \sum_i \lambda_i \xi_i \right) \\
 &\quad - \left( C \sum_i \xi_i - \sum_i \lambda_i \xi_i \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{L(\lambda) = \sum_i \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle} \quad (w, b, \mu_i, \xi_i \text{ are canceled out})$$




## Dual Form with Soft Margin


$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda} L(\lambda) &= \sum_i \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle x_i^t, x_j \rangle \\ \text{s. t. } \sum_i \lambda_i y_i &= 0 \text{ \& } 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq C \end{aligned}$$

**Dual form** has **removed** the dependence on  $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \mu_i, \xi_i$   
Use quadratic programming to solve for  $\lambda$ s, then  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ .

# SVM Kernel




Non-linear separator in the **original  $x$ -space**




Linear separator in the **feature  $\phi$ -space**

## SVM Kernel




# Polynomial Kernel



## Polynomial Kernel

Project the 2-D feature  $(x_1, x_2)$  into 3-D feature  $(x_1^2, x_2^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2)$ .



3-D feature projection

## SVM Kernel

A kernel function  $\Phi$  takes as input two points in the **original space**, and **directly** gives us the **dot product** in the **projected space**.

### Without Kernel trick

3 multiplications  
+ 2 additions

$$x = (x_1, x_2)$$
$$y = (y_1, y_2)$$

4 multiplications

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1^2, x_2^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2)$$

4 multiplications

$$\Phi(y) = (y_1^2, y_2^2, \sqrt{2}y_1y_2)$$
$$\Phi(x) \cdot \Phi(y) = \Phi_1(x)\Phi_1(y) + \Phi_2(x)\Phi_2(y) + \Phi_3(x)\Phi_3(y)$$

13 elementary operations


## SVM Kernel

A kernel function  $\Phi$  takes as input two points in the **original space**, and **directly** gives us the **dot product** in the **projected space**.

With Kernel trick

Define a Kernel function  $K(x, y) = (x \cdot y)^2$

$$\begin{aligned}K(x, y) &= (x \cdot y)^2 \\&= (x_1y_1 + x_2y_2)^2 \\&= x_1^2y_1^2 + x_2^2y_2^2 + 2x_1x_2y_1y_2 \\&= (x_1^2, x_2^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2) \cdot (y_1^2, y_2^2, \sqrt{2}y_1y_2) \\&= \Phi(x) \cdot \Phi(y)\end{aligned}$$



4 elementary operations  
Only 30% operation used!

# SVM Kernel

Define a Kernel function  $K(u, v) = (u \cdot v)^d$

$d=1$

$$\phi(u) \cdot \phi(v) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2 = u \cdot v$$

$d=2$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u) \cdot \phi(v) &= \begin{pmatrix} u_1^2 \\ u_1 u_2 \\ u_2 u_1 \\ u_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v_1^2 \\ v_1 v_2 \\ v_2 v_1 \\ v_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = u_1^2 v_1^2 + 2u_1 v_1 u_2 v_2 + u_2^2 v_2^2 \\ &= (u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2)^2 \\ &= (u \cdot v)^2 \end{aligned}$$

For any  $d$  (we will skip proof):

$$\phi(u) \cdot \phi(v) = (u \cdot v)^d$$

Taking a dot product and exponentiating gives same results as mapping into high dimensional space and then taking dot product.



## SVM Kernel

A kernel function  $\Phi$  takes as input two points in the **original space**, and **directly** gives us the **dot product** in the **projected space**.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda \in [0, C]} L(\lambda) &= \sum_i \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j \langle \Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j) \rangle \\ \text{s.t. } \sum_i \lambda_i y_i &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$w = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i \Phi(x_i)$$

$$y(u) = \operatorname{sign} \left( \sum_i \lambda_i y_i \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(u) \rangle + b \right)$$

It is computationally **expensive** to calculate  $\langle \Phi(x), \Phi(u) \rangle$  in high-dimensional feature space.  
→ Apply Kernel trick.

## SVM Kernel

Define a Kernel function  $K(x, y) = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda \in [0, C]} L(\lambda) &= \sum_i \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i \lambda_j y_i y_j K(x_i, x_j) \\ \text{s.t. } \sum_i \lambda_i y_i &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$w = \sum_i \lambda_i y_i \Phi(x_i)$$

$$y(u) = \operatorname{sign} \left( \sum_i \lambda_i y_i K(x_i, u) + b \right)$$

Apply Kernel trick.

→ **Less expensive**

Never compute features explicitly → Compute dot products in closed form


## SVM Kernel

Define a Kernel function  $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \langle \Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{y}) \rangle$

Linear:  $K(x_i, x_j) = x_i \cdot x_j$

Polynomial of power  $p$ :  $K(x_i, x_j) = (x_i \cdot x_j + 1)^p$

Gaussian:  $K(x_i, x_j) = \exp(-\|x_i - x_j\|^2 / 2\sigma^2)$



## SVM Kernel Overfitting

- Huge feature space with kernels: should we worry about overfitting?
  - SVM objective seeks a solution with large **margin**
    - Theory says that large margin leads to good generalization (we will see this in a couple of lectures)
  - But everything overfits sometimes!!!
  - Can control by:
    - Setting  $C$
    - Choosing a better Kernel
    - Varying parameters of the Kernel (width of Gaussian, etc.)

## Pros and cons of SVM

Pros	Cons
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Work well with an optimal decision boundary.</li><li>• Effective in high dimensional space.</li><li>• Only use a subset of training samples (i.e., support vectors) to make prediction decision → Memory efficient.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Slow training time when the dataset is large.</li><li>• Does not directly provide the classification probability estimate.</li></ul>

## Summary

- Support Vector machine
- KKT condition
- Primal form
- Dual form
- Soft margin vs. Hard margin
- Kernel trick

Q&A

Thank you