## **SOLUTIONS SHEET 2**

## YANNIS BÄHNI

## Exercise 1.

(i) We have already showed that  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z})$  is not a Hausdorff space (see sheet 1 exercise 3), hence not compact. Therefore it is enough to show that any open cover of  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z})$  has a finite subcover.

Lemma 0.1.  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{Z})$  is quasi-compact.

*Proof.* Let  $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  be an open cover of  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{Z})$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{C} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \alpha \in A : U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{Z}.$$
 (1)

We can explicitly construct a finite subcover. Pick some  $\alpha_0 \in A$  such that  $U_{\alpha_0} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $U_{\alpha_0} \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $U_{\alpha_0}^c$  is finite, i.e.  $U_{\alpha_0}^c = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Thus we can write

$$\mathbb{C} = U_{\alpha_0} \cup U_{\alpha_0}^c = U_{\alpha_0} \cup \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}.$$
 (2)

Since  $\mathbb{C} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}$ , we find  $\alpha_i \in A$  for i = 1, ..., n such that  $z_i \in U_{\alpha_i}$ . Hence  $(U_{\alpha_{\nu}})_{\nu \in \{0,...,n\}}$  is a finite subcover of  $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ . Since the construction was general, we conclude that  $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{Z})$  is quasi-compact.

(ii) The reasoning is similar to part i).

LEMMA 0.2.  $(\{z_0\}^c, \{z_0\}^c \cap \mathcal{Z})$  is quasi-compact.

*Proof.* Let  $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  be an open cover of  $(\{z_0\}^c, \{z_0\}^c \cap \mathcal{Z})$ , i.e.

$$\{z_0\}^c = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \alpha \in A : U_\alpha \in \{z_0\}^c \cap \mathcal{Z}.$$
 (3)

We can explicitly construct a finite subcover. Pick some  $\alpha_0 \in A$  such that  $U_{\alpha_0} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $U_{\alpha_0} \in \{z_0\}^c \cap \mathcal{Z}$ , there exists  $V \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $U_{\alpha_0} = \{z_0\}^c \cap V$ . By considering the relative complement

$$U_{\alpha_0}^c = \{z_0\}^c \cap (\{z_0\}^c \cap V)^c = \{z_0\}^c \cap (\{z_0\} \cup V^c) = \{z_0\}^c \cap V^c \subseteq V^c$$

$$\tag{4}$$

and using the fact that  $V^c$  is finite we conclude that  $U^c_{\alpha_0}$  is finite, i.e.  $U^c_{\alpha_0} = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subseteq \{z_0\}^c$ . Thus we can write

$$\{z_0\}^c = U_{\alpha_0} \cup U_{\alpha_0}^c = U_{\alpha_0} \cup \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}.$$
 (5)

(Yannis Bähni) UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH, RÄMISTRASSE 71, 8006 ZURICH *E-mail address*: yannis.baehni@uzh.ch.

Since  $\{z_0\}^c = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha$ , we find  $\alpha_i \in A$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  such that  $z_i \in U_{\alpha_i}$ . Hence  $(U_{\alpha_\nu})_{\nu \in \{0,\ldots,n\}}$  is a finite subcover of  $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ . Since the construction was general, we conclude that  $(\{z_0\}^c, \{z_0\}^c \cap \mathcal{Z})$  is quasi-compact.

LEMMA 0.3.  $(\{z_0\}^c, \{z_0\}^c \cap \mathcal{Z})$  is not Hausdorff.

*Proof.* Towards a contradiction assume that  $(\{z_0\}^c, \{z_0\}^c \cap \mathcal{Z})$  is Hausdorff. Thus for  $p, q \in \{z_0\}^c$  there exists open neighbourhoods U and V of p and q respectively such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . From the latter it follows that  $U \subseteq V^c$ . Since V is open we find  $W_1 \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $V = \{z_0\}^c \cap W_1$ . Hence taking relative complements yields

$$V^{c} = \{z_{0}\}^{c} \cap (\{z_{0}\}^{c} \cap W_{1})^{c} = \{z_{0}\}^{c} \cap W_{1}^{c} \subseteq W_{1}^{c}$$

So  $V^c$  is finite and therefore also U. Since U is open we have that there exists  $W_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $U = \{z_0\}^c \cap W_2$ . Taking again relative complements yields

$$U^c = \{z_0\}^c \cap (\{z_0\}^c \cap W_2)^c = \{z_0\}^c \cap W_2^c \subseteq W_2^c$$

So  $U^c$  is also finite. Therefore the decomposition  $\{z_0\}^c = U \cup U^c$  implies that  $\{z_0\}^c$  is finite. Contradiction, since  $|\{z_0\}^c| \ge |\mathbb{R}| = \mathfrak{c}$ , which is clearly not finite.

Therefore by lemma 0.2 we conclude that  $(\{z_0\}^c, \{z_0\}^c \cap \mathcal{Z})$  is quasi-compact, but from lemma 0.3 follows that  $(\{z_0\}^c, \{z_0\}^c \cap \mathcal{Z})$  is not compact.

(iii) By  $(\{z_0\}^c)^c = \{z_0\}$  which is finite immediately follows  $\{z_0\}^c \in \mathcal{Z}$ . But  $\{z_0\}^c = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\}$  is clearly not finite, thus  $\{z_0\} \notin \mathcal{Z}$ , hence  $\{z_0\}^c$  cannot be closed.

**Exercise 2.** Let  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be non-empty and open. We show the equivalences (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) and (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii). This is due to the fact that I am aware of the latter equivalence by considering [Lee11, p. 86] and the first one by [Lee11, p. 90] and the fact that every open connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is path-connected. However, working out detailed and appropriate proofs is still alot of work.

Assume that (i) holds. Proof by contradiction. Let  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  for some open sets  $G_1, G_2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  with  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$  and  $G_1, G_2 \neq G$ . Evidently  $G_1, G_2 \neq \emptyset$  and thus we find  $p \in G \cap G_1$ ,  $q \in G \cap G_2$ . Let  $\gamma : [a,b] \to G$  be a path joining p and q, i.e.  $\gamma(a) = p$  and  $\gamma(b) = q$ . Since  $\gamma$  is continuous,  $G \cap G_1$  and  $G \cap G_2$  are relatively open in G we have that  $\gamma^{-1}(G \cap G_1)$  and  $\gamma^{-1}(G \cap G_2)$  are open in [a,b]. Furthermore, since  $a \in \gamma^{-1}(G \cap G_1)$  and  $b \in \gamma^{-1}(G \cap G_2)$  we have that both preimages are non-empty. By

$$\gamma^{-1}(G \cap G_1) \cup \gamma^{-1}(G \cap G_2) = \gamma^{-1}((G \cap G_1) \cup (G \cap G_2)) = \gamma^{-1}(G) = [a, b]$$

and

$$\gamma^{-1}(G \cap G_1) \cap \gamma^{-1}(G \cap G_2) = \gamma^{-1}((G \cap G_1) \cap (G \cap G_2)) = \gamma^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$$

we have that  $\gamma^{-1}(G \cap G_1)$  and  $\gamma^{-1}(G \cap G_2)$  disconnect [a, b] which is impossible since a real interval is always connected (see [Lee11, p. 89]).

Now assume that (ii) holds. Let  $z_0 \in G$ . Since joinability by paths in G is an equivalence relation (let us denote it simply by  $\sim$ ) define

$$G_1 := [z_0]_{\sim}. \tag{6}$$

Lemma 0.4.  $G_1$  is open.

Proof. Let  $z_1 \in G_1$ . Since G is open we find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B_{\varepsilon}(z_1) \subseteq G$ .  $B_{\varepsilon}(z_1)$  is evidently path connected (consider just straight lines joining different points). Since  $z_1 \in G_1$ , we have that there is a path joining  $z_0$  and  $z_1$ . By concatenating paths, there is a path from  $z_0$  to every point in  $B_{\varepsilon}(z_1)$  and thus  $B_{\varepsilon}(z_1) \subseteq G_1$ .

Lemma 0.5. The relative complement  $G_1^c$  in G is open.

Proof. Let  $z_1 \in G_1^c$ . Again we find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B_{\varepsilon}(z_1) \subseteq G$  by the openness of G. Towards a contradiction assume that  $B_{\varepsilon}(z_1) \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Hence we find  $z_2 \in B_{\varepsilon}(z_1) \cap G_1$ . This means, that there is a path joining  $z_0$  and  $z_2$ . But since  $B_{\varepsilon}(z_1)$  is path connected there would be a path joining  $z_1$  and  $z_0$  which yields a contradiction. Hence  $B_{\varepsilon}(z_1) \subseteq G_1^c$ .  $\square$  Since evidently  $G = G_1 \cup G_1^c$  and by lemma 0.4 and 0.5  $G_1$ ,  $G_1^c$  are open and clearly disjoint, (ii) implies that either  $G_1 = G$  or  $G_1^c = G$ . The latter is impossible since  $z_0 \notin G_1^c$ . Hence we conclude that  $G = G_1$ . Thus G is a single equivalence class under joinability by paths, hence path-connected.

Next we show that (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). To be completely rigorous, we state the following lemma which can be found as an exercise in [Lee11, p. 50].

LEMMA 0.6. Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space and  $S \subseteq X$ . Then  $B \subseteq S$  is closed in S if and only if  $B = S \cap A$  for some closed set A in X.

*Proof.* Assume  $B \subseteq S$  is closed in S. Hence the relative complement  $B^c$  is open in S. Therefore we have  $B^c = S \cap U$  for some open set U in X. Thus

$$B = (S \cap U)^{c} = S \cap (S \cap U)^{c} = S \cap (S^{c} \cup U^{c}) = (S \cap S^{c}) \cup (S \cap U^{c}) = S \cap U^{c}.$$

But since U is open in X we have that  $U^c$  is closed in X. Conversly assume that  $B = S \cap A$  for some closed set A in X. Taking relative complements yields

$$B^c = (S \cap A)^c = S \cap (S \cap A)^c = S \cap A^c$$

and since A is closed in X we have that  $A^c$  is open in X which means  $B^c$  is open in S.  $\square$ Now assume that (ii) holds. Let  $U \subseteq G$  be a non-empty, open and relatively closed (with respect to G) subset. Since U is relatively closed, by lemma 0.6 there exists a closed set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  such that  $U = G \cap A$ . Observe, that by

$$U^c = G \cap (G \cap A)^c = G \cap (G^c \cup A^c) = G \cap A^c$$

 $U^c$  is open in  $\mathbb C$  since G and  $A^c$  are open in  $\mathbb C$ . Clearly,  $G=U\cup U^c$  and  $U\cap U^c=\varnothing$ . Therefore (ii) implies that either U=G or  $U^c=G$  where the latter is impossible since by assumption  $U\neq\varnothing$ . Hence we conclude that U=G.

Finally we show (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). This is equivalent to showing that not (ii) implies not (iii). So we have  $G = G_1 \cup G_2$  for some open disjoint sets  $G_1, G_2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  where  $G_1, G_2 \neq G$ . Now clearly  $\emptyset \subsetneq G_1 \subsetneq G$ ,  $G_1$  is open and  $G_1$  is relatively closed in G since

$$G \cap G_2^c = (G_1 \cup G_2) \cap G_2^c = G_1 \cap G_2^c = G_1$$

since  $G_2^c$  is closed and  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$ .

**Exercise 3.** Well-definedness. We have to show that  $h(\mathbb{H}) \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ . Let  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . Then  $\mathrm{Im}(z) > 0$  and thus

$$\left| \frac{z-i}{z+i} \right|^2 = \frac{(z-i)(\overline{z}+i)}{(z+i)(\overline{z}-i)}$$

$$= \frac{|z|^2 + i(z-\overline{z}) + 1}{|z|^2 + i(z-\overline{z}) + 1}$$

$$= \frac{|z|^2 - 2\operatorname{Im}(z) + 1}{|z|^2 + 2\operatorname{Im}(z) + 1}$$

$$\leq \frac{|z|^2 - 2\operatorname{Im}(z) + 1}{|z|^2 + 1}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{2\operatorname{Im}(z)}{|z|^2 + 1}$$

$$\leq 1$$

Existence of an inverse. Let  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . Then we have

$$1 + h(z) = \frac{2z}{z+i}$$
 and  $1 - h(z) = \frac{2i}{z+i}$ . (7)

Therefore

$$\frac{1+h(z)}{1-h(z)} = \frac{z}{i} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad z = i\frac{1+h(z)}{1-h(z)}.$$
 (8)

This quotient is well-defined since  $h(z) \neq 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . Now let  $w \in \mathbb{E}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(i\frac{1+w}{1-w}\right) = \frac{1}{2i} \left[i\frac{1+w}{1-w} + i\frac{1+\overline{w}}{1-\overline{w}}\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{2 + (w + \overline{w})}{1 - (w + \overline{w}) + |w|^2}$$
$$> \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + \operatorname{Re}(w)}{1 - \operatorname{Re}(w)}$$
$$> 0$$

since  $|\text{Re}(w)| \leq |w| < 1$ . Hence the mapping

$$g: \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{H}, w \mapsto i\frac{1+w}{1-w}$$
 (9)

is well-defined. Furthermore, for  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $w \in \mathbb{E}$  we have

$$g(h(z)) = i\frac{1 + (z - i)/(z + i)}{1 - (z - i)/(z + i)} = z$$
 and  $h(g(w)) = \frac{(1 + w)/(1 - w) - 1}{(1 + w)/(1 - w) + 1} = w$ .

Therefore  $g = h^{-1}$ .

Holomorphy of h and  $h^{-1}$ . The functions h and  $h^{-1}$  are clearly holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathbb{E}$  respectively since they are well-defined rational functions there.

**Exercise 4.** First we consider the function f. We can decompose f = u + iv where  $u, v : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$  are defined by

$$u(x+iy) := x^3y^2$$
 and  $v(x+iy) := x^2y^3$ . (10)

Calculating the partial derivatives yields

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x,y) = 3x^2y^2 \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x,y) = 2x^3y \qquad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,y) = 2xy^3 \qquad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x,y) = 3x^2y^2.$$

The points in which f is complex differentiable are exactly the points in which above partial derivatives fulfill the Cauchy-Riemann equations. Clearly

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x,y) = 3x^2y^2 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x,y) \tag{11}$$

holds for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and thus for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . However, for the second equation we get the requirement

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x,y) = 2x^3y = -2xy^3 = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,y). \tag{12}$$

or equivalently  $x^3y=-xy^3$ . If x=0, then the equality holds for any  $y\in\mathbb{R}$  and if y=0 then the equality holds for any  $x\in\mathbb{R}$ . If  $x,y\neq 0$  we get the equality  $x^2=-y^2$  which cannot be true since  $y^2>0$  and thus  $x^2<0$ . Hence the set in which f is complex differentiable is exactly  $\{z\in\mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re}(z)=0 \vee \operatorname{Im}(z)=0\}$ .

Now consider the function g. Similar to the previous part we can write g = u + iv where  $u, v : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$  are defined by

$$u(x+iy) := e^x \cos y$$
 and  $v(x+iy) := e^x \sin y$ . (13)

Calculating the partial derivatives yields

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x,y) = e^x \cos y = u(x+iy)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x,y) = -e^x \sin y = -v(x+iy)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x,y) = e^x \sin y = v(x+iy)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x,y) = e^x \cos y = u(x+iy)$$

which immediately implies that the Cauchy-Riemann equations holds on  $\mathbb C$  and thus g is holomorphic in  $\mathbb C$  or *entire*.

## References

[Lee11] John M. Lee. *Introduction to Topological Manifolds*. Second Edition. Springer Science+Business Media, 2011.