

Q1.

```

Loop: lw      x1, 0(x2)      1
      Addi    x1, x1, 1      2
      Sw      x1, 0(x2)      3
      Addi    x2,x2,44
      Sub     x4,x3,x2       5
      Bnz     x4, Loop       6
  
```

```

lw      x1, 0(x2)      1+
Addi    x1, x1, 1      2+
  
```

Clock	Fetch	Decode	Execute	Memory	Write-Back
1	1				
2	2	1			
3	2		1		
4	2			1	
5	2			1	
6	2			1	
7	2				1
8	3	2			
9	4	3	2		
10	5	4	3	2	
11	5		4	3	2
12	5		4	3	
13	5		4	3	
14	5			4	3

15	5				4
16	6	5			
17	6		5		
18	6			5	
19	6				5
20		6			
21			6		
22			6		
23	1+			6	
24	2+	1+			6
25	2+		1+		

Assuming

- No data forwarding.
 - 1 Extra cycle (total 2) for Branch Execute stage
 - 2 Extra cycle (total 3) for LW and SW
- a) We will lose 3 clock cycles if there is no branch predictor.
 - b) We will lose only 1 clock cycles if we have a static branch predictor because we will still need to wait for decode. If we have the branch target buffer entry then waiting till decode will suffice.
 - c) Dynamic branch predictor makes the decision based on history rather than on the branch instruction. So there will be no clock cycle loss.

Q2.

Assuming 1 clock cycle per pipeline stage.

Number of clock cycle per second = $3.4 \times 1000 \times 1000$

A)

So for every 100 instructions:

- 80 takes 1 cycle (non-Branch)
- For the 20 branch instructions:
 - 20×0.8 (80% of the cases) = 16 instructions: We will get 2 cycle stall (because we will have to wait to EX to finish before we know that we made a mistake)
 - For the remaining 20×0.2 (20% of the cases) = 4 instructions: We will get 1 cycle stall due to correct prediction (We still must wait till decode phase is done for static branch predictor to make any predictor)

So total cycles for 100 instructions is: $80 + (16 \times (2+1)) + (4 \times (1+1)) = 80 + 48 + 8 = 136$

So throughput is 100 instructions every 136 clock cycle

Or 100 instructions every $(136 / (3.4 \times 1000 \times 1000))$ sec

So in 1 sec we can finish $100 / (136 / (3.4 \times 1000 \times 1000))$ instructions
 $= ((3.4 \times 1000 \times 1000) \times 100) / 136$ instructions
 $= 2,500,000$ instructions

B)

So for every 100 instructions:

- 80 takes 1 cycle (non-Branch)
- For the 20 branch instructions:
 - 20×0.8 (80% of the cases) = 16 instructions: We will get 1 cycle stall (because we will have to wait to ID to finish before we know that we made a mistake)
 - For the remaining 20×0.2 (20% of the cases) = 4 instructions: We will get 1 cycle stall due to correct prediction (We still must wait till decode phase is done for static branch predictor to make any predictor)

So total cycles for 100 instructions is: $80 + (16 \times (1+1)) + (4 \times (1+1)) = 80 + 32 + 8 = 120$

So throughput is 100 instructions every 120 clock cycle

Or 100 instructions every $(120 / (3.4 \times 1000 \times 1000))$ sec

So in 1 sec we can finish $100 / (120 / (3.4 \times 1000 \times 1000))$ instructions
 $= ((3.4 \times 1000 \times 1000) \times 100) / 120$ instructions
 $= 2,833,333$ instructions

Q3:

ADD R2, R1, R1	1
Loop: BNEZ R2, If2	2
ADDI R2, R1, #2	3
If2: ADDI R2, R1, #-1	4
JUMP Loop	5
Done:	6

Assuming:

00 -> Strong Not Taken
01 -> Weak Not Taken
10 -> Weak Taken
11 -> Strong Taken

The behavior is dependent on the value of R1. Assuming R1 = 2. R2 will have 4.

Assuming a shared branch predictor for all branches.

- As the program hits 2, we get 1 mis-prediction and state changes to 01 => R2 is 4
- As the program hits 2 again we get our 2nd mis-prediction and the state changes to 10 => R2 is 3
- After this we get 1 correct prediction and state changes to 11=> R2 is 2
- Another correct prediction => R2 is 1
- One mis prediction => R2 is 0. Our state changes to 10
- We execute line 3 and 4 (considering we fall through), We end up having R1-1 in R2. So R2 = 1.
- Then we make correct prediction and state goes back to 11 => R2 is 1
- Then we make a wrong prediction state goes to 10 => R2 is 0
- We execute line 3 and 4 (considering we fall through), We end up having R1-1 in R2. So R2 = 1.
- Then we make correct prediction and state goes back to 11 => R2 is 1 • This goes on....

So given our assumption we will have:

- 2 initial misprediction

- 2 initial correct prediction
- Then alternating correct and wrong prediction

So in a long run.... We will have approx 50% prediction accuracy. The accuracy will improve if the value of R1 is bigger.

Given an arbitrary value of R1...say X. Assuming Y repetitions of the repeating sequence.

We will have:

- 2 initial misprediction
- (2X-2) correct prediction
- Then Y * (1) mis-prediction
- And, Y* (X-1) correct prediction

Prediction accuracy = $((2X - 2) + (Y * (X - 1))) / (2 + 2X - 2 + Y + YX - Y)$ If Y is big.... We converge to: $(Y * (X - 1)) / (Y + YX - Y)$
 $= (YX - Y) / (YX)$
 $= 1 - (1/X)$