SOLUTIONS SHEET 8

YANNIS BÄHNI

Exercise 1.

a. See separate sheet.

b. Let $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Then f is measurable and integrable. Moreover, |f| is integrable. Hence we may assume that $f \geq 0$. First, suppose that f is simple, i.e. $f = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \chi_{A_k}$, where $a_k \geq 0$ and $A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Then we have that

$$\int_{X} f d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \mu(A_{k}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} |A_{k}|$$

Clearly f is integrable if and only if $|A_k| < \infty$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence f is integrable if and only if supp f is finite. Now assume that $f \ge 0$ is measurable. Then by elementary measure theory there exists a monotone sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple functions such that $\varphi_n \to f$ pointwise. Then we have that

$$\int_X f d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X \varphi_n d\mu = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \int_X \varphi_n d\mu \right\}$$

and thus since f is integrable, $\int_X \varphi_n d\mu < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence by the previous discussion, $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_n$ is finite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and by $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{supp} \varphi_n$ we get that $\operatorname{supp} f$ is at most countable. So if $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ we have that $\operatorname{supp} f$ is at most countable, moreover since we are dealing with the counting measure, we have that

$$\int_X f d\mu = \sum_{x \in \text{supp } f} f(x)$$

where the righthandside is independent of the enumeration of supp f since also |f| is integrable and thus the righthandside converges absolutely. The discussion above implies that we can define a function $\Psi: L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Psi(f) := \sum_{x \in [0,1]} f(x).$$

The righthandside is actually an ordinary countable sum which converges since

$$|\Psi(f)| = \left| \sum_{x \in [0,1]} f(x) \right| \le \sum_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)| \le \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)| = ||f||_1.$$

This also shows that Ψ is continuous. Obviously, Ψ is linear (a union of countable supports is still countable). This establishes the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. $\Psi \in (L^1(X, A, \mu))^*$.

Proof. See discussion above.

Lemma 1.2. We have that $\Psi(f) = \int_X fg d\mu$ for all $f \in L^1(X, A, \mu)$ if and only if $g = \chi_{[0,1]}$.

Proof. If $g = \chi_{[0,1]}$, then we have that

$$\int_X f \chi_{[0,1]} d\mu = \sum_{x \in [0,1]} f(x) = \Psi(f).$$

Conversly, suppose that $\Psi(f) = \int_X fg d\mu$ holds for all $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ for some function g. Then we have

$$\sum_{x \in [0,1]} f(x) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x)g(x)$$

for all $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ or equivalently

$$0 = \sum_{x \in [0,1]^c} f(x)g(x) + \sum_{x \in [0,1]} (g(x) - 1) f(x).$$

Let $x_0 \in [0,1]^c$. Then $f := \chi_{\{x_0\}} \in L^1(X,\mathcal{A},\mu)$, since singletons are measurable. But then

$$0 = f(x_0)g(x_0) = g(x_0)$$

and so g = 0 on $[0, 1]^c$. If $x_0 \in [0, 1]$, then we get that

$$0 = (g(x_0) - 1) f(x_0) = g(x_0) - 1$$

and thus g = 1 on [0, 1]. Thus $g = \chi_{[0,1]}$.

The above lemma implies that Ψ cannot be represented as $\int_X fg d\mu$ for all $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and some $g \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, since $\chi_{[0,1]}$ is clearly bounded but not measurable. Indeed, [0,1] as well as $[0,1]^c = (-\infty,0) \cup (1,\infty)$ is uncountable and thus $[0,1] \notin \mathcal{A}$ (a characteristic function χ_A is measurable if and only if A is measurable).

Exercise 2. We will show that there exists a unique solution to the integral equation $f \in L^2(X)$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $L \in L^2(X \times X)$ and $f \in L^2(X)$. For $x \in X$ define

$$g_f(x) := \int_X L(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

Then $g_f \in L^2(X)$.

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_f\|_{L^2(X)}^2 &= \int_X |g_f(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \int_X \left| \int_X L(x, y) f(y) dy \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_X \left(\int_X |L(x, y) f(y)| dy \right)^2 dx \\ &= \int_X \|L(x, \cdot) f\|_{L^1(X)}^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_X \|L(x, \cdot) f\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \|f\|_{L^2(X)}^2 dx \\ &= \|f\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \int_X \int_X |L(x, y)|^2 dy dx \\ &= \|f\|_{L^2(X)}^2 \|L\|_{L^2(X \times X)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder and Fubini.

Now we have to solve the equation

$$cf + u = g_f$$
.

Define $a: L^2(X) \times L^2(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$a(f,\varphi) := \langle cf - g_f, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(X)}.$$

This is possible since $L^2(X)$ is a Hilbert space. If a satisfies the assumptions of Lax-Milgram, we find $A \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(X))$, such that

$$a(f,\varphi) = \langle A(f), \varphi \rangle_{L^2(X)}$$

holds for all $f, \varphi \in L^2(X)$. Hence

$$\langle cf - g_f, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(X)} = \langle A(f), \varphi \rangle_{L^2(X)}$$

holds for all $f, \varphi \in L^2(X)$. Moreover, since A is invertible, we find a unique $f_0 \in L^2(X)$, such that $A(f_0) = -u$. Therefore

$$R_{L^{2}(X)}(cf_{0}-g_{f_{0}})(\varphi)=\langle cf_{0}-g_{f_{0}},\varphi\rangle_{L^{2}(X)}=\langle -u,\varphi\rangle_{L^{2}(X)}=R_{L^{2}(X)}(-u)(\varphi)$$

holds for all $\varphi \in L^2(X)$. Thus $R_{L^2(X)}(cf_0 - g_{f_0}) = R_{L^2(X)}(-u)$ and so the *Riesz representation theorem* yields that

$$c f_0 - g_{f_0} = -u$$
.

Lemma 1.4. $a: L^2(X) \times L^2(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous coercive sesquilinear form.

Proof. Clearly a is sesquilinear, i.e. antilinear in the first and linear in the second argument, by the corresponding properties of the $L^2(X)$ inner product and the simple observation that

$$g_{f+\lambda h} = g_f + \lambda g_h$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f, h \in L^2(X)$. Let $\varphi \in L^2(X)$. Then Cauchy-Schwarz together with lemma 1.3 yields

$$|a(f,\varphi)| = |\langle cf - g_f, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(X)}|$$

$$\leq ||cf - g_f||_{L^2(X)} ||\varphi||_{L^2(X)}$$

$$\leq (c ||f||_{L^2(X)} + ||g_f||_{L^2(X)}) ||\varphi||_{L^2(X)}$$

$$\leq (c ||f||_{L^2(X)} + ||f||_{L^2(X)} ||L||_{L^2(X \times X)}) ||\varphi||_{L^2(X)}$$

$$\leq 2c ||f||_{L^2(X)} ||\varphi||_{L^2(X)}$$

since $\|L\|_{L^2(X\times X)} < c$. Lastly, since $\|L\|_{L^2(X\times X)} < c$, we find c_0 such that we have $\|L\|_{L^2(X\times X)} < c_0 < c$ by trichotomy. Thus again lemma 1.3 together with Cauchy-Schwarz implies that

$$\operatorname{Re} a(f, f) = \operatorname{Re} \langle cf - g_f, f \rangle_{L^2(X)}$$

$$= \operatorname{Re} c \langle f, f \rangle_{L^2(X)} - \operatorname{Re} \langle g_f, f \rangle_{L^2(X)}$$

$$= c \| f \|_{L^2(X)}^2 - \operatorname{Re} \langle g_f, f \rangle_{L^2(X)}$$

$$\geq c \| f \|_{L^2(X)}^2 - |\langle g_f, f \rangle_{L^2(X)}|$$

$$\geq c \| f \|_{L^2(X)}^2 - \| g_f \|_{L^2(X)} \| f \|_{L^2(X)}$$

$$\geq c \| f \|_{L^2(X)}^2 - \| f \|_{L^2(X)}^2 \| L \|_{L^2(X \times X)}$$

$$\geq (c - c_0) \| f \|_{L^2(X)}^2.$$

Now 2c > 0 since $c > ||L||_{L^2(X \times X)}$, $c - c_0 > 0$ and $c - c_0 \le c \le 2c$ since $c_0 > 0$. **Exercise 3.**

a. Suppose that $M\setminus \overline{A}$ is dense in M. Towards a contradiction, assume that A is not nowhere dense. Hence $\overset{\circ}{A}\neq\varnothing$. Since $\overset{\circ}{A}$ is open by definition of the interior of a set, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ and $x\in\overset{\circ}{A}$ such that $B_{\varepsilon}(x)\subseteq\overset{\circ}{A}$. Moreover, $\overset{\circ}{A}\subseteq \overline{A}$ and thus $B_{\varepsilon}(x)\subseteq\overline{A}$. This implies that $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$ and $M\setminus \overline{A}$ are disjoint. But $M\setminus \overline{A}$ is dense in M, hence we find a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $M\setminus \overline{A}$ such that $x_n\to x$. Hence there exists $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

 $x_n \in B_{\varepsilon}(x)$ for all $n \geq N$. This is not possible since $B_{\varepsilon}(x)$ does not contain any elements of $M \setminus \overline{A}$. Contradiction.

b.

Lemma 1.5. Let $(x_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of \mathbb{Q} . For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ define

$$E_n := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(x_k - \frac{1}{2^k n}, x_k + \frac{1}{2^k n} \right) \tag{1}$$

and

$$E := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n. \tag{2}$$

Set $A := E^c$. Then A is meager and $\lambda(A^c) = 0$, where λ denotes the ordinary Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. We show first that $\lambda(A^c) = 0$. First observe that $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing sequence of λ -measurable sets. Moreover, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$\lambda(E_n) \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{2}{n} < \infty$$

by subadditivity of the measure. Elementary measure theory now tells us that

$$\lambda(A^c) = \lambda(E) = \lambda\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda(E_n) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2}{n} = 0.$$

Let us show that A is meager. Since $A = E^c = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n^c$, we show that E_n^c is nowhere dense for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By part **a.** we can also show that $\mathbb{R} \setminus \bar{E}_n^c$ is dense in \mathbb{R} . For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$E_n^c = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\left(-\infty, x_k - \frac{1}{2^k n} \right] \cup \left[x_k + \frac{1}{2^k n}, \infty \right) \right).$$

Thus E_n^c is a closed set (finite unions and countable intersection of closed intervals) and so $\overline{E}_n^c = E_n^c$. So $\mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{E}_n^c = E_n$. But $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq E_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus E_n is dense in \mathbb{R} . \square **Exercise 4.**

a. If $A=\varnothing$, we have that $\cap_{\alpha\in A}\mathcal{T}_\alpha=\mathcal{P}(X)$ since topologies on X are subsets of $\mathcal{P}(X)$. Hence the intersection of the empty family of topologies on X is the discrete topology. Consider now $A\neq\varnothing$. Clearly, $\varnothing,X\in\cap_{\alpha\in A}\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ since $\varnothing,X\in\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha\in A$. Let $U_1,\ldots,U_n\in\cap_{\alpha\in A}\mathcal{T}_\alpha$. Hence $U_1,\ldots,U_n\in\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha\in A$ and so $U_1\cap\cdots\cap U_n\in\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha\in A$. Hence $U_1\cap\cdots\cap U_n\in\cap_{\alpha\in A}\mathcal{T}_\alpha$. Finally, suppose that $(U_\beta)_{\beta\in B}$ is a family in $\cap_{\alpha\in A}\mathcal{T}_\alpha$. Hence for all $\alpha\in A$ we have that $U_\beta\in\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ for all $\beta\in B$. So $\cup_{\beta\in B}U_\beta\in\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha\in A$ and therefore $\cup_{\beta\in B}U_\beta\in\cap_{\alpha\in A}\mathcal{T}_\alpha$.

b. Define

$$\mathcal{B} := \{U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, U_i \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T} := \{ \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} B_{\alpha} : B_{\alpha} \in A \text{ for all } \alpha \in A \}.$$

Lemma 1.6. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. By part **a.**, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a topology. We show that also \mathcal{T} is a topology. By [Lee11, p. 34] it is enough to show that \mathcal{B} satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B = X$.
- (ii) If $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$, there exists an element $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B_3 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$.

Then \mathcal{T} is the unique topology on X generated by \mathcal{B} , i.e. the collection of arbitrary unions of elements of \mathcal{B} . Since \mathcal{F} is nonempty, there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Clearly $X = f^{-1}(Y_f)$ and Y_f is open in Y_f . Hence $f^{-1}(Y_f) \in \mathcal{S}$ and thus $X \in \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$. Suppose that $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B_1 \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset$. Hence we find $U_1, \ldots, U_n, V_1, \ldots, V_m \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $B_1 = U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n$ and $B_2 = V_1 \cap \cdots \cap V_m$. Suppose $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$. Then also $x \in U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \cap V_1 \cap \cdots \cap V_m$. But

$$U_1 \cap \cdots \cap U_n \cap V_1 \cap \cdots \cap V_m \in \mathcal{B}$$

as a finite intersection of elements of S. Hence T is a topology.

Clearly, $S \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, since already $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the smallest topology containing S, we get that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$.

Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} B_{\alpha}$ for some index set A and $B_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}$ for all $\alpha \in A$. But each B_{α} is a finite intersection of elements of S and thus since $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a topology containing S, we have that $B_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}$ for all $\alpha \in A$. But then also $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}$ as a union of sets in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Exercise 5. Suppose that $x_n \to x$. Proposition 6.2.2 implies that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, in particular $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||x_n|| < \infty$. Moreover, lemma 6.2.1 yields $f(x_n) \to f(x)$ for all $f \in X^*$. Since $Y \subseteq X^*$ we also have $f(x_n) \to f(x)$ for all $f \in Y$.

Conversly, suppose $||x_n|| \le M$ for some $M \ge 0$ and $f(x_n) \to f(x)$ for all $f \in Y$. Let $f \in X^*$. Since Y is dense in X^* , we find a sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Y such that $||f_k - f|| \to 0$. Hence

$$|f(x_n) - f(x)| = |f(x_n) - f(x) + f_k(x_n) - f_k(x_n) + f_k(x) - f_k(x)|$$

$$\leq |f(x_n) - f_k(x_n)| + |f_k(x_n) - f_k(x)| + |f_k(x) - f(x)|$$

$$\leq ||f - f_k|| (||x_n|| + ||x||) + |f_k(x_n) - f_k(x)|$$

$$\leq ||f - f_k|| (|M + ||x||) + |f_k(x_n) - f_k(x)|$$

and so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |f(x_n) - f(x)| \le ||f - f_k|| (M + ||x||) \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0.$$
Thus $f(x_n) \to f(x)$ for all $f \in X^*$ and so lemma 6.2.1 implies $x_n \to x$.

References

[Lee11] John M. Lee. Introduction to Topological Manifolds. Second Edition. Springer Science+Business Media, 2011.