

Validation & Regularization

Exercise T5.1: Validation

(tutorial)

- (a) What is validation and why is it needed?
- (b) What is the difference between *overfitting* and *underfitting*?
- (c) Discuss the techniques *test set method* and *cross validation* to perform validation.
- (d) How can hyperparameters (e.g. number of layers/neurons, regularization strength) of a model be selected using these techniques, and how can the resulting model be validated?

Exercise T5.2: Regularization

(tutorial)

- (a) What is the effect of the following alternative regularization terms, when minimizing the total training cost function (“risk”), $R_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]} = E_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}^T + \lambda E_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}^R$ for d -dim. parameters $\underline{\mathbf{w}}$?

$$E_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}^R = \frac{1}{2p} \|\underline{\mathbf{w}}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{i=1}^d w_i^2 \quad (L_2 \text{ norm regularization: “weight decay”})$$

$$E_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}^R = \frac{1}{p} \|\underline{\mathbf{w}}\|_1 = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^d |w_i| \quad (L_1 \text{ norm regularization: “sparsify” / “Lasso”})$$

“Lasso” stands for “least absolute shrinkage and selection operator”.

- (b) What is the optimal weight parameter vector $\underline{\mathbf{w}}^*$ with minimal risk $R_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}$ for a linear neuron with a quadratic training cost function and weight decay regularization?

Exercise T5.3: Nonlinear basis functions

(tutorial)

In order to fit highly non-linear functions, many machine learning approaches use a linear neuron on an alternate representation of the input samples $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$. This representation is an “expansion” of $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$ by non-linear basis functions $\phi_i(\underline{\mathbf{x}})$, i.e., $y(\underline{\mathbf{x}}) = \sum_{i=1}^d w_i \phi_i(\underline{\mathbf{x}})$. In the lecture, the functions ϕ_i are *radial basis functions*, but here we want to discuss the set of all monomials up to some order.

- (a) What are monomials and how is a linear combination of monomials called?
- (b) Monomials can grow very large in magnitude for large input values. To standardize the input space, one often *spheres* the data before performing the expansion. How is “sphering” or “whitening” performed?
- (c) Monomial basis functions can be regularized by weight decay.
- (d) What is the optimal weight parameter vector $\underline{\mathbf{w}}^*$ with minimal risk $R_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}$ for a linear neuron with basis functions ϕ_i with a quadratic training cost function and weight decay regularization?

Exercise H5.1: Cross-validation**(homework, 10 points)**

This exercise asks you to assess the impact of a regularization penalty on the parameters of a linear connectionist neuron to solve a regression task with a quadratic cost function. We will only consider a quadratic regularization term for this exercise.

Data:

The file `TrainingRidge.csv` contains the *training set*, with 200 observations and corresponding target values (ground truth/labels) $\{(\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\alpha)}, y_T^{(\alpha)})\}$. The two input variables for each observation $\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\alpha)} = (x_1^{(\alpha)}, x_2^{(\alpha)})^\top$ appear in the first 2 columns. The target values $y_T^{(\alpha)}$ are contained in the last column.

The data contained in the second `ValidationRidge.csv` serves as the *validation set*. It follows the same format as above. The *validation set* contains 1476 pairs $\{(\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\beta)}, y_T^{(\beta)})\}$. The values of $\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\beta)} = (x_1^{(\beta)}, x_2^{(\beta)})^\top$ form a 36×41 grid in input space.

(a) (3 point) Preprocessing:

Monomials (see below) can grow very large for bigger input values. Perform *sphering* of the training data, such that the resulting input samples are decorrelated, have zero mean and unit variance. The sphered data is given by

$$\{\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{sphered}}^{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha=1}^p \quad \text{with} \quad \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{sphered}}^{(\alpha)} = \underline{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\mathbf{E}}^\top \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{centered}}^{(\alpha)}.$$

Here

$\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{centered}}^{(\alpha)} = \underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\alpha)} - \langle \underline{\mathbf{x}} \rangle$ denotes the centered data point α w.r.t. the center of the training data $\langle \underline{\mathbf{x}} \rangle = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^p \underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\alpha)}$,

$\underline{\mathbf{E}} = (\underline{\mathbf{e}}_1, \dots, \underline{\mathbf{e}}_N)$ is the eigenvector matrix and $\underline{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ is the eigenvalue matrix for the eigendecomposition

$$\underline{\mathbf{C}} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_i = \lambda_i \underline{\mathbf{e}}_i$$

of the covariance matrix $\underline{\mathbf{C}}$ with $C_{ij} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^p x_{\text{centered},i}^{(\alpha)} x_{\text{centered},j}^{(\alpha)}$.

Deliverables: Plot the sphered training and validation sets using two separate scatter-plots. Color the points according to their label.

Important:

- Use the same $\langle \underline{\mathbf{x}} \rangle$ computed from the training data for centering the validation data.
- Use the same sphering transformation obtained from the eigendecomposition of the centered *training* data's covariance matrix to sphere the validation set (i.e., do not compute a separate sphering transformation for the validation set).

- (b) (2 point) A single linear neuron is not able to predict the target labels very well. To increase the representational power of the model class, *expand* the sphered 2D input to all possible *monomials* up to degree 9.

Here, a monomial of order k corresponds to a term $x_1^l x_2^m$ with $l + m = k$.

The model should contain all 55 terms $x_1^l x_2^m$ with $l + m = k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 9$. These monomials can be enumerated by $i = 1, \dots, d = 55$ defining $\phi_i(\underline{\mathbf{x}})$. The prediction function which feeds into the quadratic cost measure $E_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}^T$ is given by

$$y(\underline{\mathbf{x}}; \underline{\mathbf{w}}) = \underline{\mathbf{w}}^\top \underline{\phi}(\underline{\mathbf{x}}), \quad \text{with} \quad \underline{\mathbf{w}}^* = (\underline{\Phi} \underline{\Phi}^\top)^{-1} \underline{\Phi} \underline{\mathbf{y}}_T^\top$$

with input matrix $\underline{\Phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{d,p}$ [having components $\Phi_{i,\alpha} = \phi_i(\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{(\alpha)})$] and a label vector $\underline{\mathbf{y}}_T \in \mathbb{R}^{1,p}$ (with components $y_T^{(\alpha)}$).

Deliverables: Using the validation set, produce the following plots:

- (i) The first 10 monomials $\phi_i(\underline{\mathbf{x}})$ ($0 \leq k \leq 3$) as a function of x_1, x_2 . Visualize each monomial separately. You can visualize each monomial by using either a scatter plot or a 36×41 “heatmap”¹.
 - (ii) The predicted function $y(\underline{\mathbf{x}}; \underline{\mathbf{w}})$ as a function of x_1, x_2 , also as a scatter plot or “heatmap” where the colors indicate the prediction value.
- (c) (3 points) To avoid over-fitting when using the polynomial expansion above, we apply regularization using a weight-decay term, i.e., the risk $R_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]} = E_{[\underline{\mathbf{w}}]}^T + \lambda \frac{1}{2} \|\underline{\mathbf{w}}\|_2^2$ has to be minimized. For a regularization strength $\lambda > 0$, an input matrix $\underline{\Phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{d,p}$ and a label vector $\underline{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^{1,p}$ (as above), the prediction function is

$$y(\underline{\mathbf{x}}; \underline{\mathbf{w}}) = \underline{\mathbf{w}}^\top \underline{\phi}(\underline{\mathbf{x}}), \quad \text{with} \quad \underline{\mathbf{w}}^* = (\underline{\Phi} \underline{\Phi}^\top + \lambda \underline{\mathbf{I}})^{-1} \underline{\Phi} \underline{\mathbf{y}}_T^\top,$$

where $\underline{\mathbf{I}}$ denotes the identity matrix.

To find the best value for the regularization coefficient, perform a 10-fold cross-validation with the *training set* for all $\lambda \in \{10^z \mid z \in \{-4, -3.9, -3.8, \dots, 3.9, 4\}\}$. Each fold splits the original training set into a smaller training set and a *test set*.

Deliverables:

- (i) Plot the average and standard deviation of the MSE (mean squared error, i.e., average quadratic cost of the predictions) over the *test set* for all folds against λ (as an error-bar plot with a logarithmic x-axis for λ).
 - (ii) Identify the value of the best regularization coefficient λ_T^* , which has the minimal average MSE over all test folds.
 - (iii) Train the model using the entire original training set regularized by λ_T^* . Plot the true labels of the *validation set* alongside your model’s predictions. What is the MSE of the model on the validation set?
- (d) (2 points) To compare these empirical estimates of bias and variance with the true generalization error, repeat (c) with the polynomial expansion of the *validation set*. That is:
- (i) Replace your original training set with the validation set and treat this as your new training set.

¹ $36 \times 41 = 1476$ is the number of observations in the validation set.

- (ii) Keep the same sphering transformation as before.
- (iii) Perform the same expansion and cross validation in order to identify the best regularization coefficient $\rightsquigarrow \lambda_G^*$ using this data.
- (iv) What is the MSE of the model on entire original *validation set*? Keep in mind that this is data you actually used for training the model.
- (e) Is λ_G^* different from λ_T^* ? Compare by plotting the function learned in (c) using λ_T^* with the function that is learned in (d) using λ_G^* on
 - (i) the original training set
and
 - (ii) the original validation set.

Total 10 points.