## GAT 210 THEMED GAME RUBRIC (SPRING 2014) - 30% of your overall grade

## Total Score (75% Base): **Student Name:**

+2%

SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS			
Turned in late (final grade is halved)	X½		
Requires a resubmission (-5% per day)	A/2		
Box missing game/student/section name, email			
address, or the semester and year (-10% each)			
Box missing Digipen Copyright	-20%		
	-20/6		
Any documents missing student name (-5% each)	20/		
Box is unprofessional	-2%		
Box is Portfolio ready	+2%		
PLAYER EXPERIENCE			
No attempt to engage players or create a	-10%		
meaningful experience			
Theme is trivial or not very engaging	-5%		
Theme is engaging	+0%		
Theme is highly engaging	+2%		
THEME			
No theme at all	-40%		
Weak theme	-10%		
Decent, clear theme	+0%		
Very strong, well described & executed theme	+5%		
Game terminology complements theme			
Mechanics do not match theme			
Mechanics match theme	-5% +0%		
Mechanics enhance theme	+5%		
FINAL GAME RULES	1370		
No game rules actually submitted	-40%		
DigiPen copyright information is missing	-10%		
So complex it is difficult to comprehend	-10%		
Overly complex, unclear, or confusing	-5%		
Setup instructions don't work	-5%		
Cannot be finished due to rules issues	-5%		
Does not have a description/list of the components	-2% -2%		
Terminology used is inconsistent	-2%		
Rules are poorly organized	-2%		
Major holes in rules (-5% each)			
Minor holes in rules (-1% each)			
Typos or poor grammar (-1% each)	. 00/		
Rules are fairly clear and understandable	+0%		
Rulebook is portfolio ready	+1%		
Has decent examples of how to play	+1%		
Rules are very clear and easy to understand	+2%		
COMPONENTS			
Components are missing	-10%		
Components are poor quality or hard to use	-5%		
All components are included with submission	+0%		
Components are high-quality and/or enhance the	+1%		

play experience

Game components complement theme

CONCEPT AND DESIGN DOCUMENTS	
No previous versions or concept documents included	-40%
At least one previous version, but no WHY description on changes	-20%
WHY this theme is missing	-10%
Design goals description is simplistic or minimal	-10%
Design changes description is simplistic or minimal	-10%
Design goals description is very weak	-5%
Design changes description is very weak	-5%
Typos or poor grammar (-1% each)	
Design goals and changes descriptions are decent	+0%
Descriptions are well organized and very clear	+1%
Design document is extensive and detailed	+2%
Design changes description is extensive and detailed and address changes to fit theme	+2%
Interesting insights in the analysis of previous	
versions (+1% each)	
Additional versions of the game decently described and analyzed (+1% per additional version)	

GAME ANAYLSIS	
No playtesting or lab notes	-40%
Playtesting analysis is simplistic or minimal	-10%
Lots of playtesting data is missing	-5%
Playtesting analysis is very weak	-5%
Some playtesting data is missing	-2%
Playtesting analysis is weak	-2%
Typos or poor grammar (-1% each)	
Playtesting data and analysis are decent	+0%
Data and analysis are very well-presented	+1%
Playtesting data is extensive and detailed	+2%
Playtesting analysis is extensive and detailed	+2%
Interesting insights in the analysis of playtesting data (+1% each)	

MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS	
No mathematical analysis done at all	-5%
Very basic mathematical analysis done	+0%
Interesting mathematical analysis done (+1% for	
each interesting mathematical insight/WHYs)	

Notes:		