

# L6 Elementary matrices and the matrix inverse

1ma901/1ma406 Linear algebra

Jonas Nordqvist

# Engelsk-svensk ordlista

English	Swedish
Multiplicative inverse	Multiplikativ invers
Elementary matrix	Elementärmatrix
Triangular matrix	Triangulär matris

# Linear combination

## Definition

Let  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  scalars, then a sum of the form

$$c_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{a}_n$$

is called a *linear combination* of the vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n$ .

## Example

$$5 \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} + 7 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 15 - 2 + 7 \\ 10 - 0 + 7 \\ 5 - 1 + 7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 20 \\ 17 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix}$$

The vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 20 \\ 17 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix}$  is a linear combination of the vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Identity matrix

Elements of the form  $a_{ii}$  of a matrix  $A$  are said to be *diagonal elements* and the set of all diagonal elements form the *main diagonal*.

An  $n \times n$  matrix *i.e.* having the same number of rows and columns is said to be a *square matrix*, and if it is nonzero only in the main diagonal it is said to be a *diagonal matrix*.

The particular case of a diagonal matrix with every diagonal element is equal to 1, is called the *identity matrix*.

For instance we have for  $n = 2$  and  $n = 4$  we have

$$I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If it is clear by context we omit the subscript.

The identity matrix serves as the neutral element for matrix multiplication. Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then

$$AI = IA = A.$$

Note that besides being a diagonal matrix, the identity matrix is also what is known as a *symmetric matrix*. We say that a matrix  $A$  is symmetric if  $A = A^T$ .

# The multiplicative inverse of a matrix

Let  $A$  be a square matrix is size  $n \times n$ . The multiplicative inverse of  $A$ , if it exists, is a matrix  $B$  such that

$$AB = BA = I.$$

If such a matrix  $B$  exists then  $A$  is said to be *nonsingular* or *invertible*. The matrix  $B$  is usually denoted by  $A^{-1}$ .

## Lemma

*The multiplicative inverse of a matrix is unique.*

## Proof.

Assume that  $A$  is nonsingular and we have two multiplicative inverses  $B$  and  $C$ . Then we have

$$B = BI = B(AC) = (BA)C = IC = C.$$

Hence, we conclude that  $B = C$ . □

In contrast to the nonsingular case, we say that a matrix  $A$  without a multiplicative inverse (or simply inverse for short) is said to be *singular* or *noninvertible*.

For non-square matrices we may not define multiplicative inverses in the same way. Although, we may construct either left- or right inverses. However, for this course we restrict to study inverses for square matrices.

# Product of nonsingular matrices

## Theorem

If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $n \times n$  nonsingular matrices, then  $AB$  is also nonsingular and  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ .

## Proof.

We have

$$(B^{-1}A^{-1})AB = B^{-1}(A^{-1}A)B = B^{-1}IB = B^{-1}B = I,$$

and

$$AB(B^{-1}A^{-1}) = A(BB^{-1})A^{-1} = AIA^{-1} = AA^{-1} = I.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Note that this may be extended inductively the any number of nonsingular matrices as

$$(A_1A_2 \cdots A_j)^{-1} = A_j^{-1} \cdots A_2^{-1}A_1^{-1}.$$

## Equivalent systems

Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} a_{11}x_1 & + & a_{12}x_2 & + & \cdots & + & a_{1n}x_n & = & b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 & + & a_{22}x_2 & + & \cdots & + & a_{2n}x_n & = & b_2 \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 & + & a_{m2}x_2 & + & \cdots & + & a_{mn}x_n & = & b_m \end{array}$$

be a linear system represented by

$$Ax = b.$$

If we multiply both sides of the above equation by a nonsingular matrix  $M$  of size  $m \times m$  we obtain an equivalent system.

$$Ax = b \sim MAx = Mb.$$

If  $x_0$  is a solution to the latter system then

$$M^{-1}MAx_0 = M^{-1}Mb \iff Ax_0 = b.$$

Thus, it is also a solution to the former system, and hence they are equivalent.

Note that if  $A$  is nonsingular. Then

$$Ax = b \iff A^{-1}Ax = A^{-1}b \iff x = A^{-1}b,$$

and the solution is given directly.

## Elementary matrices

We recall the following definition of *elementary row operations* on matrices from Lecture 2. An elementary row operation on a matrix is one of the following

- (I) Interchange two rows
- (II) Multiply a row by a nonzero real number
- (III) Replace a row by its sum with a multiple of another row.

### Definition

A matrix which differs from the identity matrix by *one* row operation is said to be a elementary matrix.

### Example

The matrices

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

are all examples of elementary matrices. We say that they are of type I, II and III respectively, corresponding to the different row operations.

### Definition

A matrix  $B$  is *row equivalent* to  $A$  if there exists a finite sequence of elementary matrices  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_j$  such that

$$B = E_j \cdots E_2 \cdot E_1 A.$$



# Inverse of an elementary matrix

## Theorem

*If  $E$  is an elementary matrix, then  $E$  is nonsingular and  $E^{-1}$  is an elementary matrix of the same type.*

## Proof.

1. If  $E$  is of type I. Then  $E$  is its own inverse  $EE = I$ , because multiplication by  $E$  again will change back the original order of the rows.
2. If  $E$  is of type II. Then  $E$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal  $d = (1, \dots, 1, \alpha, 1, \dots, 1)$ , where  $d_i = \alpha$  and  $d_j = 1$  for all  $j$  except for  $j = i$ . Let  $E'$  be the diagonal matrix with diagonal  $d' = (1, \dots, 1, 1/\alpha, 1, \dots, 1)$ , where  $d_i = \alpha$  and  $d_j = 1$  for all  $j$  except for  $j = i$ . Denote by  $C$  their product and then we have

$$(c_{ij}) = \vec{e}_i \vec{e}_j' = 1.$$

Hence,

$$E'E = EE' = I.$$

3. If  $E$  is of type III. Then we assume that  $E$  is obtained by adding  $m$  times the  $i$ th row to the  $j$ th row. Then its inverse is given by subtracting  $m$  times the  $i$ th row from the  $j$ th row.

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

## Conditions on nonsingularity

When is a matrix nonsingular? This is an important question, and by the theorem below we obtain some insight into this.

### Theorem

Let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  matrix. The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $A$  is nonsingular.
- (b)  $Ax = 0$  has only the trivial solution.
- (c)  $A$  is row equivalent to  $I$ .

### Proof.

We start to prove that (a)  $\implies$  (b). If  $A$  is nonsingular and  $x_0$  is a solution to  $Ax = 0$ , then

$$x_0 = Ix_0 = (A^{-1}A)x_0 = A^{-1}(Ax_0) = A^{-1}0 = 0.$$

Next we prove that (b)  $\implies$  (c). We may transform the equation to  $Ux = 0$ , where  $U$  is in echelon form. Further, we may assume that  $U$  is strictly triangular otherwise we would have a nontrivial solution. Hence,  $I$  must be the reduced echelon form of  $A$ , and thus  $A$  is row equivalent to  $I$ . We conclude by proving that (c)  $\implies$  (a). If  $A$  is row equivalent to  $I$  we have  $A = E_j \cdots E_2 \cdot E_1 I = E_j \cdots E_2 \cdot E_1$ . However, since every  $E_i$  is invertible we have that their product must be and in particular we have

$$A^{-1} = (E_j \cdots E_2 \cdot E_1)^{-1} = E_1^{-1} \cdot E_2^{-1} \cdots E_j^{-1},$$

and thus  $A$  is nonsingular. □

# Unique solution to a $n \times n$ linear system

## Theorem

*The system  $Ax = b$  of  $n$  linear equations in  $n$  unknowns has a unique solution if and only if  $A$  is nonsingular.*

## Proof.

( $\Rightarrow$ ): Assuming that the system has the unique solution  $x_0$ . We make a proof by contradiction, by assuming that  $A$  is singular. Then by the previous theorem  $Ax = 0$  has nontrivial solutions. Let  $z$  be any of these. However, then  $y = x_0 + z$  must be a solution to the linear system as

$$Ay = A(x_0 + z) = Ax_0 + Az = b + 0 = b.$$

However, this is a contradiction since we assumed that  $x_0$  was unique. Hence,  $A$  is nonsingular.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): We assume that  $A$  is nonsingular, and let  $x_0$  be any solution to the system. Then

$$Ax_0 = b \iff A^{-1}Ax_0 = A^{-1}b \iff x_0 = A^{-1}b,$$

and the solution is unique. □

## Matrix inverse example

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inverse of  $A$  is found by finding the matrix  $B$  such that  $(A|I) \sim (I|B)$ . We proceed by putting up  $(A|I)$

$$(A|I) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Only by use of row operations we want to get  $I$  on left part of the above matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} \boxed{+}^2 \\ \boxed{+} \\ \boxed{+} \end{array} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} \boxed{-1} \\ \boxed{+} \\ \boxed{+} \end{array} \\ & \sim \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} \boxed{+} \\ \boxed{+} \\ \boxed{-3} \end{array} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -1 & 4 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} \boxed{+} \\ \boxed{-1/3} \\ \end{array} \\ & \sim \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 & -1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -1 & 4 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} | \cdot (-1) \\ | \cdot 1/3 \\ \end{array} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1/3 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1/3 & 4/3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This means that we've found  $A^{-1}$  and it is given by

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/3 & 1/3 & 0 \\ -1/3 & 4/3 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -3 \\ 3 & -3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Triangular matrices

## Definition

An  $n \times n$  matrix is said to be *upper triangular* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i > j$  and *lower triangular* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i < j$ .

## Example

The matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & 0 \\ 5 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

are upper and lower triangular respectively.

## Remark

*An alternative definition to diagonal matrices are matrices that are both upper and lower triangular.*

Every  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  may be written in the form (up to reordering of the rows) as

$$A = LU,$$

where  $L$  is a lower triangular matrix, and  $U$  is an upper triangular matrix.

## Example of $LU$ -factorization

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 6 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Find  $L$  and  $U$  such that  $A = LU$ . We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 6 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \begin{array}{c} \boxed{-1/2} \\ + \end{array} \\ \leftarrow \begin{array}{c} \boxed{-3} \\ + \end{array} \end{array} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & -2 & -8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \begin{array}{c} \boxed{2} \\ + \end{array} \end{array} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The row operations above corresponds to multiplication by the elementary matrices

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and we have

$$L := (E_3 E_2 E_1)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and put } U := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 7/2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By construction we have

$$A = LU.$$

Preparation for next exercise session: Read and do the recommended exercises in the book.

Preparation for next exercise session: Read and do the recommended exercises in the book.

Next lecture we discuss an easy operation which tells us whether a matrix is singular or not called the determinant. It also have many other interesting properties.



Preparation for next exercise session: Read and do the recommended exercises in the book.

Next lecture we discuss an easy operation which tells us whether a matrix is singular or not called the determinant. It also have many other interesting properties.

Thank you for today!