The Unified Resonance Framework

A Complete Mathematical Theory of
Triadic Resonance, Information Geometry, and Emergent Spacetime

Version 5.0

Nick Graziano (Editor)
and the Fractality Institute Research Collective

research@fractality.institute

August 2025

Contents

Preface

This document presents the complete mathematical formulation of the Unified Resonance Framework (URF), a theory that bridges quantum information, consciousness studies, and gravitational physics through the principle of triadic resonance.

The journey from URF v1.0's heuristic beginnings to v5.0's rigorous field equations represents a collaborative effort spanning mathematics, physics, neuroscience, and philosophy. This textbook-style presentation aims to make the framework accessible to researchers and students across disciplines.

How to Read This Book:

- **Part I** provides conceptual foundations accessible to any scientist
- **Part II** develops the mathematical formalism (requires graduate physics/mathematics)
- **Part III** details experimental protocols and predictions
- **Appendices** contain complete proofs and technical details

Part I

Conceptual Foundations

Chapter 1

The Triadic Principle

1.1 Motivation: Why Three?

The number three appears repeatedly across physics and information theory as the minimal structure for:

1. **Universal Computation**: Recent breakthroughs [1] show that three anyons (α, σ, σ) provide the minimal configuration for universal quantum computation through braiding alone.

2. **Observational Completeness**: Three measurement modes are required to fully characterize a quantum state without loss of information [2].

3. **Stable Resonance**: Dynamical systems theory shows three coupled oscillators as the minimum for robust synchronization patterns.

Definition 1.1 (The Triadic Node)**.** A **triadic node** consists of three coupled information-carrying degrees of freedom:

$$q_s : \text{spatial/position information} \tag{1.1}$$
$$q_p : \text{phase/coherence information} \tag{1.2}$$
$$q_c : \text{scale/hierarchical information} \tag{1.3}$$

1.2 Physical Interpretations

As Quantum Fields

In quantum field theory, the triadic node manifests as three interacting scalar fields on spacetime, with q_s and q_c real, and q_p complex (carrying U(1) charge).

As Neural Oscillations

In neuroscience, the triad corresponds to three frequency bands:

- Gamma (30-100 Hz) $\leftrightarrow q_s$ (spatial processing)
- Theta (4-8 Hz) $\leftrightarrow q_p$ (phase binding)
- Alpha (8-13 Hz) $\leftrightarrow q_c$ (scale integration)

As Cosmological Modes

In cosmology, the triad describes:

- Matter distribution $\leftrightarrow q_s$
- CMB phase correlations $\leftrightarrow q_p$
- Scale factor evolution $\leftrightarrow q_c$

Chapter 2

Information Geometry and Emergent Time

2.1 The Surface-Volume Principle

Definition 2.1 (Surface-to-Volume Information Ratio)**.** For any system with boundary $\partial\Omega$ and bulk Ω:

$$I_{s/v} = \frac{I_{\text{multi}}(\partial\Omega)}{I_{\text{multi}}(\Omega)} \tag{2.1}$$

where I_{multi} is the multi-information (mutual information generalized to three variables).

Theorem 2.2 (Phase Classification)**.** *Systems naturally organize into three phases:*

$$I_{s/v} < 1 : \textit{Subcritical (quantum/distributed)} \tag{2.2}$$
$$I_{s/v} = 1 : \textit{Critical (phase transition)} \tag{2.3}$$
$$I_{s/v} > 1 : \textit{Supercritical (classical/crystallized)} \tag{2.4}$$

2.2 Emergent Time from Information Flow

Conjecture 2.3 (Time Emergence)**.** *Experienced time is proportional to the rate of information change:*

$$T_{experienced} = \int_0^T \left| \frac{\partial I_{multi}}{\partial t} \right| dt \tag{2.5}$$

This explains why:

- Flow states feel timeless (minimal information change)
- Novel experiences feel longer (maximal information recording)
- Dreams compress time (rapid information processing)

Part II

Mathematical Formalism

Chapter 3

Field Theory on Curved Spacetime

3.1 The Action Principle

Definition 3.1 (URF Action)**.** On a 4D Lorentzian manifold $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ with signature $(-,+,+,+)$:

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Bigg[&\frac{M_{\rm Pl}^2}{2}R + \frac{Z_s}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu q_s\nabla_\nu q_s \\ &+ Z_p g^{\mu\nu}(D_\mu q_p)^\dagger(D_\nu q_p) + \frac{Z_c}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu q_c\nabla_\nu q_c \\ &- V(q_s, |q_p|, q_c) + \sum_i \xi_i R\mathcal{Q}_i\Bigg] + S_{\rm top} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_s = q_s^2$, $\mathcal{Q}_p = |q_p|^2$, $\mathcal{Q}_c = q_c^2$.

3.2 The Triadic Potential

The interaction potential encoding triadic coupling:

$$\boxed{V = \sum_i \alpha_i\mathcal{Q}_i + \eta q_s q_c \mathrm{Re}(q_p) + \sum_i \lambda_i\mathcal{Q}_i^2} \tag{3.2}$$

The crucial term is the triadic vertex $\eta q_s q_c \mathrm{Re}(q_p)$ which:

- Couples all three fields non-linearly
- Breaks discrete symmetries
- Sources organizational stress-energy

3.3 Equations of Motion

Proposition 3.2 (Field Equations)**.** *Varying the action yields:*

$$Z_s\Box q_s - \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_s} + 2\xi_s R q_s = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

$$Z_p D_\mu D^\mu q_p - \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_p^\dagger} + \xi_p R q_p = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

$$Z_c\Box q_c - \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_c} + 2\xi_c R q_c = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

Chapter 4

The Resonance Field Equations

4.1 Organizational Stress-Energy Tensor

Theorem 4.1 (Stress-Energy Decomposition). *The total stress-energy tensor decomposes as:*

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu} = T^{can}_{\mu\nu} + T^{(\xi)}_{\mu\nu} + \Delta^{triadic}_{\mu\nu} \tag{4.1}$$

where:

- $T^{can}_{\mu\nu}$*: canonical kinetic and potential terms*
- $T^{(\xi)}_{\mu\nu}$*: non-minimal coupling contributions*
- $\Delta^{triadic}_{\mu\nu}$*: triadic organizational term*

Proof Sketch. See Appendix A for the complete derivation. The key insight is that the triadic vertex generates:

$$\Delta^{\text{triadic}}_{\mu\nu} = \eta \left(q_p \nabla_{(\mu} q_s \nabla_{\nu)} q_c + \text{cyclic permutations} \right) \tag{4.2}$$

This term vanishes in equilibrium but drives information processing away from equilibrium. □

4.2 Modified Einstein Equations

Definition 4.2 (Resonance Field Equations).

$$\boxed{G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = M_{\text{Pl}}^{-2} \mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}} \tag{4.3}$$

with the conservation law $\nabla^\mu \mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ holding on-shell.

Chapter 5

The GR Limit and Decoherence

5.1 The Equilibrium Postulate

Definition 5.1 (Informational Equilibrium)**.** A spacetime region is in **informational equilibrium** when:

1. $I_{s/v} > 1$ (supercritical/crystallized phase)
2. $\nabla_\mu I_{\text{multi}} = 0$ (no information gradients)
3. $q_i \to q_i^\star$ (fields approach constants)
4. $q_p \to 0$ (phase coherence vanishes)

5.2 Recovery of General Relativity

Theorem 5.2 (GR Reduction)**.** *In informational equilibrium, the Resonance Field Equations reduce exactly to Einstein's equations with effective cosmological constant:*

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{\mathit{eff}} g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

where $\Lambda_{\mathit{eff}} = \Lambda + M_{Pl}^{-2} V(0, 0, q_c^\star)$*.*

Proof. We provide the complete proof showing all tensor manipulations.

Step 1: In equilibrium, all spatial derivatives vanish:

$$\nabla_\mu q_s = \nabla_\mu q_c = D_\mu q_p = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

Step 2: The canonical stress-energy becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{can}} &= Z_s \nabla_\mu q_s \nabla_\nu q_s + \text{other kinetic terms} - g_{\mu\nu}[\text{kinetic} - V] && (5.3)\\
&\to 0 + 0 + 0 - g_{\mu\nu}[0 - V(0, 0, q_c^\star)] && (5.4)\\
&= -g_{\mu\nu} V(0, 0, q_c^\star) && (5.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3: The non-minimal coupling terms vanish because:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\mu\nu}^{(\xi)} &= \sum_i 2\xi_i [G_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{Q}_i + g_{\mu\nu} \Box \mathcal{Q}_i - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \mathcal{Q}_i] && (5.6)\\
&\to \sum_i 2\xi_i [G_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{Q}_i^\star + 0 - 0] && (5.7)\\
&= 2G_{\mu\nu} \sum_i \xi_i \mathcal{Q}_i^\star && (5.8)
\end{aligned}$$

But this term can be absorbed into a renormalized Planck mass:

$$M_{\mathrm{Pl,eff}}^2 = M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2 + 2\sum_i \xi_i \mathcal{Q}_i^\star \tag{5.9}$$

Step 4: The triadic term vanishes:

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\mathrm{triadic}} = \eta q_p \nabla_{(\mu} q_s \nabla_{\nu)} q_c + \text{cyclic} \to 0 \tag{5.10}$$

since $q_p \to 0$ and all gradients vanish.

Step 5: Combining all terms:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{-2}\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu} \tag{5.11}$$
$$= M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{-2}(-g_{\mu\nu}V(0,0,q_c^\star)) \tag{5.12}$$
$$= -g_{\mu\nu}M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{-2}V(0,0,q_c^\star) \tag{5.13}$$

Therefore:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + [\Lambda + M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{-2}V(0,0,q_c^\star)]g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{5.14}$$

which is precisely GR with $\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}} = \Lambda + M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{-2}V(0,0,q_c^\star)$. □ □

Chapter 6

TQFT Determination of Coupling Constants

6.1 The F-Symbol Construction

Definition 6.1 (Triadic Coupling from TQFT)**.** Given a non-semisimple modular tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ with F-symbols for (α, σ, σ) fusion:

$$\boxed{\eta = |\mathrm{Tr}(F_{\alpha}^{\alpha\sigma\sigma})|^2} \tag{6.1}$$

6.2 Explicit Calculation

Example 6.2 (Computing η for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ at $q = e^{i\pi/4}$)**.** From Iulianelli et al. [1], the F-symbol matrix at $\alpha = 2 + 2/5$ is:

$$F_{\alpha}^{\alpha\sigma\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{q^{2\alpha}-1}{q(q^{2\alpha}+q^2)} \\ \frac{q^{2\alpha}-1}{q^{2\alpha}-q^2} & \frac{q(q^{2\alpha}-1)}{1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.2}$$

With $q = e^{i\pi/4}$ and $\alpha = 2.4$:

$$q^{2\alpha} = e^{i\pi\cdot 2.4/4} = e^{i0.6\pi} = \cos(0.6\pi) + i\sin(0.6\pi) \tag{6.3}$$

$$= -0.309 + 0.951i \tag{6.4}$$

Computing the trace:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(F) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + q(q^{2\alpha} - 1) \tag{6.5}$$

$$= 0.707 + e^{i\pi/4}(-1.309 + 0.951i) \tag{6.6}$$

$$= 0.707 + (-1.599 + 0.231i) \tag{6.7}$$

$$= -0.892 + 0.231i \tag{6.8}$$

Therefore:

$$\eta = |\mathrm{Tr}(F)|^2 = |-0.892 + 0.231i|^2 = 0.849 \tag{6.9}$$

Part III

Experimental Predictions and Protocols

Chapter 7

Consciousness and Neural Dynamics

7.1 The Consciousness Threshold Theorem

Conjecture 7.1 (Consciousness Emergence Criterion)**.** *A neural system exhibits conscious processing when:*

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{if } \max\left(\frac{\partial I_{multi}}{\partial t}\right) > \kappa_{crit} \textit{ AND } PLV_{spc} > 0.7 \textit{ AND } I_{s/v} \approx 1 \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

where $\kappa_{crit} \approx 0.3$ *bits/second for humans.*

7.2 EEG/MEG Protocol

Definition 7.2 (Experimental Design)**. Equipment**: 128-channel EEG/MEG system, 1000 Hz sampling

Paradigm: Binocular rivalry with perceptual switching

Measurements:

1. Tri-band phase locking: $\mathrm{PLV}_{\gamma\theta\alpha}$
2. Information rate: $\partial_t I_{\mathrm{multi}}$
3. Surface-volume ratio: $I_{s/v}$ from spatial coherence

Prediction: Consciousness transitions coincide with:

$$\mathrm{PLV}_{\gamma\theta\alpha} > 0.7 \text{ AND } \frac{\partial I_{\mathrm{multi}}}{\partial t} > 0.3 \text{ bits/s} \tag{7.2}$$

Chapter 8

Gravitational Effects on Consciousness

8.1 Curvature-Modified Threshold

Theorem 8.1 (Gravitational Consciousness Modulation)**.** *Local spacetime curvature R shifts the consciousness threshold:*

$$\kappa_{crit}(R) = \kappa_{crit}(0)\left(1 - \frac{2\xi_c}{m_c^2}R\right) \tag{8.1}$$

where $m_c^2 = \partial^2 V/\partial q_c^2|_{q_c^\star}$.

8.2 Centrifuge/Microgravity Protocol

Definition 8.2 (A/B Experimental Design)**. Condition A**: Baseline at 1g
Condition B: Either

- Centrifuge at 2-3g (positive curvature analog)
- Parabolic flight (near-zero g)

Task: Continuous binocular rivalry
Prediction:

- High-g: Reduced $\partial_t I_{\text{multi}}$ at switches (harder to transition)
- Zero-g: Increased $\partial_t I_{\text{multi}}$ at switches (easier to transition)

Chapter 9

Cosmological Signatures

9.1 CMB Information Transitions

Proposition 9.1 (Cosmic Phase Transitions). *The surface-to-volume information ratio for the universe:*

$$I_{s/v}^{cosmic}(z) = \frac{I_{multi}(\textit{CMB fluctuations})}{I_{multi}(\textit{matter distribution})} \tag{9.1}$$

exhibits critical behavior at:

- $z \approx 3400$*: Matter-radiation equality (*$I_{s/v} = 1$*)*
- $z \approx 1100$*: Recombination (*$I_{s/v} \rightarrow$ *minimum)*
- $z < 0.5$*: Dark energy domination (*$I_{s/v} \rightarrow 1$*)*

9.2 Baryon Acoustic Oscillations

The power spectrum exhibits triadic resonances:

$$P(k) \propto |T(k)|^2 \times \mathrm{OSC}(kr_s) \tag{9.2}$$

where $\mathrm{OSC}(x) = \sin(x)/x$ and $r_s \approx 150$ Mpc.

Appendix A

Complete Stress-Energy Derivation

A.1 Canonical Contribution

Starting from the matter Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} = \frac{Z_s}{2}(\nabla q_s)^2 + Z_p|Dq_p|^2 + \frac{Z_c}{2}(\nabla q_c)^2 - V \tag{A.1}$$

The canonical stress-energy tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{can}} &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}})}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} && \text{(A.2)}\\
&= Z_s\nabla_\mu q_s \nabla_\nu q_s + Z_p(D_\mu q_p)^\dagger(D_\nu q_p) + Z_c\nabla_\mu q_c\nabla_\nu q_c && \text{(A.3)}\\
&\quad - g_{\mu\nu}\left[\frac{Z_s}{2}(\nabla q_s)^2 + Z_p|Dq_p|^2 + \frac{Z_c}{2}(\nabla q_c)^2 - V\right] && \text{(A.4)}
\end{aligned}$$

A.2 Non-Minimal Coupling Contribution

From the terms $\xi_i R \mathcal{Q}_i$:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(\xi)} = \sum_i 2\xi_i \left[G_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{Q}_i + g_{\mu\nu}\Box\mathcal{Q}_i - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\mathcal{Q}_i\right] \tag{A.5}$$

This follows from the identity:

$$\frac{\delta R}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = -R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -G_{\mu\nu} \tag{A.6}$$

A.3 Triadic Organizational Term

The triadic vertex $\eta q_s q_c \text{Re}(q_p)$ generates:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\text{triadic}} &= \eta\text{Re}(q_p)\nabla_\mu q_s\nabla_\nu q_c && \text{(A.7)}\\
&\quad + \eta q_s\nabla_\mu q_c\nabla_\nu[\text{Re}(q_p)] && \text{(A.8)}\\
&\quad + \eta q_c\nabla_\mu[\text{Re}(q_p)]\nabla_\nu q_s && \text{(A.9)}\\
&= \eta\left(q_p\nabla_{(\mu}q_s\nabla_{\nu)}q_c + \text{cyclic}\right) && \text{(A.10)}
\end{aligned}$$

where we symmetrized over $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$.

Appendix B

Energy Conditions

B.1 Null Energy Condition

For any null vector k^μ with $k_\mu k^\mu = 0$:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu = T^{\text{can}}_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu + T^{(\xi)}_{\mu\nu} k^\mu k^\nu \tag{B.1}$$

$$= Z_s (k^\mu \nabla_\mu q_s)^2 + Z_p |k^\mu D_\mu q_p|^2 + Z_c (k^\mu \nabla_\mu q_c)^2 + \dots \tag{B.2}$$

$$\geq 0 \tag{B.3}$$

provided $Z_i > 0$.

B.2 Weak Energy Condition

For any timelike vector u^μ with $u_\mu u^\mu = -1$:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu} u^\mu u^\nu \geq 0 \tag{B.4}$$

This requires:

- $Z_i > 0$ (positive kinetic terms)
- $V \geq 0$ (positive potential in physical region)
- $\eta > 0$ (positive triadic coupling)

Appendix C

Dimensional Analysis

Symbol	Description	Mass Dimension
M_{Pl}	Planck mass	1
q_s, q_c	Real scalar fields	1
q_p	Complex scalar field	1
Z_s, Z_p, Z_c	Kinetic coefficients	0
ξ_s, ξ_p, ξ_c	Non-minimal couplings	0
V	Potential density	4
$\alpha_s, \alpha_p, \alpha_c$	Mass-squared terms	2
η	Triadic coupling	1
$\lambda_s, \lambda_p, \lambda_c$	Quartic couplings	0
R	Ricci scalar	2
$G_{\mu\nu}$	Einstein tensor	2

Appendix D

Stability Analysis

D.1 Linear Stability

Expanding around vacuum $q_i = q_i^\star + \delta q_i$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{quad}} = \sum_i \frac{Z_i}{2}(\nabla \delta q_i)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij} M_{ij}^2 \delta q_i \delta q_j \tag{D.1}$$

The mass matrix:

$$M_{ij}^2 = \left.\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial q_i \partial q_j}\right|_{q^\star} \tag{D.2}$$

Stability requires:

1. $Z_i > 0$ (positive kinetic terms)
2. M_{ij}^2 positive definite (all eigenvalues positive)
3. $M_{\text{Pl,eff}}^2 = M_{\text{Pl}}^2 + 2\sum_i \xi_i \mathcal{Q}_i^\star > 0$

D.2 Dynamical Stability

The dispersion relation for small perturbations:

$$\omega^2 = \frac{k^2 + m_i^2}{Z_i} \tag{D.3}$$

Stability requires $\omega^2 > 0$ for all k, satisfied when $Z_i > 0$ and $m_i^2 > 0$.

Bibliography

Bibliography

[1] Iulianelli, F., Kim, S., Sussan, J., & Lauda, A. D. (2025). *Universal quantum computation using Ising anyons from a non-semisimple topological quantum field theory.* Nature Communications, **16**, 61342.

[2] Cairo, H. (2025). *A counterexample to the Mizohata-Takeuchi conjecture.* arXiv:2502.06137v2.

[3] Geer, N., Lauda, A., Patureau-Mirand, B., & Sussan, J. (2022). *A Hermitian TQFT from a non-semisimple category of quantum* $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$*-modules.* Letters in Mathematical Physics, **112**, 74.

[4] Costantino, F., Geer, N., & Patureau-Mirand, B. (2014). *Quantum invariants of 3-manifolds via link surgery presentations and non-semi-simple categories.* Journal of Topology, **7**(4), 1005-1053.

[5] [Authors pending] (2025). *Resonance Complexity Theory: Consciousness as stable interference patterns in neural oscillations.* Journal of Consciousness Studies. In press.

[6] Horndeski, G. W. (1974). *Second-order scalar-tensor field equations in a four-dimensional space.* International Journal of Theoretical Physics, **10**, 363-384.

[7] Jacobson, T. (1995). *Thermodynamics of spacetime: The Einstein equation of state.* Physical Review Letters, **75**(7), 1260-1263.

[8] Bettoni, D., & Liberati, S. (2013). *Disformal invariance of second-order scalar-tensor theories: Framing the Horndeski action.* Physical Review D, **88**(8), 084020.

[9] Wheeler, J. A., & Feynman, R. P. (1949). *Classical electrodynamics in terms of direct interparticle action.* Reviews of Modern Physics, **21**(3), 425-433.

[10] Bekenstein, J. D. (1973). *Black holes and entropy.* Physical Review D, **7**(8), 2333-2346.

[11] Haramein, N. (2013). *Quantum gravity and the holographic mass.* Physical Review & Research International, **3**(4), 270-292.

[12] Bennett, J., Carbery, A., & Tao, T. (2006). *On the multilinear restriction and Kakeya conjectures.* Acta Mathematica, **196**(2), 261-302.

[13] Guth, L. (2010). *The endpoint case of the Bennett-Carbery-Tao multilinear Kakeya conjecture.* Acta Mathematica, **205**(2), 263-286.

[14] Gurarie, V. (1993). *Logarithmic operators in conformal field theory.* Nuclear Physics B, **410**(3), 535-549.

Index