## **SOLUTIONS SHEET 9**

## YANNIS BÄHNI

**Exercise 1.** Let  $\Gamma := [z_1, z_2] + [z_2, z_3] + [z_3, z_1]$ .  $\Gamma$  is a cycle since it is the positively oriented boundary chain of the non-empty domain  $\Delta^{\circ}$  (example 1 in Fischer/Lieb). Now by Satz 1.3 we have that  $n(\Gamma, z)$  is locally constant for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus |\Gamma|$  and 0 for z in the unbounded pathcomponent. Thus we get

$$n(\Gamma, z) = 0$$
  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta$ .

By the wall-crossing lemma (Satz 3.1) we get furthermore

$$n(\Gamma, z) = 1$$
  $z \in \Delta^{\circ}$ 

since by assumption every line segment is not a singleton, hence we find a ball around a point in the line segment and we can apply Satz 3.1 which just yields

$$n(\Gamma, z_1) = n(\Gamma, z_2) + 1 = 1$$
  $z_1 \in \Delta^{\circ}, z_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta.$ 

Exercise 2. See separate sheet.

## Exercise 3.

(i) Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(G_1 \cup G_2)$  (clearly  $G_1 \cup G_2$  is open and connected since it is path-connected by the non-empty intersection). This implies  $f \in \mathcal{O}(G_1)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{O}(G_2)$ . Since  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are elementary domains, there exist primitives  $F_1 : G_1 \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $F_2 : G_2 \to \mathbb{C}$  of f. Consider the auxiliary function  $\varphi : G_1 \cap G_2 \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\varphi(z) := F_1(z) - F_2(z)$ . This is clearly not the empty function since  $G_1 \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$  by assumption. Now

$$\varphi'(z) = F_1'(z) - F_2'(z) = f(z) - f(z) = 0$$

for all  $z \in G_1 \cap G_2$  implies that  $\varphi$  is locally constant on  $G_1 \cap G_2$  and thus by connectedness,  $\varphi$  is constant on  $G_1 \cap G_2$ . Hence there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $F_1(z) = F_2(z) + \lambda$  for all  $z \in G_1 \cap G_2$ . Thus

$$F(z) := \begin{cases} F_1(z) & z \in G_1 \\ F_2(z) + \lambda & z \in G_2 \end{cases}$$

is a well defined primitive of f in  $G_1 \cup G_2$ .

(ii) Consider  $G_1 := \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$  and  $G_2 := \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Clearly,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are elementary domains, since they are star-shaped domains. Now  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$  which is not connected since

$$\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(z) < 0 \} \cup \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(z) > 0 \}.$$

(Yannis Bähni) UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH, RÄMISTRASSE 71, 8006 ZURICH *E-mail address*: yannis.baehni@uzh.ch.

Furthermore,  $G_1 \cup G_2 = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . But  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is clearly not an elementary domain since  $f(z) := \frac{1}{z}$  does not have a primitive there since

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{E}} f(\zeta) \, \mathrm{d}\zeta = 2\pi i.$$

Hence the assumption that  $G_1 \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$  is connected is necessary.

(iii)

## Exercise 4.

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be a domain. A function  $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}(G;\mathbb{R})$  is said to be a **branch** of the argument, if  $z = |z| e^{i\varphi(z)}$  for all  $z \in G$ .

**Proposition 0.1.** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be a domain. There exists a branch of the argument on G if and only if there exists a branch of the logarithm on G.

*Proof.* Assume that there exists a branch of the argument  $\varphi$ . Thus for all  $z \in G$  we have

$$z = |z| e^{i\varphi(z)} = e^{\log|z| + i\varphi(z)}$$

and by the continuity of  $\varphi$ ,  $f(z) := \log |z| + i\varphi(z)$  is a branch of the logarithm. Conversly, by

$$z=e^{f(z)}=e^{\operatorname{Re} f}e^{i\operatorname{Im} f}=|z|\,e^{i\operatorname{Im} f}$$

for all  $z \in G$  we have that Im f is a branch of the argument on G, since f is continuous and so is Im f.